Smith (WA)

Solomon

Souder

Spence

Spratt

Stump

Talent

Tanner

Tauzin

Thomas

Tiahrt

Upton Visclosky

Thompson

Torricelli

Vucanovich

Waldholtz

Watts (OK)

Weldon (FL)

Weldon (PA)

Walker

Walsh

Weller

White

Whitfield

Young (AK)

Young (FL) Zeliff

Wicker

Wilson

Wolf

Wynn

Thornberry

Taylor (NC)

Tate

Stearns

Stenholm

Stockman

Pickett

Velazquez Wamp Watts (OK) Vento Visclosky Weldon (FL) Vucanovich Weldon (PA) Waldholtz Weller Walker White Walsh Whitfield

Wicker Wolf Yates Young (AK) Young (FL) Zeliff Zimmei

### NOT VOTING-

Collins (IL) de la Garza Furse

Hastings (FL) Laughlin McKinney

Rangel Stokes

#### □ 1426

Ms. VELÁZQUEZ, Mrs. MEEK of Florida, Ms. DELAURO, Ms. BROWN of Florida, and Mr. SMITH of Michigan "vea changed their vote from "nay.

Mr. GORDON changed his vote from to "yea.

So the motion to recommit was rejected.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. HASTINGS of Washington). The question is on the passage of the bill.

The question was taken; and the Speaker pro tempore announced that the ayes appeared to have it.

Mr. STENHOLM. Mr. Speaker, on that I demand the yeas and nays.

The yeas and nays were ordered. The vote was taken by electronic de-

vice, and there were—yeas 270, nays 155, not voting 6, as follows:

# [Roll No. 42] YEAS-270

Combest

Condit

Coolex

Cramer

Crane

Crapo

Cubin

Danner

Davis

DeLay

Deutsch

Dickey Dingell

Doolittle

Dornan

Duncan

Dreier

Dunn

Durbin

Ehlers

Ehrlich

Emerson

English

Ensign

Everett

Ewing Fawell

Fields (LA)

Fields (TX)

Franks (CT)

Funderburk Gallegly

Flanagan

Foley

Forbes

Fowler

Fox

Frisa

Frost

Ganske

Gekas Gilchrest

Gillmor

Gilman Gonzalez

Edwards

Diaz-Balart

Deal

Cremeans

Cunningham

Cox

Costello

Allard Archer Armey Bachus Baker (CA) Baker (LA) Ballenger Barcia Barr Barrett (NE) Bartlett Barton Bateman Bereuter Bevill Bilbray Bilirakis Bishop Bliley Boehlert Boehner Bonilla Bono Boucher Brewster Browder Brown (FL) Brownback Bryant (TN) Bunn Bunning Burr Burton Buyer CaĬlahan Calvert Camp Campbell Canady Castle Chabot Chambliss Chenoweth Christensen

Chrysler

Clinger

Clyburn Coble

Coburn

Coleman

Collins (GA)

Goodlatte Goodling Gordon Graham Greenwood Gunderson Hall (TX) Hamilton Hancock Hansen Hastert Hastings (WA) Hayes Hayworth Hefner Heineman Herger Hilleary Hilliard Hobson Hoekstra Horn Hostettler Houghton Hunter Hutchinson Hvde Inglis Istook Jefferson Johnson (CT) Johnson, Sam Jones Kaptur Kasich Kelly King Kingston Knollenberg Kolbe LaHood Largent Latham LaTourette Laughlin

Lazio

Leach

Lewis (CA)

Lewis (KY)

Lightfoot Linder Lipinski Longley Lucas Manzullo McCollum McCrery McDade McHugh McInnis McIntosh McKeon Meek Menendez Meyers Mica Mink Molinari Mollohan Montgomery Moorhead Morella Murtha Myers Myrick Nethercutt Nev Norwood Nussle Ortiz Orton Oxley Packard

Parker

Peterson (FL)

Paxon Payne (VA)

Blute

Clay

Dicks

Dixon

Doyle

Engel

Eshoo

Evans

Farr

Fazio

Filner

Flake

Ford

Goss

Green

Gutierrez

Collins (IL)

de la Garza

Pombo Porter Portman Poshard Pryce Quillen Quinn Radanovich Ramstad Regula Richardson Riggs Roberts Roemer Rogers Rohrabacher Ros-Lehtinen Rose Roukema Royce Salmon Sanford Scarborough Schaefer Schiff Scott Seastrand Shadegg Shaw Shays Shuster Sisisky Skeen Skelton Smith (MI)

### NAYS-155

Smith (N.J)

Smith (TX)

Hall (OH) Abercrombie Oberstar Ackerman Harman Obey Olver Hinchey Andrews Baesler Owens Hoke Baldacci Holden Pallone Barrett (WI) Pastor Hoyer Payne (NJ) Becerra Jackson (IL) Pelosi Beilenson Jackson-Lee Peterson (MN) (TX) Bentsen Berman Jacobs Petri Pomerov Johnson (SD) Rahall Johnson, E. B. Bonior Rangel Borski Johnston Reed Brown (CA) Kanjorski Rivers Brown (OH) Kennedy (MA) Kennedy (RI) Roth Bryant (TX) Roybal-Allard Cardin Kennelly Chapman Kildee Sabo Kleczka Sanders Clayton Klink Sawyer Clement Collins (MI) Klug LaFalce Saxton Schroeder Lantos Conyers Schumer Coyne DeFazio Levin Lewis (GA) Sensenbrenner Serrano DeLauro Lincoln Skaggs Slaughter Dellums Livingston LoBiondo Stark Lofgren Studds Doggett Lowey Luther Stupak Dooley Taylor (MS) Maloney Tejeda Manton Markey Thornton Thurman Martinez Torkildsen Martini Torres Fattah Mascara Towns Matsui Traficant McCarthy McDermott Velazquez Vento McHale Foglietta Volkmer McNulty Meehan Wamp Frank (MA) Ward Franks (NJ) Metcalf Waters Frelinghuysen Miller (CA) Miller (FL) Watt (NC) Gejdenson Waxman Minge Moakley Gephardt Williams Geren Gibbons Wise Moran Woolsey Nadler Yates Neal Zimmer

# NOT VOTING-6

Neumann

Furse Hastings (FL) McKinney Stokes

□ 1444

The Clerk announced the following pair:

On this vote:

Ms. Furse for, with Mr. Stokes against.

Messrs. DOGGETT, SCHUMER, and OLVER changed their vote from "yea"

So the bill was passed. The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

EXCHANGE OF LETTERS CONCERN-ING MARKUP OF H.R. 2854, AGRI-CULTURAL MARKET TRANSITION

Mr. ROBERTS. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to insert extraneous matter at this point in the RECORD.

Chairman ARCHER of the Committee on Ways and Means and I had an understanding that arose as a result of my request to him that his committee forgo markup of H.R. 2854 that had been referred to the Ways and Means Committee as an additional referral. Chairman ARCHER agreed to this letter in writing and I requested that our exchange of letters be printed in the RECORD. I wish to comply with that request at this time.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. HASTINGS of Washington). Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Kansas?

There was no objection. The letters referred to are as follows: COMMITTEE ON WAYS AND MEANS,

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES, Washington, DC, January 31, 1996.

Hon. PAT ROBERTS,

Chairman, Committee on Agriculture, House of Representatives, Washington, DC. DEAR MR. CHAIRMAN: This is to confirm my understanding of our agreement concerning further consideration of H.R. 2854, the Agricultural Market Transition Act, as amended, which was referred to the Committee on Ag-

riculture, and in addition to the Committee on Ways and Means.

Section 104 (f)(2) and (g) of H.R. 2854, as reported by your Committee, would establish quotas to increase imports of upland cotton above the amounts allowed under the Uruguay Round tariff-rate quotas if domestic cotton prices exceed specified levels. The action taken by the Agriculture Committee is clearly contrary to clause 5(b) of Rule XXI of the Rules of the House, which provides that no bill carrying a tax or tariff measure shall be reported by any committee not having jurisdiction to report tax and tariff measures. Section 204 requires importers of dairy

products to pay assessments currently applied to domestic dairy producers to offset the costs of export and other sales promotion programs. As you recall, our exchange of letters on H.R. 2195 confirmed that this provision is also within the jurisdiction of the Ways and Means Committee. I note that you have included language to correct national treatment concerns.

Section 107(c) requires the Secretary of Agriculture to reduce loan rates for domestically grown sugar if negotiated reductions in subsidies in the European Union and other sugar producing countries exceed commitments made in the Uruguay Round Agreement on Agriculture. This authority is linked to further negotiated reductions in foreign subsidies under reciprocal trade agreements within the jurisdiction of the

Ways and Means Committee.

Section 502 of the bill, as reported, would authorize the Secretary of Agriculture to impose fees to cover the cost of providing agricultural quarantine and inspection services. Although the fees would generally be limited to the cost of the quarantine and inspections programs (and associated administrative costs), the section would allow the fees to accumulate to "maintain a reasonable balance in the Agricultural Quarantine Inspection User Fee Account." Although amounts in the account would generally be subject to appropriations, "excess fees" (fees collected in excess of \$100 million) could be spent without appropriation. A special rule applies to the unobligated balance of the Fee Account and fees collected after September

The mere reauthorization of a preexisting fee that had not historically been considered a tax does not necessarily require a sequential referral to the Committee on Ways and Means. However, if such a preexisting fee is fundamentally changed, it properly should be referred to the Committee on Ways and Means.

In this case, the fee is being more than merely reauthorized, but it is not clear that the fee is being fundamentally changed. Therefore, I ask you to work with me in conforming this fee as closely as possible to a true regulatory fee as permitted under the Rules of the House during further consideration of this legislation.

In response to your requests that I facilitate consideration of this important legislation, I do not believe that a markup of H.R. 2854 by the Committee on Ways and Means

will be necessary.

However, this is being done only with the understanding that this does not in any way prejudice the Committee's jurisdictional prerogatives in the future with respect to this measure or any similar legislation, and it should not be considered as precedent for consideration of matters of jurisdictional interest to the Committee on Ways and Means in the future. Should any provisions of jurisdictional interest remain in the bill after Floor consideration, I would request that the Committee on Ways and Means be named as additional conferees.

Finally, I would ask that a copy of our exchange of letters on this matter be placed in the Record during consideration on the Floor. With best regards,

Sincerely,

BILL ARCHER, Chairman.

COMMITTEE ON AGRICULTURE, HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES, Washington, DC, February 28, 1996. Hon. BILL ARCHER.

Chairman, Committee on Ways and Means,

Washington, DC.

DEAR MR. CHAIRMAN: This responds to your letter of January 31, 1996 acknowledging the understanding of the Committee on Ways and Means, to which H.R. 2854, the "Agricultural Market Transition Act", had been additionally referred, and the Committee on Ways and Means would forego a markup of the bill in order to facilitate consideration of H.R. 2854 on the Floor of the House.

Your cooperation in this matter is very much appreciated. Certainly, your action of foregoing a markup is not viewed by this Committee as in any way prejudicing your Committee's jurisdictional prerogatives in the future with respect to this measure or any similar legislation and the Committee does not consider your action as a precedent for consideration of matters of jurisdictional

interest to the Committee on Ways and Means in the future.

Also, pursuant to your request I will insert a copy of our exchange of letters in the Congressional Record during the consideration of H.R. 2854 on the floor.

Sincerely,

PAT ROBERTS, Chairman.

AUTHORIZING THE TO CLERK MAKE CORRECTIONS IN EN-GROSSMENT OF H.R. 2854. AGRI-CULTURAL MARKET TRANSITION

Mr. ROBERTS. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that the Clerk be authorized to make technical corrections in the engrossment of the bill H.R. 2854, to include corrections in spelling, punctuation, section numbering, and cross-referencing and the insertion of appropriate headings.

The SPEÂKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Kansas?

There was no objection.

## GENERAL LEAVE

Mr. ROBERTS. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members may have 5 legislative days in which to revise and extend their remarks and insert extraneous material with respect to H.R. 2854, the bill just passed.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Kansas?

There was no objection.

MOTION TO INSTRUCT CONFEREES ON H.R. 956, PRODUCT LIABILITY FAIRNESS ACT OF 1995

Mr. CONYERS. Mr. Speaker, I offer a motion to instruct conferees on the bill (H.R. 956) to establish legal standards and procedures for product liability litigation, and for other purposes.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Clerk will report the motion.

The Clerk read as follows:

Mr. Conyers moves that the managers on the part of the House at the conference on the disagreeing votes of the two Houses on the Senate Amendment to the bill H.R. 956 be instructed to insist upon the provisions contained in section 107 of the House bill.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 1(b) of rule XXVIII, the gentleman from Michigan [Mr. CON-YERS] will be recognized for 30 minutes, and the gentleman from Illinois [Mr. HYDE] will be recognized for 30 min-

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Michigan [Mr. CONYERS].

Mr. CONYERS. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

(Mr. CONYERS asked and was given permission to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. CONYERS. Mr. Speaker, this may be the last activity for the day and for the week, and so I will move with as much expedition as I can. We do not have a lot of speakers on the

I am very pleased to come before the House with a motion that will instruct our conferees on the subject of product liability reform in terms of a requirement that would insist that the foreign corporations in America do business the same as those that are domiciled in this country.

As the senior member of the Committee on the Judiciary, I have brought this motion to instruct conferees to insist on a House-passed provision that ends special treatment for foreign corporations when it comes to civil litigation in the United States. In other this thoughtfully crafted words, amendment merely seeks to ensure that foreign manufacturers who sell products in the United States, that they play by the same legal rules that govern the conduct of other and all other American companies.

We have supported this measure in the House, and we are merely instructing our conferees to stick with us. Section 107 of the House bill provides that Federal courts shall have jurisdiction over foreign manufacturers who knew or reasonably should have known that their product would enter the stream of commerce in the United States, and, second, that service of process may be served wherever the foreign manufacturer is located, has an agent or transacts business, and, third, any failure by such foreign corporation to comply with a court-approved discovery order shall be deemed an admission of fact to

which the discovery order relates. As the record and history demonstrate, under current law, the foreign corporations legally can suppress the production of constitutional discovery information by hiding behind the protectionist shield of the Hague Convention or some other treaty. This, of course, runs counter to a basic premise of American jurisprudence; namely, that the person who causes an injury should be held legally accountable and has the ironic effort of causing all economic consequences to be borne by American consumers, insurance companies, employers, or the Government.

There were 258 Members who voted for the original Conyers amendment, and my colleagues might want to check the March 19, 1995, CONGRES-SIONAL RECORD to see if they were among those numbers.

If foreign companies are permitted to reap profits from selling their products here, can it be more reasonable that they should be held to the same standard and legal procedures as our own companies? And certainly, in tragic cases where the American consumers are victimized by defective foreign products, foreign corporations should not be able to avoid responsibility for injuries suffered because of their products.

We need a level playing field for American businesses, and rule of fairness for the American consumer victimized by defective foreign products is essential.