

2512 and send it to the President for signature.

Mr. DASCHLE. Mr. President, I am very pleased that the Senate is considering the Crow Creek Sioux Tribe Infrastructure Development Trust Fund Act of 1995. This measure, which is sponsored by Congressman TIM JOHNSON, is very important to South Dakota and the Crow Creek Tribe. I commend the Senate Indian Affairs Committee for its leadership in promoting the bill's companion measure, S. 1264, which I introduced. I also want to publicly thank the members of the Crow Creek Tribe for their many years of hard work. The tribe has worked closely with Congressman JOHNSON and I to shape this legislation that will help realize, at long last, the goals outlined in the Big Bend Act over 30 years ago.

This bill will provide for the development of certain tribal infrastructure projects funded by a trust fund set up for the Crow Creek Tribe within the Department of the Treasury. The trust fund would be capitalized within 1 to 2 years from a percentage of hydropower revenues and would be capped at \$27.5 million. The tribe would then receive the interest from the fund and use it for economic development purposes according to a plan prepared in conjunction with the Bureau of Indian Affairs and the Indian Health Service.

It is instructive to review the long historic journey that has brought us to this point. The Flood Control Act of 1944 created five massive earthen dams on the Missouri River. This public works project, known as the Pick-Sloan Plan, provides the region with flood control, irrigation and hydropower. Four of the Pick-Sloan dams are located in South Dakota.

The impact of the Pick-Sloan plan on the Crow Creek Sioux Tribe has been devastating. The Big Bend and Fort Randall dams created losses to the Crow Creek Tribe for which they have not been adequately compensated. Over 15,000 acres of the tribe's most fertile and productive land, the Missouri River wooded bottomlands, were inundated as a result of the Fort Randall and Big Bend components of the Pick-Sloan project.

By and through the Big Bend Act of 1962, Congress directed the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers and the Department of the Interior to take certain actions to alleviate the problems caused by the dislocation of communities and inundation of tribal resources. These directives were either carried out inadequately or not carried out at all.

Congress established precedent for H.R. 2512 in 1992 with the passage of the Three Affiliated Tribes and Standing Rock Sioux Tribe Equitable Compensation Act, which I cosponsored. At that time, Congress determined that the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers had failed to provide adequate compensation to the tribes when their land was acquired for the Pick-Sloan projects. There is little question that the tribes bore an inordinate share of the cost of

implementing the Pick-Sloan program. The Secretary of the Interior established the Joint Tribal Advisory Committee to resolve the inequities and find ways to finance the compensation of tribal claims. As a result, the Three Affiliated Tribes and Standing Rock Sioux Tribe Equitable Compensation Act set up a recovery fund financed entirely from a percentage of Pick-Sloan power revenues.

The Crow Creek Sioux Tribe Infrastructure Development Fund Act of 1995 is the next step in honoring commitments made when the Pick-Sloan dams were constructed in a fiscally sound manner while giving local entities the latitude to determine their own development priorities. This legislation not only benefits the tribe, but the entire State of South Dakota, since a sound infrastructure is essential to regional economic development.

This legislation has broad support in South Dakota. Gov. Bill Janklow strongly endorses this proposal to develop the infrastructure at the Crow Creek Indian reservation.

Mr. President, the impact of the Pick-Sloan projects have been devastating to other Missouri River tribes as well. I look forward to working with the Lower Brule Sioux Tribe and the Cheyenne River Sioux Tribe to address their claims.

Mr. STEVENS. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent the bill be deemed read a third time and passed, the motion to reconsider be laid on the table, and any statements relating to the bill appear at the appropriate place in the RECORD.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

The bill (H.R. 2512) was deemed read a third time and passed.

UTAH SCHOOLS AND LANDS IMPROVEMENT ACT AMENDMENTS

Mr. STEVENS. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent the Senate proceed to the immediate consideration of Calendar No. 558, H.R. 2464.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will report.

The legislative clerk read as follows:

A bill (H.R. 2464) to amend Public Law 103-93 to provide additional land within the State of Utah for the Indian reservation and for other purposes.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Is there objection to the immediate consideration of the bill?

There being no objection, the Senate proceeded to consider the bill.

Mr. McCAIN. Mr. President, I am pleased to rise in support of H.R. 2464, a bill to amend Public Law 103-93 to add certain State and Federal lands to the Goshute Indian Reservation in Utah.

Public Law 103-93 authorizes the Secretary of the Interior to acquire about 200,000 acres of Utah school trust land located within the boundaries of national parks, forests, and Indian reservations in Utah. In exchange, the

school trust will receive other Federal land and mineral rights of equal value.

H.R. 2464 amends the 1993 act to make an additional 7,000 acres of State land eligible for exchange for Federal lands or interests of equal value and their addition in trust to the Goshute Reservation. The bill also provides for about 1,280 acres of Federal land and mineral interests to be added to the reservation.

The addition of these lands to the Goshute Reservation will provide a more clearly defined and manageable reservation boundary. This will greatly improve the tribe's ability to deal with poaching, trespassing, and other problems along the reservation boundary.

Enactment of the legislation will also further assist the State of Utah and the Federal Government in consolidating their respective landholdings and thus contribute to more effective, environmentally responsible land management.

The Committee on Indian Affairs held a hearing on H.R. 2464 in July of this year. Hearing testimony established that the bill is without controversy and clearly in the beneficial interest of the Goshute Tribe, the State of Utah, and the United States. The Congressional Budget Office subsequently reported that enactment of the bill would have no significant impact on the Federal budget, nor would it affect direct spending or receipts.

I commend Utah's Senators ORRIN HATCH and ROBERT BENNETT for their cooperative efforts with the tribe, the State, and the administration that led to development of H.R. 2464 and its Senate counterpart, S. 1766.

Mr. President, H.R. 2464 is meritorious legislation, and I urge its passage by the Senate.

Mr. HATCH. Mr. President, I am delighted the Senate has scheduled consideration of H.R. 2464.

This legislation amends the Utah Schools and Lands Improvement Act of 1993 (Public Law 103-93) which provides a vehicle by which school trust lands located within Federal reservations in Utah—such as national parks, national forests, wilderness, and Indian reservations—could be exchanged for lands located elsewhere in Utah.

The act helps to ensure that Utah's schools receive the full and intended benefit of the trust lands by resolving Federal and State land management problems resulting from interspersed land ownership within Utah.

H.R. 2464 would amend the 1993 act to provide for the exchange of approximately 8,000 acres of additional State land, located within the Goshute Reservation boundaries, for Federal lands, or interests, of equal value.

The Goshute Tribe's reservation is located in a remote valley southwest of the Great Salt Lake and astride the border between Utah and Nevada with approximately half of the reservation within each State.

This bill will resolve a long standing problem associated with the southern

boundary of the tribe's reservation. When Congress initially considered Public Law 103-93, the Goshute Tribe requested a resolution of the irregular configuration on the reservation's southern boundary. The irregular configuration and remote location of about 8,000 acres of land along that boundary make proper land management virtually impossible. In fact, the State of Utah, the Bureau of Land Management and the tribe have been unable to prevent trespassing and poaching in this area.

This measure will improve the tribe's ability to manage and preserve that land.

H.R. 2464 was introduced in the House by my good friend Congressman JIM HANSEN of Utah, and has wide support from many diverse groups including the Bureau of Land Management, the State of Utah, the Goshute Tribe, Juab County, and the Utah Wilderness Coalition.

This legislation is very important to the people of Utah—to our school system—and to the tribal members of the Goshute Tribe.

I urge my colleagues in the Senate to support its passage.

Mr. MURKOWSKI. I would like to ask my friend, the Senator from Arizona [Mr. MCCAIN], the Chairman of the Committee on Indian Affairs, if he would engage in a colloquy with me and the Senator from Idaho [Mr. CRAIG], the chairman of the Subcommittee on Forests and Public Land Management, on the bill H.R. 2464?

Mr. MCCAIN. I will be pleased to have a colloquy with the Senator from Alaska and the Senator from Idaho.

Mr. MURKOWSKI. I thank the Senator. As he knows, H.R. 2464 amends the Utah Schools and Lands Improvement Act of 1993, an Act which, in the 103rd Congress, was considered exclusively by the Committee on Energy and Natural Resources.

I was therefore surprised to learn that on May 15th of this year the Parliamentarian referred H.R. 2464 to the Committee on Indian Affairs. I was further surprised to learn that on the very next day, May 16th, the Parliamentarian referred an identical Senate bill, S. 1766, introduced by our colleague, Senator BENNETT, to the Committee on Energy and Natural Resources, which then referred it to Senator CRAIG's Subcommittee.

So I ask my friend, the Chairman of the Committee on Indian Affairs, whether he would agree with me and Senator CRAIG that it would have been appropriate for the Parliamentarian to refer H.R. 2464 to the Committee on Energy and Natural Resources?

Mr. MCCAIN. I agree with the Senators from Alaska and Idaho that referral of H.R. 2464 to the Committee on Energy and Natural Resources would have been appropriate. The rules of the Senate are clear that issues pertaining to the management of the public lands are within the jurisdiction of the Committee on Energy and Natural Resources.

I note, however, that both the 1993 Act and H.R. 2464 include provisions that deal with the issue of adding land in trust to Indian reservations in Utah. Would the Chairman of the Energy Committee agree with me that, with respect to this issue, referral of the legislation to the Committee on Indian Affairs is appropriate?

Mr. MURKOWSKI. I agree with the Senator from Arizona.

Mr. MCCAIN. I thank the Senator. As he knows, the Committee on Indian Affairs held a hearing on H.R. 2464. The Committee found that the authority the bill would provide for addressing reservation boundary-related problems is appropriate and necessary and very important to the Goshute Indian Tribe. The Committee supports this meritorious and noncontroversial legislation.

Mr. MURKOWSKI. I thank the Senator from Arizona for his statement.

Mr. CRAIG. I am pleased to add that we have looked at the hearing record and the report of the Committee on Indian Affairs on H.R. 2464. The Subcommittee has reviewed the bill, and I am confident that had we had more time this session, we would have reported it favorably. We have no problems with the bill as reported by the Committee on Indian Affairs.

I see no reason for further consideration of the legislation by the Subcommittee on Forests and Public Lands or the Full Committee on Energy and Natural Resources.

Mr. MURKOWSKI. I concur with the Senator from Idaho, and I thank the Senator from Arizona for his Committee's expeditious work on this legislation. I am pleased to join with him in urging that it be passed.

Mr. STEVENS. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent the bill be deemed read for a third time, passed, the motion to reconsider be laid on the table, and any statements relating to the bill be placed at the appropriate place in the RECORD.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

The bill (H.R. 2464) was deemed read a third time and passed.

INDIAN HEALTH CARE IMPROVEMENT TECHNICAL CORRECTIONS ACT OF 1996

Mr. STEVENS. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent the Senate turn now to the immediate consideration of Calendar No. 577, H.R. 3378.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will report.

The legislative clerk read as follows:

A bill (H.R. 3378) to amend the Indian Health Care Improvement Act to extend the demonstration program for direct billing of Medicare, Medicaid, and other third-party payors.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Is there objection to the immediate consideration of the bill?

There being no objection, the Senate proceeded to consider the bill.

AMENDMENT NO. 5392

(Purpose: To provide a substitute)

Mr. STEVENS. Mr. President, Senator MCCAIN has a substitute amendment at the desk. I ask for its immediate consideration.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will report.

The legislative clerk read as follows:

The Senator from Alaska [Mr. STEVENS], for Mr. MCCAIN, proposes an amendment numbered 5392.

The amendment is as follows:

Strike all after the enacting clause and insert the following:

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE; REFERENCES.

(a) SHORT TITLE.—This Act may be cited as the "Indian Health Care Improvement Technical Corrections Act of 1996".

(b) REFERENCES.—Whenever in this Act an amendment or repeal is expressed in terms of an amendment to or repeal of a section or other provision, the reference shall be considered to be made to a section or other provision of the Indian Health Care Improvement Act.

SEC. 2. TECHNICAL CORRECTIONS IN THE INDIAN HEALTH CARE IMPROVEMENT ACT.

(a) DEFINITION OF HEALTH PROFESSION.—Section 4(n) (25 U.S.C. 1603(n)) is amended—

(1) by inserting "allopathic medicine," before "family medicine"; and

(2) by striking "and allied health professions" and inserting "an allied health profession, or any other health profession".

(b) INDIAN HEALTH PROFESSIONS SCHOLARSHIPS.—Section 104(b) of the Indian Health Care Improvement Act (25 U.S.C. 1613a(b)) is amended—

(1) in paragraph (3)—

(A) in subparagraph (A)—

(i) by striking the matter preceding clause (i) and inserting the following:

"(3)(A) The active duty service obligation under a written contract with the Secretary under section 338A of the Public Health Service Act (42 U.S.C. 2541) that an individual has entered into under that section shall, if that individual is a recipient of an Indian Health Scholarship, be met in full-time practice, by service—";

(ii) by striking "or" at the end of clause (iii);

(iii) by striking the period at the end of clause (iv) and inserting "; or"; and

(iv) by adding at the end the following new clause:

"(v) in an academic setting (including a program that receives funding under section 102, 112, or 114, or any other academic setting that the Secretary, acting through the Service, determines to be appropriate for the purposes of this clause) in which the major duties and responsibilities of the recipient are the recruitment and training of Indian health professionals in the discipline of that recipient in a manner consistent with the purpose of this title, as specified in section 101.";

(B) by redesignating subparagraphs (B) and (C) as subparagraphs (C) and (D), respectively;

(C) by inserting after subparagraph (A) the following new subparagraph:

"(B) At the request of any individual who has entered into a contract referred to in subparagraph (A) and who receives a degree in medicine (including osteopathic or allopathic medicine), dentistry, optometry, podiatry, or pharmacy, the Secretary shall defer the active duty service obligation of that individual under that contract, in order that such individual may complete any internship, residency, or other advanced clinical training that is required for the practice