estimated 50 million families around the globe use family planning as a direct result of U.S. population assistance programs.

Unfortunately, passage of the continuing resolution on January 26 came at a terrible price to U.S. population assistance programs. Time and time again during consideration of the foreign operations appropriations bill, the Senate resisted the efforts of the House to restore the Mexico City policy and to impose restrictions on funding for United Nations Population Fund [UNFPA]. Finally, opponents to family planning in the House unveiled a new, ugly strategy—slashing population assistance in the continuing resolution [CR]. Tragically, the need to avoid another Government shutdown led many Members to vote for the CR and accept what was understood to be an extremely painful funding cut. It was only later that the truly insidious nature of this provision became apparent, when it became known that this provision would simply devastate—if not obliterate—U.S.-funded international family planning programs.

Under the terms of the CR, none of the funds appropriated for international family planning can be spent until July 1. After this date, funding may be provided at 65 percent of the fiscal year 1995 level, appropriated on a monthly basis of 6.7 percent for 15 months. As a result, U.S. population assistance expenditures could drop from \$547 million last year, to only \$72 million during fiscal year 1996 This means a loss of revenue to the program of \$475 million.

The Alan Guttmacher Institute, Planned Parenthood, and other population groups predict that as a result of these cuts, at a minimum, seven million couples in developing countries who would have used modern contraceptives will be left without access to family planning. Four million more women will experience unintended pregnancies. We can also expect 1.9 million more unplanned births, often to families living in terrible poverty and who cannot afford another child: 1.6 million more abortions and countless miscarriages; 8,000 more women dying in pregnancy and childbirth, including those from unsafe abortions;

and 134,000 infant deaths. It appears that supporters of these funding cuts are unaware that current law prohibits the use of any U.S. funds for abortion-related activities. This is not about encouraging abortion. It is about preventing unwanted pregnancies and preventing abortions. It is about helping women to space their children, so that they and their children are healthier, because children born within 2 years of their mother's last birth are twice as likely to die in infancy than those born after a longer interval. It is about families being able to support themselves and emerge from terrible poverty. It is about preventing maternal and infant death. It is an issue that should unite Members on both sides of the abortion debate.

Because of the CR, organizations that provide family planning services with U.S. funds are already determining which of their programs will have to be cut or eliminated. For example, a local affiliate of international planned parenthood in Brazil estimates that 250,000 couples who rely on its services will lose access to family planning and related health care. In Peru, a country that is among the poorest in Latin America and where 90 percent of women surveyed say they want to prevent or delay another pregnancy, more than 200,000 couples will lose services. Families in these extremely poor countries cannot afford to lose vital U.S. family planning assistance.

As a conferee for the State Department reauthorization bill, I worked hard to prevent the inclusion of House language reinstating the Mexico City policy and restrictions on UNFPA funding. Thankfully, we prevailed and the House capitulated on this front. Now it is time to take this important battle to take the next step and undue the harm caused by the House appropriators.

I am pleased to say that my distinguished colleague from Oregon, Senator HATFIELD, who has been such a champion in fighting for international family planning throughout his career, included language in the omnibus appropriations bill which would restore funding for U.S. population assistance. The Hatfield provision would nullify the funding cuts in the CR if the President certifies that they will lead to a significant increase in abortions. I applaud Senator HATFIELD for his outstanding leadership on the Appropriations Committee and for his dedication to this very important issue.

The United States has been a model nation on international family planning issues, and other countries look to our example. The implications of the cuts to U.S. aid contained in the CR are far broader than one might think. If other countries follow our lead, the impact will be devastating to the health of women and families of developing nations.

So, in honor of International Women's Day, I urge my colleagues to support the restoration of funding for international family planning. Hanging in the balance are the lives, the health, and the economic survival of women, children, and families throughout the world.

HONORING MATTHEW EISENFELD

• Mr. DODD. Mr. President, it is with great sadness that I rise today following the death of Matthew Eisenfeld of West Hartford in the terrorist bombing in Israel. The four most recent terrorist attacks have not only threatened the fragile peace in this region, but also resulted in the death of one of our own. Matthew was a bright and caring individual who spoke out for peace in the Middle East—and his voice ultimately will not be silenced unless

we give into those who use vicious acts of violence to derail efforts for peace in this region.

Throughout his short life, Matthew had a strong impact on the lives of the people he met. Clearly, he was a fine student with a good heart. He dedicated himself to others and worked hard to learn and follow the teachings of the Jewish faith.

It seems ironic that at the time of his death, Matthew was working on a haggadah, the traditional book of freedom and liberation read at Passover. He truly believed that the land of Israel that he loved so much would one day be at peace.

Following the assassination of Prime Minister Yitzak Rabin, Matthew was asked to speak at a memorial service for the slain leader. His message was full of hope that the Middle East peace process would continue. Even in the dark days immediately following the death of the Prime Minister, Matthew stood up and called on those gathered not to give up hope and stressed the necessity of continuing the work of Mr. Rabin.

We have now lost another decent and caring man whose life was a testament to peace. This is a tragedy not only for Matthew's family and friends, but also for the countless number of people who could have met Matthew and learned from him if this senseless act of hate had not occurred. We must remember Matthew's love of humanity and continue to work to spread his message of peace and hope. Soundly condemning these senseless acts of violence while rededicating ourselves to the peace process, is the finest way to honor Matthew Eisenfeld's life and the other innocent men and women who have lost their lives in these terrible bombings.

WANTED: JOBS OF LAST RESORT

Mr. SIMON. Mr. President, one of the things I have stressed repeatedly on the floor of the Senate is that without having a jobs component for people of limited skills, welfare reform is a sham. It is public relations for those of us who hold public office, not help for people on welfare and not help for the taxpayers.

Recently, Prof. Sheldon Danziger and Peter Gottschalk had an item on the New York Times op-ed page, titled "Wanted: Jobs of Last Resort." I ask that it be printed in the RECORD. I highly recommend it to my colleagues.

The article follows:

Wanted: Jobs of Last Resort

(By Sheldon Danziger and Peter Gottschalk)
Members of the National Governors' Association were on Capitol Hill yesterday, once again pressing their case for welfare reform. The group has captured glowing reviews from both President Clinton and Congressional Republicans for a package of proposals that would favor block grants to the states over a guarantee of Federal aid.

Liberal Democrats in the House have criticized the plan, saying its cuts in Federal spending are simply too hard on the poor. But they have not given enough attention to

what is perhaps the plan's most problematic feature: It would end welfare recipients' entitlement to cash assistance—after as little as 21 months in Connecticut—even for those who, though diligently searching for work, cannot find anyone to him them

cannot find anyone to hire them.

These provisions assume that anyone who really wants to work can find a job. And no one would dispute that any welfare reform proposal should require recipients to make a serious effort to find employment. But the proposal must be more realistic about the current demand for low-skilled workers, which has dropped steadily over the last two decades

To take just one small but alarming example, the number of high school dropouts who are employed has steadily dropped since 1970—while the number of employed college graduates has risen by more than 40 percent. Economists of all political persuasions

Economists of all political persuasions have documented the increased extent of insecurity among American workers. Moderate economic growth since the early 1980's has

on average raised our living standards, but low-skilled workers have seen the least benefit. The lack of jobs that pay adequately obviously bodes even worse for people trying desperately to get off welfare. Today, 45 percent of women who leave welfare find themselves back on it within a year.

The decline in demand for less-skilled workers is not so much the fault of Republicans or Democrats as it is of the changing economy. Real wages for low-skilled workers steadily diminished under Presidents Jimmy Carter, Ronald Reagan and George Bush, just as they continue to erode today. But these Administrations do share responsibility for the extent of the hardship. Government simply has not adapted its policies to deal with an economy in which the number of industrial jobs is shrinking.

The consensus among most Democrats and Republicans that welfare should be transformed from a cash-based to a work-based safety net is a promising development. But it will not be fulfilled by the governors' compromise unless their plan provides emergency help for people who have tried to find work and can't. Low-wage public service jobs of last resort would be the best way to provide such help.

The governors can improve their plan, which they hope will form the basis of Federal legislation, by requiring that states offer unsuccessful job seekers the kind of work-based safety net that Gov. John Engler has proposed for Michigan.

His proposal allows recipients who cannot find employment to perform community service in return for continued cash assistance. This provision is important in an economy in which the trend toward downsizing shows no sign of slowing, and it will be critical during any future recession. The National Governors' Association would be wise to include Mr. Engler's work-for-your welfare community service idea in its proposals.

FOREIGN CURRENCY REPORTS

In accordance with the appropriate provisions of law, the Secretary of the Senate herewith submits the following report(s) of standing committees of the Senate, certain joint committees of the Congress, delegations and groups, and select and special committees of the Senate, relating to expenses incurred in the performance of authorized foreign travel:

CONSOLIDATED REPORT OF EXPENDITURE OF FOREIGN CURRENCIES AND APPROPRIATED FUNDS FOR FOREIGN TRAVEL BY MEMBERS AND EMPLOYEES OF THE U.S. SENATE, UNDER AUTHORITY OF SEC. 22, P.L. 95–384—22 U.S.C. 1754(b), COMMITTEE ON APPROPRIATIONS FOR TRAVEL FROM AUG. 17–29, 1995

Name and country		Per diem		Transportation		Miscellaneous		Total	
	Name of currency	Foreign currency	U.S. dollar equivalent or U.S. currency						
nator Mark O. Hatfield: Russia	Dollar		2,294.00						2,294.0
Mongolia nator Dale Bumpers:			306.00						306.0
Russia	Dollar Dollar		1,644.25 220.00						1,644.2 220.0
Russia	Dollar		2,294.00 306.00						2,294.0 306.0
ator Slade Gorton: Russia Mongolia	Dollar		2,294.00 306.00						2,294.0 306.0
ator Conrad Burns: Russia Mongolia	Dollar		2,294.00 306.00						2,294.0 306.0
lames H. Billington: Russia Mongolia	Dollar Dollar		1,940.00 306.00						1,940. 306.
es D. Bond: Russia	Dollar Dollar		2,294.00 306.00						2,294. 306.
rie Cooper: Russia	Dollar Dollar		2,294.00 306.00						2,294. 306.
rrles Hōuy: Russia Mongolia	Dollar Dollar		2,294.00 306.00						2,294.0 306.0
rk D. Walker: Russia Mongolia	Dollar Dollar		2,294.00 306.00						2,294.0 306.0
egation Expenses: ¹ Russia							15,804.22 5,321.86		15,804. 5,321.
Total			24.910.25				21.126.08		46.036.

The following individual traveled under the authorization of the Republican Leader—lan Paulk. This report appears under the authorizing source. Delegation expenses include direct payment and reimbursements to the Department of State and the Department of Defense under authority of Sec. 502(b) of the Mutual Security Act of 1954, as amended by Sec. 22 of P.L. 95-384, and Senate Resolution 179, agreed to May 25, 1977.

MARK O. HATFIELD, Chairman, Committee on Appropriations, Mar. 5, 1996.

CONSOLIDATED REPORT OF EXPENDITURE OF FOREIGN CURRENCIES AND APPROPRIATED FUNDS FOR FOREIGN TRAVEL BY MEMBERS AND EMPLOYEES OF THE U.S. SENATE, UNDER AUTHORITY OF SEC. 22, P.L. 95–384—22 U.S.C. 1754(b), COMMITTEE ON FOREIGN RELATIONS FOR TRAVEL FROM OCT. 1 TO DEC. 31, 1995.

•									
Name and country	Name of currency	Per diem		Transportation		Miscellaneous		Total	
		Foreign currency	U.S. dollar equivalent or U.S. currency	Foreign currency	U.S. dollar equivalent or U.S. currency	Foreign currency	U.S. dollar equivalent or U.S. currency	Foreign currency	U.S. dollar equivalent or U.S. currency
Senator Hank Brown: Bosnia Romania Egypt United States Carter Picher: Bosnia Romania Egypt United States United States	Mark Dollar Pound Dollar Mark Dollar Pound Dollar Dollar Dollar Dollar	240.00 2,760.80 240.00 2,040.00	177.79 255.00 812.00 		3,763.95			2,040.00	177.79 255.00 812.00 3,763.95 177.79 200.00 600.00 3,763.95
Total			2,222.58		7,527.90				9,750.48

JESSE HELMS,