

### ALLOWING CHOICE IN HEALTH CARE

(Mr. EWING asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. EWING. Mr. Speaker, I yield to the gentleman from Arizona [Mr. HAYWORTH].

Mr. HAYWORTH. Mr. Speaker, as we take a look at what has been said in the preceding speech, I think it is the proper question to ask, or the proper contention to make, are—

#### PARLIAMENTARY INQUIRY

Mr. GENE GREEN of Texas. Mr. Speaker, I have a parliamentary inquiry.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman will state it.

Mr. GENE GREEN of Texas. Mr. Speaker, is it permissible to yield in 1-minute?

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. GUTKNECHT). The gentleman from Illinois [Mr. EWING] controls the time. The Chair is informed by the Parliamentarian he may yield to the gentleman from Arizona [Mr. HAYWORTH] while remaining on his feet.

Mr. GENE GREEN of Texas. Just so we all understand the rules.

Mr. HAYWORTH. Mr. Speaker, reclaiming my time, I would simply make this point: The American people are watching, not for partisan squabbling, but asking this question: What works? And the notion that medical savings accounts, where people control their own destiny, where people are able to visit the doctors they want to see and seek the treatment they feel is best, is at the very heart of our American system. And to suggest that it is some sort of cheap political ploy is once again to at least ignore the facts or to engage in deliberate disinformation and distortion to cloud the picture and to again try to confuse the American public, instead of allowing the American public what they deserve, and that is choice health care.

#### PARLIAMENTARY INQUIRY

Ms. MCKINNEY. Mr. Speaker, I have a parliamentary inquiry.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman will state it.

Ms. MCKINNEY. Mr. Speaker, is it not correct that \$1.4 million was given to the Republican candidates by the Golden Rule Insurance Co. and now the Republicans are trying to put—

Mr. HAYWORTH. Mr. Speaker, objection. That is not a parliamentary inquiry. She is making a political speech.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair would inform the gentleman that that is not a parliamentary inquiry.

### WELFARE PAYING MORE THAN MINIMUM WAGE

(Mr. GENE GREEN of Texas asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. GENE GREEN of Texas. Mr. Speaker, last week the Houston Chronicle ran a story about how welfare pays more than the minimum wage. We hear a lot of rhetoric about moving people off welfare and into work, but the Republican leadership refuses a simple up or down vote on providing a liberal wage.

Using the current minimum wage, workers putting in their 40 hours a week for 52 weeks would earn just over \$8,800. A working family supported by a minimum wage earner is below the national poverty level and is eligible to collect welfare benefits.

A minimum wage increase will give my constituents and other working Americans the ability to move off the welfare rolls, but Republicans continue to oppose a minimum wage increase. Instead of bringing this issue to a vote, they have proposed yet another Government subsidy for businesses. This measure is nothing more than a huge entitlement and more public assistance, more welfare, when what we need is a job that pays enough to put food on the table.

The Washington Post said today that the Senate majority leader wants to cut the gas tax and raise the minimum wage. Let us do it. I think that is a good bill.

Let us do it, Mr. Speaker. Democrats want working families to work their way off welfare. It is time for the Republicans to do the same. Support a minimum wage increase.

### REPEAL 1993 GAS TAX

(Mrs. SEASTRAND asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend her remarks.)

Mrs. SEASTRAND. Mr. Speaker, we keep hearing all this handwringing from the other side about gas prices. But what you won't hear from the liberal Democrats on the other side is how they raised the gas tax in 1993.

Not one single Republican in this body supported that Democrat-sponsored tax increase. Thanks to President Clinton and his liberal allies, the American people now pay \$4.8 billion a year more for gas. That's on top of the ever-increasing prices that they pay today.

If Democrats are really concerned about the plight of the average motorist, then they should support the repeal of their 1993 increase on the gas tax. That may not cure everything, but it's a very good start.

Earlier this year, Bill Clinton and the Democrats had the opportunity to cut taxes for the Americans. But they were committed to protecting Washington spending.

I believe they should be given another opportunity to reduce the tax burden on the American people. Let's repeal the 1993 Clinton gas tax.

### DROP IDEA OF MEDICAL SAVINGS ACCOUNTS

(Mrs. CLAYTON asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend her remarks.)

Mrs. CLAYTON. Mr. Speaker, our colleagues on the right continue to press for inclusion of the medical savings account proposal in the health insurance bill currently pending in Congress.

Once again, they want to burden average, working Americans to benefit the wealthy and influential.

According to the Urban Institute, if the medical savings account proposal is a part of the health insurance bill, premiums for a standard policy could skyrocket by as much as 60 percent.

If the Republicans have their way, employers win big and employees lose; high income individuals win big and those earning less than \$30,000 a year lose; influential insurance companies win big and average citizens lose.

In addition, according to the Urban Institute, workers may be forced into a single insurance, losing their right to choose.

Mr. Speaker, we have shaped a bipartisan health insurance plan where no citizen loses and all citizens win.

I urge my colleagues on the right to drop this idea of MSA's—an idea which cases many to lose, and support the proposal where all Americans win.

□ 1130

### RIISING OIL PRICES AND OIL EXPORTS

(Mr. METCALF asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. METCALF. Mr. Speaker, the President of the United States yesterday released oil from the Strategic Petroleum Reserve in an effort to counter inflating gas prices. But last Friday President Clinton lifted the ban on exporting oil from Alaska. At a time when gas prices are soaring, he chose to sell United States gasoline to Asian nations instead of to American citizens.

The ban on exporting oil from Alaska was part of an agreement that allowed the building of the pipeline that supplies the United States. As we face soaring oil prices at home, we are preparing to reduce domestic supplies of oil by shipping it overseas.

Mr. Speaker, the President's decisions contradict each other. He is opening the Strategic Petroleum Reserves to lower the price of oil at the same time he prepares to expand shipments of American oil to foreign consumers. He is making the problem worse than it needs to be. The American public is paying the bill.

Mr. Speaker, I would say to the President, "Mr. President, will you please try to be consistent?"

### PUT FAMILIES FIRST RATHER THAN SPECIAL INTERESTS

(Ms. DELAURO asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend her remarks.)

Ms. DELAURO Mr. Speaker, House Republicans continue to work overtime on behalf of the special interests rather than the hard-working families who need our help.

Look at health care reform. House Republicans are insisting on a bad idea rejected by the Senate: Tax shelters to help the rich pay their medical bills. Giving tax breaks to the healthy and the wealthy could doom the type of health reform that working families need.

These health care tax breaks hurt working families. They will expose millions of families to increased health care costs. Estimates say that health care premiums will rise as much as 60 percent.

Once again, I urge the House Republican leadership to reject these tax breaks for the wealthy. Simply adopt the Senate bill which President Clinton has said he will sign and which puts families first, rather than special interests. That is what we need, health care relief for working families in this Nation.

### MEDICAL SAVINGS ACCOUNTS A GOOD IDEA

(Mr. MCCOLLUM asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. MCCOLLUM Mr. Speaker, I must respond to the gentlewoman's comments about the medical savings accounts. I happen to think they are a terrific idea for families in America. They are the one way in the future, with all of the costs we have associated with health care, that a moderate income family in the United States can save money. If we adopt it, they will be able to still choose their own doctor and not be forced into an HMO or another organized plan.

I have very, very strong convictions about that. I think this is the most innovative and creative thing to help health care in the United States that is under consideration today at all. I really feel that that is a very important thing.

I want to consider one other point during this 1-minute, though. When we are talking about these gas prices going up right now, I am told by those involved that one of the primary reasons that the gas prices in this country are going up is because there is uncertainty about whether the U.N. sanctions against Iraq will be lifted or not. We should be opposed to that.

This administration, the Clinton administration, should make it unequivocally clear that we will veto in the United Nations any effort to lift the oil embargo and allow people to purchase

Iraqi oil. I think once that is done, stability will return to the oil prices in the world market and we will see the gas prices go back to their normal way again.

This President needs to make that statement now. He has not made it.

### IMPROPER USE OF COMMITTEE STAFF

(Mr. WARD asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. WARD Mr. Speaker, today I want to alert the House to a call I have made, along with eight of my fellow freshmen Democrats this morning, to ask the Speaker, we have asked Speaker GINGRICH to rescind and repudiate a request that was made in the name of the office of the House majority leader to all the subcommittees in this House. That request was a partisan effort to use House staff improperly.

The request, very simply, was sent to all House committee staffs asking them to look for specific material that could be used to attack organized labor or the Clinton administration. In an unprecedented institutionalized effort to use House staff to do the bidding of the leader's office, the Republican leadership has shown again that they are not about putting the House in order, they are not about using the House for what it is intended, the furtherance of the people's business. It was, in fact, waste, fraud, and abuse on the highest level.

### RAISING MINIMUM WAGE WILL DESTROY SMALL BUSINESS

(Mr. WALKER asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. WALKER Mr. Speaker, I have been very interested over the last several days to listen to Members of the Democratic Party telling us how committed they are to raising the minimum wage, and it is very interesting because one would wonder why, when the President of the United States himself has said this is a bad idea, and when Members of his administration have said this is a terrible way to treat poor people, why the Democrats in the House of Representatives are so insistent upon it.

Now we find out why. It is because they are doing the bidding of the union bosses who are making absolutely certain that they get this kind of debate going, because the union bosses have contract negotiations coming up this fall, and they would like to see the Federal Government raise wages by 20 percent so that they can use that as the base of what they do in their negotiations.

And guess what? Every American will suffer as a result of that because that will set off an inflationary spiral that will be a tax on every American

family, but particularly low income families. If my colleagues think that kind of callous disregard of the American family is a good idea, then listen intently to the Democrats, who claim they want to raise the minimum wage.

The fact is in raising the minimum wage what they are doing is undermining small business in the country, and they are undermining the basic income of the American family. It is a shame and they should be called for what they are doing.

### MINIMUM WAGE INCREASE MORE IMPORTANT THAN REPEALING GAS TAX OF 1993

(Mr. VOLKMER asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. VOLKMER Mr. Speaker, I stand here today requesting the Republican leadership, NEWT GINGRICH, to schedule the minimum wage bill for the House to vote on. I am not here on behalf of any union, I am here on behalf of a lot of people in my district and all over this Nation that work every day at \$4.25 an hour. What do I ask for them? I ask we raise that minimum wage in a 2-year cycle for 90 cents. That means \$1,800 a year more for those people.

Now, their answer, the Republicans' answer, is no, we are going to cut the gas tax 4.5 cents. We will repeal the part of the gas tax that was in the 1993 deficit reduction package. Well, how much will that give to my people? To most of my people that is \$45 year. They want to give \$45 a year to help my people get through the hard times, buy a pair of shoes for the kids.

I say let us give them the minimum wage. Let us give them really something that will benefit them. \$1,800 a year is a lot better than \$45 a year.

### ASSAULT ON WORKING FAMILIES AND GAS TAX CUT ARE SEPARATE ISSUES

(Mr. HILLIARD asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. HILLIARD Mr. Speaker, every American has the right to a livable wage. The Republicans have offered what they say is an alternative to increasing the minimum wage. They want to talk about cutting the gas tax and they claim that this will benefit the working poor.

This is a sham. The 4-cent gas tax is not designated to help working folks; it is calculated to bail out the oil and gas industry. The industry increased gas prices. If the prices are too high, the industry should reduce them.

Rising prices at the gas pump should not be offset with a tax cut that will cost the U.S. Treasury more than \$4 billion this year. Republicans claim that they want to balance the budget, but then they go out and cut programs that the working poor depend on. The