

the way it was, so they are not going to have it.

That is what happens when you have a dictator as a Speaker. NEWT GINGRICH is not going to permit the minimum wage bill to ever come up for a vote in the House and Senate again. Why? The National Restaurant Association is opposed to it, and they have given NEWT GINGRICH thousands and thousands of dollars. That is why.

THE PRESIDENT AND WELFARE REFORM

(Mr. LEWIS of Kentucky asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. LEWIS of Kentucky. Mr. Speaker, last May, Bill Clinton clearly stated that the Wisconsin welfare reform plan was a solid, commonsense plan for moving people from welfare dependence to work. Here is what he said on May 18 during his weekly radio address, "I pledge that my administration will work with Wisconsin to make an effective transition to a new vision of welfare based on work * * *."

Today, the 30-day public comment period expires and yet we hear nothing from the White House about the Wisconsin welfare waivers. Not a peep.

Mr. Speaker, clearly there is a complete and total disconnect between what Bill Clinton does and what he says. As George Will once said, Bill Clinton believes everything he emphatically says, right up until the second he totally repudiates it.

The same applies to welfare reform. Bill Clinton will say anything to make people believe he wants to change welfare, but when it comes time for action, he will come to the defense of the liberal status quo.

REPUBLICAN-LED CONGRESS OF INACTION

(Mr. PALLONE asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. PALLONE. Mr. Speaker, yesterday, when I spoke on the floor I was encouraged by the fact that the Senate was finally taking up the minimum wage hike; and I was hopeful that a crippling amendment that would have delayed implementation for 6 months and exempted many small businesses so that half the people on minimum wage would not benefit from the hike would not pass. Fortunately, that amendment did not pass; and so now I am hoping that somehow we are going to get this minimum wage to the President's desk.

But what we have found out today is that the Republican leadership in the Senate as well as in the House continues to want delay. They do not want the minimum wage to pass. They are saying they are not going to appoint conferees, and they will only appoint conferees to work out the differences

on the minimum wage bill if the health care reform bill also moves.

What we are seeing again is an effort by the Republican leadership to stop the minimum wage hike just like they are trying to stop health insurance reform. They are going to let this drag on between now and November so that this Congress once again will be the Congress of inaction. Nothing happens here. It is not happening because the Republican leadership does not want it to happen.

CASUALTIES IN THE WAR ON EDUCATION

(Mr. UNDERWOOD asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. UNDERWOOD. Mr. Speaker, yet again the majority has failed to make education a priority in this year's appropriations bill. Education cuts included in the Labor, HHS, Education appropriations measure, H.R. 3755, total \$400 million from last year's level. And these cuts are in addition to the \$1.1 billion already cut by the 104th Congress.

In this most recent battle in the war on education, casualties include Goals 2000, Byrd scholarships, student incentive grants, and Eisenhower teacher training funds. Those wounded in this battle included title I funds for disadvantaged students, special education, safe and drug free schools, bilingual education, and others.

this bill makes it clear that in the eyes of this Congress, access to higher education is not a priority, safe and drug free schools are unimportant, and improving our educational system is unnecessary.

If we want our students to grow into a competitive work force and continue our leadership in the global marketplace, education is the engine that will take us there. Education is not expendable, it is vital to our future, and the appropriations bill that passes this House should reflect this reality.

FAT LADY HAS NOT SUNG ON MINIMUM WAGE

(Mr. WYNN asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. WYNN. Mr. Speaker, as they say in sports, "It ain't over till it's over." "It ain't over till the fat lady sings."

Bipartisan majorities in both Houses have passed the minimum wage increase which would help 11.8 million Americans, 40 percent of whom are sole breadwinners and 58 percent of whom are women. But it ain't over. Because special interests and NEWT GINGRICH and the Republican leadership are already in the back room working out delays.

They do not want to appoint a conference committee to move this bill to the President's desk despite the fact

that 80 percent of the American public, American taxpayers, want an increase in the minimum wage. They are saying if we do not get our special interest provision in the health care bill, you cannot have minimum wage.

That is the way it goes around here now, and it is flat-out wrong. The people deserve better. The people deserve minimum wage increases and a clean health care bill.

Would somebody give the fat lady a sheet of music? We need to pass this legislation. We do not need to knuckle under to special interests.

□ 1145

MINIMUM WAGE HELD HOSTAGE BY SENATE REPUBLICANS

(Ms. DELAURO asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend her remarks.)

Ms. DELAURO. Mr. Speaker, yesterday the Senate passed the minimum wage. Normally it would be on its way to President Clinton for his signature and in a short time—Americans everywhere would be benefiting. But not in this Congress—unfortunately here the will of the American people is consistently being undermined.

Eighty percent of the American people support a minimum wage increase, today we learn that Republicans in the Senate are holding the minimum wage hostage. According to Congress Daily, "Coming off a defeat on a controversial pro-business amendment, Senate Republicans further jeopardized final approval of a minimum wage hike by threatening to block conference action unless Democrats unleash their grip on health care insurance reform legislation."

As my colleague before me said, they want to put in their special-interest medical savings account into the health care bill. This sounds a lot like, "If you do not play by my rules, then I am going to take my ball and go home." This is a refrain that is heard in sandboxes. It has no place in the U.S. Congress. The Senate needs to get out of the sandbox, pass the minimum wage today.

REPUBLICANS PUT FAMILIES LAST

(Mr. OWENS asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. OWENS. Mr. Speaker, Democrats are insisting that we put families first. Republicans are insisting that we put families last. Republicans have continued their attack on American families, but now with a double-barreled shotgun. They are attacking minimum wage again. The Senate is threatening to derail the passage of minimum-wage increase. They have loaded up the bill with poison pills to guarantee that it will not be signed by the President.

The Senate passed a bill yesterday, but it is a hoax. It will not lead to a minimum wage increase in America.

On the other hand, education is being attacked again by the Republicans. The education cuts we fought so hard against last fall, and the American people made it quite clear that they do not want cuts in education, again we have millions of dollars being cut in education by this Republican House majority. We do not need to attack families with a double-barreled shotgun. Do not go after them with education cuts and at the same time go after them with minimum wage cuts.

Nobody can live on \$8,400 a year for minimum wage, and our students cannot meet the challenges of this high-tech economy unless they have every possible opportunity to get an education. Let us support American families. Put families first.

MINIMUM WAGE: "WHAT IS THE BIG DEAL?"

(Mr. KLINK asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. KLINK. Mr. Speaker, I was called by a constituent yesterday, Mr. Lou Kasing, who runs an automobile dealership in my district. In fact, in Butler County, he is known as Mr. Republican. And he is a good businessman, understands business and has a great heart. He says, "I do not understand something." He says, "This business about raising the minimum wage, if we raise the minimum wage, are all Federal workers going to get an automatic increase?" I said, "No." He said, "What about the labor unions, do they get an automatic increase?" I said, "No." He said, "Then what is the big deal?"

As the previous speaker said, no one can raise a family on \$8,500 a year. We cannot do it. And so, he knows, as a businessperson, the wise thing is to have employees who are happy. The wise thing is to have employees that can meet their financial obligations while working a commensurate amount of time that still allows them to give a portion of their time, quality time, to their families and to their communities. So we must stop playing games. We must make sure that minimum wage goes to the President, he can sign it, and that the poorest workers in this country can get a raise.

COMMUNICATION FROM THE HONORABLE JOSEPH M. MCDADE, MEMBER OF CONGRESS

The SPEAKER pro tempore laid before the House the following communication from the Honorable JOSEPH M. MCDADE, Member of Congress:

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES,
Washington, DC, July 9, 1996.

Hon. NEWT GINGRICH,
Speaker, House of Representatives,
Washington, DC.

DEAR MR. SPEAKER: This is to notify you formally, pursuant to Rule L (50) of the

Rules of the House of Representatives, that Teresa Baker, a Senior Legislative Assistant in my Washington Office, has been served with a subpoena issued by the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania in the case of *United States v. McDade*.

After consultation with the Office of General Counsel, I have determined that compliance with the subpoena is consistent with the precedents and privileges of the House.

Sincerely,

JOSEPH M. MCDADE,
Member of Congress.

COMMUNICATION FROM THE CLERK OF THE HOUSE

The SPEAKER pro tempore laid before the House the following communication from the Clerk of the House of Representatives:

OFFICE OF THE CLERK,
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES,
Washington, DC, July 9, 1996.

Hon. NEWT GINGRICH,
Speaker, U.S. House of Representatives,
Washington, DC.

DEAR MR. SPEAKER: This is to formally notify you pursuant to Rule L (50) of the Rules of the House that Michael L. Stern of the Office of General Counsel has been served with a subpoena for records issued by the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois.

After consultation with the Office of General Counsel, I have determined that compliance with the subpoena is consistent with the privileges and precedents of the House.

With warm regards,

ROBIN H. CARLE,
Clerk.

PERMISSION FOR SUNDRY COMMITTEES AND THEIR SUBCOMMITTEES TO SIT TODAY DURING THE 5-MINUTE RULE

Mr. DREIER. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that the following committees and their subcommittees be permitted to sit today while the House is meeting in the Committee of the Whole under the 5-minute rule: Committee on Banking and Financial Services; Committee on Economic and Educational Opportunities; Committee on Government Reform and Oversight; Committee on House Oversight; Committee on International Relations; Committee on the Judiciary; Committee on Resources; Committee on Science; Committee on Small Business; and Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

Mr. Speaker, it is my understanding that the minority has been consulted and that there is no objection to these requests.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from California?

There was no objection.

PROVIDING FOR CONSIDERATION OF H.R. 3754, LEGISLATIVE BRANCH APPROPRIATIONS ACT, 1997

Mr. DREIER. Mr. Speaker, by direction of the Committee on Rules, I call up House Resolution 473 and ask for its immediate consideration.

The Clerk read the resolution, as follows:

H. RES. 473

Resolved, That at any time after the adoption of this resolution the Speaker may, pursuant to clause 1(b) of rule XXIII, declare the House resolved into the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union for consideration of the bill (H.R. 3754) making appropriations for the Legislative Branch for the fiscal year ending September 30, 1997, and for other purposes. The first reading of the bill shall be dispensed with. Points of order against consideration of the bill for failure to comply with clause 2(l)(6) of rule XI, clause 7 of rule XXI, or section 302 of 308 of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974 are waived. General debate shall be confined to the bill and shall not exceed one hour equally divided and controlled by the chairman and ranking minority member of the Committee on Appropriations. After general debate the bill shall be considered for amendment under the five-minute rule and shall be considered as read. Points of order against provisions in the bill for failure to comply with clause 2 or 6 of rule XXI are waived. No amendment shall be in order except those printed in the report of the Committee on Rules accompanying this resolution. Each amendment may be considered only in the order printed in the report, may be offered only by a member designated in the report, shall be considered as read, shall be debatable for the time specified in the report equally divided and controlled by the proponent and an opponent, shall not be subject to amendment except as specified in the report, and shall not be subject to a demand for division of the question in the House or in the Committee of the Whole. All points of order against amendments printed in the report are waived. The Chairman of the Committee of the Whole may postpone until a time during further consideration in the Committee of the Whole a request for a recorded vote on any amendment. The Chairman of the Committee of the Whole may reduce to not less than five minutes the time for voting by electronic device on any postponed question that immediately follows another vote by electronic device without intervening business, provided that the time for voting by electronic device on the first in any series of questions shall be not less than 15 minutes. At the conclusion of consideration of the bill for amendment the Committee shall rise and report the bill to the House with such amendments as may have been adopted. The previous question shall be considered as ordered on the bill and amendments thereto to final passage without intervening motion except one motion to recommit with or without instructions.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman from California [Mr. DREIER] is recognized for 1 hour.

Mr. DREIER. Mr. Speaker, for purposes of debate only, I yield the customary 30 minutes to my very good friend, the gentleman from Woodland Hills, CA [Mr. BEILENSON], pending which I yield myself such time as I may consume. During consideration of this resolution, all time yielded is for debate purposes only.

(Mr. DREIER asked and was given permission to revise and extend his remarks and include extraneous material.)

Mr. DREIER. Mr. Speaker, this rule makes in order H.R. 3754, the fiscal year 1997 legislative branch appropriations bill, under a modified closed rule.