

[Enclosure No. 2 to Despatch No. 12100 (SH No. 74) dated July 12, 1943, from the American Legation, Earn.]

Hongree	Currency	Soldes crediteurs
DANEMARK		
F. Boehn, Copenhagen	FrS	43,538.70

UNANIMOUS-CONSENT REQUEST— S. 1618

Mr. LUGAR. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the Senate now proceed to the consideration of Calendar Order No. 347, Senate bill 1618, a bill to provide uniform standards for the award of punitive damages for volunteer services.

Mr. EXON. Mr. President, on behalf of a Democratic Member, I object.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Objection is heard.

AUTHORIZATION FOR THE 1996 SPECIAL OLYMPICS TORCH RELAY ON THE CAPITOL GROUNDS—HOUSE CONCURRENT RESOLUTION 146

AUTHORIZATION TO USE THE CAP- ITOL GROUNDS FOR THE AN- NUAL NATIONAL PEACE OFFI- CERS' MEMORIAL SERVICE— HOUSE CONCURRENT RESOLU- TION 147

Mr. LUGAR. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that it be in order for the Senate to proceed, en bloc, to the consideration of the following concurrent resolutions just received from the House: House Concurrent Resolution 146 and House Concurrent Resolution 147.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

The concurrent resolutions are agreed to, en bloc.

So the concurrent resolutions (H. Con. Res. 146 and 147) were agreed to.

Mr. LUGAR. Mr. President, I move to reconsider the vote and to lay that on the table.

The motion to lay on the table was agreed to.

NATIONAL ROLLER COASTER WEEK

Mr. LUGAR. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the Senate proceed to the immediate consideration of Senate Resolution 235, submitted earlier today by Senator THURMOND.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

The clerk will report.

The legislative clerk read as follows:

A resolution (S. Res. 235) to proclaim the week of June 16 to June 22, 1996, as "National Roller Coaster Week."

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Is there objection to the immediate consideration of the resolution?

There being no objection, the Senate proceeded to consider the resolution.

Mr. LUGAR. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the resolution be agreed to, the preamble be agreed to, the motion to reconsider be laid upon the table, and any statements relating to the resolution appear at the appropriate place in the RECORD.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

So the resolution (S. Res. 235) was agreed to.

The preamble was agreed to.

The resolution, with its preamble, is as follows:

S. RES. 235

Whereas, the roller coaster is a unique form of fun, enjoyed by millions of Americans, as well as people all over the world;

Whereas, roller coasters have been providing fun since the 15th century;

Whereas, in 1885, an American named Philip Hinckley invented a steam-powered chain lift to hoist coasters to new heights and new downhill speeds;

Whereas, advances in technology and a renewed interest in leisure and recreation have meant a resurgence for roller coasters;

Whereas, engineers working with computers have been able to create the safest, most thrilling rides ever;

Whereas, there are an estimated 500 roller coasters worldwide, and more than fifty new projects underway in 1996;

Whereas, the world's oldest existing roller coaster, Leap-The-Dips, is located at Lakemont Park in Altoona, Pennsylvania, and is currently being restored;

Resolved, That the Senate proclaims the week of June 16 through June 22, 1996, as "National Roller Coaster Week".

UNANIMOUS CONSENT AGREE- MENT—CONFERENCE REPORT AC- COMPANYING H.R. 1561

Mr. LUGAR. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the majority leader, after consultation with the Democratic leader, may turn to the consideration of the conference report to accompany H.R. 1561, the State Department reorganization bill, and, further, that the reading be deemed waived, and there be a time limitation of 10 hours for debate, with the time divided and controlled as follows: 2 hours under the control of Senator HELMS, or his designee; 2 hours under the control of Senator KERRY, or his designee; 2 hours under the control of Senator NUNN; 3 hours under the control of Senator JOHNSTON; 1 hour under the control of Senator FEINSTEIN; provided further, that upon the expiration or yielding back of all time, the Senate proceed to vote on the adoption of the conference report with no intervening action or debate.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

ORDERS FOR THURSDAY, MARCH 28, 1996

Mr. LUGAR. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that when the Senate completes its business today, it stand in adjournment until the hour of 9 a.m. on Thursday, March 28; further, that immediately following the prayer,

the Journal of the proceedings be deemed approved to date, no resolutions come over under the rule, the call of the calendar be dispensed with, the morning hour be deemed to have expired, and the time for the two leaders be reserved for their use later in the day, and the Senate immediately resume consideration of the farm conference report under a previous consent agreement.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

UNANIMOUS-CONSENT AGREEMENT—H.R. 1296

Mr. LUGAR. Mr. President, I further ask unanimous consent that following the conclusion of debate on the farm conference report, the conference report be laid aside, and that there then be 30 minutes for debate prior to the cloture vote, to be equally divided in the usual form, and following that debate, the Senate proceed to vote on adoption of the farm conference report, to be followed immediately by the cloture vote with respect to the Kennedy amendment, with the preceding all occurring without any intervening action or debate, and that the mandatory quorum under rule XXII be waived.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

PROGRAM

Mr. LUGAR. Mr. President, for the information of all Senators, there will be a vote with respect to the farm conference report and a cloture vote with respect to the Kennedy amendment back-to-back, hopefully, by mid-morning. Also, the Senate is expected to consider the debt limit and the omnibus appropriation conference report prior to the close of business on Friday. The Senate could also be asked to resume the Presidio legislation. In addition, it is hoped that the Senate could also pass the charities bill, S. 1618. Therefore, votes can be expected throughout Thursday's and Friday's session of the Senate.

Mr. President, I add that, given the hour and the amount of time expired, it would appear that the votes with regard to the farm conference report are likely to come after noon, given the current situation. So Senators might be advised of that change, given the time that has expired this evening.

ORDER FOR ADJOURNMENT

Mr. LUGAR. Mr. President, If there is no further business to come before the Senate, I ask that the Senate stand in adjournment under the previous order following the remarks of Senators PRESSLER and GRASSLEY.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

The chair recognizes the Senator from South Dakota.

Mr. PRESSLER. I thank the Chair. Let me say that my intention is to

speaking briefly on the farm bill, and then I want to introduce a piece of legislation, if I can do that as in morning business. The total time I will consume will be about 5 minutes.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator may proceed.

THE FARM BILL

Mr. PRESSLER. Mr. President, I am voting for the farm bill. I support the freedom-to-farm concept. This is not a perfect farm bill, but I find it somewhat ironic that some of my colleagues are voting against it, yet, urging the President to sign it, and then going out and criticizing it. It would be better to improve it and to be constructive.

Our farmers need a farm bill passed now. Many of them have already gone to the fields in our Nation. In South Dakota, they are meeting with their bankers, making their plans. It is time for us to pass a farm bill.

Mr. President, for years, we have had all this regulation and paperwork in agriculture. I come from a farm. I am a farmer. Last year, deficiency payments were sent out to the farmers. Then the commodity prices were high enough that the deficiency payments were sent back to the Department of Agriculture. All this requires a great deal of paperwork, and it costs the taxpayers a lot.

Let me commend Senator LUGAR and the managers of the farm bill, and Senator GRASSLEY and others, who have brought us a farm bill that will not only save taxpayers money, but will also help our Nation's farmers and ranchers.

Mr. President, let me say that I think the most important farm bill besides this is a balanced budget because, if we have a balanced budget, we will be able to export our commodities and the commodity prices will be high enough. Because of a balanced budget we will have low interest rates and a stable dollar and high exports. That is what farmers and ranchers really want. They do not seek handouts. They want good prices on the world market. And they are there for us if we take advantage of it.

So there are many improvements we could make in this farm bill the next year or the year after. But let us pass it now. This is the best deal we can get at this time. If somebody had a better one, they should have brought it up.

Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent to speak as if in morning business for 3 minutes for the purpose of introducing a bill.

The PRESIDING OFFICER (Mr. LUGAR). Without objection, it is so ordered.

Mr. PRESSLER. I thank the Chair.

(The remarks of Mr. PRESSLER pertaining to the introduction of S. 1647 are located in today's RECORD under "Statements on Introduced Bills and Joint Resolutions.")

Mr. PRESSLER. Mr. President, I thank the Chair. I thank my colleague

from Iowa and Indiana and congratulate both of them for their work on the farm bill which was very outstanding.

I yield the floor.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Iowa.

Mr. GRASSLEY. Mr. President, first, just one sentence to compliment the now Presiding Officer, the Senator from Indiana for his leadership on getting the farm bill passed. I am going to speak tomorrow on the farm bill. This evening, in morning business, I am speaking on the subject of the drug problem.

THE CIRCLE OF HURT

Mr. GRASSLEY. Mr. President, we have heard a great deal on this floor about the problem of drugs in this country. Senator HATCH, Senator FEINSTEIN, Senator MOYNIHAN, and others, have spoken eloquently about the personal and societal costs that we bear because of illegal drug use. Add in the abuse of legal drugs in this country and the costs are staggering.

The record of the harm done is clear. The facts accumulate in depressing measure, detailing the damage done to individuals, to families, to communities, and to our civic life. Drugs destroy a person's capacity to live a decent life. They contribute to a widening circle of hurt that goes far beyond any individual choice to use drugs.

Like a stone dropped into a pond, the ripples move outward in an ever-widening circle. The result is an arc of pain and loss that is no respecter of social position, education, age, race, or location. Nothing brought this home to me more forcefully than a letter I received recently from a constituent. A constituent whose family has borne the brunt of what illegal drug use truly means. We can pile up facts and figures. We have the numbing statistics. But these cold, sterile numbers do not bring home to us the true meaning of what is involved. In order to understand the circle of hurt, let me share with you this story. As the dismaying figures on family violence, crime, and drug-addicted babies only too clearly show, this record is not unique.

Although it is not unique, it is, nevertheless, a story whose very prevalence is part of the harm done everyday by illegal drug use.

Kay and Jim Degrado of Marshalltown, IA, a community of 25,000, know firsthand what the facts and figures mean. Some years ago, their son began experimenting with drugs at 9 and was an addict by 13. Nothing that these good people could do made a difference. They watched as their son slowly sank into addiction and a world of violence, drug dealing, and abuse. As with many families, they were unprepared to deal with the problems. Their son became an addict and a dealer.

At 26, during his second treatment episode, he met a 22-year-old prostitute and crack addict. They subsequently

moved in together after they were expelled from the treatment program. In addition to living together, they also began dealing together. They had an 800 number, beepers, and a separate apartment to deal from. Sales helped them maintain a \$1,500 a day habit. This in a town of only 25,000. It was at this time that the couple learned that they were to have a baby, the woman's second. The first child was raised in a drug-addicted household, with all the emotional scars that involves. The second child, Tomi, now four, suffered a worse fate. She was born addicted.

As the Degrados learned, drug use damages the unborn child in profound ways. In ways that endure for a lifetime. Their granddaughter, young Tomi, was born with multiple problems. She has difficulty sleeping. She is averse to being touched. She's irritable and has a short attention span. In addition, she has difficulty swallowing, a common feature of drug-affected children. At four, she still must receive supplemental food and medication through a feeding tube in her abdomen. She is unable to use a spoon, lacking the coordination. The grandparents have adopted the child—after years of effort—and can give Tomi a loving home. But they can never heal the hurt. And there are many Tomis in this country.

According to some estimates, as many as 100,000 or more such babies are born every year to addicted mothers. The disabilities are lifelong. Tomi requires constant medical attention. And she has learning disabilities that will affect her as long as she lives. But this is not the end of the story. As with Tomi's parents, many addicts have more than just one child. These children are born addicted. Or they come into drug-using homes where physical and sexual abuse are common. Tomi has an older half-sister, and her mother is pregnant again.

Fortunately, the Degrados' son is in treatment, again, after two suicide attempts and numerous relapses. He visits his daughter but has not taken an active role in her life. It is still unclear if he will stay clean and sober. If he does, and I wish him well, it will come at great effort, one that will occupy him for the rest of his life.

And the cost? The monetary costs, of course, have been enormous. But that is only a small part of the expense. From the seemingly individual choice to use drugs, the Degrados' son, destroyed his own life. He brought pain and suffering to his family. It is a pain that still remains. In addition, he also fathered a child born with lifelong disabilities. Pushed drugs to others. And engaged in numerous crimes. From his one act, a decision to use drugs, the circle of hurt spreads outward in ever-widening arcs. That is the reality of drug use. The damage and harm are personal, immediate, and enduring.

Yet, what we hear from many these days—from some of our cultural and political elite—is that we should legalize such drugs. That we should make