Earthlink, who agreed to provide free Internet access to every elementary and secondary school in California. Other companies such as American Online, Pacific Bell, Cisco Systems, Sun Microsystems and hundreds of other companies contributed by sponsoring individual schools, providing wiring kits, and helping to design and test the networks.

With our current budget deficit, we have been doing everything we can to encourage local, volunteer solutions to difficult problems. NetDay96 and future NetDays across America can save schools and taxpayers millions of dollars in technology start-up costs by providing equipment, computer time and training for teachers through the school's corporate partners. Business sponsors and corporate volunteers were key ingredients in making NetDay96 a successful reality.

This administration deserves great credit for advancing education and technology. President Clinton and Vice President GORE joined the thousands of California's NetDay volunteers. They support the expansion of NetDay96 activities nationwide to increase the level of technology in our classrooms and enhance our children's ability to learn.

It is my pleasure to submit this resolution commending the NetDay96 cofounders, Michael Kaufman and John Gage, the dozens of corporate sponsors and business partners, and the thousands of volunteers working in community schools throughout California. The success and commitment they have shown can serve as a positive model for other States throughout the Nation, this year and in future years.

My California colleague, Senator BARBARA BOXER, joins in co-sponsoring this resolution. Together, we urge our Senate colleagues to affirm congressional support for preparing U.S. classrooms with the needed technological infrastructure for the 21st century.

In today's global economy, America's students will face challenges on an international scale. Students must graduate with the skills needed to face today's international challenges. Computers and technology can enhance education experience of children and provide a valuable complement to traditional teaching tools. Technology is not the complete solution to our complex education needs, but it is an important area that needs both our attention and our support.

I am pleased to submit this resolution to stress the value of volunteer efforts to bring technology to the classroom. With our investments in technology and students, the next generation will graduate with more of the skills they need to compete and win in the global economy.

NetDay96 was a successful effort in California and I encourage an effort to expand the effort nationwide to permit students across the country to enjoy the benefit of technology and education. I urge my Senate colleagues to support this effort.

AMENDMENTS SUBMITTED

THE NATIONAL DEFENSE AUTHORIZATION ACT FOR FISCAL YEAR 1997

ROBB (AND MCCAIN) AMENDMENT NO. 4363

(Ordered to lie on the table.)

Mr. ROBB (for himself and Mr. MCCAIN) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill (S. 1745) to authorize appropriations for fiscal year 1997 for military activities of the Department of Defense, for military construction, and for defense activities of the Department of Energy, to prescribe personnel strengths for such fiscal year for the Armed Forces, and for other purposes; as follows:

At the end of subtitle A of title X, add the following:

SEC. 1014. SENSE OF SENATE REGARDING AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS FOR MILITARY EQUIPMENT NOT IDENTIFIED IN THE ANNUAL BUDGET REQUEST OF THE DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE AND FOR CERTAIN MILITARY CONSTRUCTION.

It is the sense of the Senate that—

(1) to the maximum extent practicable, the Senate should consider the authorization of appropriation of funds for the procurement of military equipment only if the procurement is included—

(A) in the annual budget request of the Department of Defense;

(B) in the current future years defense program of the Department; or

(C) in a supplemental request list provided to the Committee on Armed Services of the Senate, upon request of the Committee, by the Office of the Secretary of Defense, by the military departments, by the National Guard Bureau, or by the officials responsible for the administration of the Reserves;

(2) any procurement of military equipment authorized in a defense authorization bill reported to the Senate by the Committee which procurement is not included in the annual budget request of the Department, included in the current future years defense program, or included in a supplemental request list should be listed in a separate section of the report accompanying the bill with a detailed justification of the national security interest addressed by the procurement; and

(3) any military construction project authorized in a defense authorization bill reported to the Senate by the Committee which project does not meet the criteria set forth in section 2856(a) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1995 (Public Law 103–337; 108 Stat. 3073) should be listed in a separate section of the report accompanying the bill with a detailed justification of the national security interest addressed by the project.

GREGG AMENDMENT NO. 4364

Mr. GREGG proposed an amendment to the bill, S. 1745, supra; as follows:

In the appropriate place in S. 1745, insert the following new section:

SEC. ___. CONGRESSIONAL, PRESIDENTIAL, AND JUDICIAL PENSION FORFEITURE.

(a) SHORT TITLE.—This section may be cited as the ''Congressional, Presidential, and Judicial Pension Forfeiture Act''.

(b) CONVICTION OF CERTAIN OFFENSES.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Section 8312(a) of title 5, United States Code, is amended—

(A) by striking ''or'' at the end of paragraph (1);

(B) by striking the period at the end of paragraph (2) and inserting ''; or'';

(C) by adding after paragraph (2) the following new paragraph:

''(3) is convicted of an offense named by subsection (d), to the extent provided by that subsection.'';

(D) by striking ''and'' at the end of subparagraph (A);

(E) by striking the period at the end of subparagraph (B) and inserting ''; and''; and

(F) by adding after subparagraph (B) the following new subparagraph:

''(C) with respect to the offenses named by subsection (d) of this section, to the period after the date of the conviction.''.

(2) IDENTIFICATION OF OFFENSES.—Section 8312 of title 5, United States Code, is amended—

(A) by redesignating subsection (d) as subsection (e); and

(B) by inserting after subsection (c) the following new subsection:

''(d)(1) The offenses under paragraph (2) are the offenses to which subsection (a) of this section applies, but only if—

''(A) the individual is convicted of such offense committed after the date of the enactment of the Congressional, Presidential, and Judicial Pension Forfeiture Act;

''(B) the individual was a Member of Congress (including the Vice President), a congressional employee, or a Federal justice or judge at the time of committing the offense; and

''(C) the offense is punishable by imprisonment for more than 1 year.

''(2) The offenses under this paragraph are as follows:

''(A) An offense within the purview of—

''(i) section 201 of title 18 (bribery of public officials and witnesses);

''(ii) section 203 of title 18 (compensation to Members of Congress, officers, and others in matters affecting the Government);

''(iii) section 204 of title 18 (practice in United States Court of Federal Claims or the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit by Members of Congress);

''(iv) section 219 of title 18 (officers and employees acting as agents of foreign principals);

''(v) section 286 of title 18 (conspiracy to defraud the Government with respect to claims);

''(vi) section 287 of title 18 (false, fictitious, or fraudulent claims);

''(vii) section 371 of title 18 (conspiracy to commit offense or to defraud the United States;

''(viii) section 597 of title 18 (expenditures to influence voting);

''(ix) section 599 of title 18 (promise of appointment by candidate);

''(x) section 602 of title 18 (solicitation of political contributions);

''(xi) section 606 of title 18 (intimidation to secure political contributions);

''(xii) section 607 of title 18 (place of solicitation);

''(xiii) section 641 of title 18 (public money, property or records); or

''(xiv) section 1001 of title 18 (statements or entries generally).

''(B) Perjury committed under the statutes of the United States in falsely denying the commission of an act which constitutes an offense within the purview of a statute named by subparagraph (A).

''(C) Subornation of perjury committed in connection with the false denial of another individual as specified by subparagraph (B).''.

(c) ABSENCE FROM THE UNITED STATES TO AVOID PROSECUTION.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Section 8313 of title 5, United States Code, is amended—

(A) by redesignating subsection (b) as subsection (c); and

(B) by inserting after subsection (a) the following new subsection:

''(b) An individual, or his survivor or beneficiary, may not be paid annuity or retired pay on the basis of the service of the individual which is creditable toward the annuity or retired pay, subject to the exceptions in section 8311(2) and (3) of this title, if the individual—

''(1) is under indictment, after the date of the enactment of the Congressional, Presidential, and Judicial Pension Forfeiture Act, for an offense named by section 8312(d)(2) of this title, but only if such offense satisfies section 8312(d)(1)(C) of this title;

''(2) willfully remains outside the United States, or its territories and possessions including the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, for more than 1 year with knowledge of the indictment or charges, as the case may be; and

''(3) is an individual described in section 8312(d)(1)(B).''.

(2) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—Subsection (c) of section 8313 of title 5, United States Code (as redesignated under paragraph (1)(A)) is amended by inserting ''or (b)'' after ''subsection (a)''.

(d) REFUND OF CONTRIBUTIONS AND DEPOSITS.—

Section 8316(b) of title 5, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by striking ''or'' at the end of paragraph (1);

(2) by striking the period at the end of paragraph (2) and inserting ''; or''; and

(3) by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

''(3) if the individual was convicted of an offense named by section 8312(d) of this title, for the period after the conviction of the violation.''.

(e) FORFEITURE OF PRESIDENTIAL ALLOWANCE.—Subsection (a) of the first section of the Act entitled ''An Act to provide retirement, clerical assistance, and free mailing privileges to former Presidents of the United States, and for other purposes'', approved August 25, 1958 (Public Law 85–745; 72 Stat. 838; 3 U.S.C. 102 note) is amended—

(1) by striking ''Each former President'' and inserting ''(1) Subject to paragraph (2), each former President''; and

(2) by inserting at the end the following new paragraph:

''(2) The allowance payable to an individual under paragraph (1) shall be forfeited if—

''(A) the individual is convicted of an offense described under section 8312(d)(2) of title 5, United States Code, committed after the date of the enactment of the Congressional, Presidential, and Judicial Pension Forfeiture Act;

''(B) such individual committed such offense during the individual's term of office as President; and

''(C) the offense is punishable by imprisonment for more than 1 year.''.

PRYOR (AND OTHERS) AMENDMENT NO. 4365

Mr. PRYOR (for himself, Mr. CHAFEE, Mr. BROWN, Mr. BRYAN, Mr. DORGAN, Mr. LEAHY, and Mr. BYRD) proposed an amendment to the bill, S. 1745, supra; as follows:

At the end of subtitle F of title X add the following:

SEC. 1072. EQUITABLE TREATMENT FOR THE GENERIC DRUG INDUSTRY.

(a) SENSE OF THE SENATE.—It is the sense of the Senate that the generic drug industry should be provided equitable relief in the same manner as other industries are provided with such relief under the patent transitional provisions of section 154(c) of title 35, United States Code, as amended by section 532 of the Uruguay Round Agreements Act of 1994 (Public Law 103–465; 108 Stat. 4983).

(b) APPROVAL OF APPLICATIONS OF GENERIC DRUGS.—For purposes of acceptance and consideration by the Secretary of Health and Human Services of an application under subsections (b), (c), and (j) of section 505, and subsections (b), (c), and (n) of section 512, of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (21 U.S.C. 355 (b), (c), and (j), and 360b (b), (c), and (n)), the expiration date of a patent that is the subject of a certification under section 505(b)(2)(A) (ii), (iii), or (iv), section 505(j)(2)(A)(vii) (II), (III), or (IV), or section 512(n)(1)(H) (ii), (iii), or (iv) of such Act, respectively, made in an application submitted prior to June 8, 1995, or in an application submitted on or after that date in which the applicant certifies that substantial investment was made prior to June 8, 1995, shall be deemed to be the date on which such patent would have expired under the law in effect on the day preceding December 8, 1994.

(c) MARKETING GENERIC DRUGS.—The remedies of section 271(e)(4) of title 35, United States Code, shall not apply to acts—

(1) that were commenced, or for which a substantial investment was made, prior to June 8, 1995; and

(2) that became infringing by reason of section 154(c)(1) of such title, as amended by section 532 of the Uruguay Round Agreements Act (Public Law 103–465; 108 Stat. 4983).

(d) EQUITABLE REMUNERATION.—For acts described in subsection (c), equitable remuneration of the type described in section 154(c)(3) of title 35, United States Code, as amended by section 532 of the Uruguay Round Agreements Act (Public Law 103–465; 108 Stat. 4983) shall be awarded to a patentee only if there has been—

(1) the commercial manufacture, use, offer to sell, or sale, within the United States of an approved drug that is the subject of an application described in subsection (b); or

(2) the importation by the applicant into the United States of an approved drug or of active ingredient used in an approved drug that is the subject of an application described in subsection (b).

(e) APPLICABILITY.—The provisions of this section shall govern—

(1) the approval or the effective date of approval of applications under section 505(b)(2), 505(j), 507, or 512(n), of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (21 U.S.C. 355 (b)(2) and (j), 357, and 360b(n)) submitted on or after the date of enactment of this Act; and

(2) the approval or effective date of approval of all pending applications that have not received final approval as of the date of enactment of this Act.

HATCH AMENDMENT NO. 4366

Mr. HATCH proposed an amendment to amendment No. 4365 proposed by Mr. PRYOR to the bill, S. 1745, supra; as follows:

Strike all after the word ''**SEC.**'' and insert the following:

PHARMACEUTICAL INDUSTRY SPECIAL EQUITY.

(a) SHORT TITLE.—This section may be cited as the ''Pharmaceutical Industry Special Equity Act of 1996''.

(b) APPROVAL OF GENERIC DRUGS.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—With respect to any patent, the term of which is modified under section 154(c)(1) of title 35, United States Code, as amended by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act (Public Law 103–465; 108 Stat. 4983), the remedies of section 271(e)(4) of title 35, United States Code, shall not apply if—

(A) such patent is the subject of a certification described under—

(i) section 505 (b)(2)(A)(iv) or (j)(2)(A)(vii)(IV) of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (21 U.S.C. 355 (b)(2)(A)(iv) or (j)(2)(A)(vii)(IV)); or

(ii) section 512(n)(1)(H)(iv) of such Act (21 U.S.C. 360b(n)(1)(H)(iv));

(B) on or after the date of enactment of this section, such a certification is made in an application that was filed under section 505 or 512 of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act and accepted for filing by the Food and Drug Administration prior to June 8, 1995; and

(C) a final order, from which no appeal is pending or may be made, has been entered in an action brought under chapter 28 or 29 of title 35, United States Code—

(i) finding that the person who submitted such certification made a substantial investment of the type described under section 154(c)(2) of title 35, United States Code, as amended by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act; and

(ii) establishing the amount of equitable remuneration of the type described under section 154(c)(3) of title 35, United States Code, as amended by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act, that is required to be paid by the person who submitted such certification to the patentee for the product that is the subject of the certification.

(2) DETERMINATION OF SUBSTANTIAL INVESTMENT.—In determining whether a substantial investment has been made in accordance with this section, the court shall find that—

(A) a complete application submitted under section 505 or 512 of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act was found by the Secretary of Health and Human Services on or before June 8, 1995 to be sufficiently complete to permit substantive review; and

(B) the total sum of the investment made by the person submitting such an application—

(i) is specifically related to the research, development, manufacture, sale, marketing, or other activities undertaken in connection with, the product covered by such an application; and

(ii) does not solely consist of that person's expenditures related to the development and submission of the information contained in such an application.

(3) EFFECTIVE DATE OF APPROVAL OF APPLICATION.—In no event shall the Food and Drug Administration make the approval of an application under sections 505 or 512 of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act, which is subject to the provisions of this section, effective prior to the entry of the order described in paragraph (1)(C).

(4) APPLICABILITY.—The provisions of this subsection shall not apply to any patent the term of which, inclusive of any restoration period provided under section 156 of title 35, United States Code, would have expired on or after June 8, 1998, under the law in effect on the date before December 8, 1994.

(c) APPLICATION OF CERTAIN BENEFITS AND TERM EXTENSIONS TO ALL PATENTS IN FORCE ON A CERTAIN DATE.—For the purposes of this section and the provisions of title 35, United States Code, all patents in force on June 8, 1995, including those in force by reason of section 156 of title 35, United States Code, are entitled to the full benefit of the Uruguay Round Agreements Act of 1994 and any extension granted before such date under section 156 of title 35, United States Code.

(d) EXTENSION OF PATENTS RELATING TO NONSTEROIDAL ANTI-INFLAMMATORY DRUGS.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Notwithstanding section 154 of title 35, United States Code, the term of patent shall be extended for any patent

which encompasses within its scope of composition of matter known as a nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug if—

(A) during the regulatory review of the drug by the Food and Drug Administration the patentee—

(i) filed a new drug application in 1982 under section 505 of the Federal Food, Drug and Cosmetic Act (21 U.S.C. 355); and

(ii) awaited approval by the Food and Drug Administration for at least 96 months; and

(B) such new drug application was approved in 1991.

(2) TERM.—The term of any patent described in paragraph (1) shall be extended from its current expiration date for a period of 2 years.

(3) NOTIFICATION.—No later than 90 days after the date of enactment of this section, the patentee of any patent described in paragraph (1) shall notify the Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks of the number of any patent extended under such paragraph. On receipt of such notice, the Commissioner shall confirm such extension by placing a notice thereof in the official file of such patent and publishing an appropriate notice of such extension in the Official Gazette of the Patent and Trademark Office.

(e) EXPEDITED PROCEDURES FOR CIVIL ACTIONS.—

(1) APPLICATION.—(A) This subsection applies to any civil action in a court of the United States brought to determine the rights of the parties under this section, including any determination made under subsection (b).

(B) For purposes of this subsection the term ''civil action'' refers to a civil action described under subparagraph (A).

(2) SUPERSEDING PROVISIONS.—Procedures adopted under this subsection shall supersede any provision of title 28, United States Code, the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, or the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure to the extent of any inconsistency.

(3) PROCEDURES IN DISTRICT COURT.—No later than 60 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, each district court of the United States shall adopt procedures to—

(A) provide for priority in consideration of civil actions on an expedited basis, including consideration of determinations relating to substantial investment, equitable remuneration, and equitable compensation;

(B) provide that—

(i) no later than 10 days after a party files an answer to a complaint filed in a civil action the court shall order that all discovery (including a hearing on any discovery motions) shall be completed no later than 60 days after the date on which the court enters the order; and

(ii) the court may grant a single extension of the 60-day period referred to under clause (i) for an additional period of no more than 30 days upon a showing of good cause;

(C) require any dispositive motion in a civil action to be filed no later than 30 days after completion of discovery;

(D) require that—

(i) if a dispositive motion is filed in a civil action, the court shall rule on such a motion no later than 30 days after the date on which the motion is filed;

(ii) the court shall begin the trial of a civil action no later than 60 days after the later of—

(I) the date on which discovery is completed in accordance with subparagraph (B); or

(II) the last day of the 30-day period referred to under clause (i), if a dispositive motion is filed;

(E) require that if a person does not hold the patent which is the subject of a civil action and is the prevailing party in the civil action, the court shall order the nonprevailing party to pay damages to the prevailing party;

(F) the damages payable to such persons shall include—

(i) the costs resulting from the delay caused by the civil action; and

(ii) lost profits from such delay; and

(G) provide that the prevailing party in a civil action shall be entitled to recover reasonable attorney's fees and court costs.

(4) PROCEDURES IN FEDERAL CIRCUIT COURT.—No later than 60 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit shall adopt procedures to provide for expedited considerations of civil actions brought under this Act.

NUNN (AND OTHERS) AMENDMENT NO. 4367

Mr. NUNN (for himself, Mrs. HUTCHISON, Mr. BRADLEY, Mrs. KASSEBAUM, and Mr. COHEN) proposed an amendment to the bill, S. 1745, supra; as follows:

At the end of subtitle D of title X, add the following:

SEC. 1044. REPORT ON NATO ENLARGEMENT.

(a) FINDINGS.—Congress makes the following findings:

(1) Since World War II the United States has spent trillions of dollars to enable our European allies to recover from the devastation of the war and, since 1949, to enhance the stability and security of the Euro-Atlantic area through the North Atlantic Treaty Organization (NATO).

(2) NATO has been the most successful collective security organization in history.

(3) The Preamble to the Washington Treaty (North Atlantic Treaty) provides that:

''The Parties to this Treaty reaffirm their faith in the purposes and principles of the Charter of the United Nations and their desire to live in peace with all peoples and all governments. They are determined to safeguard the freedom, common heritage and civilization of their peoples, founded on the principles of democracy, individual liberty and the rule of law. They seek to promote stability and well-being in the North Atlantic Area. They are resolved to unite their efforts for collective defense and for the preservation of peace and security.''.

(4) Article 5 of the North Atlantic Treaty provides for NATO member nations to treat an attack on one as an attack on all.

(5) NATO has enlarged its membership three times since its establishment in 1949.

(6) At its ministerial meeting on December 1, 1994, NATO decided to enlarge the Alliance as part of an evolutionary process, taking into account political and security developments in the whole of Europe. It was also decided at that time that enlargement would be decided on a case-by-case basis and that new members would be full members of the Alliance, enjoying the rights and assuming all obligations of membership.

(7) The September 1995 NATO study on enlarging the Alliance concluded that the ''coverage provided by Article 5, including its nuclear component, will apply to new members'', but that there ''is no a priori requirement for the stationing of nuclear weapons on the territory of new members.''.

(8) At its ministerial meeting on June 3, 1996, NATO made decisions in three key areas as follows:

(A) To create more deployable headquarters and more mobile forces to mount traditional missions of collective defense as well as to mount non-Article 5 operations.

(B) To preserve the transatlantic link.

(C) To develop a European Security and Defense Identity within the Alliance, including utilization of the approved Combined Joint Task Forces (CJTF) concept, to facilitate the use of separable but not separate military capabilities in operations led by the WEU.

(9) Enlargement of the Alliance has profound implications for all of its member nations, for the nations chosen for admission to the Alliance in the first tranche, for the nations not included in the first tranche, and for the relationship between the members of the Alliance and Russia.

(10) The Congressional Budget Office has studied five illustrative options to defend the so-called Visegrad nations (Poland, the Czech Republic, Slovakia, and Hungary) to determine the cost of such defense.

(11) The results of the Congressional Budget Office study, issued in March 1996, included conclusions that the cost of defending the Visegrad nations over the 15-year period from 1996 through 2010 would range from $61,000,000,000 to $125,000,000,000; and that of those totals the cost to the new members would range from $42,000,000,000 to $51,000,000,000, and the cost to NATO would range from $19,000,000,000 to $73,000,000,000, of which the United States would expect to pay between $5,000,000,000 and $19,000,000,000.

(12) The Congressional Budget Office study did not determine the cost of enlarging the Alliance to include Slovenia, Romania, Ukraine, the Baltic nations, or other nations that are participating in NATO's Partnership for Peace program.

(13) Enlarging the Alliance could be considered as changing the circumstances that constitute the basis for the Treaty on Conventional Forces in Europe.

(14) The discussion of NATO enlargement within the United States, in general, and the United States Congress, in particular, has not been as comprehensive, detailed, and informed as it should be, given the implications for the United States of enlargement decisions.

(b) REPORT.—Not later than the date on which the President submits the budget for fiscal year 1998 to Congress under section 1105 of title 31, United States Code, the President shall transmit a report on NATO enlargement to the Committee on Armed Services and the Committee on Foreign Relations of the Senate and the Committee on National Security and the Committee on International Relations of the House of Representatives. The report shall contain a comprehensive discussion of the following:

(1) The costs, for prospective new NATO members, NATO, and the United States, that are associated with the illustrative options used by the Congressional Budget Office in the March 1996 study referred to in subsection (a)(10) as well as any other illustrative options that the President considers appropriate and relevant.

(2) The strategy by which attacks on prospective new NATO member nations would be deterred and, if deterrence fails, defended, including—

(A) whether the strategy would be based on conventional forces or on nuclear capabilities;

(B) if based on conventional forces, the extent to which the strategy would be based on host nation forces and the extent to which it would be based on NATO reinforcement;

(C) to the extent that the strategy is based on NATO reinforcement, whether substantial prepositioning of equipment and supplies and establishment of reception facilities would be necessary;

(D) whether the forward deployment of substantial NATO air forces or ground forces, or both, would be necessary;

(E) if the forward deployment of substantial NATO air forces or ground forces would be necessary, the approximate percentage of

the number of the forward-deployed forces that would be United States forces and whether any NATO member would be unable to deploy forces forward; and

(F) if the strategy is based on nuclear capabilities, whether any changes in NATO's nuclear posture would be necessary.

(3) Whether NATO enlargement can proceed prior to the implementation of the NATO decisions referred to in subsection (a)(8), including the establishment of more deployable headquarters and more mobile forces, and the development of a European security and defense identity.

(4) Whether an enlarged NATO will be able to function on a consensus basis that makes it necessary for all NATO members to agree on major decisions.

(5) The extent to which prospective new NATO members have achieved, or are expected to achieve, interoperability of their military equipment, air defense systems, and command, control, and communications systems and conformity of military doctrine with those of NATO.

(6) The extent to which prospective new NATO members have established democratic institutions, free market economies, civilian control of their armed forces, including parliamentary oversight of military affairs and appointment of civilians to senior defense positions, and the rule of law.

(7) The extent to which prospective new NATO members are committed to protecting the rights of all of their citizens, including national minorities.

(8) The extent to which prospective new NATO members are committed to respecting the territorial integrity of their neighbors, together with the mechanisms that are established, or are planned to be established, for resolving border disputes peacefully.

(9) The extent to which prospective new NATO members are in a position to further the principles of the North Atlantic Treaty and to contribute to the security of the North Atlantic area.

(10) The bilateral assistance, including cost, provided by the United States to prospective new NATO members since the institution of the Partnership for Peace program.

(11) The impact on the political, economic, and security well-being of prospective new NATO members (with a particular emphasis on Ukraine, Latvia, Lithuania, and Estonia) if they are not selected for inclusion in the first tranche of NATO enlargement.

(12) The relationship of prospective new NATO members to the European Union, with special emphasis on—

(A) the effects that the gaining of membership in NATO by a nation would have on the possibility and timing of that nation gaining associate membership and, subsequently, full membership in the European Union; and

(B) the extent to which the European Union has opened its markets to prospective new NATO members.

(13) The impact of NATO enlargement on the CFE Treaty.

(14) The relationship of Russia with NATO, including Russia's participation in the Partnership for Peace program and NATO's strategic dialogue with Russia.

(15) The anticipated impact of NATO enlargement on Russian foreign and defense policies, including in particular the implementation of START I, the ratification of START II, and the emphasis placed in defense planning on nuclear weapons.

(c) CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT.—The report shall be submitted in unclassified form, but may contain a classified annex.

(d) TREATIES DEFINED.—In this section:

(1) The terms ''CFE Treaty'' and ''Treaty on Conventional Armed Forces in Europe'' mean the treaty signed in Paris on November 19, 1990, by 22 members of the North Atlantic Treaty Organization and the former Warsaw Pact to establish limitations on conventional armed forces in Europe, and all annexes and memoranda pertaining thereto.

(2) The term ''START I Treaty'' means the Treaty Between the United States of America and the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics on the Reduction and Limitation of Strategic Offensive Arms, signed at Moscow on July 31, 1991.

(3) The term ''START II Treaty'' means the Treaty Between the United States of America and the Russian Federation on Further Reduction and Limitation of Strategic Offensive Arms, signed at Moscow on January 3, 1993, including the following protocols and memorandum of understanding, all such documents being integral parts of and collectively referred to as the ''START II Treaty'' (contained in Treaty Document 103–1):

(A) The Protocol on Procedures Governing Elimination of Heavy ICBMs and on Procedures Governing Conversion of Silo Launchers of Heavy ICBMs Relating to the Treaty Between the United States of America and the Russian Federation on Further Reduction and Limitation of Strategic Offensive Arms (also known as the ''Elimination and Conversion Protocol'').

(B) The Protocol on Exhibitions and Inspections of Heavy Bombers Relating to the Treaty Between the United States and the Russian Federation on Further Reduction and Limitation of Strategic Offensive Arms (also known as the ''Exhibitions and Inspections Protocol'').

(C) The Memorandum of Understanding on Warhead Attribution and Heavy Bomber Data Relating to the Treaty Between the United States of America and the Russian Federation on Further Reduction and Limitation of Strategic Offensive Arms (also known as the ''Memorandum on Attribution'').

SHELBY (AND OTHERS) AMENDMENT NO. 4368

(Ordered to lie on the table.)

Mr. SHELBY (for himself, Mr. FAIRCLOTH, Mr. BRYAN, and Mr. GRAMM) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by them to the bill, S. 1745, supra; as follows:

At the appropriate place in the bill add the following new section:

SEC. . EXEMPTION FOR SAVINGS INSTITUTIONS SERVING MILITARY PERSONNEL.

Section 10(m)(3)(F) of the Home Owners' Loan Act (12 U.S.C. 1467a(m)(3)(F)) is amended to read as follows:

''(F) EXEMPTION FOR SPECIALIZED SAVINGS ASSOCIATIONS SERVING CERTAIN MILITARY PERSONNEL.—Subparagraph (A) does not apply to a savings association subsidiary of a savings and loan holding company if not less than 90 percent of the customers of the savings and loan holding company and the subsidiaries and affiliates of such company are active or former officers in the United States military services or the widows, widowers, divorced spouses, or current or former dependents of such officers.''.

COHEN (AND LIEBERMAN) AMENDMENT NO. 4369

Mr. COHEN (for himself and Mr. LIEBERMAN), proposed an amendment to the bill, S. 1745, supra; as follows:

At the end of title XXXIII, add the following:

SEC. 3303. ADDITIONAL AUTHORITY TO DISPOSE OF MATERIALS IN NATIONAL DEFENSE STOCKPILE.

(a) DISPOSAL REQUIRED.—Subject to subsection (c), the President shall dispose of materials contained in the National Defense Stockpile and specified in the table in subsection (b) so as to result in receipts to the United States in amounts equal to—

(1) $110,000,000 during the five-fiscal year period ending September 30, 2001;

(2) $260,000,000 during the seven-fiscal year period ending September 30, 2003; and

(3) $440,000,000 during the nine-fiscal year period ending September 30, 2005.

(b) LIMITATION ON DISPOSAL QUANTITY.—The total quantities of materials authorized for disposal by the President under subsection (a) may not exceed the amounts set forth in the following table:

Authorized Stockpile Disposals

Material for disposal	Quantity
Chrome Metal, Electrolytic	8,471 short tons
Cobalt	9,902,774 pounds
Columbium Carbide	21,372 pounds
Columbium Ferro	249,395 pounds
Diamond, Bort	91,542 carats
Diamond, Stone	3,029,413 carats
Germanium	28,207 kilograms
Indium	15,205 troy ounces
Palladium	1,249,601 troy ounces
Platinum	442,641 troy ounces
Rubber	567 long tons
Tantalum, Carbide Powder	22,688 pounds contained
Tantalum, Minerals	1,748,947 pounds contained
Tantalum, Oxide	123,691 pounds contained
Titanium Sponge	36,830 short tons
Tungsten	76,358,235 pounds
Tungsten, Carbide	2,032,942 pounds
Tungsten, Metal Powder	1,181,921 pounds
Tungsten, Ferro	2,024,143 pounds

(c) MINIMIZATION OF DISRUPTION AND LOSS.—The President may not dispose of materials under subsection (a) to the extent that the disposal will result in—

(1) undue disruption of the usual markets of producers, processors, and consumers of the materials proposed for disposal; or

(2) avoidable loss to the United States.

(d) TREATMENT OF RECEIPTS.—(1) Notwithstanding section 9 of the Strategic and Critical Materials Stock Piling Act (50 U.S.C. 98h), funds received as a result of the disposal of materials under subsection (a) shall be deposited into the general fund of the Treasury and used to offset the revenues lost as a result of the amendments made by subsection (a) of section 4303 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1996 (Public Law 104–106; 110 Stat. 658).

(2) This section shall be treated as qualifying offsetting legislation for purposes of subsection (b) of such section 4303.

(e) RELATIONSHIP TO OTHER DISPOSAL AUTHORITY.—The disposal authority provided in subsection (a) is new disposal authority and is in addition to, and shall not affect, any other disposal authority provided by law regarding the materials specified in such subsection.

(f) DEFINITION.—The term ''National Defense Stockpile'' means the National Defense Stockpile provided for in section 4 of the Strategic and Critical Materials Stock Piling Act (50 U.S.C. 98c).

GRASSLEY AMENDMENT NO. 4370

Mr. GRASSLEY proposed an amendment to the bill, S. 1745, supra; as follows:

At the end of division A, insert the following new title:

TITLE XIII—WTO REVIEW COMMISSION

SEC. 1301. SHORT TITLE.

This title may be cited as the ''WTO Dispute Settlement Review Commission Act''.

SEC. 1302. CONGRESSIONAL FINDINGS AND PURPOSE.

(a) FINDINGS.—The Congress finds the following:

(1) The United States joined the WTO as an original member with the goal of creating an

improved global trading system and providing expanded economic opportunities for United States firms and workers, while preserving United States sovereignty.

(2) The American people must receive assurances that United States sovereignty will be protected, and United States interests will be advanced, within the global trading system which the WTO will oversee.

(3) The WTO's dispute settlement rules are meant to enhance the likelihood that governments will observe their WTO obligations, and thus help ensure that the United States will reap the full benefits of its participation in the WTO.

(4) United States support for the WTO depends on obtaining mutual trade benefits through the openness of foreign markets and the maintenance of effective United States and WTO remedies against unfair or otherwise harmful trade practices.

(5) Congress passed the Uruguay Round Agreements Act based on its understanding that effective trade remedies would not be eroded. These remedies are essential to continue the process of opening foreign markets to imports of goods and services and to prevent harm to American industry and agriculture.

(6) In particular, WTO dispute settlement panels and the Appellate Body should—

(A) operate with fairness and in an impartial manner;

(B) not add to the obligations, or diminish the rights, of WTO members under the Uruguay Round Agreements; and

(C) observe the terms of reference and any applicable WTO standard of review.

(b) PURPOSE.—It is the purpose of this title to provide for the establishment of the WTO Dispute Settlement Review Commission to achieve the objectives described in subsection (a)(6).

SEC. 1303. ESTABLISHMENT OF COMMISSION.

(a) ESTABLISHMENT.—There is established a commission to be known as the WTO Dispute Settlement Review Commission (hereafter in this title referred to as the ''Commission'').

(b) MEMBERSHIP.—

(1) COMPOSITION.—The Commission shall be composed of 5 members all of whom shall be judges of the Federal judicial circuits and shall be appointed by the President, after consultation with the Majority Leader and Minority Leader of the House of Representatives, the Majority Leader and Minority Leader of the Senate, the chairman and ranking member of the Committee on Ways and Means of the House of Representatives, and the chairman and ranking member of the Committee on Finance of the Senate.

(2) DATE.—The appointments of the initial members of the Commission shall be made no later than 90 days after the date of the enactment of this Act.

(c) PERIOD OF APPOINTMENT; VACANCIES.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Members of the Commission shall each be appointed for a term of 5 years, except of the members first appointed, 3 members shall be appointed for terms of 3 years and the remaining 2 members shall be appointed for terms of 2 years.

(2) VACANCIES.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—Any vacancy on the Commission shall not affect its powers, but shall be filled in the same manner as the original appointment and shall be subject to the same conditions as the original appointment.

(B) UNEXPIRED TERM.—An individual chosen to fill a vacancy shall be appointed for the unexpired term of the member replaced.

(d) INITIAL MEETING.—No later than 30 days after the date on which all members of the Commission have been appointed, the Commission shall hold its first meeting.

(e) MEETINGS.—The Commission shall meet at the call of the Chairperson.

(f) QUORUM.—A majority of the members of the Commission shall constitute a quorum, but a lesser number of members may hold hearings.

(g) AFFIRMATIVE DETERMINATIONS.—An affirmative vote by a majority of the members of the Commission shall be required for any affirmative determination by the Commission under section 1304.

(h) CHAIRPERSON AND VICE CHAIRPERSON.—The Commission shall select a Chairperson and Vice Chairperson from among its members.

SEC. 1304. DUTIES OF THE COMMISSION.

(a) REVIEW OF WTO DISPUTE SETTLEMENT REPORTS.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—The Commission shall review—

(A) all adverse reports of dispute settlement panels and the Appellate Body which are—

(i) adopted by the Dispute Settlement Body, and

(ii) the result of a proceeding initiated against the United States by a WTO member; and

(B) upon the request of the Trade Representative, any adverse report of a dispute settlement panel or the Appellate Body—

(i) which is adopted by the Dispute Settlement Body, and

(ii) in which the United States is a complaining party.

(2) SCOPE OF REVIEW.—With respect to any report the Commission reviews under paragraph (1), the Commission shall determine in connection with each adverse finding whether the panel or the Appellate Body, as the case may be—

(A) demonstrably exceeded its authority or its terms of reference;

(B) added to the obligations, or diminished the rights, of the United States under the Uruguay Round Agreement which is the subject of the report;

(C) acted arbitrarily or capriciously, engaged in misconduct, or demonstrably departed from the procedures specified for panels and the Appellate Body in the applicable Uruguay Round Agreement; and

(D) deviated from the applicable standard of review, including in antidumping cases, the standard of review set forth in Article 17.6 of the Agreement on Implementation of Article VI of the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade 1994.

(3) AFFIRMATIVE DETERMINATION.—The Commission shall make an affirmative determination under this paragraph with respect to the action of a panel or the Appellate Body, if the Commission determines that—

(A) any of the matters described in subparagraph (A), (B), (C), or (D) of paragraph (2) has occurred; and

(B) the action of the panel or the Appellate Body materially affected the outcome of the report of the panel or Appellate Body.

(b) DETERMINATION; REPORT.—

(1) DETERMINATION.—No later than 120 days after the date on which a report of a panel or the Appellate Body described in subsection (a)(1) is adopted by the Dispute Settlement Body, the Commission shall make a written determination with respect to the matters described in paragraphs (2) and (3) of subsection (a).

(2) REPORTS.—The Commission shall promptly report the determinations described in paragraph (1) to the Committee on Ways and Means of the House of Representatives, the Committee on Finance of the Senate, and the Trade Representative.

SEC. 1305. POWERS OF THE COMMISSION.

(a) HEARINGS.—The Commission may hold a public hearing to solicit views concerning a report of a dispute settlement panel or the Appellate Body described in section 1304(a)(1), if the Commission considers such hearing to be necessary to carry out the purpose of this title. The Commission shall provide reasonable notice of a hearing held pursuant to this subsection.

(b) INFORMATION FROM INTERESTED PARTIES AND FEDERAL AGENCIES.—

(1) NOTICE OF PANEL OR APPELLATE BODY REPORT.—The Trade Representative shall advise the Commission no later than 5 business days after the date the Dispute Settlement Body adopts a report of a panel or the Appellate Body that is to be reviewed by the Commission under section 1304(a)(1).

(2) SUBMISSIONS AND REQUESTS FOR INFORMATION.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—The Commission shall promptly publish in the Federal Register notice of the advice received from the Trade Representative, along with notice of an opportunity for interested parties to submit written comments to the Commission. The Commission shall make comments submitted pursuant to the preceding sentence available to the public.

(B) INFORMATION FROM FEDERAL AGENCIES AND DEPARTMENTS.—The Commission may also secure directly from any Federal department or agency such information as the Commission considers necessary to carry out the provisions of this title. Upon the request of the Chairperson of the Commission, the head of such department or agency shall furnish the information requested to the Commission.

(3) ACCESS TO PANEL AND APPELLATE BODY DOCUMENTS.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—The Trade Representative shall make available to the Commission all submissions and relevant documents relating to a report of a panel or the Appellate Body described in section 1304(a)(1), including any information contained in such submissions identified by the provider of the information as proprietary information or information designated as confidential by a foreign government.

(B) PUBLIC ACCESS.—Any document which the Trade Representative submits to the Commission shall be available to the public, except information which is identified as proprietary or confidential.

(c) ASSISTANCE FROM FEDERAL AGENCIES; CONFIDENTIALITY.—

(1) ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANCE.—Any agency or department of the United States that is designated by the President shall provide administrative services, funds, facilities, staff, or other support services to the Commission to assist the Commission with the performance of the Commission's functions.

(2) CONFIDENTIALITY.—The Commission shall protect from disclosure any document or information submitted to it by a department or agency of the United States which the agency or department requests be kept confidential. The Commission shall not be considered to be an agency for purposes of section 552 of title 5, United States Code.

SEC. 1306. REVIEW OF DISPUTE SETTLEMENT PROCEDURES AND PARTICIPATION IN THE WTO.

(a) AFFIRMATIVE REPORT BY COMMISSION.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—If a joint resolution described in subsection (b)(1) is enacted into law pursuant to the provisions of subsection (c), the President should undertake negotiations to amend or modify the rules and procedures of the Uruguay Round Agreement to which such joint resolution relates.

(2) 3 AFFIRMATIVE REPORTS BY COMMISSION.—If a joint resolution described in subsection (b)(2) is enacted into law pursuant to the provisions of subsection (c), the approval of the Congress, provided for under section 101(a) of the Uruguay Round Agreements Act, of the WTO Agreement shall cease to be

effective in accordance with the provisions of the joint resolution.

(b) JOINT RESOLUTIONS DESCRIBED.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—For purposes of subsection (a)(1), a joint resolution is described in this paragraph if it is a joint resolution of the 2 Houses of Congress and the matter after the resolving clause of such joint resolution is as follows: ''That the Congress calls upon the President to undertake negotiations to amend or modify the matter relating to _____ that is the subject of the affirmative report submitted to the Congress by the WTO Dispute Settlement Review Commission on ____'', the first blank space being filled with the specific provisions of the Uruguay Round Agreement with respect to which the President is to undertake negotiations and the second blank space being filled with the date that the affirmative report, which was made under section 1304(b) and which has given rise to the joint resolution, was submitted to the Congress by the Commission pursuant to section 1304(b).

(2) WITHDRAWAL RESOLUTION.—For purposes of subsection (a)(2), a joint resolution is described in this paragraph if it is a joint resolution of the 2 Houses of Congress and the matter after the resolving clause of such joint resolution is as follows: ''That, in light of the 3 affirmative reports submitted to the Congress by the WTO Dispute Settlement Review Commission during the preceding 5-year period, and the failure to remedy the problems identified in the reports through negotiations, it is no longer in the overall national interest of the United States to be a member of the WTO, and accordingly the Congress withdraws its approval, provided under section 101(a) of the Uruguay Round Agreements Act, of the WTO Agreement as defined in section 2(9) of that Act.''.

(c) PROCEDURAL PROVISIONS.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—The requirements of this subsection are met if the joint resolution is enacted in accordance with this subsection, and—

(A) in the case of a joint resolution described in subsection (b)(1), the Congress adopts and transmits the joint resolution to the President before the end of the 90-day period (excluding any day described in section 154(b) of the Trade Act of 1974) beginning on the date on which the Congress receives an affirmative report from the Commission pursuant to section 1304(b)(2); or

(B) in the case of a joint resolution described in subsection (b)(2), the Commission has submitted 3 affirmative reports pursuant to section 1304(b)(2) during a 5-year period, and the Congress adopts and transmits the joint resolution to the President before the end of the 90-day period (excluding any day described in section 154(b) of the Trade Act of 1974) beginning on the date on which the Congress receives the third such affirmative report.

(2) PRESIDENTIAL VETO.—In any case in which the President vetoes the joint resolution, the requirements of this subsection are met if each House of Congress votes to override that veto on or before the later of the last day of the 90-day period referred to in subparagraph (A) or (B) of paragraph (1), whichever is applicable, or the last day of the 15-day period (excluding any day described in section 154(b) of the Trade Act of 1974) beginning on the date on which the Congress receives the veto message from the President.

(3) INTRODUCTION.—

(A) TIME.—A joint resolution to which this section applies may be introduced at any time on or after the date on which the Commission transmits to the Congress an affirmative report pursuant to section 1304(b)(2), and before the end of the 90-day period referred to in subparagraph (A) or (B) of paragraph (1), as the case may be.

(B) ANY MEMBER MAY INTRODUCE.—A joint resolution described in subsection (b) may be introduced in either House of the Congress by any Member of such House.

(4) EXPEDITED PROCEDURES.—

(A) GENERAL RULE.—Subject to the provisions of this subsection, the provisions of subsections (b), (d), (e), and (f) of section 152 of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 U.S.C. 2192 (b), (d), (e), and (f)) apply to joint resolutions described in subsection (b) to the same extent as such provisions apply to resolutions under such section.

(B) REPORT OR DISCHARGE OF COMMITTEE.—If the committee of either House to which a joint resolution has been referred has not reported it by the close of the 45th day after its introduction (excluding any day described in section 154(b) of the Trade Act of 1974), such committee shall be automatically discharged from further consideration of the joint resolution and it shall be placed on the appropriate calendar.

(C) FINANCE AND WAYS AND MEANS COMMITTEES.—It is not in order for—

(i) the Senate to consider any joint resolution unless it has been reported by the Committee on Finance or the committee has been discharged under subparagraph (B); or

(ii) the House of Representatives to consider any joint resolution unless it has been reported by the Committee on Ways and Means or the committee has been discharged under subparagraph (B).

(D) SPECIAL RULE FOR HOUSE.—A motion in the House of Representatives to proceed to the consideration of a joint resolution may only be made on the second legislative day after the calendar day on which the Member making the motion announces to the House his or her intention to do so.

(5) CONSIDERATION OF SECOND RESOLUTION NOT IN ORDER.—It shall not be in order in either the House of Representatives or the Senate to consider a joint resolution (other than a joint resolution received from the other House), if that House has previously adopted a joint resolution under this section relating to the same matter.

(d) RULES OF HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES AND SENATE.—This section is enacted by the Congress—

(1) as an exercise of the rulemaking power of the House of Representatives and the Senate, respectively, and as such is deemed a part of the rules of each House, respectively, and such procedures supersede other rules only to the extent that they are inconsistent with such other rules; and

(2) with the full recognition of the constitutional right of either House to change the rules (so far as relating to the procedures of that House) at any time, in the same manner, and to the same extent as any other rule of that House.

SEC. 1307. DEFINITIONS.

For purposes of this title:

(1) ADVERSE FINDING.—The term ''adverse finding'' means—

(A) in a panel or Appellate Body proceeding initiated against the United States, a finding by the panel or the Appellate Body that any law or regulation of, or application thereof by, the United States is inconsistent with the obligations of the United States under a Uruguay Round Agreement (or nullifies or impairs benefits accruing to a WTO member under such an Agreement); or

(B) in a panel or Appellate Body proceeding in which the United States is a complaining party, any finding by the panel or the Appellate Body that a measure of the party complained against is not inconsistent with that party's obligations under a Uruguay Round Agreement (or does not nullify or impair benefits accruing to the United States under such an Agreement).

(2) AFFIRMATIVE REPORT.—The term ''affirmative report'' means a report described in section 1304(b)(2) which contains affirmative determinations made by the Commission under paragraph (3) of section 1304(a).

(3) APPELLATE BODY.—The term ''Appellate Body'' means the Appellate Body established by the Dispute Settlement Body pursuant to Article 17.1 of the Dispute Settlement Understanding.

(4) DISPUTE SETTLEMENT BODY.—The term ''Dispute Settlement Body'' means the Dispute Settlement Body established pursuant to the Dispute Settlement Understanding.

(5) DISPUTE SETTLEMENT PANEL; PANEL.—The terms ''dispute settlement panel'' and ''panel'' mean a panel established pursuant to Article 6 of the Dispute Settlement Understanding.

(6) DISPUTE SETTLEMENT UNDERSTANDING.—The term ''Dispute Settlement Understanding'' means the Understanding on Rules and Procedures Governing the Settlement of Disputes referred to in section 101(d)(16) of the Uruguay Round Agreements Act.

(7) TERMS OF REFERENCE.—The term ''terms of reference'' has the meaning given such term in the Dispute Settlement Understanding.

(8) TRADE REPRESENTATIVE.—The term ''Trade Representative'' means the United States Trade Representative.

(9) URUGUAY ROUND AGREEMENT.—The term ''Uruguay Round Agreement'' means any of the Agreements described in section 101(d) of the Uruguay Round Agreements Act.

(10) WORLD TRADE ORGANIZATION; WTO.—The terms ''World Trade Organization'' and ''WTO'' mean the organization established pursuant to the WTO Agreement.

(11) WTO AGREEMENT.—The term ''WTO Agreement'' means the Agreement Establishing the World Trade Organization entered into on April 15, 1994.

BRYAN (AND REID) AMENDMENT NO. 4371

Mr. BRYAN (for himself and Mr. REID) proposed an amendment to amendment No. 4369 proposed by Mr. COHEN to the bill, S. 1745, supra, as follows:

In the table in subsection (b), delete the entry relating to titanium sponge.

WARNER (AND SMITH) AMENDMENT NO. 4372

Mr. McCAIN (for Mr. WARNER for himself and Mr. SMITH) proposed an amendment to the bill, S. 1745, supra; as follows:

At the end of subtitle B of title II add the following:

SEC. 223. CYCLONE CLASS CRAFT SELF-DEFENSE.

(a) STUDY REQUIRED.—Not later than March 31, 1997, the Secretary of Defense shall—

(1) carry out a study of vessel self-defense options for the Cyclone class patrol craft; and

(2) submit to the Committee on Armed Services of the Senate and the Committee on National Security of the House of Representatives a report on the results of the study.

(b) SOCOM INVOLVEMENT.—The Secretary shall carry out the study through the Commander of the Special Operations Command.

(c) SPECIFIC SYSTEM TO BE EVALUATED.—The study under subsection (a) shall include an evaluation of the BARAK ship self-defense missile system.

GLENN (AND OTHERS) AMENDMENT NO. 4373

Mr. LEVIN (for Mr. GLENN for himself, Mr. ABRAHAM, and Mr. LEVIN) proposed an amendment to the bill, S. 1745, supra; as follows:

In section 1022(a), strike out ''. Such transfers'' and insert in lieu thereof '', if the Secretary determines that the tugboats are not needed for transfer, donation, or other disposal under title II of the Federal Property and Administrative Services Act of 1949 (40 U.S.C. 481 et seq.). A transfer made under the preceding sentence''.

COHEN AMENDMENT NO. 4374

Mr. MCCAIN (for Mr. COHEN) proposed an amendment to the bill, S. 1745, supra; as follows:

At the end of subtitle F of title X add the following:

SEC. 1072. CLARIFICATION OF NATIONAL SECURITY SYSTEMS TO WHICH THE INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY MANAGEMENT REFORM ACT OF 1996 APPLIES.

Section 5142(b) of the Information Technology Management Reform Act of 1996 (division E of Public Law 104–106; 110 Stat. 689; 40 U.S.C. 1452(b)) is amended—

(1) by striking out ''(b) LIMITATION.—'' and inserting in lieu thereof ''(b) LIMITATIONS.—(1)''; and

(2) by adding at the end the following:

''(2) Notwithstanding any other provision of this section or any other provision of law, for the purposes of this subtitle, a system that, in function, operation, or use, involves the storage, processing, or forwarding of classified information and is protected at all times by procedures established for the handling of classified information shall be considered as a national security system under the definition in subsection (a) only if the function, operation, or use of the system—

''(A) involves activities described in paragraph (1), (2), or (3) of subsection (a);

''(B) involves equipment described in paragraph (4) of subsection (a); or

''(C) is critical to an objective described in paragraph (5) of subsection (a) and is not excluded by paragraph (1) of this subsection.''.

HEFLIN (AND SHELBY) AMENDMENT NO. 4375

Mr. LEVIN (for Mr. HEFLIN for himself and Mr. SHELBY) proposed an amendment to the bill, S. 1745, supra; as follows:

At the end of subtitle B of title I, add the following:

SEC. 113. TYPE CLASSIFICATION OF ELECTRO OPTIC AUGMENTATION (EOA) SYSTEM.

(a) REQUIREMENT.—The Secretary of the Army shall type classify the Electro Optic Augmentation (EOA) system.

(b) FUNDING.—Of the amounts authorized to be appropriated for the Army by this division, $100,000 shall made be available to the Armored Systems Modernization Program manager for the type classification required by subsection (a).

GRASSLEY AMENDMENT NO. 4376

Mr. MCCAIN (for Mr. GRASSLEY) proposed an amendment to the bill, S. 1745, supra; as follows:

At the end of section 218(a) add the following: ''The report shall include—

''(1) a comparison of—

''(A) the results of the review, with

''(B) the results of the last independent estimate of production costs of the program that was prepared by the Cost Analysis Improvement Group in July 1991; and

''(2) a description of any major changes in programmatic assumptions that have occurred since the estimate referred to in paragraph (1)(B) was made, including any major change in assumptions regarding the program schedule, the quantity of aircraft to be developed and acquired, and the annual rates of production, together with an assessment of the effects of such changes on the program.''.

SIMON (AND OTHERS) AMENDMENT NO. 4377

Mr. LEVIN (for Mr. SIMON for himself, Mr. CONRAD, and Mr. LEVIN) proposed and amendment to the bill, S. 1745; supra; as follows:

At the end of subtitle D of title II, add the following:

SEC. 243. DESALTING TECHNOLOGIES.

(a) FINDINGS.—Congress makes the following findings:

(1) Access to scarce fresh water is likely to be a cause of future military conflicts in the Middle East and has a direct impact on stability and security in the region.

(2) The Middle East is an area of vital and strategic importance to the United States.

(3) The United States has played a military role in the Middle East, most recently in the Persian Gulf War, and may likely be called upon again to deter aggression in the region.

(4) United States troops have used desalting technologies to guarantee the availability of fresh water in past deployments in the Middle East.

(5) Adequate, efficient, and cheap access to high-quality fresh water will be vital to maintaining the readiness and sustainability of United States troops, and those of our allies.

(b) SENSE OF SENATE.—It is the sense of the Senate that, as improved access to fresh water will be an important factor in helping prevent future conflicts in the Middle East, the United States should, in cooperation with its allies, promote and invest in technologies to reduce the costs of converting saline water into fresh water.

(c) FUNDING FOR RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT.—Of the amounts authorized to be appropriated by this title, the Secretary shall place greater emphasis on making funds available for research and development into efficient and economical processes and methods for converting saline water into fresh water.

MCCAIN (AND OTHERS) AMENDMENT NO. 4378

Mr. MCCAIN (for himself, Mr. HATCH, Mr. BENNETT, and Mr. NUNN) proposed an amendment to the bill, S. 1745, supra; as follows:

Strike out section 366 and insert in lieu thereof the following new section:

SEC. 366. DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE SUPPORT FOR SPORTING EVENTS.

(a) SECURITY AND SAFETY ASSISTANCE.—At the request of a Federal, State, or local government agency responsible for providing law enforcement services, security services, or safety services, the Secretary of Defense may authorize the commander of a military installation or other facility of the Department of Defense or the commander of a specified or unified combatant command to provide assistance for the World Cup Soccer Games, the Goodwill Games, the Olympics, and any other civilian sporting event in support of essential security and safety at such event, but only if the Attorney General certifies that such assistance is necessary to meet essential security and safety needs.

(b) OTHER ASSISTANCE.—The Secretary may authorize a commander referred to in subsection (a) to provide assistance for a sporting event referred to in that subsection in support of other needs relating to such event, but only—

(1) to the extent that such needs cannot reasonably be met by a source other than the Department;

(2) to the extent that the provision of such assistance does not adversely affect the military preparedness of the Armed Forces; and

(3) if the organization requesting such assistance agrees to reimburse the Department for amounts expended by the Department in providing the assistance in accordance with the provisions of section 377 of title 10, United States Code, and other applicable provisions of law.

(c) INAPPLICABILITY TO CERTAIN EVENTS.—Subsections (a) and (b) do not apply to the following sporting events:

(1) Sporting events for which funds have been appropriated before the date of the enactment of this Act.

(2) The Special Olympics.

(3) The Paralympics.

(d) TERMS AND CONDITIONS.—The Secretary may require such terms and conditions in connection with the provision of assistance under this section as the Secretary considers necessary and appropriate to protect the interests of the United States.

(e) REPORT ON ASSISTANCE.—Not later than January 30 of each year following a year in which the Secretary provides assistance under this section, the Secretary shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report on the assistance provided. The report shall set forth—

(1) a description of the assistance provided;

(2) the amount expended by the Department in providing the assistance;

(3) if the assistance was provided under subsection (a), the certification of the Attorney General with respect to the assistance under that subsection; and

(4) if the assistance was provided under subsection (b)—

(A) an explanation why the assistance could not reasonably be met by a source other than the Department; and

(B) the amount the Department was reimbursed under that subsection.

(f) RELATIONSHIP TO OTHER LAWS.—Assistance provided under this section shall be subject to the provisions of sections 375 and 376 of title 10, United States Code.

REID AMENDMENT NO. 4379

Mr. LEVIN (for Mr. REID) proposed an amendment to the bill, S. 1745, supra; as follows:

At the end of subtitle C of title XXXI, add the following:

SEC. 3138. PAYMENT OF COSTS OF OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE OF INFRASTRUCTURE AT NEVADA TEST SIT.

Notwithstanding any other provision of law and effective as of September 30, 1996, the costs associated with operating and maintaining the infrastructure at the Nevada Test Site, Nevada, with respect to any activities initiated at the site after that date by the Department of Defense pursuant to a work for others agreement may be paid for from funds authorized to be appropriated to the Department of Energy for activities at the Nevada Test Site.

KYL (AND BINGAMAN) AMENDMENT NO. 4380

Mr. MCCAIN (for Mr. KYL, for himself and Mr. BINGAMAN) proposed an amendment to the bill, s. 1745, supra; as follows:

At the end of subtitle D of title X add the following:

SEC. 1044. SENSE OF THE SENATE CONCERNING EXPORT CONTROLS.

(a) FINDINGS.—The Senate makes the following findings:

(1) Export controls are a part of a comprehensive response to national security threats. United States exports should be restricted where those threats exist to national security, nonproliferation, and foreign policy interests of the United States.

(2) The export of certain commodities and technology may adversely affect the national security and foreign policy of the United States by making a significant contribution to the military potential of individual countries or by disseminating the capability to design, develop, test, produce, stockpile, or use weapons of mass destruction, missile delivery systems, and other significant military capabilities. Therefore, the administration of export controls should emphasize the control of these exports.

(3) The acquisition of sensitive commodities and technologies by those countries and end users whose actions or policies run counter to United States national security or foreign policy interests may enhance the military capabilities of those countries, particularly their ability to design, develop, test, produce, stockpile, use, and deliver nuclear, chemical, and biological weapons, missile delivery systems, and other significant military capabilities. This enhancement threatens the security of the United States and its allies. The availability to countries and end users of items that contribute to military capabilities or the proliferation of weapons of mass destruction is a fundamental concern of the United States and should be eliminated through deterrence, negotiations, and other appropriate means whenever possible.

(4) The national security of the United States depends not only on wise foreign policies and a strong defense, but also a vibrant national economy. To be truly effective, export controls should be applied uniformly by all suppliers.

(5) On November 5, 1995, President William J. Clinton extended Executive Order No. 12938 regarding ''Weapons of Mass Destruction'', and ''declared a national emergency with respect to the unusual and extraordinary threat to the national security, foreign policy, and economy of the United States posed by the proliferation of nuclear, biological, and chemical weapons and the means of delivering such weapons''.

(6) A successor regime to COCOM (the Coordinating Commission on Multilateral Controls) has not been established. Currently, each nation is determining independently which dual-use military items, if any, will be controlled for export.

(7) The United States should play a leading role in promoting transparency and responsibility with regard to the transfers of sensitive dual-use goods and technologies.

(b) SENSE OF SENATE.—It is the sense of the Senate that—

(1) establishing an international export control regime, empowered to control exports of dual-use technology, is critically important and should become a top priority for the United States; and

(2) the United States should strongly encourage its allies and friends to—

(A) adopt a commodity control list which governs the same or similar items as are controlled by the United States Commodity Control list;

(B) strengthen enforcement activities; and

(C) explore the use of unilateral export controls where the possibility exists that an export could contribute to proliferation.

HELMS AMENDMENT NO. 4381

Mr. MCCAIN (for Mr. HELMS) proposed an amendment to the bill, S. 1745, supra; as follows:

In section 1031(a), strike out ''The Secretary of Defense'' and insert in lieu thereof ''Subject to subsections (e) and (f), the Secretary of Defense''.

At the end of section 1031, add the following:

(e) LIMITATIONS.—(1) The Secretary may not obligate or expend funds to provide support under this section until 15 days after the date on which the Secretary submits to the committees referred to in paragraph (3) the certification described in paragraph (2).

(2) The certification referred to in paragraph (1) is a written certification of the following:

(A) That the provision of support under this section will not adversely affect the military preparedness of the United States Armed Forces.

(B) That the equipment and materiel provided as support will be used only by officials and employees of the Government of Mexico who have undergone a background check by that government.

(C) That the Government of Mexico has certified to the Secretary that—

(i) the equipment and material provided as support will be used only by the officials and employees referred to in subparagraph (B);

(ii) none of the equipment or materiel will be transferred (by sale, gift, or otherwise) to any person or entity not authorized by the United States to receive the equipment or materiel; and

(iii) the equipment and materiel will be used only for the purposes intended by the United States Government.

(D) That the Government of Mexico has implemented, to the satisfaction of the Secretary, a system that will provide an accounting and inventory of the equipment and materiel provided as support.

(E) That the departments, agencies, and instrumentalities of the Government of Mexico will grant United States Government personnel unrestricted access to any of the equipment or materiel provided as support, or to any of the records relating to such equipment or materiel, under terms and conditions similar to the terms and conditions imposed with respect to such access under section 505(a)(3) of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961 (22 U.S.C. 2314(a)(3)).

(F) That the Government of Mexico will provide security with respect to the equipment and materiel provided as support that is equivalent to the security that the United States Government would provide with respect to such equipment and materiel.

(G) That the Government of Mexico will permit continuous observation and review by United States Government personnel of the use of the equipment and materiel provided as support under terms and conditions similar to the terms and conditions imposed with respect to such observation and review under section 505(a)(3) of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961 (22 U.S.C. 2314(a)(3)).

(3) The committees referred to in this paragraph are the following:

(A) The Committees on Armed Services and Foreign Relations of the Senate.

(B) The Committees on National Security and International Relations of the House of Representatives.

(f) PROHIBITION ON PROVISION OF CERTAIN MILITARY EQUIPMENT.—The Secretary may not provide as support under this section—

(1) any article of military equipment for which special export controls are warranted because of the substantial military utility or capability of such equipment;

(2) any military equipment identified on the United States Munitions List; or

(3) any of the following military equipment (whether or not the equipment has been equipped, re-equipped, or modified for military operations):

(A) Cargo aircraft bearing ''C'' designations, including aircraft with designations C–45 through C–125, C–131 aircraft, and aircraft bearing ''C'' designations that use reciprocating engines.

(B) Trainer aircraft bearing ''T'' designations, including aircraft bearing such designations that use reciprocating engines or turboprop engines delivering less than 600 horsepower.

(C) Utility aircraft bearing ''U'' designations, including UH–1 aircraft and UH/EH–60 aircraft and aircraft bearing such designations that use reciprocating engines.

(D) Liaison aircraft bearing ''L'' designations.

(E) Observation aircraft bearing ''O'' designations, including OH–58 aircraft and aircraft bearing such designations that use reciprocating engines.

(F) Truck, tractors, trailers, and vans, including all vehicles bearing ''M'' designations.

FEINSTEIN (AND OTHERS) AMENDMENT NO. 4382

Mr. LEVIN (for Mrs. FEINSTEIN for herself, Mr. KYL, and Mr. GRASSLEY) proposed an amendment to the bill, S. 1745, supra; as follows:

At the end of subtitle F of title X, add the following:

SEC. 1072. SALE OF CHEMICALS USED TO MANUFACTURE CONTROLLED SUBSTANCES BY FEDERAL DEPARTMENTS OR AGENCIES.

A Federal department or agency may not sell from the stocks of the department or agency any chemical which, as determined by the Administrator of the Drug Enforcement Agency, could be used in the manufacture of a controlled substance as defined in section 102 of the Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 802) unless the Administrator certifies in writing to the head of the department or agency that there is no reasonable cause to believe that the sale of the chemical would result in the illegal manufacture of a controlled substance.

MOSELEY-BRAUN (AND OTHERS) AMENDMENT NO. 4383

Mr. MCCAIN (for Ms. MOSELEY-BRAUN, for herself, Mr. COCHRAN, and Mr. LOTT) proposed an amendment to the bill, S. 1745, supra; as follows:

At the end of subtitle B of title II, add the following:

SEC. 223. COMPUTER-ASSISTED EDUCATION AND TRAINING.

Of the amount authorized to be appropriated under section 201(4), $10,000,000 shall be available under program element 0601103D for computer-assisted education and training at the Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency.

LEVIN AMENDMENT NO. 4384

Mr. LEVIN proposed an amendment to the bill, S. 1745, supra; as follows:

At the end of subtitle F of title X add the following:

SEC. 1072. OPERATIONAL SUPPORT AIRLIFT AIRCRAFT.

(a) STATUS OF EXCESS AIRCRAFT.—Operational support airlift aircraft excess to the requirements of the Department of Defense shall be placed in an inactive status and stored at Davis-Monthan Air Force Base, Arizona, pending the completion of any study or analysis of the costs and benefits of disposing of or operating such aircraft that precedes a decision to dispose of or continue to operate such aircraft.

(b) OPERATIONAL SUPPORT AIRLIFT AIRCRAFT DEFINED.—In this section, the term ''operational support airlift aircraft'' has the meaning given such term in section 1086(f) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1996 (Public Law 104–106; 110 Stat. 458).

THE NORTH PLATTE NATIONAL WILDLIFE REFUGE BOUNDARY ACT OF 1996

CHAFEE AMENDMENT NO. 4385

Mr. MCCAIN (for Mr. CHAFEE) proposed an amendment to the bill (H.R. 2679) to revise the boundary of the North Platte National Wildlife Refuge; as follows:

Strike all after the enacting clause and insert the following:

TITLE I—NORTH PLATTE NATIONAL WILDLIFE REFUGE

SEC. 101. REVISION OF BOUNDARY OF NORTH PLATTE NATIONAL WILDLIFE REFUGE.

(a) TERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.—The secondary jurisdiction of the United States Fish and Wildlife Service over approximately 2,470 acres of land at the North Platte National Wildlife Refuge in the State of Nebraska, as depicted on a map entitled ''Relinquishment of North Platte National Wildlife Refuge Secondary Jurisdiction'', dated August 1995, and available for inspection at appropriate offices of the United States Fish and Wildlife Service, is terminated.

(b) REVOCATION OF EXECUTIVE ORDER.—Executive Order Number 2446, dated August 21, 1916, is revoked with respect to the land described in subsection (a).

TITLE II—PETTAQUAMSCUTT COVE NATIONAL WILDLIFE REFUGE

SEC. 201. EXPANSION OF PETTAQUAMSCUTT COVE NATIONAL WILDLIFE REFUGE.

Section 204 of Public Law 100–610 (16 U.S.C. 668dd note) is amended by adding at the end the following:

''(e) EXPANSION OF REFUGE.—

''(1) ACQUISITION.—The Secretary may acquire for addition to the refuge the area in Rhode Island known as 'Foddering Farm Acres', consisting of approximately 100 acres, adjacent to Long Cove and bordering on Foddering Farm Road to the south and Point Judith Road to the east, as depicted on a map entitled 'Pettaquamscutt Cove NWR Expansion Area', dated May 13, 1996, and available for inspection in appropriate offices of the United States Fish and Wildlife Service.

''(2) BOUNDARY REVISION.—The boundaries of the refuge are revised to include the area described in paragraph (1).

''(f) FUTURE EXPANSION.—

''(1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary may acquire for addition to the refuge such lands, waters, and interests in land and water as the Secretary considers appropriate and shall adjust the boundaries of the refuge accordingly.

''(2) APPLICABLE LAWS.—Any acquisition described in paragraph (1) shall be carried out in accordance with all applicable laws.''.

SEC. 202. AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.

Section 206(a) of Public Law 100–610 (16 U.S.C. 668dd note) is amended by striking ''designated in section 4(a)(1)'' and inserting ''designated or identified under section 204''.

SEC. 203. TECHNICAL AMENDMENTS.

Public Law 100–610 (16 U.S.C. 668dd note) is amended—

(1) in section 201(1)—

(A) by striking ''and the associated'' and inserting ''including the associated''; and

(B) by striking ''and dividing'' and inserting ''dividing'';

(2) in section 203, by striking ''of this Act'' and inserting ''of this title'';

(3) in section 204—

(A) in subsection (a)(1), by striking ''of this Act'' and inserting ''of this title''; and

(B) in subsection (b), by striking ''purpose of this Act'' and inserting ''purposes of this title'';

(4) in the second sentence of section 205, by striking ''of this Act'' and inserting ''of this title''; and

(5) in section 207, by striking ''Act'' and inserting ''title''.

Amend the title so as to read: ''An Act to revise the boundary of the North Platte National Wildlife Refuge, to expand the Pettaquamscutt Cove National Wildlife Refuge, and for other purposes.''.

THE MARK O. HATFIELD UNITED STATES COURTHOUSE DESIGNATION ACT OF 1996

LEVIN AMENDMENT NO. 4386

Mr. MCCAIN (for Mr. LEVIN) proposed an amendment to the bill (S. 1636) to designate the United States Courthouse under construction at 1030 Southwest 3d Avenue, Portland, OR, as the ''Mark O. Hatfield United States Courthouse,'' and for other purposes; as follows:

At the appropriate place, insert the following:

SEC. . EXTENSION OF FDR MEMORIAL MEMBER TERMS.

The first section of the Act entitled ''An Act to establish a commission to formulate plans for a memorial to Franklin Delano Roosevelt'', approved August 11, 1955 (69 Stat. 694) is amended by adding at the end thereof the following: ''A Commissioner who ceases to be a Member of the Senate or the House of Representatives may, with the approval of the appointing authority, continue to serve as a Commissioner for a period of up to one year after he or she ceases to be a Member of the Senate or the House of Representatives.''.

NOTICES OF HEARINGS

COMMITTEE ON INDIAN AFFAIRS

Mr. MCCAIN. Mr. President, I would like to announce that the Committee on Indian Affairs will hold a hearing on Wednesday, July 3, 1996 at 9:30 a.m. in Hawaii. The hearing will focus on the final report of the National Commission on American Indian, Alaska Native and Native Hawaiian Housing, a report of the Urban Development and Research of the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development, and a study prepared by SMS Research for the Department of Hawaiian Home Lands entitled, the ''Beneficiary Needs Study.'' The hearing will be held in the Aha Kanawai Courtroom, fourth floor, Federal Courthouse, Prince Kuhio Federal building complex, Honolulu, HI.

SUBCOMMITTEE ON FORESTS AND PUBLIC LAND MANAGEMENT

Mr. CRAIG. Mr. President, I would like to announce for the benefit of Members and the public that the Subcommittee on Forests and Public Land Management of the Committee on Energy and Natural Resources has scheduled a hearing on several measures relating to the Bureau of Reclamation.

The measures are:

S. 931—To authorize the construction of the Lewis and Clark Rural Water System and to authorize assistance to the Lewis and Clark Rural Water System, Inc., a nonprofit corporation, for the planning and construction of the water supply system, and for other purposes.

S. 1564—To amend the Small Reclamation Projects Act of 1956 to authorize the Secretary of the Interior to provide loan guarantees for water supply, conservation, quality, and transmission projects, and for other purposes.

S. 1565—To amend the Small Reclamation Projects Act of 1956 and to supplement the Federal Reclamation Laws by providing for Federal cooperation in non-Federal projects and for participation by non-Federal agencies in Federal projects.

S. 1649—to extend contracts between the Bureau of Reclamation and irrigation districts in Kansas and Nebraska, and for other purposes.

S. 1719—To require the Secretary of the Interior to offer to sell to certain public agencies the indebtedness representing the remaining repayment balance of certain Bureau of Reclamation projects in Texas, and for other purposes.

The hearing will take place on Tuesday, July 30, 1996 at 9:30 a.m. in room SD–366 of the Dirksen Senate Office Building.

Those wishing to testify or submit written statements for the record should contact James Beirne or Betty Nevitt of the subcommittee staff or write the Subcommittee on Forests and Public Land Management, Committee on Energy and Natural Resources, U.S. Senate, Washington, DC 20510.

AUTHORITY FOR COMMITTEES TO MEET

COMMITTEE ON FINANCE

Mr. THURMOND. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the Committee on Finance be permitted to meet Thursday, June 27, 1996 beginning at 10 a.m. in room SH–215, to conduct a markup on S. 1795.