

will not subvert the judicial process through attacks on the special prosecutor or by abusing the president's pardon power. That much should be obvious.

EXCHANGE OF SPECIAL ORDER TIME

Ms. KAPTUR. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to claim the special order time of the gentleman from Florida [Mr. GIBBONS] and speak in his stead for 5 minutes.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. THORNBERRY). Is there objection to the request of the gentlewoman from Ohio?

There was no objection.

THE UPCOMING CONTINUING RESOLUTION MAY CONTAIN SPECIAL INTEREST PROVISIONS, INCLUDING ONE TO AVOID "BUY AMERICAN" LAWS

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentlewoman from Ohio [Ms. KAPTUR] is recognized for 5 minutes.

Ms. KAPTUR. Mr. Speaker, I recall Speaker GINGRICH's initiative this evening called Correction Days. The idea was to do away with congressional business as usual and make government more responsive to our people.

Mr. Speaker, I fear today and tomorrow may be the opposite of Corrections Day. They could be renamed Special Interest Days. Maybe we will need another Corrections Day to undo the damage we think is being done as the House completes its regular business, passes its respective appropriations bills, and finally recesses.

I am speaking in particular of the continuing resolution about to emerge from behind closed doors and being worked on by the leaders of one side of this Chamber.

Mr. Speaker, the special interests know full well that Members of Congress are eager to wrap up and get back home and prepare for the upcoming election. So they have lined up, it appears, so they can speak their special provisions into law at the last minute in the continuing resolution, because they know we have to pass that in order to keep the Government running.

We used to have Howard Metzenbaum as the watchdog over on the other side, but we have heard rumors, in fact, that patent law protections might be undermined by some provisions being inserted by one of the Members in the other body.

This afternoon, and I am going to insert this in the RECORD for our colleagues, the Associated Press reported that certain companies are trying to skirt "Made in the U.S.A." laws by sneaking special provisions into the continuing resolution. Let me read the first sentence, the lead sentence, in fact, to a story written by AP congressional writer Jim Drinkard.

He writes:

Lobbyists for one of America's largest toolmakers are seeking a last-minute con-

gressional deal that would allow them to continue marketing wrenches and other tools forged in foreign countries as made in the U.S.A.

Let me repeat. This is from the Associated Press. It says that this particular toolmaker is seeking to put language in this bill that would allow them to continue marketing wrenches and other tools made in other countries under the "Made in the U.S.A." label.

That is not what is supposed to be in this bill. Not only is it nongermane to the continuing resolution, it is also false advertising. It is not only an abuse of the legislative process, sneaking through special interest provisions in the closing hours of the session, it is unfair to American workers, because skirting "Made in America" laws kills American jobs.

Mr. Speaker, we have many skilled workers in our country whose future depends on strong and competitive machine tool industries. We do not want to be undercutting them just to cut a special deal for a special interest. But according to the AP, Stanley Works, headquartered in New Britain, CT, sells tools that were cast or forged in foreign plants.

Federal courts have required that tools made in foreign countries had to bear markings showing where they came from, so someone from Toledo, or any other community who wants to buy some tools, will know whether those tools were made in our country by American workers or whether they were made in a foreign country.

That was not good enough for Stanley Works, it appears. They want to sell their tools to the consumer without revealing the true origin of those tools. That is misleading to the American consumer, it is unfair to American workers, and special interests appear to be lined up to do an end run around our "Made in America" laws right in the continuing resolution.

Mr. Speaker, "Made in America" laws help keep American workers employed. They help keep the orders coming in and jobs alive. They should not be eviscerated in a last-minute congressional deal to placate a special interest.

Mr. Speaker, I include for the RECORD the article by Mr. Drinkard.

The article referred to is as follows:

ENDGAME OF A CONGRESS: TIGHTENING THE SCREWS ON FEDERAL REGULATORS

(By Jim Drinkard)

WASHINGTON (AP) Lobbyists for one of America's largest toolmakers are seeking a last-minute congressional deal that would allow them to continue marketing wrenches and other tools forged in foreign countries as "Made in the U.S.A."

It's an example of how in the frenzied endgame of a congressional session, special-interest provisions that have lain dormant for months suddenly take on new life as their backers seek to attach them to any bill that moves.

In this case, there is "only one train leaving the station," in congressional parlance the omnibus money bill needed to keep the government running once the new fiscal year

begins Tuesday. That bill has become a magnet for pet amendments ranging from gun control to banking regulatory changes.

The Stanley Works, based in New Britain, Conn., sells tools that in many cases were cast or forged in overseas plants. Customs rules for years have allowed them to be imported and finished in the U.S., then sold without markings showing the country where the parts originated.

But a Federal court ruling four years ago upset that arrangement. It required that some foreign-origin tools had to bear markings showing where they came from, because the final product was substantially the same as the imported items. That triggered the current lobbying scramble.

Lobbyists for Stanley began angling to attach their provision to the money measure, and lobbyists for their competitors laid trip wires around Capitol Hill to head them off.

"This reflects an intra-industry war," said Rep. Nancy Johnson, R-Conn, who has gone to bat for Stanley, a large home-state employer.

A lawyer for the company, Stave Weddle, said Customs is "particularly unwise to be making a change when the whole area of country-of-origin labeling is being addressed by the World Trade Association," which may reach a different conclusion.

The saga began several years ago, when National Hand Tool Corp., a Stanley division, sought to import socket wrenches made in Taiwan without stamping them with the name of the country. The company argued that the tools were heat-tempered and further machined in the United States, so they were primarily U.S. made.

But the Customs Service ruled otherwise, saying that the tools had not been "substantially transformed" in the United States. That meant they were required to be marked as made in Taiwan. The tool company appealed, but lost in federal court.

Against that backdrop, Customs announced last year that it planned to update its rules to codify the court's ruling and make clearer which imported tools had to be marked with the country where they originated.

For Stanley, the announcement was like a hammer blow; it had built a network of suppliers in several foreign countries, relying in part on a series of Customs rulings that permitted it to label the final tools as made in the United States. Any change would threaten its marketing, which emphasizes quality homegrown products.

In the first six months of the year, Stanley paid a Washington law and lobbying firm about \$120,000 to advocate its position on Capitol Hill, and paid another lobbyist \$12,100, according to lobbying disclosure reports.

In May, Sen. Phil Gramm, R-Texas, introduced a bill that would have let toolmakers market their goods as made in the United States, even if the metal parts were made abroad. It amounted to a blanket exemption from the foreign-marking requirement.

Johnson inserted a similar provision into a catchall trade "technical corrections" bill that passed the House. That language would simply have barred Customs from issuing any new regulations for at least a year while the entire spectrum of regulations on labeling of imports is studied.

"If you change it for one product, it has enormous implications for other products," Johnson said. "Customs is overreaching."

But Danaher Corp., a competing toolmaker with plants across the United States, countered by hiring the law firm Hogan & Hartson for \$100,000, and the lobbying firm WinCapitol for \$220,000, both to help torpedo the provision.

To strengthen its hand Hogan & Hartson formed the American Hand Tool Coalition,

which says it represents 10 companies with manufacturing plants in 13 states.

Johnson said she had enlisted high-powered help from Senate Majority Leader Trent Lott and from the two lawmakers with the most say on trade policy: House Ways and Means Committee Chairman Bill Archer, R-Texas, and Senate Finance Committee Chairman William Roth, R-Del.

Using the threat of a legislative mandate as pressure, the issue may well be resolved "in a side discussion with Customs," she said.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Indiana [Mr. BURTON] is recognized for 5 minutes.

[Mr. BURTON of Indiana addressed the House. His remarks will appear hereafter in the Extensions of Remarks.]

TRIBUTE TO THE HONORABLE RAY THORNTON AND THE HONORABLE BLANCHE LAMBERT LINCOLN ON THEIR RETIREMENT FROM CONGRESS

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Arkansas [Mr. DICKEY] is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. DICKEY. Mr. Speaker, I want to take this moment to honor two of my distinguished colleagues from Arkansas who are retiring from Congress; first, RAY THORNTON.

RAY has served a very honorable career in public service. He served 4 years in the Navy, during which he saw combat on the U.S.S. *Philippines Sea* during the Korean war. He served as deputy prosecutor in Pulaski and Perry Counties in Arkansas for 2 years and as the attorney general for 3 years.

In 1973, RAY was elected to Congress to represent the Fourth District of Arkansas and later in 1990 was elected to the Second District. During his 24 years in Congress, RAY sat on the Committee on Agriculture; the Committee on the Judiciary; the Committee on Science and Technology, serving as Chair of the Subcommittee on Science, Research, and Technology; and the Committee on Appropriations. This was a committee that I have had the honor of serving on with RAY.

With RAY's leaving and DAVID PRYOR's leaving, we also are losing two of the three people who are representing the Fourth District of Arkansas, or who have. I am doing that at this present time. He also served as president of Arkansas State University in Jonesboro and the president of the university system of the whole State.

It would be impossible to touch on all of RAY's accomplishments over his long career in public service, but I would like to relay some philosophies to which RAY adhered when legislating for our country.

RAY THORNTON once said: "I want America to be the mightiest nation on earth militarily, the strongest economically, and the strongest in terms of personal freedom, dignity, and de-

mocracy." RAY selflessly served with the goal of improving our Nation's productivity, education, and infrastructure and, I must say, in a very gentlemanly and respectful way.

RAY recognized the can-do spirit that makes our Nation great. He knew that in order to accomplish America's goals, the process must be aimed at stimulating the combined efforts of the States, the private sector, and the cooperative groups of individuals and institutions.

The second colleague I would like to recognize is BLANCHE LAMBERT LINCOLN.

BLANCHE and I both came to Congress in January of 1993, she being from Helena, AR. BLANCHE has been a role model for all of us in the way she has served, repeatedly going to bat for the First District of Arkansas, conscientiously serving on her committees and subcommittees, tackling complex legislation head-on, putting people above politics, handling her responsibilities with tact and grace and with a sense of humor and good spirit.

BLANCHE has served on the Committee on Commerce, where she has earned a reputation as a champion for rural causes, ranging from rural water to health care and telecommunications access. She has worked hard to eliminate the Federal budget deficit, claiming that she does not want to pass it on to the next generation, and she has worked to break the cycle of poverty and put welfare dependents back to work.

BLANCHE continues to be a role model as she leaves office. In this day and age when so many other priorities come before family, BLANCHE has made a very selfless decision to leave this demanding occupation and return home to rear her twin boys, Reese and Bennett.

I was impressed when BLANCHE was once asked when she would return to her career, and she answered, "When my boys know the difference between right and wrong." We all need to learn a lesson from BLANCHE LAMBERT LINCOLN in setting priorities. She will always be remembered as a trailblazer, whether in Congress or acting as a wife and mom.

I wish these two beloved Members of Congress all the best, and hope to see both of you in Arkansas from time to time. We will miss you.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentlewoman from Illinois [Mrs. COLLINS] is recognized for 5 minutes.

[Mrs. COLLINS of Illinois addressed the House. Her remarks will appear hereafter in the Extensions of Remarks.]

TRIBUTE TO THE HONORABLE JIM ROSS LIGHTFOOT ON HIS RETIREMENT

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Virginia [Mr. WOLF] is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. WOLF. Mr. Speaker, I yield to the gentleman from Louisiana [Mr. LIVINGSTON].

(Mr. LIVINGSTON asked and was given permission to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. LIVINGSTON. Mr. Speaker, I will be brief but sincere in my remarks. I thank my friend for taking out this time.

Mr. Speaker, we are here to say bon voyage, good luck, and best wishes to our good friend, the gentleman from Iowa, JIM ROSS LIGHTFOOT, the distinguished Congressman from Iowa, who has been with us since he was elected to Congress in 1984.

JIM ROSS is running for the Senate, and we certainly wish him lots of success in that endeavor. We do not know why he wants to aspire to the other body when he has got a great life here, and he has a lot of friends, and we enjoy having him here. But the fact is, he has made that decision, and he has lots of talents that he will take with him.

He grew up on a farm in Iowa. He has a wonderful family. His wife Nancy and his four children I know are wishing him well and working hard for him in his current effort.

JIM ROSS and I, I went in the Navy after high school and he went in the Army. I guess that gives us some reason for our great friendship that we have had over the years. After he got out of the Army, he worked for IBM. He was transferred to Oklahoma, worked as a police officer, then a small businessman in Texas, and ultimately as a broadcaster in Iowa.

I really believe it was in that role that he kind of learned a trait that made him much like that fellow that wrote the book under the pseudonym "Anonymous," because I have suspected for many, many years that JIM ROSS LIGHTFOOT is really that voice, the anonymous voice, on Motel 6 ads.

□ 2000

You listen to him, he is the same guy. But whether he is or not, I just have to thank him for his dedicated devoted service to the Committee on Appropriations and to the U.S. Congress. He served as chairman of the Subcommittee on Treasury, Postal Service, and General Government over the last 2 years. He served on the Appropriations Subcommittees on Foreign Operations and Transportation, as I know my friend from Virginia will talk about, and he served as co-chair of the law enforcement caucus because of his law enforcement background.

He represented his constituents with great distinction and honor and dignity, and he will take that dignity with him wherever he goes. I personally wish him well. I want to express my sincere thanks to him for his wonderful work over these last 2 years in assisting, as part of a team to literally transform America, to show America that we do not have to have ever larger, bigger, more expensive government,