

The message also announced that the President did on the following days approve and sign bills of the House of the following titles:

January 4, 1966:

H.R. 2808. An act to extend authorities under the Middle East Peace Facilitation Act of 1994 until March 31, 1996, and for other purposes.

January 6, 1966:

H.R. 1655. An act to authorize appropriations for fiscal year 1996 for intelligence and intelligence-related activities of the United States Government, the Community Management Account, and the Central Intelligence Agency Retirement and Disability System, and for other purposes.

January 10, 1966:

H.R. 394. An act to amend title 4 of the United States Code to limit State taxation of certain pension income.

H.R. 2627. An act to require the Secretary of the Treasury to mint coins in commemoration of the sesquicentennial of the founding of the Smithsonian Institution.

January 11, 1966:

H.R. 2203. An act to reauthorize the tied aid credit program of the Export-Import Bank of the United States, and to allow the Export-Import Bank to conduct a demonstration project.

January 11, 1966:

H.R. 1295. An act to amend the Trademark Act of 1946 to make certain revisions relating to the protection of famous marks.

SPECIAL ORDERS

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under the Speaker's announced policy of May 12, 1995, and under a previous order of the House, the following Members will be recognized for 5 minutes each.

EXPRESSING OUTRAGE AND CONDEMNATION OF MURDEROUS TERRORIST ATTACKS IN ISRAEL

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from New York [Mrs. MALONEY] is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mrs. MALONEY. Mr. Speaker, before we get to the substance of our special order, I want to express my outrage and condemnation for the wave of murderous terrorist attacks that have struck Israel in the last 2 weeks.

I extend my condolences to all the families of the victims, including the two American young people who had studied in New York City and were killed in Jerusalem.

CONFLICT OVER THE ISLAND OF IMIA

I want to thank my good friend from Florida, Mr. BILIRAKIS, for joining me in these special orders to bring attention to the recent conflict over the Island of Imia.

The gentleman from Florida has always been a good friend of Greece and Cyprus, and it has been my great honor and pleasure to work closely with him on many issues of concern to Greek- and Cypriot-Americans.

In fact, just this week, the gentleman and I announced the formation of the new Congressional Caucus on Hellenic Issues.

As such, I know that Mr. BILIRAKIS shares my outrage over the recent

comments of Mr. Denktash, the Turkish-Cypriot leader, who has admitted that many of the 1,619 Americans and Greek Cypriots who are missing from the Turkish invasion of 1974 were in fact murdered by Turkish forces.

The fact that he waited 22 years to admit to these atrocities is itself a crime against humanity.

As the gentleman knows, the families of several of the missing live in my district in Astoria. Mr. Denktash's admission points to the need for an accurate accounting for each and every one of the Americans and Cypriots whose plights are still unknown.

Mr. Speaker, we could talk about this tragedy all evening, but we rise tonight to discuss a different outrage—the conflict in the Aegean.

For those Members who may not know, the island of Imia is one of the Dodecanese islands that were formally returned to Greece by Italy as part of the 1947 Paris Agreement.

It has been Greek for almost 4,000 years.

Last Christmas, a Turkish cargo boat ran aground near Imia.

Even though the accident occurred in Greek territorial waters, the captain of the cargo boat refused assistance from Greek authorities, claiming he was in Turkish waters.

The incident escalated swiftly.

The Greek mayor of the nearby island Kalolimnos rightfully put a Greek flag on Imia, which was then torn down and replaced by a Turkish flag by so-called Turkish journalists.

Troops and ships from both Greece and Turkey quickly came to the area and a major confrontation developed.

Only through the swift intervention of the United States was violence avoided.

President Clinton deserves enormous credit for working hard to diffuse this dispute.

However, Turkey's challenge of established international boundaries in an attempt to expand its Aegean borders is totally unacceptable.

This confrontation over Imia would never have happened if Turkey abided by international law.

The real issue here is not the status of a small, uninhabited islet in the Aegean.

Rather it is the much more fundamental one of a challenge to Greek sovereignty.

Greek sovereignty over Imia is well established and, until this incident, unchallenged by anyone, including Turkey.

In 1932 Italy and Turkey concluded an agreement clearly stating that the Greek island of Imia belonged to Italy.

At the conclusion of World War II, Italy ceded the Dodecanese islands—including Imia—back to Greece with the Paris Peace Treaty of 1947.

By international law, the successor state automatically assumes all rights and obligations established by international treaty.

But Turkey has challenged the international status quo in order to create a destabilizing situation in the Aegean.

Violations of international law are, unfortunately, nothing new for Turkey.

The list includes: massive human rights violations against the Kurds; the illegal 1974 invasion and occupation of Cyprus; the blockade of Armenia, which prevented United States humanitarian assistance from reaching that country; and religious restrictions for the Eastern Orthodox Ecumenical Patriarchate in Istanbul.

Clearly, Turkey is the main cause of instability in the eastern Mediterranean.

Last June, the United States House of Representatives sent a clear signal to Turkey that we find these actions unacceptable by voting to cut aid to Turkey by 25 percent.

Turkey must be made to pay a real price for defying the will of the international community.

If Turkey continues to ignore this message, our sanctions should only increase.

Turkey must understand that future actions of this kind will bring about an even greater reduction in United States aid.

Maybe Turkey will then realize that there are serious consequences for its behavior.

Once again, I thank the gentleman from Florida for joining me on the House floor this evening.

The SPEAKER (Mrs. WALDHOLTZ). Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Indiana [Mr. BURTON] is recognized for 5 minutes.

[Mr. BURTON addressed the House. His remarks will appear hereafter in the Extensions of Remarks.]

THE SOVEREIGNTY OF IMIA

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Florida [Mr. BILIRAKIS] is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. BILIRAKIS. Madam Speaker, we are Americans, and this is the United States of America. Let us say one of our protectorates, if you will, Samoa, Guam, the Virgin Islands, all of a sudden a claim was made upon them by country X. What would we do? Certainly I would like to think what we would not do is to decide to sit across the table with country X and negotiate the rights to those particular territories. It is ridiculous, because everyone knows, the entire world knows, the world community knows these territories are part of the United States of America, if you will.

On December 25, as the gentlewoman from New York [Mrs. MALONEY] certainly has already shared with us, and she does such a great job at this, and it is such an honor really to be tied in with her in these special orders, on December 25, 1995, a Turkish cargo ship ran aground on Imia. The ship's captain refused assistance from the Greek Coast Guard because the captain said the islet was Turkish. Tensions began to mount and by January 29, 1996, both Greece and Turkey had dispatched naval vessels to the area. On January

31, through U.S. mediation, both sides agreed to withdraw.

I am certainly thankful and I think the world is thankful that this incident did not lead to an armed confrontation. But I am disappointed that at no time during the United States mediation did the President, Secretary of State Christopher, Defense Secretary Perry or then Assistant Secretary of State Holbrook, who has generally done a wonderful job on this issue, at no time did they recognize the sovereignty of Greece over the islet.

Is it my sincere hope this latest incident will not deter the administrations efforts to resolve the Cyprus problem, but rather strengthen the administration's commitment to finding a solution this year of 1996.

As you may know, and I do not suppose many people do, my parents were born on the island of Kalymnos, which I guess the rocks Simi are a part of that particular island chain, and only just a few miles away from the island of Kalymnos. The island has always been considered Greek territory. At no previous time has Turkey questioned Imia's territorial ownership. Indeed, Greek Foreign Minister Theodore Pangalos stated, "This is the first time that Turkey has actually laid claim to Greek territory."

The European parliament overwhelmingly approved a resolution which states, "The Islet of Imia belongs to the Dodecanese group of islands, on the basis of the Lausanne Treaty of 1923, the protocol between Italy and Turkey of 1932, the Paris Treaty of 1947, and whereas even on Turkish maps from the 1960's the islets are shown as Greek territory."

Moreover, the Governments of Italy and France have publicly stated their support of Greek sovereignty over Imia, as provided by international law.

So Madam Speaker, given Turkey's breaches of international law, its continued illegal, and I underline that, illegal occupation of Northern Cyprus, its restrictions on religious freedom from the Eastern Orthodox Ecumenical Patriarchate, which represents over 250 million Orthodox Christians worldwide, its refusal to recognize the human rights of its 15 million Kurdish citizens, and its illegal blockade of Armenia, I have serious concerns about this most recent example of Turkish provocation.

Although Turkey is an ally, Madam Speaker, its actions must not go unquestioned. In fact, European Commission President Jacques Santer stated in reference to Turkey, "We cannot tolerate a state with which we have just entered into a customs union developing territorial demands on a European union member state."

Turkey must respect and abide by international law. As President Eisenhower once stated, "There can be no peace without law. And there can be no law if we were to invoke one code of international conduct for those who oppose us, and another for our friends."

Madam Speaker, I would say in closing, enough is enough.

PERMISSION FOR COMMITTEE ON AGRICULTURE TO FILE SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT ON H.R. 2202, IMMIGRATION AND THE NATIONAL INTEREST ACT OF 1995

Mr. BILIRAKIS. Madam Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that the Committee on Agriculture be permitted to file a supplemental report on the bill (H.R. 2202) to improve deterrence of illegal immigration to the United States by increasing border patrol and investigative personnel, by increasing penalties for alien smuggling and for document fraud, by reforming exclusion and deportation law and procedures, by improving the verification system for eligibility for employment, and through other measures, to reform the legal immigration system and facilitate legal entries into the United States, and for other purposes to include a cost estimate as required under clause 2(l)(3) of rule XI.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Florida?

There was no objection.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Ohio [Ms. KAPTUR] is recognized for 5 minutes.

[Ms. KAPTUR addressed the House. Her remarks will appear hereafter in the Extensions of Remarks.]

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Nebraska [Mr. CHRISTENSEN] is recognized for 5 minutes.

[Mr. CHRISTENSEN addressed the House. His remarks will appear hereafter in the Extensions of Remarks.]

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Georgia [Mr. LEWIS] is recognized for 5 minutes.

[Mr. LEWIS of Georgia addressed the House. His remarks will appear hereafter in the Extensions of Remarks.]

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Utah [Mr. HANSEN] is recognized for 5 minutes.

[Mr. HANSEN addressed the House. His remarks will appear hereafter in the Extensions of Remarks.]

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Alabama [Mr. BROWDER] is recognized for 5 minutes.

[Mr. BROWDER addressed the House. His remarks will appear hereafter in the Extensions of Remarks.]

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gen-

tleman from California [Mr. RIGGS] is recognized for 5 minutes.

[Mr. RIGGS addressed the House. His remarks will appear hereafter in the Extensions of Remarks.]

THE NEW CONTINUING RESOLUTION IS BAD FOR AMERICAN INDIANS, RELIGIOUS FREEDOM, AND SELF-GOVERNANCE

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from American Samoa [Mr. FALEOMAVAEGA] is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. FALEOMAVAEGA. Madam Speaker, I want to make sure that the American public is aware of two very dangerous provisions in H.R. 3019, a continuing resolution which would fund, among other things, Interior spending for the remainder of the fiscal year. Though these two majority sponsored provisions primarily affect American Indians, I believe they have far reaching implications for the rest of the country as well. Why should we care? Because as the great jurist Felix Cohen observed,

The Indian plays much the same role in our American society, that the Jews played in Germany. Like the miner's canary, the Indian marks the shift from fresh air to poison gas in our political atmosphere; and our treatment of Indians, even more than our treatment of other minorities, reflects the rise and fall in our democratic faith.

This country was founded on two great principles—the inalienable right of a people to govern themselves and the solemn right of a people to freely practice their religion. Yet there are two provisions in this spending bill that are an affront to those principles and the rights of our people. I am afraid to think what our Founding Fathers would think of these measures. Had this bill been brought up under an open rule, I would have offered an amendment to strike both of them.

The first provision that deeply concerns me is the Mt. Graham rider contained in section 335 of the general provisions of the Interior portion of the bill which would waive applicable law, reverse three court decisions and permit immediate construction of an observatory on Mt. Graham in Arizona. This is a measure of the worse sort that should be stricken as soon as possible.

First, this rider approves the destruction and mechanized desecration of the single-most sacred site of the San Carlos Apache Tribe. Can you imagine waiving the law to approve the clearing of part of the Wailing Wall in Jerusalem or the Vatican in Rome? Well that is what this provision does, not to mention the fact the telescope's owners plan to charge rent to other users even though it lies on public land. This rider ignores the rights of those who prayed and worshiped on the mountain for centuries and is an assault on religion.

Second, this rider is wrong because it waives the very laws and procedures