GAS TAX INCREASE

(Mr. KINGSTON asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mr. KINGSTON. Mr. Speaker, a lot of people think that the President has lost touch since he has flip-flopped so many times that maybe it has affected his head and his thinking. But it is not true. Bill Clinton still feels your pain.

In fact, Bill Clinton feels your gas pain. He even caused your gas pain, 4 cents a gallon, a 30-percent gas tax increase. That is about the price of a can of beans with every 10 gallons of gas, about a 40-cent difference.

So this summer, Mr. Speaker, what I say to middle-class Americans, when you are on vacation filling up your gas tank, spending that extra 40 cents, go ahead, buy the President a can of beans and send it to the White House. That way, not only will Bill Clinton feel your gas pain, but he can share in it as well.

THE BUDGET

(Mr. WYNN asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. WYNN. Mr. Speaker, perhaps the previous speaker should also keep in mind the Dole dime that actually caused the taxpayers to lose more than a can of beans.

This morning I want to talk about the budget. Despite the headlines, this is in fact the same budget with the same flaws. Extreme deep cuts on the poor and the middle class to finance tax breaks for the wealthy.

What does this mean? It means that senior citizens and the poor are going to have a second class health care system. They are going to march up here in lockstep and try to tell us that we have to make these cuts to maintain the solvency of the Medicare system.

Please do not believe this hoax. The fact of the matter is, we do not need these deep cuts. The President's budget, other Democratic budgets give us the same level of solvency by the year 2006 as the Republicans do without making the deep cuts.

What do these cuts mean? They mean a loss of choice for seniors as to the doctors that they would go to. They mean that hospitals will close in rural areas because of deep cuts. And they mean children will go without health care

As one of my colleagues said, it is basically the same old song.

MINIMUM WAGE

(Mr. BALLENGER asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. BALLENGER. Mr. Speaker, the President is pushing the minimum wage. As a business person who runs a business, I know that business has been eating raw material cost increases for years. Unable to increase prices, business has been needing a reason to raise those prices. Along comes the minimum wage. Watch prices. Inflation can eat the value of a wage increase in nothing flat.

Who are we kidding? The minimum wage increase is straight politics.

GAS PRICES

(Mr. FAZIO of California asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mr. FAZIO of California. Mr. Speaker, everybody knows that gas prices are rising, but if you think you have got trouble, you ought to look at what the people in California are facing: in Sacramento, \$1.54 a gallon; in San Jose, \$1.79; in Santa Barbara, \$2.19 a gallon. That is right. Here in the District of Columbia, it is only \$1.39 on average; nationally, \$1.27.

Clearly, we have a bigger problem in our very isolated gasoline market on the west coast. We have 10 percent of our refineries down and out of commission. We have the added costs of newly reformulated gasoline.

Sure, something needs to be done, and we can help by repealing the 4.3 cents gas tax increase, but what are we going to do to guarantee that that money actually finds its way into the pockets of consumers? That is \$30 billion the consumers need back.

Our Republican friends have shown no inclination or ability to make that happen. They simply are going to be feathering the nests of the major oil companies in this country.

ROLL BACK THE CLINTON GAS

(Mr. LEWIS of Kentucky asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks).

Mr. LEWIS of Kentucky. Mr. Speaker, back in 1992, candidate Bill Clinton said, I oppose Federal excise gas tax increases. But in 1993, President Clinton enacted the largest tax increase in our Nation's history. And buried in that tax package was a \$4.8 billion increase in the gas tax.

Mr. Speaker, the American people are realizing the cruel effects of the Clinton gas tax right now. As Americans plan for the busiest travel season of the year, gas prices are soaring all over our Nation. The Clinton crunch is hitting our wallets hard.

Mr. Speaker, Republicans are committed to rolling back the onerous Clinton gas tax. We want to reverse the tide of the Clinton crunch and not only help people earn more but help people keep more of what they earn.

The Clinton tax gas is a regressive tax that hurts all motorists, rich or poor. It is time to repeal the Clinton gas tax.

□ 1030

AT LEAST REPUBLICANS ARE CONSISTENT

(Ms. DELAURO asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend her remarks.)

Ms. DELAURO. Mr. Speaker, it is deja vu all over again. Although it is early for summer reruns, the Republicans yesterday released their budget, which is a rehash of the document that the American public resoundingly defeated and rejected in 1995. It has the same identical budget priorities, drastically cuts the amount of money spent on health care for seniors, a \$168 billion cut in Medicare, the potential for hospitals to close across this country.

The Republicans cut Medicare once again, and they propose tax benefits for the wealthy. The money that they propose to cut does not go into the Medicare trust fund, but it goes to pay for the tax increases; the prescription that caused the outcry last year, that caused them to retreat from this issue. But you have to admire their consistency; they are back here again with the same priorities, and that is because their budget is the consequence of their values, of their priorities, and their willingness to do harm to the American people, and they are sincere in wanting to do harm to working men and women in this country.

Mr. Speaker, the American people want a government that is on its side, not the side of special interests.

HOUSING AUTHORITIES SHOULD BE CONSULTED

(Mr. GEKAS asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. GEKAS. Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, fairly shortly now we will be resuming the debate on the housing bill that is before the Congress, and one element of that requires our attention. Whether or not the Brooke amendment of the past will obtain for the future depends on what we do here today.

There is a resource that we have back at home that we ought to take advantage of in making up our minds as to how to finally vote on this measure, and that is the members of the authorities, the housing authorities, that have the responsibility and the initiative to deal with these problems on an everyday basis. They have to consider the tenants, the low-income status of those tenants, the problems of drug dealers on the premises, the costs of overhead, a thousand different problems that we do not consider when we vote on the larger questions that are involved. I believe that we ought to call our authorities' people and find out how they think on these matters.

DO NOT CUT MEDICARE

(Mr. LEWIS of Georgia asked and was given permission to address the House

for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. LEWIS of Georgia. Mr. Speaker, Mother's Day is almost here, and I have some bad news for my mother and many others. The Republicans have a new budget, and they still cut Medicare. They still want Medicare to wither on the vine.

There they go again, Mr. Speaker. In the Republican Medicare budget, they divide the elderly. They give Federal dollars over to insurance companies for the healthy and the wealthy. Then they want the Federal Government to pay for the sick and the poor.

They offer medical savings accounts to healthy seniors. So, they can use money from the Federal Government—to buy a car or take a vacation. That does not made sense. That will not help the budget or our seniors.

I call on my Republican colleagues to give us a better budget. We should not cut Medicare to pay for tax breaks for the wealthy. We should not destroy Medicare in the name of saving it.

Don't cut Medicare.

IT IS A MISTAKE TO RAISE THE MINIMUM WAGE

(Mr. SMITH of Michigan asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. SMITH of Michigan. Mr. Speaker, we have been talking about minimum wage and the desirability for low-income wage earners to earn more money. Of course, it is right. Raising low wages appeals to the sense of decency and compassion of Americans. But it would be a mistake.

We have a system of allocating resources in this country called the free enterprise system. It has worked very well for this country. It has made ours the greatest country on Earth. Increasing the minimum wage would impose significant costs primarily on unskilled Americans because the minimum wage is going to deprive some of those Americans from access to an entry-level job.

I ask the liberal labor union bosses if they are sure this is the way they want America to go. If employers cannot afford to pay the increase in minimum wage, then what are they going to do? They are either going to go out of business or they are going to respond by reducing hours, reducing benefits or paying less frequently.

In conclusion, I want to give my colleagues a quote from George Washington, a great man. George Washington said:

If, to please the people, we offer what we ourselves disapprove, how can we afterward defend our work? Let us raise a standard to which the wise and honest can repair. The rest is in the hands of God.

CHILDREN AND THE ENVIRONMENT

(Ms. ESHOO asked and was given permission to address the House for 1

minute and to revise and extend her remarks.)

Ms. ESHOO. Mr. Speaker, last week I received this letter from Judi LeVine's kindergarten class at Arundel School in San Carlos, CA. It is really a plea from her students for Congress to save the environment, especially our rain forests, and our animals, our endangered species.

Even 5-year-old children can see the value in conserving our natural resources. Yet Republican leaders in Congress seem unable to grasp the need to preserve our environment for the next generation.

The parents may send us to the Congress; they are the ones that cast the votes. But the children are the ones that we need to remember as we shape their future. It is their future that we are shaping, and we should never lose sight of that. Because the opinion of the American people has weighed in and has forced the GOP leaders to abandon some of their destructive attacks on endangered species and wilderness areas, we must never let our guard down against similar assaults.

So to all the kids at the school, I have gotten the message. I hope the rest of the Congress has.

RESTRICT PENSIONS FOR CON-VICTED FORMER MEMBERS OF CONGRESS

(Mr. DICKEY asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks)

Mr. DICKEY. Mr. Speaker, according to the National Taxpayers Union, the citizens of this country will pay out in 1996 over \$600,000 in pensions to convicted former Members of Congress. What kind of message is this to send out to the taxpayers, farmers, miners, all the workers, about how our Government operates?

H.R. 3310 would restrict Members and former Members of Congress from receiving congressional retirement benefits if convicted of a felony which occurred while serving in the public trust. The bill would serve to punish Members who have taken advantage of the faith of the people who have placed upon them and Members involved in activities unrelated to official duties. Perhaps no other bill than H.R. 3310 will better indicate that this Congress is willing to change the way we do business.

I urge all of my colleagues to consider the provisions of H.R. 3310.

RESPONSIBLE DEFICIT REDUCTION WITHOUT UNREASONABLE CUTS IN EDUCATION

(Mr. GENE GREEN of Texas asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. GENE GREEN of Texas. Mr. Speaker, last year the Republican majority overreached by planning to cut

Medicare by \$270 billion and offering \$245 billion in tax cuts, and when they did not get their way, they shut the Government down. They realized that they were out of step with the American people and the Medicare trustees who said that we only needed \$90 billion in cuts or downsizing, that is all that was needed to keep Medicare solvent.

Mr. Speaker, I am pleased to know that they are moving in the right direction now. Their new budget only calls for \$168 billion in cuts, but they still are not quite there yet. I still believe we should continue to do the financially responsible thing by reducing the deficit. If we count the capital gains tax cut and the 4.3-cent gas cut that they want to do, then that adds up to \$176 billion, which adds up close to the \$168 billion they want to cut out of Medicare.

We have reduced the deficit 4 years in a row and will continue to do so, unlike the huge Federal deficits that were run up during the 1980's. We can continue down the road of responsible deficit reduction without the irresponsible cuts in Medicare and education.

REPUBLICANS COMMITTED TO FUTURE GENERATIONS

(Mr. FOLEY asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mr. FOLEY. Mr. Speaker, there they go again, distorting the record. This Republican Congress increases the spending on Medicare each and every year for the next 7 years. We increase it over \$305 billion. This Congress is committed to the senior citizens of America, but we are also committed to the future generations.

We are \$5 trillion in debt, my colleagues, \$5 trillion, costing us \$300 billion, \$300 billion in interest payments alone that could go to critically needed programs if we would get our fiscal house in order.

It is easy for the other side to make accusations, but this Republican Congress has been steadfast in its determination to balance the budget. We have done it with real numbers, honest numbers, addressing the American public's desire for reform of government. We have been in the forefront of that debate, and to be criticized for cutting Medicare once again by the other side is a lie, is a sham, and is distasteful and disgraceful on behalf of the minority party.

PERMISSION FOR ALL COMMITTEES AND THEIR SUBCOMMITTEES TO SIT FOR TODAY AND THE REMAINDER OF THE WEEK DURING THE 5-MINUTE RULE

Mr. ARMEY. Mr. Speaker, I offer a privileged motion and ask for its immediate consideration.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. Lahood). The Clerk will report the motion.