

The bill was ordered to be engrossed and read a third time, and was read the third time.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the passage of the bill.

The question was taken; and the Speaker pro tempore announced that the noes appeared to have it.

RECORDED VOTE

Mr. SHUSTER. Mr. Speaker, I demand a recorded vote.

A recorded vote was ordered.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—ayes 284, noes 143, not voting 5, as follows:

[Roll No. 122]

AYES—284

Abercrombie	Dunn	Kaptur
Ackerman	Durbin	Kelly
Allard	Edwards	Kildee
Andrews	Ehlers	Kim
Bachus	Ehrlich	King
Baesler	Emerson	Klecza
Baker (CA)	Engel	Klink
Baker (LA)	English	Klug
Baldacci	Ensign	LaHood
Ballenger	Evans	Latham
Barcia	Everett	LaTourette
Barr	Ewing	Laughlin
Bartlett	Farr	Leach
Barton	Fattah	Lewis (CA)
Bass	Fawell	Lewis (GA)
Bateman	Fields (TX)	Lewis (KY)
Bentsen	Filner	Lightfoot
Bereuter	Flanagan	Lincoln
Bevill	Foley	Linder
Bilbray	Forbes	Lipinski
Bilirakis	Ford	LoBiondo
Bishop	Fowler	Lofgren
Bliley	Fox	Longley
Blute	Franks (NJ)	Lowey
Boehlert	Frisa	Lucas
Bono	Frost	Manton
Borski	Funderburk	Martinez
Boucher	Galleghy	Martini
Brewster	Ganske	Mascara
Browder	Gejdenson	McCarthy
Brown (CA)	Gekas	McCollum
Brown (FL)	Gephardt	McDermott
Bryant (TN)	Geren	McHale
Bunn	Gibbons	McHugh
Burton	Gilcrest	McIntosh
Buyer	Gillmor	McKeon
Callahan	Gilman	McKinney
Calvert	Gonzalez	McNulty
Camp	Goodlatte	Meek
Campbell	Goodling	Menendez
Canady	Gordon	Metcalfe
Cardin	Graham	Mica
Chambliss	Green (TX)	Moakley
Chapman	Greene (UT)	Molinari
Chenoweth	Greenwood	Mollohan
Chrysler	Gunderson	Montgomery
Clay	Gutierrez	Moorhead
Clement	Gutknecht	Myers
Clinger	Hall (TX)	Neumann
Clyburn	Hamilton	Ney
Coble	Hansen	Norwood
Coburn	Harman	Oberstar
Collins (GA)	Hastert	Ortiz
Collins (MI)	Hastings (FL)	Owens
Combest	Hastings (WA)	Oxley
Conyers	Hayes	Pallone
Cooley	Hefley	Parker
Costello	Heineman	Pastor
Coyne	Herger	Paxon
Cramer	Hilleary	Payne (NJ)
Crane	Hilliard	Payne (VA)
Crapo	Hinchev	Peterson (MN)
Creameans	Holden	Petri
Cubin	Horn	Pickett
Danner	Hostettler	Pombo
de la Garza	Hunter	Pomeroy
Deal	Hutchinson	Poshard
DeFazio	Hyde	Quillen
Deutsch	Istook	Quinn
Diaz-Balart	Jackson (IL)	Rahall
Dickey	Jacobs	Richardson
Doolittle	Johnson (CT)	Riggs
Dornan	Johnson (SD)	Rivers
Doyle	Johnson, E. B.	Roberts
Dreier	Jones	Rohrabacher
Duncan	Kanjorski	Ros-Lehtinen

Rose
Roth
Roybal-Allard
Rush
Sanders
Sawyer
Saxton
Scarborough
Schaefer
Schiff
Schumer
Scott
Seastrand
Serrano
Shaw
Shuster
Sisisky
Skeen
Skeltan

Slaughter
Smith (NJ)
Smith (WA)
Solomon
Stupak
Talent
Tanner
Tate
Tauzin
Taylor (MS)
Tejeda
Thomas
Thompson
Thornton
Tiahrt
Torricelli
Towns
Traficant
Upton

Volkmer
Vucanovich
Walsh
Wamp
Ward
Waters
Watts (OK)
Weldon (FL)
Weldon (PA)
Weller
Whitfield
Wicker
Williams
Wise
Woolsey
Wynn
Young (AK)
Zeliff

GENERAL LEAVE

Mr. SHUSTER. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members may have 45 legislative days within which to revise and extend their remarks on H.R. 842, the bill just passed.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. BARRETT of Nebraska). Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Pennsylvania?

There was no objection.

EXPRESSING APPRECIATION FOR EFFORTS IN SUPPORT OF H.R. 842

(Mr. SHUSTER asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. SHUSTER. Mr. Speaker, I want to emphasize the extraordinary bipartisan support on this extraordinary victory here. Without the gentleman from Minnesota [Mr. OBERSTAR] and his colleagues, this simply never could have happened.

Beyond that, however, this has been a battle that we have been dedicated to for so many years, that there are many former chairmen and ranking members of our committee who I know, those who are still alive have to be smiling, and those who are up there looking down have to be smiling as well.

On our side Bill Harsha, Don Clausen, Gene Snyder, John Paul Hamerschmidt, Jim Howard, God bless him, Glen Anderson, Bob Roe, Norm Mineta, they all contributed to this victory today, and I thank them.

□ 1645

Mr. OBERSTAR. Mr. Speaker, will the gentleman yield?

Mr. SHUSTER. I yield to the gentleman from Minnesota.

Mr. OBERSTAR. I want to pay tribute, well deserved tribute to the gentleman from Pennsylvania for the leadership he has exhibited on this issue. He has worked tirelessly, brought together a coalition of people of different fiscal views on this issue, geographic views on this issue and brought them together to understand and to pass this very, very important, as the gentleman has stated, long-standing legislation. He has marshaled an extraordinary outpouring of support for a principle that will reestablish the trust of people in Government. The impact reaches far beyond this bill. For that, I salute our chairman.

Mr. SHUSTER. I thank the gentleman.

REPORT ON RESOLUTION WAIVING POINTS OF ORDER AGAINST CONFERENCE REPORT ON S. 735, COMPREHENSIVE TERRORISM PREVENTION ACT OF 1995

Ms. PRYCE, from the Committee on Rules, submitted a privileged report (Rept. No. 104-522) on the resolution (H. Res. 405) waiving points of order against the conference report to accompany the bill (S. 735) to prevent and

NOES—143

Archer	Hoekstra	Peterson (FL)
Armey	Hoke	Porter
Barrett (NE)	Houghton	Portman
Barrett (WI)	Hoyer	Pryce
Becerra	Inglis	Radanovich
Beilenson	Jefferson	Ramstad
Berman	Johnson, Sam	Reed
Boehner	Johnston	Regula
Bonilla	Kasich	Roemer
Bonior	Kennedy (MA)	Rogers
Brown (OH)	Kennedy (RI)	Roukema
Brownback	Kennelly	Royce
Bryant (TX)	Kingston	Sabo
Bunning	Knollenberg	Salmon
Burr	Kolbe	Sanford
Castle	LaFalce	Schroeder
Chabot	Lantos	Sensenbrenner
Christensen	Largent	Shadeegg
Clayton	Lazio	Shays
Coleman	Levin	Skaggs
Collins (IL)	Livingston	Smith (MI)
Condit	Luther	Smith (TX)
Cox	Maloney	Souder
Cunningham	Manzullo	Spence
Davis	Markley	Spratt
DeLauro	Matsui	Stark
DeLay	McDade	Stearns
Dellums	McInnis	Stenholm
Dicks	Meehan	Stockman
Dingell	Meyers	Stokes
Dixon	Millender-	Studds
Doggett	McDonald	Stump
Doolley	Miller (CA)	Taylor (NC)
Eshoo	Miller (FL)	Thornberry
Fazio	Minge	Thurman
Fields (LA)	Mink	Torkildsen
Flake	Moran	Torres
Foglietta	Morella	Velazquez
Frank (MA)	Murtha	Vento
Franks (CT)	Myrick	Visclosky
Frelinghuysen	Neal	Walker
Furse	Nethercutt	Watt (NC)
Goss	Nussle	Waxman
Hall (OH)	Obey	White
Hancock	Olver	Wolf
Hayworth	Orton	Yates
Hefner	Packard	Young (FL)
Hobson	Pelosi	Zimmer

NOT VOTING—5

Jackson-Lee (TX)	McCrery	Rangel
	Nadler	Wilson

□ 1640

Mr. STOKES and Mr. SPENCE changed their vote from "aye" to "no."

Mrs. VUCANOVICH and Ms. DUNN of Washington changed their vote from "no" to "aye."

So the bill was passed.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

PERSONAL EXPLANATION

Mr. RANGEL. Mr. Speaker, I missed rollcall vote 122 because I was at a meeting in a room that the bells did not ring in. Had I been here, I would have voted in the negative.

punish acts of terrorism, and for other purposes, which was referred to the House Calendar and ordered to be printed.

1995 ANNUAL REPORT ON ALASKA'S MINERAL RESOURCES—MESSAGE FROM THE PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. BARRETT of Nebraska) laid before the House the following message from the President of the United States; which was read and, together with the accompanying papers, without objection, referred to the Committee on Resources:

To the Congress of the United States:

I transmit herewith the 1995 Annual Report on Alaska's Mineral Resources, as required by section 1011 of the Alaska National Interest Lands Conservation Act (Public Law 96-487; 16 U.S.C. 3151). This report contains pertinent public information relating to minerals in Alaska gathered by the U.S. Geological Survey, the U.S. Bureau of Mines, and other Federal agencies.

WILLIAM J. CLINTON.

THE WHITE HOUSE, April 17, 1996.

1995 ANNUAL REPORT OF NATIONAL ENDOWMENT FOR THE HUMANITIES—MESSAGE FROM THE PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES

The SPEAKER pro tempore laid before the House the following message from the President of the United States, which was read and, together with the accompanying papers, without objection, referred to the Committee on Economic and Educational Opportunities:

To the Congress of the United States:

I am pleased to present to you the 1995 Annual Report of the National Endowment for the Humanities (NEH). For 30 years, this Federal agency has given Americans great opportunities to explore and share with each other our country's vibrant and diverse cultural heritage. Its work supports an impressive array of humanities projects.

These projects have mined every corner of our tradition, unearthing all the distinct and different voices, emotions, and ideas that together make up what is a uniquely American culture. In 1995, they ranged from an award-winning television documentary on President Franklin Delano Roosevelt, the radio production *Wade in the Water*, to preservation projects that will rescue 750,000 important books from obscurity and archive small community newspapers from every State in the Union. *Pandora's Box*, a traveling museum exhibit of women and myth in classical Greece, drew thousands of people.

The humanities have long helped Americans bridge differences, learn to appreciate one another, shore up the foundations of our democracy, and build strong and vital institutions across our country. At a time when our

society faces new and profound challenges, when so many Americans feel insecure in the face of change, the presence and accessibility of the humanities in all our lives can be a powerful source of our renewal and our unity as we move forward into the 21st century.

WILLIAM J. CLINTON.

THE WHITE HOUSE, April 17, 1996.

HOW SERIOUS ARE WE?

(Mr. GOSS asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. GOSS. Mr. Speaker, 8 days ago I stood in the Oval Office as the President signed into law the historic line-item veto. But how serious is the Washington establishment when it comes to enforcing real change?

Today we read the first of what is likely to be many advertisements for Washington insiders pitching a seminar on how to circumvent the line-item veto. For a mere \$245, people whose business it is to secure Federal money can learn, among other things:

What can be done to insulate an appropriation, entitlement or tax provision from a line-item veto.

The law hasn't even gone into effect, and already people are seeking ways around it. And, later today, we consider a bill to take an entire category of Federal spending off budget, beyond the reach of the line-item veto.

Mr. Speaker, we crafted a tough and workable line-item veto to control runaway Government spending. How serious are we? I guess Americans will have to watch and see.

Mr. Speaker, I provide for the RECORD the advertisement referred to:

[From the Congress Daily, Apr. 17, 1996]

(Price Waterhouse LLP—Presents)

THE LINE-ITEM VETO: HOW IT WILL AFFECT APPROPRIATIONS, ENTITLEMENTS, AND TAXES THE EXECUTIVE SEMINAR YOU NEED TO ATTEND!

Budget and political analysts are calling the line item veto the most significant revision in the legislative process since Gramm-Rudman-Hollings. Many are predicting that it will require substantial changes in the way people in Washington conduct business.

Price Waterhouse LLP's highly respected budget and tax professionals will provide you with what you need to know about the line item veto when you need to know it—NOW! During this solid, no fluff, half-briefing you will learn how the line item veto will work, including answers to these key questions:

Which appropriations or parts of appropriations will be subject to a line item veto?

Who will determine which tax provisions are vulnerable?

What does the law mean when it said that only "new" entitlements will be subject to a line item veto?

How can Congress disallow or override a line item veto?

What can be done to insulate an appropriation, entitlement, or tax provision from a line item veto?

What role will OMB, CBO, and the Joint Committee on Taxation play in the line item veto process?

All of this and much more in just a half day . . . you'll be back in your office in time

for lunch. And at only \$245 per person (with a substantial discount for more than 4 people from the same organization), this special executive briefing is the easiest and least expensive way for you to learn what you need to know about the new challenges and opportunities the line item veto will create for you and your association or company.

Price Waterhouse LLP's

Line Item-Veto Executive Seminar

Wednesday, May 8, 1996—8:30 a.m. to 11:30 a.m.

Continental Breakfast Starting at 7:30 a.m. Hyatt Regency Hotel On Capitol Hill, Washington D.C.

To Register, Or For a Copy Of The Full Agenda Call (202) 414-1757

SPECIAL ORDERS

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. LAHOOD). Under the Speaker's announced policy of May 12, 1995, and under a previous order of the House, the following Members will be recognized for 5 minutes each.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Florida [Mr. DIAZ-BALART] is recognized for 5 minutes.

[Mr. DIAZ-BALART addressed the House. His remarks will appear hereafter in the Extensions of Remarks.]

RECOGNIZING SUCCESSFUL TEEN PREGNANCY PREVENTION PROGRAMS

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from North Carolina [Mrs. CLAYTON] is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mrs. CLAYTON. Mr. Speaker, the current debate on welfare reform is accelerating the need to address the issue of out-of-wedlock teen births.

We want to "end welfare as we know it." But, I am afraid we will replace it with welfare as we do not want to know it.

We do not want to enact legislation that leads to a policy of national child abandonment.

Our current social crisis evolved over several generations. Consequently, we must realize that we cannot break this intergenerational cycle or eliminate the crisis overnight.

To break the cycle of teen pregnancy and poverty, we must implement pregnancy prevention programs that educate and support school age youths—10-21—in high risk situations and their family members through comprehensive social and health services, with an emphasis on pregnancy prevention.

I strongly support abstinence education and feel that it is critically important to fund abstinence programs for preteens as well as teenagers. Within 5 years, a concentrated abstinence program for preteens should bring about a decline in the number of teenagers who are sexually active.

However, we cannot ignore the fact that today so many of our teenagers are already sexually active with or