Seastrand

Shadegg

Sisisky

Skelton

Smith (MI)

Smith (NJ)

Smith (TX)

Solomon

Spence

Stearns

Stump

Talent

Tauzin

Taylor (MS)

Taylor (NC) Tejeda

Thornberry

Torkildsen

Vucanovich

Waldholtz

Watts (OK)

Weldon (FL)

Weldon (PA)

Walker

Walsh

Wamp

Ward

Weller

White

Wicker

Wolf

Whitfield

Young (AK)

Young (FL) Zeliff

Traficant

Thomas

Tiahrt

Tate

Stenholm

Smith (WA)

Skeen

Shaw

Sensenbrenner

than 20 B-2 bombers and equip the B-1B with precision guided munitions, and additional funding for a near term ballistic missile defense capability using existing Navy Aegis cruisers and destroyers.

My Subcommittee on Personnel, thanks to the efforts of my ranking Democrat Owen Pickett and the hard work of all my subcommittee members, improved military quality of life by: increasing military housing allowance by 35 percent, setting permapersonnel levels to stop the "drawdown," and increasing the number of national guard technicians.

I also included several initiatives that reverse the trend of liberal social programs within the department designed to conduct combat operations.

This bill: stops abortions at U.S. military hospitals, stops pay for convicted military prisoners, establishes strict new guidelines for the accountability of American Prisoners of War and Missing in Action, discharges all non-deployable ${\rm HIV}{+}{\rm military}$ personnel, and awards the AFEM to U.S. veterans of El Salvador.

In closing, I would remind those who oppose this bill of the wise words of one of our founding fathers, Benjamin Franklin, who warned.

The expenses required to prevent a war are much lighter than those that will, if not prevented, be absolutely necessary to maintain

Support our troops, support modernization, support this conference report.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Without objection, the previous question is or-

There was no objection.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is, Will the House, on reconsideration, pass the bill, the objections of the President to the contrary notwithstanding.

Under the Constitution, the vote must be determined by the yeas and nays.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 240, nays 156, not voting 38, as follows:

[Roll No. 3]

YEAS—240			
Castle	English		
Chambliss	Ensign		
Chenoweth	Everett		
Christensen	Ewing		
Chrysler	Fawell		
Clement	Flanagan		
Clinger	Foley		
Coble	Forbes		
Coburn	Fowler		
Collins (GA)	Fox		
Combest	Franks (CT)		
Cooley	Frelinghuysen		
Costello	Frisa		
Cox	Frost		
Cramer	Funderburk		
Crane	Gekas		
Crapo	Geren		
Cremeans	Gilchrest		
Cubin	Gillmor		
Cunningham	Gilman		
Danner	Gingrich		
Davis	Goodlatte		
de la Garza	Goodling		
Deal	Goss		
DeLay	Graham		
Diaz-Balart	Greenwood		
Dickey	Hall (OH)		
Doolittle	Hall (TX)		
Dornan	Hamilton		
Dreier	Hancock		
Duncan	Hansen		
Dunn	Harman		
Edwards	Hastert		
Ehlers	Hastings (WA)		
Ehrlich	Hayes		
Emerson	Hayworth		
	Castle Chambliss Chenoweth Christensen Chrysler Clement Clinger Coble Coburn Collins (GA) Combest Cooley Costello Cox Cramer Crape Crapo Cremeans Cubin Cunningham Danner Davis de la Garza Deal DeLay Diaz-Balart Dickey Doolittle Dornan Dreier Duncan Dunn Edwards Ehlers Ehleris		

Hefley Heineman Herger Hilleary Hobson Hoekstra Horn Hostettler Houghton Hunter Hvde Inglis Istook Johnson (CT) Johnson, Sam Jones Kasich Kelly Kennedy (RI) Kennelly King Kingston Knollenberg Kolbe LaHood Largent Latham Laughlin Lazio Leach Lewis (CA) Lewis (KY) Linder Lipinski Livingston Longley Lucas Manzullo McCrery McDade McHugh McIntosh

Ackerman

Andrews

Baldacci

Barrett (WI)

Barcia

Becerra

Bentsen

Blute

Bonior

Boucher

Cardin

Chabot

Clayton

Clyburn

Coleman

Condit

Covne

Conyers

DeLauro

Dellums

Deutsch

Dicks

Dingell

Doggett

Dooley

Doyle

Engel

Eshoo

Evans

Fattah

Filner

Flake

Ford

Furse

Ganske

Gejdenson

Gephardt

Gonzalez

Gunderson

Gutierrez

Gutknecht

Morella

Murtha

Nadler

Zimmei

Gordon

Green

Fields (LA)

Frank (MA)

Franks (NJ)

Farr

Collins (IL)

Collins (MI)

Brown (CA)

Beilenson

McNulty Metcalf Meyers Mica Miller (FL) Molinari Montgomery Moorhead Myers Myrick Nethercutt Neumann Ney Nussle Ortiz Oxley Packard Parker Paxon Payne (VA) Petri Pickett Pombo Porter Portman Poshard Pryce Quinn Radanovich Regula Riggs Roberts Rogers Rohrabacher Ros-Lehtinen Roth Royce Salmon Sanford Saxton Scarborough Schaefer Schiff NAYS-156

Hastings (FL) Neal Oberstar Hilliard Obey Hinchey Olver Holden Orton Hoyer Owens Jackson (IL) Pallone Jackson-Lee Payne (NJ) (TX) Peterson (FL) Peterson (MN) Jacobs Jefferson Pomeroy Johnson (SD) Rahall Johnson, E. B. Ramstad Johnston Rangel Kaniorski Reed Richardson Kaptur Kennedy (MA) Rivers Kildee Roemer Kleczka Rose Roybal-Allard Klink Klug LaFalce Rush Sabo Lantos Sanders Schroeder Levin Lewis (GA) Schumer Lincoln Serrano LoBiondo Shavs Lofgren Skaggs Lowey Slaughter Luther Spratt Maloney Stokes Manton Stupak Markey Thompson Thornton Martinez Martini Thurman Mascara Torres Torricelli Matsui McCarthy Towns McDermott Upton McHale Velazquez McInnis Vento McKinney Volkmer Meehan Waters Watt (NC) Menendez Miller (CA) Waxman Minge Williams Wise Mink Moakley Woolsey Mollohan Wynn Moran Yates

NOT VOTING-38

Abercrombie	Foglietta	Quillen
Berman	Gallegly	Roukema
Brown (FL)	Gibbons	Sawyer
Brown (OH)	Hoke	Shuster
Bryant (TX)	Hutchinson	Souder
Callahan	LaTourette	Stark
Chapman	Lightfoot	Stockman
Clay	McCollum	Studds
DeFazio	Meek	Tanner
Dixon	Mfume	Visclosky
Durbin	Norwood	Wilson
Fazio	Pastor	Wyden
Fields (TX)	Pelosi	5

□ 1545

The Clerk announced the following pairs:

On this vote:

Mr. Abercrombie and Mr. Hoke for, with Mr. DeFazio against

Mr. Quillen and Mr. Lightfoot for, with Mr. Pastor against.

Messrs. BAESLER, ROHRABACHER, and DE LA GARZA changed their vote from "nay" to "yea"

So, two-thirds not having voted in favor thereof, the veto of the President was sustained and the bill was rejected.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The message and bill are referred to the Committee on National Security.

The Clerk will notify the Senate of the action of the House.

PERSONAL EXPLANATION

Mr. PASTOR. Mr. Speaker, I was unfortunately enroute to Washington when three rollcall votes were ordered. Had I been present, I would have voted "present" on rollcall No. 1, "no" on rollcall No. 2, and "no" on rollcall No.

GENERAL LEAVE

Mr. SPENCE. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members may have 5 legislative days in which to revise and extend their remarks on H.R. 1530.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. WALKER). Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from South Carolina?

There was no objection.

CONTINUATION OF MOST-FA-VORED-NATION STATUS FOR RO-MANIA

The SPEAKER pro tempore laid before the House the following message from the President of the United States; which was read and, together with the accompanying papers, without objection, referred to the Committee on Ways and Means and ordered printed:

To the Congress of the United States:

On May 19, 1995, I determined and reported to the Congress that Romania is in full compliance with the freedom of emigration criteria of sections 402 and 409 of the Trade Act of 1974. This action allowed for the continuation of mostfavored-nation (MFN) status for Romania and certain other activities without the requirement of an annual waiver.

As required by law, I am submitting an updated report to the Congress concerning emigration laws and policies of Romania. You will find that the report indicates continued Romanian compliance with U.S. and international standards in the area of emigration policy.

WILLIAM J. CLINTON.

THE WHITE HOUSE, January 3, 1996.

CONTINUATION OF NATIONAL EMERGENCY WITH RESPECT TO LIBYA (H. DOC. NO. 104-157)

The SPEAKER pro tempore laid before the House the following message from the President of the United States; which was read and, together with the accompanying papers, without objection, referred to the Committee on International Relations and ordered printed:

To the Congress of the United States:

Section 202(d) of the National Emergencies Act (50 U.S.C. 1662(d)) provides for the automatic termination of a national emergency unless, prior to the anniversary date of its declaration, the President publishes in the *Federal Register* and transmits to the Congress a notice stating that the emergency is to continue in effect beyond the anniversary date. In accordance with this provision, I have sent the enclosed notice, stating that the Libyan emergency is to continue in effect beyond January 7, 1996, to the *Federal Register* for publication.

The crisis between the United States and Libya that led to the declaration of a national emergency on January 7, 1986, has not been resolved. The Government of Libya has continued its actions and policies in support of terrorism, despite the calls by the United Nations Security Council, in Resolutions 731 (1992), 748 (1992), and 883 (1993) that it demonstrate by concrete actions its renunciation of such terrorism. Such Libyan actions and policies pose a continuing unusual and extraordinary threat to the national security and vital foreign policy interests of the United States. For these reasons, the national emergency declared on January 7, 1986, and the measures adopted on January 7 and January 8, 1986, to deal with that emergency, must continue in effect beyond January 7, 1996. I have determined that it is necessary to maintain in force the broad authorities necessary to apply economic pressure to the Government of Libya to reduce its ability to support international terrorism.

WILLIAM J. CLINTON. THE WHITE HOUSE, *January 3, 1996.*

PROVIDING U.S. MILITARY PERSONNEL WITH FULL COST OF LIVING INCREASE

Mr. DELLUMS. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to take from the Speaker's table the Senate bill (S. 1514) to authorize the obligation and expenditure of appropriated funds for a 2.4-percent increase for basic allowance for quarters for the members of the uniformed services, and ask for its immediate consideration in the House.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under the guidelines consistently issued by successive Speakers, as recorded on page 534 of the House Rules Manual, the Chair is constrained not to entertain the gentleman's request until it has been cleared by the bipartisan floor and committee leaderships.

PARLIAMENTARY INQUIRIES

Mr. VOLKMER. Parliamentary inquiry, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman will state his parliamentary inquiry.

Mr. VOLKMER. Mr. Speaker, because of the din on the floor, I was unable to hear the Speaker's ruling on the request of the gentleman from California. Would the Speaker be so kind as to repeat the ruling?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair declined recognition as the Chair has in previous cases.

Mr. DELLUMS. Mr. Speaker, the House is not in order, and the gentleman is entitled to be heard. We cannot hear the Speaker.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The House will be in order.

The Chair will repeat his denial of recognition. Under the guidelines consistently issued by successive Speakers, as recorded on page 534 of the House Rules Manual, the Chair is constrained not to entertain the gentleman's request until it has been cleared by the bipartisan floor and committee leaderships.

Mr. DELLUMS. Parliamentary inquiry, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman will state it.

Mr. DELLUMS. Mr. Speaker, is the reason that this gentleman cannot be recognized to offer this unanimous-consent request because of the minority status of this gentleman and the fact that the majority has not agreed to bring up this legislation which is needed, as this gentleman understands, by 5 p.m. this afternoon?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair would say to the gentleman, it has absolutely nothing to do with the gentleman's minority status; it has to do with the clearances that have to be obtained for a measure to be brought to the floor by unanimous consent by majority or minority Members.

Mr. DELLUMS. Mr. Speaker, further parliamentary inquiry.

Does that mean, as I understand the language of the Chair, that that bipartisan agreement has not been achieved as of this moment?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair knows of no understanding between the bipartisan leaderships, committee leadership, or by the floor lead-

erships for bringing the gentleman's measure to the floor by a unanimous-consent request.

Mrs. SCHROEDER. Mr. Speaker, parliamentary inquiry.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentlewoman will state it.

Mrs. SCHROEDER. Mr. Speaker, I had trouble hearing during the prior parliamentary inquiry. In order to bring the needed pay-raise bill to the floor, which is needed by 5 o'clock tonight, we are to go get bipartisan support. The question we have is, Where do we go to get that?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentlewoman is not stating a parliamentary inquiry.

Mrs. SCHROEDER. We do not know where that room is. No one has been able to find that.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The committee leadership, I would say to the gentlewoman and the floor leadership.

DEPARTMENTS OF COMMERCE, JUSTICE, AND STATE, THE JUDI-CIARY, AND RELATED AGENCIES APPROPRIATIONS ACT, 1996

Mr. ROGERS. Mr. Speaker, I offer a motion.

The Clerk read as follows:

Mr. Rogers moves to discharge the Committee on Appropriations from further consideration of the veto message on the bill, H.R. 2076, making appropriations for the Departments of Commerce, Justice, and State, the Judiciary and related agencies for the fiscal year ending September 30, 1996, and for other purposes.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to the rule, the gentleman from Kentucky [Mr. ROGERS] is recognized for 1 hour.

Mr. ROGERS. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, this bill was referred back to the Committee on Appropriations when the veto message was received from the President. Consequently, any effort to override the veto must await a discharge of the bill from the committee back to the floor, and consequently, that is the purpose of my motion.

I think the parties are prepared to yield back the time which otherwise would be allocated to us on the motion to discharge, so that we can get directly to the main motion. So if there is no request for time on the other side, I am prepared to yield back the time on this side on the motion.

Mr. MOLLOHAN. No objection, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. ROGERS. Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion offered by the gentleman from Kentucky [Mr. ROGERS].

The motion was agreed to.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.