

the fighting and to provide humanitarian assistance.

I support the President's call on all sides for a cease-fire in the area. The cycle of violence, of attack and counterattack, must be broken immediately.

The Secretary of State has been consulting with leaders in the region in an effort to reach an agreement which will restore calm to the area. I support those efforts. The Secretary will travel to the Middle East tomorrow. I am hopeful that he will be able to facilitate diplomatic efforts to reach a peaceful settlement and an end to the bloodshed and violence.

I have joined with Senator ABRAHAM and others in a letter to the Secretary of State, the Secretary of Defense, and the Director of the Agency for International Development calling for emergency humanitarian assistance for civilian refugees in Lebanon.●

TRIBUTE TO ROWAN COLLEGE

● Mr. BRADLEY. Mr. President, I rise today with great pleasure to congratulate New Jersey's very own Rowan College. As you may know, the Profs of Rowan College recently defeated Hope College by a score of 100 to 93 to become the 1995-96 NCAA Division III men's basketball champions.

Rowan's basketball team is special in more ways than one. Having finished the year with a 28-4 record, the Profs have once again risen to the challenges and competition of college basketball. This is hardly Rowan's first trip to the Final Four. Under the tutelage of their coach, Dr. John Giannini, the Profs have proven to be no flukes, as they have reached the Final Four three years running.

This championship season also marks the end of Terrence Stewart's stellar career. Terrence leaves Rowan College as its all-time leading scorer. Having been named this year's tournament most valuable player, Terrence has much to be proud of. As I can attest to, though, a championship team consists of a group of players who are all dedicated to the game, the work ethic, and the goal of being the best. Indeed, the entire team deserves praise and admiration.

Having played in a Final Four tournament myself, I know first hand how much hard work, time, and energy these players have put into achieving this tremendous goal. For college athletes face not only the pressures of the hardwood floor, but also the day-to-day pressures of performing in the classroom.

In closing, Mr. President, I would like to once again offer congratulations to Rowan College. Success in the sports arena, like many other endeavors, requires a great deal of dedication, hard work, and courage. I am very proud to have Rowan College represent our State.●

AN ANNIVERSARY STATEMENT—THE TECHNOLOGY REVOLUTION FOR PEOPLE WITH DISABILITIES

Mr. DOLE. Mr. President, Sunday, April 14, was a special anniversary for me. It was on that date during World War II I was wounded and joined the ranks of America's disability community.

We are a large, diverse community, from all walks of life, of every race and creed, and with the same hopes and dreams as other Americans.

Since joining the Senate, it has been my custom to remember this anniversary each year by speaking about an issue important to Americans with disabilities.

So today I will discuss a revolution in technology for the disabled—a quiet but extraordinary revolution that is bringing us closer to our national goals of independence and full participation.

NEW TECHNOLOGIES FOR THE DISABLED

Mr. President, today's technologies for the disabled are yesterday's science fiction pipedreams.

For my friend Kyle Hulet in Hutchinson, KS, technology provides a new world of independence. Kyle has only limited use of his hands, and has had to depend on others for the simplest things—even turning the lights on in his room.

But with a new environmental control unit strapped to his wheelchair, which operates much like a TV remote control, Kyle can run 16 appliances, including lights, TV, and stereo.

Jenni Koebel of Topeka, who cannot speak and has limited use of her hands, taps out words on the keyboard of a communication device—that then speaks with a voice synthesizer. Sure, the voice is a little mechanical, but Jenni's intelligence and charm shine through.

When Jenni visited me sometime back, she was a high school student. Today, she is enrolled in my alma mater, Washburn University. Technology has helped make this possible.

Even the venerable wheelchair has gone high technology. For too long wheelchair users have been described as "wheelchair bound" or "confined to a wheelchair." This stereotype unfortunately contained some truth—wheelchairs were heavy and awkward.

That is, until innovators like Marilyn Hamilton came along. Marilyn, who became a wheelchair user following a hang-gliding accident in 1978, asked why chairs couldn't be light, compact, fast—and good looking.

And when no one could give her a good answer, she went out and built a chair that was all these things. And then helped set up a company, Quickie Designs, to build those chairs for others.

And for the amputee, artificial legs made of new plastics can now mimic the spring and bounce of the natural footstep.

Perhaps the toughest test for these artificial limbs is sports. And the

toughest sports events for disabled athletes can be found at the Paralympic games.

For example, in 1992, Tony Volpentest of Edmonds, WA, ran the 100-meter dash in 11.63 seconds, just 1.83 seconds off Carl Lewis' Olympic record. Tony was born without hands or feet, and uses two high technology artificial legs.

The 1996 Paralympics will be held later this year in Atlanta, following the Olympics. Over 120 countries will be represented—and with talent like Tony's, we are talking real competition among world class athletes.

In the future, we can expect even more astounding devices—such as systems that will allow blind people to freely navigate city streets using signals beamed from global positioning satellites overhead. And sophisticated voice recognition systems that will automatically closed caption videophones of the future.

The bottom line here is simple. For people with every kind of disability—whether sensory, cognitive, motor, or communication—technology can provide tools to speak, hear, see, learn, write, be mobile, work, and play—in short, to live as fully and independently as possible. Technology increasingly allows people with disabilities to make the same choices about their lives—good and bad—that other Americans often take for granted.

THE INFORMATION SUPERHIGHWAY

Mr. President, one can hardly open a newspaper or turn on the TV these days without hearing about the Internet—the worldwide hookup of thousands of computers. For the price of a local phone call, an individual can retrieve information from almost anywhere on the planet.

But for Holly Haines, the Internet is about a job. Holly lives in rural Pennsylvania. The nearest traffic light is 8 miles away—a lot like western Kansas where I grew up. Because of muscular dystrophy, Holly rarely leaves home.

Several years ago Holly called my office, asking for some help in getting access to the Internet through a local university. She had a job offer at a national database company, but to call the company's computer directly every day would have meant huge, unaffordable long-distance phone bills.

Well, Holly got on the Internet and went to work. And about a year ago the Microsoft Network called to offer her a job as supervisor of Chat World.

Every day hundreds of network subscribers talk on-line in the virtual town square of Chat World. Life in the virtual world can get pretty wild, and Holly is Chat World's mayor and Miss Manners rolled into one. She oversees a staff of 75 people.

By the way, Microsoft never had a clue that Holly was disabled when they hired her. And here's the important lesson. For Holly, and for millions of Americans with disabilities, the Internet is both a great equalizer and a great opportunity.

FULFILLING THE PROMISE OF TECHNOLOGY

Mr. President, the news is not all good. Thousands of Americans with disabilities cannot afford these technologies, some of which cost thousands of dollars. In my home State of Kansas, the legislature has recognized this problem and recently authorized an annual appropriation of \$100,000 to help pay for technology.

And in the Balanced Budget Act, I sponsored a provision with Senator CONRAD to allow Medicare beneficiaries to use their own funds to pay for more sophisticated technologies, by supplementing Medicare's payment for a standard item.

But we need to do much, much more.

The second big issue is that we must be careful that new technologies—whether personal computers, the Internet, or whatever—are designed to be accessible to the disabled from the start. We have learned the hard way how expensive it can be to retrofit buildings and streets. We do not need to learn that lesson twice.

In this regard, the new Telecommunications Act has several provisions designed to encourage companies that manufacture telecommunications equipment or provide services to make their products accessible to the disabled. Another provision in the act also provides for more closed captioning of TV and video programs.

Mr. President, in closing, I would like to say a few words about the Americans With Disabilities Act. ADA was passed 6 years ago. Some people claim that I have backed off my support for ADA. That is simply not true. But I believe, and have always believed, that ADA can work, must work, for everyone—people with disabilities, Government, and business. I am trying hard to see that happens.

The poet Archibald MacLeish once wrote, "America was always promises." The technology revolution, together with important laws like ADA, are helping people with disabilities realize America's promises.

MEASURE PLACED ON THE CALENDAR—S. 1028

Mr. DOLE. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that S. 1028 be placed back on the calendar.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

HAITI POLICY

Mr. DOLE. Mr. President, this week marks the final withdrawal of United States Armed Forces from Haiti. It is an appropriate time to ask, "What did our second intervention of the century in Haiti achieve?" Congress and the American people were deeply divided over the wisdom of Operation Uphold Democracy. Many of us were concerned that the American intervention to restore President Aristide would not lead to lasting and durable change in Haiti.

Unfortunately, it is now clear that U.S. policy has not achieved its stated

goals of establishing a rule of law, fostering genuine democratic change, and creating sustainable economic development. A bicameral staff delegation visited Haiti over the April recess and has completed a report which details serious failures of American policy—failures in each of the three critical areas of politics, security, and the economy.

HUMAN RIGHTS

The report concludes that the Clinton administration and the United States Embassy have not taken human rights seriously in Haiti. A particularly disturbing incident involves the event leading up to the assassination of Mrs. Bertin on March 28, 1995—3 days before President Clinton visited Haiti. The U.S. Government had concrete information about a plot to kill Mrs. Bertin which implicated Aristide government officials, including the Minister of Interior. Inexplicably, no one in the U.S. Government warned Bertin of the plot. Instead, U.S. officials decided to rely on the same government planning Bertin's murder to provide her with warning of the plot. This incident deserves, as the report recommends, full investigation by the executive branch and by the Congress to examine why U.S. officials neglected to act effectively on information that they possessed.

NO PROGRESS ON DOLE AMENDMENT

The report details lack of progress in meeting the conditions of the so-called Dole amendment on investigating political murders. The report also details the lack of action by the U.S. Embassy in examining and reporting on a wide range of human rights and police issues. The compromise of police investigations by Aristide loyalists was not reported. Basic information about murders involving the U.S.-trained police forces was not even gathered. It seems clear that the attitude of the United States Government was they did not want to know about government death squads which would prove embarrassing to the claim of Haiti as a foreign policy success.

ADMINISTRATION'S CONFLICTING STORIES

The report also details the sustained campaign by the administration, chiefly the Agency for International Development [AID], to blame Congress by providing intentionally misleading information about U.S. assistance programs. The Clinton administration cannot even get its own story straight. For example, while AID criticizes Congress for delaying aid to the Haitian police because of human rights concerns, the State Department takes credit for suspending aid to the Haitian police for the same human rights concerns. And while AID was holding up health programs because of their concerns about the competence of the Haitian Minister of Health, AID officials in Washington, regularly criticized Congress for holding up health projects in Haiti.

A FOREIGN POLICY SUCCESS?

There has been much in the media about the success of President Clin-

ton's Haiti policy. There has been little about the fundamental flaws detailed in this report. It is clear, however, that the administration knows it is on thin ice: changes in their Haiti policy have already been announced in recent days. Earlier this year, congressional pressure led to the dismissal of some of the worst human rights violators in the Haitian security forces. This week, the administration announced it was suspending aid because the Dole amendment conditions could not be met. The administration is reportedly considering reopening a fund for the victims of human rights violations. Most notably, the administration now points to the importance of thwarting former President Aristide's effort to undermine important policy goals. Long the defender of Aristide, even the Clinton administration now admits he refused to allow progress on police reform or free market economics. What a difference congressional pressure can make.

PLAYING POLITICS WITH HAITI POLICY

Mr. President, there is no difference between congressional Republicans and the stated goals of the Clinton administration in Haiti—democracy, economic recovery, and the rule of law. Our differences are about the very real problems which have been swept under the rug—in the name of defending policy failures. Our differences are over the administration's effort to make Haiti a political football by blaming Congress for their own shortcomings.

Mr. President, the U.S. military did its job. There is no security threat to the government of Haiti. The dictatorship is destroyed and the Haitian army no longer exists. It is on the civilian side where our policy has fallen short.

What have we achieved in our Haiti intervention after 18 months and more than \$2 billion? The answer is disappointment and missed opportunities. The answer is not nearly as much as could have been achieved if the administration had been more honest and more able. For the sake of the long-suffering people of Haiti, I hope the administration will jettison its political approach, and begin working with Congress to fashion a workable Haiti policy. I hope they begin soon.

I ask unanimous consent that the report be printed in the RECORD.

There being no objection, the material was ordered to be printed in the RECORD, as follows:

U.S. CONGRESS,

Washington, DC, April 17, 1996.

Hon. BOB DOLE,
Majority Leader,
U.S. Senate, Washington, DC.

DEAR SENATOR DOLE: Pursuant to your authorization, we traveled to Haiti from March 30, 1996, to April 3, 1996, to examine political, economic, security and assistance issues. We met with a wide range of U.S., Haitian, and international officials and visited a number of sites including the Haitian National Police Training Center, U.S. Agency for International Development projects, and U.S. Armed Forces headquarters.

As the final withdrawal of U.S. Armed Forces is underway, we believe our findings