The other thing that they are saying is that the Republican plan cuts Medicare. The Republican plan goes from \$190 to \$304 billion. I wish that my Democrat friends would join me in increasing Medicare from \$5,000 to \$7,000 per person. It would be great if they would like to join us in increasing and protecting and preserving Medicare. I ask them to . . . join us in true reform.

Mr. VOLKMER. Mr. Speaker, I demand that the gentleman's words be taken down.

#### □ 1030

## PARLIAMENTARY INQUIRY

Mr. GENE GREEN of Texas. Mr. Speaker, I have a parliamentary inquiry.

The SPEAKER pro tempore [Mr. HAYWORTH]. The gentleman will state his parliamentary inquiry.

Mr. GENE GREEN of Texas. Is the gentleman from Georgia [Mr. KINGS-TON] required to be seated during this time?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman is correct. The gentleman from Georgia will be seated.

Mr. KINGSTON. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to withdraw any reference from my speech to lying.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Georgia?

There was no objection.

The demand was withdrawn.

### SAY "NO" TO PAYOFFS FOR LAYOFFS

(Mr. SANDERS asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)  $% \left( {{\left( {{{{\bf{N}}_{\rm{T}}}} \right)}_{\rm{T}}}} \right)$ 

Mr. SANDERS. Mr. Speaker, in a time when this Congress is proposing huge cuts in Medicare, and Medicaid, and education, environmental protection, veterans programs, it is absolutely insane that we continue to provide \$125 billion a year in corporate welfare, tax breaks and subsidies which go to some of the largest, the most profitable corporations in America, and that is why I am delighted that last month legislation which I offered with the gentleman from New Jersey [Mr. SMITH] passed this body and would take a major bite out of one of the most outrageous examples of corporate welfare, and that is the billions of dollars in Pentagon subsidies which taxpavers are providing to huge defense contractors, subsidies which, if my colleagues can believe it, are providing incentives to merge their companies and in the process lay off tens of thousands of American workers.

Yes, that is what we are doing: Taxpayer subsidies are going for payoffs for layoffs, to lay off tens of thousands of American workers.

Mr. Speaker, while this important legislation passed the House unfortunately it did not pass the Senate, and it will be going to conference commit-

tee. My hope is that this body will urge our conferees to say no to payoffs, for layoffs.

REPUBLICANS WANT COMMON-SENSE REFORM OF THE WEL-FARE SYSTEM

(Mrs. SEASTRAND asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend her remarks.)

Mrs. SEASTRAND. Mr. Speaker, most people probably think that welfare is temporary, that people are on it for a few months and then move on to a job. This is not the case. The average stay on welfare is 13 years.

Mr. Speaker, that is plainly unacceptable. When we place people on welfare for year after year after year, you are not helping them you are hurting them. If a person is healthy and is able to work, they should work, period. It is reprehensible that our Government has devised a welfare system that pays people not to work.

Republicans have made it very clear that we want serious, commonsense reform of the welfare system. We want to emphasize work, we want to restore power to the States, and we want to encourage personal responsibility. President Bill Clinton says he wants the very same things.

I hope the President joins us in reforming welfare so that it does not become a way of life and people are not trapped on it for 13 years.

# WHICH STATEMENT OF THE SPEAKER'S ARE WE TO BELIEVE?

(Mr. KLINK asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. KLINK. Mr. Speaker, let me just refer again, since we have had some dispute as to who is being truthful and who is misrepresenting the truth, the exact quote from Speaker GINGRICH, who said:

We don't get rid of it in round one because we don't think that's politically smart and we don't think that's the right way to go through a transition period, but we believe it's going to wither on the vine because we think people are voluntarily going to leave it.

My colleagues on the Republican side would now say that he was referring to the Health Care Finance Administration. Who is going to voluntarily leave the Health Care Finance Administration except maybe a handful of disgruntled bureaucratic employees who do not want to work there any more?

When they talk about leaving it, the it is Medicare. We are talking about fee-for-service Medicare, indeed.

The Speaker himself, quoted in the Atlanta Journal and Constitution 3 days after this quote was in the Washington Post, said that he was in fact referring to fee-for-service Medicare which he believed seniors would leave if they will have managed care.

We have to wonder which statement of the Speaker's we are to believe.

## CONGRESS IS NOT GOING TO CUT MEDICARE NO MATTER WHAT ANYBODY SAYS

(Mr. CALLAHAN asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. CALLAHAN. Mr. Speaker, to those thousands of American senior citizens around the country that might be watching this today, I want to tell them that we are having a lot of fun seemingly at their expense. We have some people who are more interested in the Presidential race or in their own congressional races. We have some people that are using these props and some people even making up things. But to alleviate any fears that may he had in the future about this Congress cutting Medicare, I am a member of the Committee on Appropriations, and I have spoken to Democrats and Republicans on the committee, and I will guarantee everyone that we are not going to cut Medicare under any circumstance.

So listen to the debate, listen to what they have to say, keep in mind what they are saying. But if Americans want to go visit their grandchildren today, then they should go visit their grandchildren because SONNY CAL-LAHAN can say unequivocally that this Congress, the next Congress, or the following Congress is not going to cut Medicare no matter what anybody says.

# SUPPORT INCREASED FUNDING FOR THE LEGAL SERVICES COR-PORATION

(Mrs. LOWEY asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend her remarks.)

Mrs. LOWEY. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to voice my strong support for the Legal Services Corp. The funding cuts contained in the Commerce-Justice-State appropriations bill that we are considering today will force the Legal Services Corp. to abandon many of the critical legal services that it provides to poor women, particularly victims of domestic violence.

in 1995, legal services programs handled over 59,000 cases in which clients sought legal protection from abusive spouses and over 9,300 cases involving neglected, abused, and dependent juveniles. In fact, family law—which includes domestic violence cases—makes up one-third of the 1.7 million cases handled by legal services programs each year.

Let me tell my colleagues the story of one women who received help from a legal services program. To escape an abusive husband, this woman took her three children and fled to Texas. The husband followed her, beat her up, and held a gun to her head and threatened to kill her.

# MOURNING THE PASSING OF THE HONORABLE HAMILTON FISH OF NEW YORK

(Mrs. KELLY asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend her remarks.)

Mrs. KELLY. Mr. Speaker, I rise this morning to mourn the passing of my friend and distinguished Member of this institution, the Honorable Hamilton Fish of New York. Ham epitomized the concept of public service. He represented the 19th Congressional District of New York for 26 years. I had the pleasure of working with him for many years and came to know him for his dedication to truth and the dignity of public service. He was a true gentleman and my friend for 26 years. He left me large shoes to fill in the 19th Congressional District.

Mr. Speaker, this institution is often judged by its problems as a whole or by the misdeeds of a few, but seldom by the virtues of its individual Members. Hamilton Fish carried out his work with dignity and respect and represented the very best of this institution. Our thoughts and prayers go to his wife MaryAnn and his family. We will miss you, Ham.

BOB DOLE NEEDS MORE THAN BRAN MUFFINS TO KEEP HIS CAMPAIGN MOVING

(Mr. SCHUMER asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. SCHUMER. Mr. Speaker, today's Washington Post reported that Bob Dole is the picture of physical health for a man 73 years of age, but his electoral health is another matter. No matter how physically fit Bob Dole may be at age 73, the American voter will not support tobacco for kids, choice for no one, and assault weapons for everyone, and so to restore his political health Candidate Dole has now had to flip-flop. Bob Dole said cigarettes were not addictive and now admits they are. Bob Dole's 35-year antichoice record is replaced by the protolerance candidate today.

Repealing the assault weapons ban was a top priority when Dole was in the Senate. Now he says he might veto the same bill. The flip-flops have gotten so bad his political health has reached critical.

His handlers have reportedly forbidden Bob Dole from speaking to reporters without a script. And next week, in the ultimate desperation move, Candidate Dole will unveil a pie-in-the-sky tax cut and abandon his pledge to balance the budget.

That is right, another flip-flop.

Bob Dole's physical health may be OK, but he will need a lot more than

#### GENUINE WELFARE REFORM

(Mr. TORKILDSEN asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. TORKILDSEN. Mr. Speaker, the time has come for President Clinton to show some leadership on welfare reform. If he really wants genuine welfare reform, if he really wants to end welfare as we know it, he will sign the bipartisan welfare plan when it reaches his desk

Genuine welfare reform focuses on work, not welfare. It requires delinquent parents to make child care payments to support their children and also to relieve the taxpayers of that burden. Genuine welfare reform means no more welfare for illegal aliens and felons. Genuine welfare reform restores power and flexibility to the States, and genuine welfare reform encourages personal responsibility.

Mr. Speaker, the House has passed welfare reform, and the Senate is expected to do so today. Congress has shown the necessary leadership to pass this bill. President Clinton should do the same and sign the genuine welfare reform bill.

#### REPUBLICAN MAJORITY TRYING TO REWRITE HISTORY

(Mr. GENE GREEN of Texas asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. GENE GREEN of Texas. Mr. Speaker, the Republican majority last night and today; we have all heard it, is trying to rewrite history. In fact we heard it again this morning where they are trying, the Republican colleague, my Republican colleague is trying to rewrite it. Their method of operation is to threaten possible libel suits against television stations that run commercials that accurately reflect what the Speaker's views on Medicare are. The logic is: "If you can't beat them, then prevent them from broadcasting.

The question is whether the Speaker of the House said Republicans planned for Medicare to "wither on the vine." After he made that famous speech last year, it was not quite clear, and yet reporters asked the Speaker's press secretary for clarification. He confirmed to a reporter that the Speaker meant the fee-for-service medicine.

Fee-for-service medicine. that is Medicare. That is not some change in interpretation a year later.

Later, in a town hall meeting in his district the Speaker said he was referring to the "fee-for-service portion of Medicare." That sounds to me like Medicare that most of the country is familiar with.

Mr. Speaker, the Republican majority says the Democrats and the media are lying to the American people. But the record shows that the Speaker's words are what is getting Republicans in trouble.

# WE MUST REFORM WELFARE

(Mr. WELLER asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. WELLER. Mr. Speaker, let me tell my colleagues, we all came to Washington to change how Washington works, and one of the most basic, fundamental changes we need to bring, of course, is reforming our welfare system.

Between 1965 and 1994, America's taxpayers have spent \$5.4 trillion on welfare, and what have we gotten? A failed welfare system.

Who suffers the most under welfare today? The children of our Nation.

In fact, as a result of our failed welfare programs are high rates of juvenile crime, more children living in poverty than ever before and higher rates of teenage illegitimacy.

Our current welfare system has failed

Just last week this House passed real welfare reform, welfare reform that emphasizes work and family and responsibility. Twice now we have passed and sent to the President real welfare reform that emphasizes work and family and responsibility, and President Clinton vetoed it twice.

Well, let us send it again. Let us send real welfare reform that emphasizes work and family responsibility. Let us hope that the third time is the charm. Let us hope the President signs the bill this time. We need welfare reform.

#### THE REPUBLICAN ECONOMIC AGENDA

(Mrs. CLAYTON asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend her remarks.)

Mrs. CLAYTON. Mr. Speaker, since President Clinton took office, the deficit has been on a steady decline, now estimated by both CBO and OMB as somewhere between \$117 billion. Congress has had a real opportunity to ease the economic burdens faced by so many American citizens.

Today the Republicans are gathering for an economic forum. That is indeed encouraging. However, the gentleman from Georgia [Mr. GINGRICH] has been quoted as stating that their idea is "to boost the growth and restore the American dream through capital gains relief." That is discouraging.

The Nation has clearly rejected policies that simply help only the rich and forget the rest.

I urge my Republican colleagues as they assemble today to consider all America, not just those who make over \$100,000 a year, but all Americans. We can help working Americans by providing tax breaks for educating our college students, by raising the minimum