timber in the Tongass, and a proper balance among multiple-use interests in the Tongass to enhance forest health, sustainable harvest, and the general economic health and growth in southeast Alaska and the United States

Those who wish to submit written statements should write to the Committee on Energy and Natural Resources, U.S. Senate, Washington, DC 20510. For further information, please call Judy Brown or Mark Rey at (202) 224–6170.

ADDITIONAL STATEMENTS

CBO'S ESTIMATED BUDGETARY EFFECTS OF H.R. 3286

• Mr. ROTH. Mr. President, I ask that the letter submitted to me by June E. O'Neill, Director of the Congressional Budget Office, regarding CBO's estimate of H.R. 3286, the Adoption Promoting and Stability Act of 1996, be printed in the RECORD.

The letter follows:

U.S. Congress,

CONGRESSIONAL BUDGET OFFICE, Washington, DC, June 21, 1996.

Hon. WILLIAM V. ROTH, Jr., Chairman, Committee on Finance, U.S. Senate, Washington, DC.

DEAR MR. CHAIRMAN: The Congressional Budget Office (CBO) has estimated the budgetary effects of Titles, I, II, and IV of H.R. 3286, the Adoption Promotion and Stability Act of 1996, as reported by the Committee on Finance on June 13, 1996. Because H.R. 3286 would affect revenues, the bill would be subject to the pay-as-you go procedures under section 252 of the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985.

The attached table displays the estimated federal budgetary effects of Titles I, II, and IV of H.R. 3286. Title I would establish a new tax credit for adoption expenses that would reduce tax payments beginning in 1997. Title IV would repeal the deduction for bad debt reserves of thrift institutions and reform the income forecast method of determining depreciation deductions, effective beginning with the 1996 tax year. The revenue estimates for Titles I and IV of the bill have been provided by the Joint Committee on Taxation. The bill would result in net revenue increases of \$79 million in 1996, \$147 million in 1997, and \$171 million in 2002, which would be partially offset by net revenue losses in the intervening years. Over the 1996-2002 period, the net revenue increase would total \$117 million

CBO estimates that the provisions of Title II that would remove barriers to interethnic

adoptions would have a negligible effect on federal outlays in the foster care and adoption assistance programs. Although state governments or other entities that receive federal funds for adoption or foster care placement could pay penalties for failing to follow the provisions of Title II, the penalties are sufficiently large that states would comply with the new provisions, and the penalties collected would be negligible.

Titles I and IV contain no intergovernmental mandates, as defined in Public Law 104-4, and would impose no direct costs on state, local, or tribal governments. These titles do, however, contain private-sector mandates, as described in the attached private sector mandate statement. Section 4 of the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 excludes from the application of that act legislative provisions that establish or enforce statutory rights that prohibit discrimination on the basis of race, color, or national origin. CBO has determined that the provisions in Title II fit within that exclusion.

Should you require additional information on this estimate, we will be pleased to provide it. The staff contacts for H.R. 3286 are Justin Latus (for federal costs), Stephanie Weiner (for federal revenues), and Karen McVey (for state, local, and tribal issues).

Sincerely.

JAMES L. BLUM (For June E. O'Neill, Director). Attachments.

ESTIMATED BUDGETARY EFFECTS OF H.R. 3286

[By fiscal year, in millions of dollars]

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002
Direct Spending							
Title II—Interethnic adoptions: Estimated budget authority Estimated outlays	(1) (1)	(1) (1)	(1) (1)	(1) (1)	(1) (1)	(1) (1)	(1) (1)
Revenues		22	220	251	275	242	100
Title IV—Credit for adoption assistance Title IV—Revenue offsets Net increase or decrease (—) in revenues	79 79	- 33 180 147	- 329 245 - 84	- 351 293 - 58	- 375 291 - 84	- 342 288 - 54	108 279 171
Deficit							
Net increase or decrease (-) in the deficit	- 79	-147	84	58	84	54	– 171

Note: Revenue estimates provided by the Joint Committee on Taxation.

PAY-AS-YOU-GO CONSIDERATIONS

[By fiscal year, in millions of dollars]

	1996	1997	1998
Change in outlays	0	0	0
	79	147	- 84

CONGRESSIONAL BUDGET OFFICE ESTIMATE OF COSTS OF PRIVATE SECTOR MANDATES

- 1. Bill number: H.R. 3286.
- 2. Bill title: Adoption Promotion and Stability Act of 1996.
- 3. Bill status: As reported by the Senate Committee on Finance, on June 13, 1996.
- 4. Bill purpose: The purpose of the bill is to defray adoption costs and promote the adoption of minority children. In addition, the bill would repeal the deduction for bad debt reserves of thrift institutions and reform the income forecast method of accounting.
- 5. Private sector mandates contained in the bill: H.R. 3286 contains mandates as defined in Public Law 104-4 that would affect taxes paid by private sector entities. In par-

ticular, the bill would repeal the deduction for bad debt reserves of thrift institutions and reform the income forecast method of accounting. In addition to these mandates, the bill includes a new credit for adoption expenses that would reduce tax payments.

6. Estimated direct cost to the private sector: The Joint Committee on Taxation (JCT) estimates that the direct private sector costs of the tax increases in H.R. 3286 would be no less than the amounts that appear in the following table.

[By fiscal year, in millions of dollars]

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000
Repeal the deduction for bad debt reserves for thrift institutions Reform income forecast method of accounting	47	111	216	280	277
	32	69	29	13	14

In addition to these mandates, the bill also provides for a reduction in taxes. At this point, it is unclear to CBO whether under Public Law 104–4 this tax reduction should be viewed as an offset to the direct costs of the mandates in the bill. JCT estimates that the savings associated with the tax reduction in H.R. 3286 would be as displayed in the following table.

[By fiscal year, in millions of dollars]

7. Appropriations or other Federal financial assistance: None.

8. Previous CBO estimates: On May 2, 1996, CBO estimates the private sector impact of H.R. 3286 as ordered reported by the House Committee on Ways and Means on May 1, 1996. The estimates differ because both the revenue increases and the specific parameters of the credit for adoption expenses in

the Finance Committee's bill are different from those in the Ways and Means Committee's bill.

- 9. Estimate prepared by: Daniel Mont (non-tax items) and Stephanie Weiner.
- 10. Estimate approved by: Joseph R. Antos, Assistant Director for Health and Human Resources.●

RENOMINATION OF ALAN GREENSPAN

• Mr. KOHL. Mr. President, I supported Alan Greenspan's renomination

to Chair the Federal Reserve Board for a third term.

Any decision of such importance to the American people deserves careful consideration. But now that we have had a constructive debate, I am pleased that the Senate moved forward with this long-delayed process.

As some of you know, Dr. Greenspan's impressive career includes three decades of work with a private sector economic consulting firm, during which time he held the positions of both chairman and president of the company. Other distinguished achievements include chairmanship of President Ford's Council of Economic Advisers, membership on President Reagan's Economic Policy Advisory Board and consulting work for the Congressional Budget Office.

And as Chairman of the Federal Reserve Board for the past 8 years, Dr. Greenspan has won the respect and confidence of Republican and Democrats alike and consistently steered American monetary policy on a pru-

dent and responsible course.

Mr. President, the economy is strong and growing. Inflation is under control, and mortgage rates have averaged 7.8 percent, the lowest since Lyndon Johnson was in the White House. Much of this success is due to the constancy and apolitical management of our country's monetary policy. And while I will support reforms of Fed management to ensure that taxpayer funds are used responsibly, I will not support efforts to subject the Federal Reserve to political influence.

Considering his past record and looking to the future, Alan Greenspan deserves reappointment. He is the best candidate for the job, and I am confident that he will continue providing vital leadership toward our common goal of keeping the economy robust.

I supported his renomination and am pleased that the majority of my colleagues opted to do the same.

ANNIVERSARY OF THE FULBRIGHT **PROGRAM**

• Mr. ROCKEFELLER. Mr. President, a very special advisor brought to my attention an article that I ask to place in the RECORD. My counsellor on matters of foreign policy is not only the highly distinguished former chairman of the Senate Foreign Relations Committee and Senator from Illinois, Senator Charles Percy, he also is my one and only father-in-law. I continue to be indebted to him for both his sage advice and the familial bond we share.

Recently, former Senator Percy shared the following article that appeared on June 14, 1996 in The Christian Science Monitor, Authored by former Vice-President Walter Mondale, who serves as the current U.S. Ambassador to Japan, it commemorates the 50th anniversary of the Fulbright Program.

I want to draw the attention of my colleagues and other readers to this fine essay on the value of this unique

international exchange program. With the Fulbright Program's emphasis on excellence in scholarship and studies, this effort creates and nurtures relations between America's bright, curious, and energetic citizens and their counterparts in other countries. It breaks through the barriers that otherwise cause ignorance, prejudice, misunderstandings, and the dangers of war and other violence. There is simply no substitute for the opportunity of individuals around the world to learn from one another.

The Fulbright Program is not a luxury for America. It is a necessary part of an effective foreign policy for the world's economic leader and superpower. As we celebrate its anniversary, this article reminds us that its future will be the course for Americans to continue promoting peace and the ties that benefit our own country along with the rest of the world.

The article follows:

[From the Christian Science Monitor] THE GRAND VISION OF THE FULBRIGHT PROGRAM

(By Walter Mondale)

Since becoming ambassador to Japan three years ago, I have directly experienced the enormous benefits of people-to-people exchange. It is a process I now consider one of the vital tools of American international policy. My experience in Japan has elevated me from just a believer in international exchange to a true believer.

The Fulbright Program, which turns 50 this year, is the flagship of scholarly exchange programs. Its universal renown attests to its extraordinary long-term impact

on international relations.

Congress established the program in 1946 to increase mutual understanding between the people of the United States and the people of other countries." My friend J. William Fulbright (D) of Arkansas, a strong-willed senator of rare vision, introduced the legislation two weeks after the nuclear age blasted its imprint on history at Hiroshima. At the time he called it "a modest program with an immodest aim."

Over the past several years, we have taken special note of many 50th anniversaries, often in a spirit of somber commemoration: the attack on Pearl Harbor, the Battle of Iwo Jima, the Battle of Okinawa, and the atomic bombings of Hiroshima and Nagasaki. The first half of the 20th century was battered by two world wars, and as the curtain rose on the second half, a war-weary US went to battle once again in Asia while the world drew itself into two armed camps.

Appalled by war's tragic human cost, Bill Fulbright's "immodest aim" was no less than "the humanizing of international relations . . . to the point that men can learn to live in peace—eventually even to cooperate in constructive activities rather than compete in a mindless contest of mutual destruction. . . .'' During this 50th-anniversary year of Fulbright's program, as we celebrate the global reach of his vision, we properly hail his "immodest" achievement.

In its early years in Japan, the program focuses on bringing outstanding students of the postwar generation of young Japanese to experience US social institutions and democracy. The results are found everywhere: United Nations Undersecretary-General Yasushi Akashi was a Fulbrighter. So were seven current members of the Diet, the presidents of two of Japan's largest banks, and more than 5,000 others who have carried

their experience of American life back to Japanese colleges, government offices, businesses, and civic organizations.

The US and Japan reap great benefits from our harmonious bilateral relations, and we share a common stake in global security and stability. Our relationship is solid. But our societies are so profoundly different in so many basic areas that it requires great effort for us to understand each other.

As in so many endeavors, those who acquire the tools early achieve the most success. The history professor from Kysuhu University who as a young scholar spent a year in Columbus, Ohio, teaches his students with deeper insights than one who has not had that experience. The recent New York University graduate living for a year with a family near Osaka will return to New York to pursue a law career that will take a much different direction than had she never experienced Japan. Such seemingly commonplace events multiplied many times over bring extraordinary benefits to our relations.

The Fulbright Program is enormously popular in Japan. When Senator Fulbright died last year, hundreds of former Fulbrighters gathered for an elegant memorial service, and virtually every newspaper ran an appreciative story lauding the educational and cultural benefits bestowed on so many Japa-

In recent years, the proportion of American Fulbrighters relative to that of Japanese has grown considerably; so has the Japanese financial contribution. The Japanese government now funds the bi-national program at approximately twice the level of the US. And Japanese alumni continue to make a generous annual donation, which is devoted to bringing recent US college graduates to Japan.

There are many ways to study abroad but the Fulbright Program stands alone. Practically everyone in Japan knows about it, and what it has meant to this country. Its marvelous reputation has been earned not simply by the scholastic achievements of its outstanding participants, but also because Fulbrighters see themselves as students, lecturers, or researchers abroad who are part of

a noble, larger purpose.
Fulbright once said, "Man's struggle to be rational about himself, about his relationship to his own society and the other peoples and nations involves a constant search for understanding among all peoples and cultures-a search that can only be effective when learning is pursued on a worldwide basis.

Some say that the cold war's end has drained the urgency from international exchanges. It's simply not so. The need to educate citizens who have international experience and who can communicate and establish relationships across borders is more compelling than ever.

In the US, we have entered what US Information Agency director Joseph Duffey calls "an era of frugal diplomacy." Our government must consider with care the cost-effectiveness of what it does. Judged by that standard, there are few programs that serve our long-term international-relations goals as fully and effectively-yet as inexpensively—as the Fulbright Program.

As Americans with a stake in our relations with the rest of the world, and particularly with Japan, we will be well served if our political leaders continue their support of Bill Fulbright's vision.
(Former Vice President Walter Mondale is

the US ambassador to Japan.)•

CLYDE M. DANGERFIELD, A TRIBUTE

Mr. HOLLINGS. Mr. President, I would like to say a few words about a