CONGRESSIONAL RECORD—HOUSE

Souder

Spence

Spratt

So the motion to recommit was rejected.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. YOUNG of Florida). The question is on the passage of the bill.

The question was taken; and the Speaker pro tempore announced that the ayes appeared to have it.

RECORDED VOTE

Mr. DELLUMS. Mr. Speaker. I demand a recorded vote.

A recorded vote was ordered.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. This will be a 5-minute vote.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—ayes 272, noes 153, not voting 8, as follows:

Abercrombie Allard Archer Armey Bachus Dunn Baesler Baker (CA) Baker (LA) Baldacci Ballenger Barcia Barr Barrett (NE) Fazio Bartlett Barton Bass Bateman Bentsen Fox Bereuter Bevill Bilbray Frisa Bilirakis Frost Bishop Bliley Boehlert Gekas Boehner Bonilla Bono Geren Brewster Browder Brown (FL) Brownback Bryant (TN) Bunning Burr Burton Goss Buyer Callahan Calvert Canady Chambliss Chapman Chenoweth Christensen Chrvsler Clayton Clement Clinger Clyburn Coble Coburn Coleman Collins (GA) Combest Condit Cooley Cox Cramer Hoke Crane Crapo Cremeans Hoyer Cubin Cunningham Davis de la Garza Hyde Inglis Deal DeLauro DeLay Diaz-Balart Dickey Dicks Jones

[Roll No. 174] AYES-272 Dooley Doolittle Kasich Kelly Dornan Kennedy (RI) Kennelly Kildee Dreier Edwards Kim Ehrlich King Kingston Emerson Knollenberg Ensign Largent Latham Everett Ewing Fawell LaTourette Laughlin Fields (LA) Lazio Lewis (CA) Flanagan Forbes Lewis (KY) Fowler Lightfoot Linder Franks (CT) Livingston Frelinghuysen Longley Lucas Manzullo Funderburk Martinez Gallegly McCollum Gejdenson McCrerv McDade Gephardt McHale McHugh Gibbons McInnis Gilchrest McIntosh Gillmor McKeon Gilman McNulty Gonzalez Metcalf Goodlatte Meyers Goodling Mica Miller (FL) Gordon Mink Graham Mollohan Green (TX) Montgomery Moorhead Greene (UT) Greenwood Moran Gutknecht Murtha Hall (OH) Hall (TX) Myers Myrick Hamilton Nethercutt Hansen Norwood Harman Nussle Hastert Ortiz Hastings (WA) Orton Hayes Hayworth Oxley Packard Hefley Parker Hefner Pastor Payne (VA) Heineman Peterson (FL) Herger Hilleary Pickett Hobson Pombo Pomeroy Hostettler Porter Houghton Portman Pryce Quillen Hunter Hutchinson Quinn Radanovich Regula Istook Richardson Jefferson Roberts Johnson (CT) Rogers Johnson, E. B. Rohrabacher Johnson, Sam Ros-Lehtinen

Rose

Roth Salmon Sanford Saxton Scarborough Schaefer Schiff Scott Seastrand Shadegg Shaw Shuster Sisisky Skeen Skelton Smith (MI) Smith (NJ) Smith (TX) Smith (WA) Solomon Ackerman Andrews Barrett (WI) Becerra Beilenson Berman Blute Bonior Borski Boucher Brown (CA) Brown (OH) Bryant (TX) Bunn Camp Campbell Cardin Castle Chabot Clay Collins (IL) Collins (MI) Conyers Costello Coyne Cummings Danner DeFazio Dellums Deutsch Dingell Dixon Doggett Doyle Duncan Durbin Ehlers Engel English Eshoo Evans Farr Fattah Filner Foglietta Foley Ford Frank (MA) Franks (NJ) Furse Ganske Gunderson Fields (TX) Flake Holden

Stearns Stenholm Walsh Wamp Stockman Stump Tanner Tate Tauzin Weller Taylor (MS) White Taylor (NC) Wicker Tejeda Thomas Wilson Thompson Wolf Thornberry Wynn Thurman Tiahrt Torkildsen Torres NOES-153 Gutierrez Ney Oberstar Hancock Hastings (FL) Hilliard Obey Olver Hinchev Owens Hoekstra Horn Jackson (IL) Pelosi Jackson-Lee (TX) Petri Jacobs Poshard Johnson (SD) Johnston Rahall Kanjorski Rangel Kaptur Reed Kennedy (MA) Riggs Kleczka Rivers Klink Roemer Klug Kolbe LaFalce Royce LaHood Rush Lantos Sabo Leach Sanders Levin Sawyer Lewis (GA) Lincoln Lipinski LoBiondo Serrano Lofgren Shavs Lowey Skaggs Luther Manton Stark Markey Stokes Martini Studds Mascara Stupak Matsui McCarthy McDermott Towns McKinney Upton Meehan Meek Vento Menendez Volkmer Millender-Waters McDonald Miller (CA) Waxman Williams Minge Moakley Wise Morella Woolsey Nadler Yates Neal Zimmer Neumann NOT VOTING-8 Maloney Talent Molinari Ward Paxon

□ 1606

Ms. BROWN of Florida, Mr. FAZIO of THURMAN California, and Mrs. changed their vote from "no" to "aye." So the bill was passed.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

The title of the bill was amended so as to read: "A bill to authorize appropriations for fiscal year 1997 for military activities of the Department of Defense, for military construction, and for defense activities of the Department of Energy, to prescribe personnel

Traficant Visclosky Vucanovich Walker Watts (OK) Weldon (FL) Weldon (PA) Whitfield Young (AK) Young (FL) Zeliff Pallone Payne (NJ) Peterson (MN) Ramstad Roukema Roybal-Allard Schroeder Schumer Sensenbrenner Slaughter Thornton Torricelli

Velázguez Watt (NC)

strengths for such fiscal year for the Armed Forces, and for other purposes.' A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

GENERAL LEAVE

Mr. SPENCE. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members may have 5 legislative days within which to revise and extend their remarks on the bill just passed.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. YOUNG of Florida). Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from South Carolina?

There was no objection.

AUTHORIZING CLERK TO THE CORRECTIONS MAKE IN EN-GROSSMENT OF H.R. 3230 NA-TIONAL DEFENSE AUTHORIZA-TION ACT FOR FISCAL YEAR 1997

Mr. SPENCE. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that in the engrossment of the bill, H.R. 3230, the Clerk be authorized to correct section numbers, punctuation, cross references, and to make such other technical, clerical, and conforming changes as may be necessary to reflect the actions of the House in amending the bill.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from South Carolina?

There was no objection.

MESSAGE FROM THE PRESIDENT

A message in writing from the President of the United States was communicated to the House by Mr. Edwin Thomas, one of his secretaries.

REPORT ON RESOLUTION PROVID-ING FOR FURTHER CONSIDER-ATION OF HOUSE CONCURRENT RESOLUTION CONCURRENT 178, RESOLUTION ON THE BUDGET, FISCAL YEAR 1997

Mr. SOLOMON, from the Committee on Rules, submitted a privileged report (Rept. No. 104-577) on the resolution (H. Res. 435) providing for further consideration of the concurrent resolution (H. Con. Res. 178) establishing the congressional budget for the U.S. Government for fiscal year 1997 and setting forth appropriate budgetary levels for fiscal years 1998, 1999, 2000, 2001, and 2002, which was referred to the House Calendar and ordered to be printed.

REPORT OF NATIONAL SCIENCE BOARD ENTITLED "SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING INDICATORS-1996''-MESSAGE FROM THE PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES

The SPEAKER pro tempore laid before the House the following message from the President of the United States; which was read and, together with the accompanying papers, without objection, referred to the Committee on Science.

To the Congress of the United States:

As required by 42 U.S.C. 1863(j)(1), I am pleased to submit to the Congress a report of the National Science Board entitled *Science and Engineering Indicators—1996.* This report represents the twelfth in a series examining key aspects of the status of American science and engineering in a global environment.

The science and technology enterprise is a source of discovery and inspiration and is key to the future of our Nation. The United States must sustain world leadership in science, mathematics, and engineering if we are to meet the challenges of today and tomorrow.

I commend *Science and Engineering Indicators—1996* to the attention of the Congress and those in the scientific and technology communities.

WILLIAM J. CLINTON. THE WHITE HOUSE, *May 15, 1996.*

CONCURRENT RESOLUTION ON THE BUDGET, FISCAL YEAR 1997

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to the order of the House of Tuesday, May 14, 1996 and rule XXIII, the Chair declares the House in the Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union for the consideration of the concurrent resolution, House Concurrent Resolution 178.

□ 1609

IN THE COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE

Accordingly the House resolved itself into the Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union for the consideration of the concurrent resolution (H. Con. Res. 178) establishing the congressional budget for the U.S. Government for fiscal year 1997 and setting forth appropriate budgetary levels for fiscal years 1998, 1999, 2000, 2001, and 2002, with Mr. CAMP in the chair.

The Clerk read the title of the concurrent resolution.

The CHAIRMAN. Pursuant to the order of the House of Tuesday, May 14, 1996, the concurrent resolution is considered read the first time.

The gentleman from Ohio [Mr. KA-SICH] and the gentleman from Minnesota [Mr. SABO] each will control 90 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Ohio [Mr. KASICH].

Mr. KASICH. Mr. Chairman, for purposes of debate, I yield 11 minutes to my friend and the very distinguished gentleman from New Jersey [Mr. FRANKS].

Mr. SABO. Before my friend from New Jersey starts and lest I forget, I request unanimous consent that the last 30 minutes of debate on the minority side, which is allocated to the Joint Economic Committee, be controlled by the gentleman from Washington [Mr. McDERMOTT], and that he have the authority to yield time to other Members. The CHAIRMAN. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Minnesota?

There was no objection.

Mr. FRANKS of New Jersey. I thank the gentleman for yielding me time.

Mr. Chairman, the measure before us is not simply about thousands of individual numbers. It is not about economic assumptions. It is not about green eyeshades and sharp leaded pencils. Budgets are about people. Budgets are about ideas.

Mr. Chairman, the budget of the Federal Government speaks to who we are as a country. It looks at our hopes and our aspirations, our dreams. It looks at our challenges and our problems. It looks at our opportunities.

But no budget, Mr. Chairman, exists in a vacuum. A budget is developed against the backdrop of the environment that we find today. As family across this country are looking at their own economic circumstances, they are saying very clearly that America can and must do better. While the economy may be showing signs of improvement for some, many families are still struggling. Tens of thousands of workers continue to lose their jobs, many the victims of corporate downsizing.

In fact, between June of 1994 and June of 1995, fully half the major corporations in the United States eliminated jobs, less than a third of the workers who lost their full-time jobs found new jobs that paid as much money. On average, workers who lost their jobs had to settle for jobs that paid 8.2 percent less. And for dislocated workers between the ages of 45 and 55, their incomes declined by fully 14 percent. We have watched high-paying manufacturing jobs continue to disappear at an alarming rate. Between March of 1995 and March of this year, 326,000 manufacturing jobs were lost.

In the past 2 years, there has been a 10.2 percent increase in the number of Americans who hold two or more jobs. Today more people are working two jobs than at any time in our Nation's history.

□ 1615

Yet, despite working longer and harder than ever before, too many families feel as if they are not moving ahead. They are working harder merely to stay in place, and it is no wonder. The American family has seen no increase in their wages over the past $3\frac{1}{2}$ years. Meanwhile, taxes are taking a bigger and bigger bite out of the family's annual income.

It is interesting to note that back in 1950, Federal taxes consumed just 5 percent of the average family's income. Today, 26 percent of a family's income goes just to pay for Federal taxes. Most families across the country, Mr. Chairman, remember that back in 1993, just 3 years ago, President Clinton raised their taxes, bringing the tax burden to its highest level in history. The Clinton tax package increased taxes on gasoline, increased taxes on individual incomes, increased taxes on married couples, increased taxes on Social Security benefits, increased taxes on inheritances. As a result, every family, every year, is seeing their tax bill escalate. Last year, the average family with a single wageearner took home \$803 less in their paycheck than they did in 1992.

What does all this mean to our children as we look to the future? If we stay on the current path and we do not stop our deficit spending, a child born today will face a very bleak future. Seventeen years from today, when that child is prepared to graduate from high school, every tax dollar sent to Washington, DC, will be consumed by just five programs: Social Security, Medicare, Medicaid, Federal employee retirement benefits, and the interest obligation on the national debt. That means that when that child gets ready to graduate and go to college, there will be no money available in the Federal budget to help with his college education, no money to keep his neighborhood safe from crime, no more Federal aid to build new roads or mass transit systems, and no money available to protect and defend our country. Over his working lifetime, that child will be paying off a huge debt, a debt he inherited from all of us. That child's lifetime obligation as his share of the interest payment on the national debt will be \$18.000.

The fact is that America needs a budget that saves our children's future. Our children deserve a better and brighter future than this scenario. They deserve one filled with hope and opportunity and a chance to live out the American dream. Since the start of the Great Society programs in 1965, we have spent \$5 trillion on a vast assortment of social spending programs. That is more than we spent to win World War II.

What has that enormous investment produced? The number of children living in households dependent on welfare has tripled, from 3.3 million to 9.6 million. There has been an explosion in the number of mothers, many of them children themselves, who are having children out of wedlock, a 326 percent increase over the last 30 years.

We need to make sure that Washington is there to lend a temporary hand in time of need, helping the people to get back on their feet again so they can lead independent, self-sufficient lives.

As we look ahead to the vast changes that await us in the twenty-first century, just around the corner, we must empower individuals to take advantage of new opportunities, and to do that, America needs a budget that empowers people to be self-reliant.

To accomplish that objective, we need a budget that reduces the power and influence of Washington over our everyday lives. In just 30 years, Government spending has exploded. The cost of running the Federal Government has moved from \$134 billion a