

year's level. There were 510 fewer assault weapons traced to crime in the first 8 months of 1995 than were traced during the same period in 1994—an 18-percent reduction over a 1-year period.

I seem to recall that my Republican friends across the aisle want to base every judgment about Government programs and agencies on statistical data. Well, the statistical data proves that this is an effective law.

I also seem to recall that my Republican friends across the aisle like to think they are members of the law-and-order party. Well, law and order from coast to coast favors maintaining the assault weapons ban.

It is time that Republicans live by the standards they impose on themselves and maintain the assault weapons ban.

GOOD NEWS AND BAD NEWS ON THE BUDGET

(Mr. SMITH of Michigan asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. SMITH of Michigan. Mr. Speaker, I think we should sort of start with positive news, and the good news is that the President gave us a budget that balances in 7 years.

Mr. Speaker, I was trying to remember what was happening just 2 years ago, what the Democrats, what the liberals, what the tax and spend people were saying. I looked up in the Committee on the Budget records of what Leon Panetta said. He said that we are heading toward down as low as a \$70 billion deficit, or overspending, by the year 2003, and that is where we should be.

The good news is that we have changed the debate in Washington. Now everybody is saying yes, we need a balanced budget. It is the right thing to do for the economy. It is the right thing to do as far as our kids and our grandkids. I think it is interesting to note in the President's budget that he has \$234 billion more taxes than the Republican proposal. He has \$357 billion more spending than the Republican proposal. It tends to be tax and spend. It is balanced. Although President Clinton often says there is not a government program for every problem, he has incorporated most government Washington solutions in his budget.

THE GUN DEBATE IS REALLY ABOUT MONEY

(Mr. ROEMER asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mr. ROEMER. Mr. Speaker, we are going to have a debate today about banning or removing the ban on such dangerous weapons as streetsweepers and AK-47's. But I, sadly, think the debate is not about the substantive issues that we are going to hear about and the danger of these guns and the safety of

the citizens, but it is going to be about one thing and one thing alone. It is going to be about this; money.

It is going to be about the old adage: bought lock, stock, and barrel. Locking up people's election, getting stocks and putting them back in this Chamber and stuffing money down the barrel of their guns.

Now, we can either have new politics and reform about the public interests or we can continue to have these same old debates about special interests. We can either clean up our campaign coffers and get political reform, or we can continue to see the same old politics and the same old thing.

THE WERNLE HOME

(Mr. MCINTOSH asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. MCINTOSH. Mr. Speaker, today I rise with a report from Indiana. Today's report lists the Wernle home in Richmond, IN, that Ruthie and I have visited. It was founded over 100 years ago by the Lutheran Church as an orphanage. Today Rev. Paul Knecht and Mike Wilson run the Wernle home as a home for young boys and girls, many of them from abused families, to give them a chance for a better life. For older children, they are prepared for independent living and GED testing, and children learn responsibility.

They have a chance to earn a \$5 allowance each week by performing tasks around the Wernle home. They are also taught community skills as they play and work together in their daily lives. The Wernle home receives a lot of support from local businesses in Richmond, IN: the McDonald's, the local newspaper, the Palladium-item, Van's Meats, the symphony and many other business and community groups.

It is the children at Wernle home, children who come in all sizes, races, and religions who those men and women are working to give a better life. The good folks at the Wernle home are Hoosier heroes, and I raise them up today and commend their efforts. The magic of the Wernle home is a smile in the child who is loved.

REPEAL THE ASSAULT WEAPONS BAN? A POLITICAL DEATH WISH

(Mr. SKAGGS asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. SKAGGS. Mr. Speaker, the House votes today on a bill to repeal the assault weapons ban, a political death wish in the most literal sense possible.

Please think about what Dion, Ty, and Aaron would say about this.

One night in 1993, these three high school students from Westminster, CO, were driving home, minding their own business, when out of the dark, without any warning or cause, another young

person in a car pulled up beside them and started firing.

Dion was hit five times, Ty twice, Aaron once. Luckily, none of them died. But they were all shot, and shot so many times, because the person shooting at them had a AK-47.

Mr. Speaker what in the world is a weapon like that doing on the streets of Colorado?

It was not there because any hunter needed it. It was there because the gangs and the criminals and the psychos want to use it to kill as many people as they can and to outgun the police.

In September 1993, one of them was used on these three young men. Please, for God's sake do not repeal the ban on these awful weapons.

WELCOME TO A NEW DEMOCRACY

(Mr. FUNDERBURK asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. FUNDERBURK. Mr. Speaker, the Chinese civilization is the world's oldest continuous one. The Chinese are rightfully proud of their civilization and culture. This weekend the Chinese people on Taiwan will undertake a historic event that has never occurred in 4,000 years of Chinese history. For the very first time, the Chinese on Taiwan will vote directly for its president. I heartily applaud this act of self-determination. This act of popularly electing a president is in accord with the very principle of democracy.

Mr. Speaker, I want to extend my most heartfelt congratulations from one of the world's oldest democratic republics to one of the youngest. To this end, I have submitted a House concurrent resolution extending our congratulations to the free noncommunist republic of China on Taiwan.

THE ASSAULT WEAPONS BAN

(Mr. ACKERMAN asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. ACKERMAN. Mr. Speaker, I rise today in utter amazement that we are even going to consider repealing the assault weapons ban. Here are provisions of law designed to keep weapons of war off of our streets and to prevent citizens from being slaughtered and our law enforcement officials from being outgunned. Yet the majority party insists we would be better off without the ban. I find that difficult to believe.

Mr. Speaker, when President Bush banned the importation of assault weapons in 1989, the number of such rifles traced to crime dropped by 45 percent. In the year of the ban on domestic assault weapons, the effect of such attacks has dropped an additional 18 percent. Despite these encouraging results, assault weapons still pose a major danger to Americans, particularly to our law enforcement officers,

and I for one cannot turn my back on the valiant police officers in my district in New York City and Long Island.

Mr. Speaker, I beg my colleagues, do not defile the memory of those who died in the massacre on the Long Island Railroad. Do not sell your vote for the blood money of the NRA. Listen to the painful and courageous cries of the victims, your constituents and our police officers, law enforcement officials, and not to the special interests and the blood money of the NRA.

EVERGLADES RESTORATION

(Mr. FOLEY asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. FOLEY. Mr. Speaker, I do not want to yell today. I want to thank this Chamber. I want to thank the conferees of the Senate and the House who have agreed to put \$200 million in the budget for the Everglades restoration in Florida. The administration proposed a tax to fund the Everglades restoration. We proposed a solution, an immediate infusion of \$200 million, plus vital lands to protect the fragile Everglades in Florida, protecting water supplies, protecting our second largest national park, and doing so in a bipartisan fashion.

Mr. Speaker, I commend my colleagues, particularly Speaker GINGRICH, Senators DOLE and MACK, and the 299 Members of this House who supported the efforts of environmental protection. This Congress, when it wants to, can work together in a bipartisan spirit. I hope we do more bipartisan efforts in the future in order to bring this Nation to the point of pride that it once was where it can restore the pride in ourselves and our abilities to govern, lower the rhetoric, lower the anxiety and allow us to proceed in an orderly fashion for democracy.

TITLE I EDUCATION FUNDING

(Ms. MCKINNEY asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend her remarks.)

Ms. MCKINNEY. Mr. Speaker, 10 days from now, the State of Georgia could begin laying off teachers due to Republican cuts to title I education funding. According to the Republicans, these cuts are necessary to balance the budget. Mr. Speaker, I have a hard time believing that. Especially when those same Republicans increased military spending by \$7 billion for pork-barrel projects the Pentagon didn't ask for.

Moreover, Mr. Speaker, today's budget impasse is a direct result of Republicans insisting on \$177 billion in tax breaks skewed to the wealthy. It's no wonder Congress' approval rating is so low.

I suppose, Mr. Speaker, the GOP plans to deal with declining geography test-scores by reducing school funding,

so we can buy the bombs necessary to eliminate the countries our children can't find on a map anyway.

I guess that's one way of boosting geography test scores.

PERSONAL EXPLANATION

Mr. GENE GREEN of Texas. Mr. Speaker, on March 13, I was unavoidably detained from the House floor and missed four RECORD votes. Had I been present, I would have voted as follows: Rollcall 56, "no"; rollcall 57, "yes"; rollcall 58, "yes"; and rollcall 59, I would have voted "no."

REMOVAL OF NAME OF MEMBER AS COSPONSOR OF H.R. 1202

Mr. GENE GREEN of Texas. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to have my name removed as a cosponsor of H.R. 1202.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. TAYLOR of North Carolina). Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Texas?

There was no objection.

GUN BAN REPEAL ACT OF 1995

Mr. SOLOMON. Mr. Speaker, by direction of the Committee on Rules, I call up House Resolution 388, and ask for its immediate consideration.

The Clerk read the resolution, as follows:

H. RES. 388

Resolved, That upon the adoption of this resolution it shall be in order to consider in the House the bill (H.R. 125) to repeal the ban on semiautomatic assault weapons and the ban on large capacity ammunition feeding devices. The amendment printed in the report of the Committee on Rules accompanying this resolution shall be considered as adopted. The bill, as amended, shall be debatable for one hour equally divided and controlled by Representative Chapman of Texas or Representative Barr of Georgia and Representative Conyers of Michigan or his designee. The previous question shall be considered as ordered on the bill, as amended, to final passage without intervening motion except one motion to recommit. The motion to recommit may include instructions only if offered by the minority leader or his designee.

□ 1030

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. TAYLOR of North Carolina). The gentleman from New York [Mr. SOLOMON] is recognized for 1 hour.

Mr. SOLOMON. Mr. Speaker, for purposes of debate only, I yield the customary 30 minutes to the gentleman from Texas [Mr. FROST], pending which I yield myself such time as I may consume. Mr. Speaker, during consideration of the resolution, all time yielded is for purposes of debate only.

(Mr. SOLOMON asked and was given permission to revise and extend his remarks and include extraneous material.)

Mr. SOLOMON. Mr. Speaker, this rule provides for the consideration of

the Gun Crime Enforcement and Second Amendment Restoration Act under a closed rule. The amendment in the nature of a substitute printed in the report accompanying the rule is considered as adopted.

Now, Mr. Speaker, ordinarily I would favor a more open process, but this particular bill presents issues which have been widely debated, which every Member of this body understands thoroughly. Most Members were familiar with the issues in this bill before they were even sworn in as Members of the House. While this particular bill was not reported by the Committee on the Judiciary, subcommittee hearings in the Committee on the Judiciary were held on the subject of this bill, extensive hearings.

This rule provides for 1 hour of general debate equally divided between the proponents and opponents. The proponents' time will be controlled by the gentleman from Georgia [Mr. BARR] and the gentleman from Texas [Mr. CHAPMAN] on a bipartisan basis, thus ensuring both parties will be fairly represented. The time of the opponents will be controlled by the gentleman from Michigan [Mr. CONYERS], the ranking member of the Committee on the Judiciary. In the Committee on Rules the gentleman from Michigan agreed that he would provide half of the time in opposition to the gentleman from Connecticut [Mr. SHAYS] and other Republicans, thus insuring an equal division of time among the parties on both sides of this issue so we can be sure that this is going to be a fair and open debate.

The rule also provides for a motion to recommit which, if containing instructions, may only be offered by the minority leader, or his designee. This means that the minority will have the opportunity to get a vote on their best alternative proposal, and that is as it should be, Mr. Speaker.

This is a fair rule which will allow the House to consider a highly contentious issue in a balanced way and still enable Members to have time to return to their districts in time to meet with their constituents this weekend, and it is an important weekend coming up.

It is no secret that I have long been a proponent of the right of law-abiding citizens to have firearms to protect themselves, which is especially important in rural areas such as the 10,000 square miles in rural New York that I represent. It has frequently been said that guns do not commit crimes, people commit those crimes, and when people commit crimes, no matter what the weapon, we should throw the book at them, and that is what this bill does.

This bill does two things. It increases the penalties on those lawbreakers who use guns in the course of violent Federal crime or Federal drug traffic offenses, and it also contains provisions repealing the ineffective ban on certain semiautomatic weapons.

Mr. Speaker, the ban on certain semiautomatic weapons has not been