

Horn	McKeon	Shaw
Hostettler	Metcalf	Shays
Houghton	Meyers	Shuster
Hunter	Mica	Sisisky
Hutchinson	Miller (FL)	Skeen
Hyde	Minge	Skeltton
Inglis	Montgomery	Smith (MI)
Istook	Moorhead	Smith (NJ)
Jacobs	Myers	Smith (TX)
Johnson (SD)	Myrick	Smith (WA)
Johnson, Sam	Nethercutt	Solomon
Jones	Neumann	Spence
Kaptur	Ney	Stearns
Kasich	Norwood	Stenholm
Kelly	Nussle	Stockman
Kim	Orton	Stump
King	Oxley	Talent
Kingston	Packard	Tanner
Klink	Parker	Tate
Klug	Paxon	Tauzin
Knollenberg	Petri	Taylor (MS)
Kolbe	Pickett	Taylor (NC)
LaHood	Pombo	Thomas
Largent	Porter	Thornberry
Latham	Portman	Tiahrt
LaTourette	Poshard	Torkildsen
Laughlin	Pryce	Torricelli
Lazio	Quillen	Trafficant
Lewis (CA)	Radanovich	Upton
Lewis (KY)	Ramstad	Visclosky
Lightfoot	Regula	Volkmer
Linder	Riggs	Vucanovich
Lipinski	Roberts	Walker
Livingston	Roemer	Walsh
LoBiondo	Rogers	Wamp
Lucas	Rohrabacher	Watts (OK)
Manzullo	Roth	Weldon (FL)
Martini	Roukema	Weldon (PA)
Mascara	Royce	Whitfield
McCollum	Salmon	Wicker
McCrery	Saxton	Wolf
McDade	Scarborough	Young (AK)
McHale	Schaefer	Young (FL)
McHugh	Seastrand	Zeliff
McInnis	Sensenbrenner	Zimmer
McIntosh	Shadegg	

## NAYS—175

Abercrombie	Fazio	McDermott
Ackerman	Fields (LA)	McKinney
Andrews	Filner	McNulty
Baesler	Flake	Meehan
Baldacci	Foglietta	Meek
Barcia	Frank (MA)	Menendez
Barrett (WI)	Frost	Millender-
Barton	Furse	McDonald
Becerra	Gejdenson	Miller (CA)
Beilenson	Gephardt	Mink
Bentsen	Gilman	Moakley
Berman	Gonzalez	Molinari
Blumenauer	Green (TX)	Mollohan
Bonior	Greene (UT)	Moran
Borski	Gunderson	Morella
Boucher	Gutierrez	Murtha
Brown (CA)	Harman	Nadler
Brown (FL)	Hastings (FL)	Neal
Brown (OH)	Hefner	Oberstar
Bryant (TX)	Hilliard	Obey
Bunn	Hinchey	Olver
Campbell	Hoyer	Ortiz
Chapman	Jackson (IL)	Owens
Clay	Jackson-Lee	Pallone
Clayton	(TX)	Pastor
Clyburn	Jefferson	Payne (NJ)
Coleman	Johnson (CT)	Payne (VA)
Collins (IL)	Johnson, E.B.	Pelosi
Collins (MI)	Johnston	Pomeroy
Conyers	Kanjorski	Quinn
Coyne	Kennedy (MA)	Rahall
Cummings	Kennedy (RI)	Rangel
de la Garza	Kennelly	Reed
DeFazio	Kildee	Richardson
DeLauro	Klecicka	Rivers
Dellums	LaFalce	Ros-Lehtinen
Diaz-Balart	Lantos	Rose
Dicks	Leach	Roybal-Allard
Dingell	Levin	Rush
Dixon	Lewis (GA)	Sabo
Doggett	Lincoln	Sanders
Dooley	Lofgren	Sanford
Durbin	Longley	Sawyer
Edwards	Lowe	Schiff
Engel	Luther	Schroeder
English	Maloney	Schumer
Eshoo	Manton	Scott
Evans	Markey	Serrano
Farr	Martinez	Skaggs
Fattah	Matsui	Slaughter
Fawell	McCarthy	Souder

Spratt	Thurman	Waxman
Stark	Torres	Weller
Stokes	Towns	White
Studds	Velazquez	Williams
Stupak	Vento	Wise
Tejeda	Ward	Woolsey
Thompson	Waters	Wynn
Thornton	Watt (NC)	Yates

## NOT VOTING—5

Gibbons	Peterson (FL)	Wilson
Heineman	Peterson (MN)	

## □ 1743

So the bill was passed.  
The result of the vote was announced  
as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on  
the table.

## □ 1745

# ANNOUNCEMENT OF INTENTION TO OFFER RESOLUTION RAISING QUESTION OF PRIVILEGES OF THE HOUSE

Mr. LINDER. Mr. Speaker, Pursuant  
to clause 2, rule IX, I hereby give notice  
of my intention to offer a question  
of the privileges of the House.

Mr. Speaker, the resolution says:

Whereas, a complaint filed against Representative Gephardt alleges House Rules have been violated by Representative Gephardt's concealment of profits gained through a complex series of real estate tax exchanges and;

Whereas, the complaint also alleges possible violations of banking disclosure and campaign finance laws or regulations and;

Whereas, the Committee on Standards of Official Conduct has in other complex matters involving complaints hired outside counsel with expertise in tax laws and regulations and;

Whereas, the Committee on Standards of Official Conduct is responsible for determining whether Representative Gephardt's financial transactions violated standards of conduct or specific rules of the House of Representatives and;

Whereas, the complaint against Representative Gephardt has been pending before the committee for more than seven months.

Whereas, on Friday, September 20, 1996 the ranking Democrat of the Ethics Committee, Representative James McDermott in a public statement suggested that cases pending before the committee in excess of 60 days be referred to an outside counsel; now be it

Resolved that the committee on Standards of Official Conduct is authorized and directed to hire a special counsel to assist in the investigation of the charges filed against the Democrat Leader Representative Richard Gephardt.

Resolved that all relevant materials presented to, or developed by, the committee to date on the complaint be submitted to a special counsel, for review and recommendation to determine whether the committee should proceed to a preliminary inquiry.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. HANSEN). Under rule IX, a resolution offered from the floor by a Member other than the majority leader or the minority leader as a question of the privileges of the House has immediate precedence only at a time or place designated by the Chair in the legislative schedule within 2 legislative days. The Chair will announce that designation at a later time.

A determination as to whether the resolution constitutes a question of

privilege will be made at that later time.

# ANNOUNCEMENT OF ADDITIONAL BILL TO BE CONSIDERED UNDER SUSPENSION OF THE RULES ON TODAY

Mr. NETHERCUTT. Mr. Speaker, pursuant to House Resolution 525, I announce the following suspension to be considered today: H.R. 4167, the Professional Boxing Safety Act.

# REMOVAL OF NAMES OF MEMBERS AS COSPONSORS OF H.R. 3559

Mr. NETHERCUTT. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to delete the following Members as cosponsors of H.R. 3559: Messrs. TRAFICANT, EHLERS, MCINTOSH, Ms. DUNN of Washington, Mrs. CHENOWETH, and Mr. MCHUGH.

The SPEAKER. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Washington?

There was no objection.

# PERSONAL EXPLANATION

Mr. MASCARA. Mr. Speaker, the President was in my district this morning for an event at Robert Morris College. He gave a great address and received a very warm welcome from the people of the 20th District of Pennsylvania.

However, as a result, I was detained in my district and missed several votes. If I had been here, I would have voted "no" on the rule for the immigration conference report, rollcall No. 430, "yes" on the motion to recommit, rollcall No. 431, and "yes" on passage, rollcall No. 432.

# CONFERENCE REPORT ON H.R. 2977, ADMINISTRATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION ACT OF 1996

Mr. FLANAGAN (during consideration of H.R. 3852) submitted the following conference report and statement on the bill (H.R. 2977) to reauthorize alternative means of dispute resolution in the Federal administrative process, and for other purposes:

# CONFERENCE REPORT (H. REPT. 104-841)

The committee of conference on the disagreeing votes of the two Houses on the amendments of the Senate to the bill (H.R. 2977), to reauthorize alternative means of dispute resolution in the Federal administrative process, and for other purposes, having met, after full and free conference, have agreed to recommend and do recommend to their respective Houses as follows:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate to the text of the bill and agree to the same with an amendment as follows:

In lieu of the matter proposed to be inserted by the Senate amendment, insert the following:

# SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

*This Act may be cited as the "Administrative Dispute Resolution Act of 1996".*

**SEC. 2. AMENDMENT TO DEFINITIONS.**

Section 571 of title 5, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in paragraph (3)—

(A) by striking “, in lieu of an adjudication as defined in section 551(7) of this title.”;

(B) by striking “settlement negotiations.”; and

(C) by striking “and arbitration” and inserting “arbitration, and use of ombuds”; and

(2) in paragraph (8)—

(A) in subparagraph (B) by striking “decision,” and inserting “decision.”; and

(B) by striking the matter following subparagraph (B).

**SEC. 3. AMENDMENTS TO CONFIDENTIALITY PROVISIONS.**

(a) **LIMITATION OF CONFIDENTIALITY APPLICATION TO COMMUNICATION.**—Subsections (a) and (b) of section 574 of title 5, United States Code, are each amended in the matter before paragraph (1) by striking “any information concerning”.

(b) **DISPUTE RESOLUTION COMMUNICATION.**—Section 574(b)(7) of title 5, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

“(7) except for dispute resolution communications generated by the neutral, the dispute resolution communication was provided to or was available to all parties to the dispute resolution proceeding.”.

(c) **ALTERNATIVE CONFIDENTIALITY PROCEDURES.**—Section 574(d) of title 5, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by inserting “(1)” after “(d)”;

(2) by adding at the end thereof the following new paragraph:

“(2) To qualify for the exemption established under subsection (j), an alternative confidential procedure under this subsection may not provide for less disclosure than the confidential procedures otherwise provided under this section.”.

(d) **EXEMPTION FROM DISCLOSURE BY STATUTE.**—Section 574 of title 5, United States Code, is amended by amending subsection (j) to read as follows:

“(j) A dispute resolution communication which is between a neutral and a party and which may not be disclosed under this section shall also be exempt from disclosure under section 552(b)(3).”.

**SEC. 4. AMENDMENT TO REFLECT THE CLOSURE OF THE ADMINISTRATIVE CONFERENCE.**

(a) **PROMOTION OF ADMINISTRATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTIONS.**—Section 3(a)(1) of the Administrative Dispute Resolution Act (5 U.S.C. 571 note; Public Law 101-552; 104 Stat. 2736) is amended to read as follows:

“(1) consult with the agency designated by, or the interagency committee designated or established by, the President under section 573 of title 5, United States Code, to facilitate and encourage agency use of alternative dispute resolution under subchapter IV of chapter 5 of such title; and”.

(b) **COMPILATION OF INFORMATION.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Section 582 of title 5, United States Code, is repealed.

(2) **TECHNICAL AND CONFORMING AMENDMENT.**—The table of sections for chapter 5 of title 5, United States Code, is amended by striking the item relating to section 582.

(c) **FEDERAL MEDIATION AND CONCILIATION SERVICE.**—Section 203(f) of the Labor Management Relations Act, 1947 (29 U.S.C. 173(f)) is amended by striking “the Administrative Conference of the United States and other agencies” and inserting “the agency designated by, or the interagency committee designated or established by, the President under section 573 of title 5, United States Code.”.

**SEC. 5. AMENDMENTS TO SUPPORT SERVICES PROVISION.**

Section 583 of title 5, United States Code, is amended by inserting “State, local, and tribal governments,” after “other Federal agencies.”.

**SEC. 6. AMENDMENTS TO THE CONTRACT DISPUTES ACT.**

Section 6 of the Contract Disputes Act of 1978 (41 U.S.C. 605) is amended—

(1) in subsection (d) by striking the second sentence and inserting: “The contractor shall certify the claim when required to do so as provided under subsection (c)(1) or as otherwise required by law.”; and

(2) in subsection (e) by striking the first sentence.

**SEC. 7. AMENDMENTS ON ACQUIRING NEUTRALS.**

(a) **EXPEDITED HIRING OF NEUTRALS.**—

(1) **COMPETITIVE REQUIREMENTS IN DEFENSE AGENCY CONTRACTS.**—Section 2304(c)(3)(C) of title 10, United States Code, is amended by striking “agency, or” and inserting “agency, or to procure the services of an expert or neutral for use”.

(2) **COMPETITIVE REQUIREMENTS IN FEDERAL CONTRACTS.**—Section 303(c)(3)(C) of the Federal Property and Administrative Services Act of 1949 (41 U.S.C. 253(c)(3)(C)), is amended by striking “agency, or” and inserting “agency, or to procure the services of an expert or neutral for use”.

(b) **REFERENCES TO THE ADMINISTRATIVE CONFERENCE OF THE UNITED STATES.**—Section 573 of title 5, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by striking subsection (c) and inserting the following:

“(c) The President shall designate an agency or designate or establish an interagency committee to facilitate and encourage agency use of dispute resolution under this subchapter. Such agency or interagency committee, in consultation with other appropriate Federal agencies and professional organizations experienced in matters concerning dispute resolution, shall—

“(1) encourage and facilitate agency use of alternative means of dispute resolution; and

“(2) develop procedures that permit agencies to obtain the services of neutrals on an expedited basis.”; and

(2) in subsection (e) by striking “on a roster established under subsection (c)(2) or a roster maintained by other public or private organizations, or individual”.

**SEC. 8. ARBITRATION AWARDS AND JUDICIAL REVIEW.**

(a) **ARBITRATION AWARDS.**—Section 580 of title 5, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by striking subsections (c), (f), and (g); and

(2) by redesignating subsections (d) and (e) as subsections (c) and (d), respectively.

(b) **JUDICIAL AWARDS.**—Section 581(d) of title 5, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by striking “(1)” after “(b)”;

(2) by striking paragraph (2).

(c) **AUTHORIZATION OF ARBITRATION.**—Section 575 of title 5, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in subsection (a)(2), by striking “Any” and inserting “The”;

(2) in subsection (a)(2), by adding at the end the following: “Each such arbitration agreement shall specify a maximum award that may be issued by the arbitrator and may specify other conditions limiting the range of possible outcomes.”;

(3) in subsection (b)—

(A) by striking “may offer to use arbitration for the resolution of issues in controversy, if” and inserting “shall not offer to use arbitration for the resolution of issues in controversy unless”; and

(B) by striking in paragraph (1) “has authority” and inserting “would otherwise have authority”; and

(4) by adding at the end the following:

“(c) Prior to using binding arbitration under this subchapter, the head of an agency, in consultation with the Attorney General and after taking into account the factors in section 572(b), shall issue guidance on the appropriate use of binding arbitration and when an officer or employee of the agency has authority to settle an

issue in controversy through binding arbitration.”.

**SEC. 9. PERMANENT AUTHORIZATION OF THE ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION PROVISIONS OF TITLE 5, UNITED STATES CODE.**

The Administrative Dispute Resolution Act (Public Law 101-552; 104 Stat. 2747; 5 U.S.C. 571 note) is amended by striking section 11.

**SEC. 10. AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.**

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Subchapter IV of title 5, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end thereof the following new section:

**“§584. Authorization of appropriations**

“There are authorized to be appropriated such sums as may be necessary to carry out the purposes of this subchapter.”.

(b) **TECHNICAL AND CONFORMING AMENDMENT.**—The table of sections for chapter 5 of title 5, United States Code, is amended by inserting after the item relating to section 583 the following:

“584. Authorization of appropriations.”.

**SEC. 11. REAUTHORIZATION OF NEGOTIATED RULEMAKING ACT OF 1990.**

(a) **PERMANENT REAUTHORIZATION.**—Section 5 of the Negotiated Rulemaking Act of 1990 (Public Law 101-648; 5 U.S.C. 561 note) is repealed.

(b) **CLOSURE OF ADMINISTRATIVE CONFERENCE.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Section 569 of title 5, United States Code, is amended—

(A) by amending the section heading to read as follows:

“§569. Encouraging negotiated rulemaking”; and

(B) by striking subsections (a) through (g) and inserting the following:

“(a) The President shall designate an agency or designate or establish an interagency committee to facilitate and encourage agency use of negotiated rulemaking. An agency that is considering, planning, or conducting a negotiated rulemaking may consult with such agency or committee for information and assistance.

“(b) To carry out the purposes of this subchapter, an agency planning or conducting a negotiated rulemaking may accept, hold, administer, and utilize gifts, devises, and bequests of property, both real and personal if that agency’s acceptance and use of such gifts, devises, or bequests do not create a conflict of interest. Gifts and bequests of money and proceeds from sales of other property received as gifts, devises, or bequests shall be deposited in the Treasury and shall be disbursed upon the order of the head of such agency. Property accepted pursuant to this section, and the proceeds thereof, shall be used as nearly as possible in accordance with the terms of the gifts, devises, or bequests.”.

(2) **TECHNICAL AND CONFORMING AMENDMENT.**—The table of sections for chapter 5 of title 5, United States Code, is amended by striking the item relating to section 569 and inserting the following:

“569. Encouraging negotiated rulemaking.”.

(c) **EXPEDITED HIRING OF CONVENORS AND FACILITATORS.**—

(1) **DEFENSE AGENCY CONTRACTS.**—Section 2304(c)(3)(C) of title 10, United States Code, is amended by inserting “or negotiated rulemaking” after “alternative dispute resolution”.

(2) **FEDERAL CONTRACTS.**—Section 303(c)(3)(C) of the Federal Property and Administrative Services Act of 1949 (41 U.S.C. 253(c)(3)(C)), is amended by inserting “or negotiated rulemaking” after “alternative dispute resolution”.

(d) **AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Subchapter III of title 5, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end thereof the following new section:

**“§570a. Authorization of appropriations**

“There are authorized to be appropriated such sums as may be necessary to carry out the purposes of this subchapter.”.

(2) TECHNICAL AND CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—The table of sections for chapter 5 of title 5, United States Code, is amended by inserting after the item relating to section 570 the following:

“570a. Authorization of appropriations.”.

(e) NEGOTIATED RULEMAKING COMMITTEES.—The Director of the Office of Management and Budget shall—

(1) within 180 days of the date of the enactment of this Act, take appropriate action to expedite the establishment of negotiated rulemaking committees and committees established to resolve disputes under the Administrative Dispute Resolution Act, including, with respect to negotiated rulemaking committees, eliminating any redundant administrative requirements related to filing a committee charter under section 9 of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (5 U.S.C. App.) and providing public notice of such committee under section 564 of title 5, United States Code; and

(2) within one year of the date of the enactment of this Act, submit recommendations to Congress for any necessary legislative changes.

## SEC. 12. JURISDICTION OF THE UNITED STATES COURT OF FEDERAL CLAIMS: PROCUREMENT PROTESTS.

(a) PROCUREMENT PROTESTS.—

(1) TERMINATION OF JURISDICTION OF DISTRICT COURTS.—Section 1491 of title 28, United States Code, is amended—

(A) by redesignating subsection (b) as subsection (d) and by striking “(d)” and inserting “(d) EXCLUSIVE JURISDICTION OF OTHER TRIBUNALS.—”;

(B) in subsection (a)—

(i) by striking “(a)(1)” and inserting “(a) CLAIMS AGAINST THE UNITED STATES.—”;

(ii) in paragraph (2), by striking “(2) To” and inserting “(b) REMEDY AND RELIEF.—To”; and

(iii) by striking paragraph (3); and

(C) by inserting after subsection (b), as designated by paragraph (1)(B)(ii), the following new subsection (c):

“(c) PROCUREMENT PROTESTS.—(1) The United States Court of Federal Claims has exclusive jurisdiction to render judgment on an action by an interested party objecting to a solicitation by a Federal agency for procurements or proposals for a proposed contract or to a proposed award or the award of a contract. The court has jurisdiction to entertain such an action without regard to whether suit is instituted before or after the contract is awarded.

“(2) To afford relief in such an action, the court may award any relief that the court considers proper, including declaratory and injunctive relief.

“(3) In exercising jurisdiction under this subsection, the court shall give due regard to the interests of national defense and national security and the need for expeditious resolution of the action.

“(4) In any action under this subsection, the court shall review the agency’s decision pursuant to the standards set forth in section 706 of title 5, United States Code.”.

(2) CLERICAL AMENDMENTS.—

(A) SECTION HEADING.—The heading of such section is amended by inserting “**procurement protests;**” after “**generally;**”.

(B) TABLE OF SECTIONS.—The table of sections at the beginning of chapter 91 of title 28, United States Code, is amended by striking the item relating to section 1491 and inserting the following:

“1491. Claims against United States generally; procurement protests; actions involving Tennessee Valley Authority.”.

(b) NONEXCLUSIVITY OF GAO REMEDIES.—Section 3556 of title 31, United States Code, is amended by striking “a district court of the United States or” in the first sentence.

(c) SAVINGS PROVISIONS.—

(1) ORDERS.—The amendments made by this section shall not terminate the effectiveness of

orders that have been issued by a court in connection with an action within the jurisdiction of that court on the day before the effective date of this section. Such orders shall continue in effect according to their terms until modified, terminated, superseded, set aside, or revoked by a court of competent jurisdiction or by operation of law.

(2) PROCEEDINGS AND APPLICATIONS.—(A) The amendments made by this section shall not affect the jurisdiction of a court of the United States to continue with any proceeding that is pending before the court on the day before the effective date of this section.

(B) Orders may be issued in any such proceeding, appeals may be taken therefrom, and payments may be made pursuant to such orders, as if this section had not been enacted. An order issued in any such proceeding shall continue in effect until modified, terminated, superseded, set aside, or revoked by a court of competent jurisdiction or by operation of law.

(C) Nothing in this paragraph prohibits the discontinuance or modification of any such proceeding under the same terms and conditions and to the same extent that such proceeding could have been discontinued or modified if this section had not been enacted.

(d) EFFECTIVE DATE.—This section and the amendments made by this section shall take effect on December 31, 1996.

And the Senate agree to the same.

That the Senate recede from its amendment to the title of the bill.

HENRY HYDE,  
GEORGE W. GEKAS,  
MICHAEL PATRICK  
FLANAGAN,  
JOHN CONYERS, JR.,  
JACK REED,

Managers on the Part of the House.

TED STEVENS,  
BILL COHEN,  
CHUCK GRASSLEY,  
JOHN GLENN,  
CARL LEVIN,

Managers on the Part of the Senate.

## JOINT EXPLANATORY STATEMENT OF THE COMMITTEE OF CONFERENCE

The managers on the part of the House and the Senate at the conference on the disagreeing votes of the two Houses on the amendments of the Senate to the bill (H.R. 2977) to reauthorize alternative means of dispute resolution in the Federal administrative process, and for other purposes, submit the following joint statement to the House and the Senate in explanation of the effect of the action agreed upon by the managers and recommended in the accompanying conference report:

The Senate amendment to the text of the bill struck all of the House bill after the enacting clause and inserted a substitute text.

The House recedes from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate with an amendment that is a substitute for the House bill and the Senate amendment. The differences between the House bill, the Senate amendment, and the substitute agreed to in conference are noted below, except for clerical corrections, conforming changes made necessary by agreements reached by the conferees, and minor drafting and clerical changes.

The conferees incorporate by reference in this Statement of Managers the legislative history reflected in both House Report 104-597 and Senate Report 104-245. To the extent not otherwise inconsistent with the conference agreement, those reports give expression to the intent of the conferees.

Section 3—House recedes to Senate amendment with modifications. This section clarifies that, under 5 U.S.C. section 574, a dispute resolution communication between a party

and a neutral or a neutral and a party that meets the requirements for confidentiality in section 574 is also exempt from disclosure under FOIA. In addition, a dispute resolution communication originating from a neutral and provided to all of the parties, such as Early Neutral Evaluation, is protected from discovery under 574(b)(7) and from disclosure under FOIA. A dispute resolution communication originating from a party to a party or parties is not protected from disclosure by the ADR Act.

The Managers recognize that the intent of the Conference Agreement not to exempt from disclosure under FOIA a dispute resolution communication given by one party to another party could be easily thwarted if a neutral in receipt of a dispute resolution communication agrees with a party to in turn pass the communication on to another party. It is the intent of the Managers that if the neutral attempts to circumvent the prohibitions of the ADR Act in this manner, the exemption from FOIA would not apply.

As with all other FOIA exemptions, the exemption created by section 574(j) is to be construed narrowly. The Managers would not expect the parties to use the new exemption as a mere sham to exempt information from FOIA. Thus, for example, we would not expect litigants to resort to ADR principally as a means of taking advantage of the new exemption. In such a case the new exemption would not apply.

Section 7—Senate recedes to House with a modification. This section requires the President to designate an agency or to designate or establish an interagency committee to facilitate and encourage the use of alternative dispute resolution. The Managers encourage the President to designate the same entity under this provision as is designated under section 11 (regarding Negotiated Rulemaking). This would promote the coordination of policies, enhance institutional memory on the relevant issues, and make more efficient the use of ADR and Negotiated Rulemaking.

Section 8—House recedes to Senate amendment with modifications. This section permits the use of binding arbitration under certain conditions, and clarifies that an agency cannot exceed its otherwise applicable settlement authority in alternative dispute resolution proceedings.

The head of an agency that is a party to an arbitration proceeding will no longer have the authority to terminate the proceeding or vacate any award under 5 U.S.C. section 580. However, it is the Managers’ intent that an arbitrator shall not grant an award that is inconsistent with law. In addition, prior to the use of binding arbitration, the head of each agency, in consultation with the Attorney General, must issue guidelines on the use and limitations of binding arbitration.

Section 11—House recedes to Senate amendment with modifications. This section permanently reauthorizes the Negotiated Rulemaking Act of 1990. The President is required to designate an agency or interagency committee to facilitate and encourage the use of negotiated rulemaking.

In addition, this section requires the Director of the Office of Management and Budget to take action to expedite the establishment of negotiated rulemaking committees and committees to resolve disputes under the Administrative Dispute Resolution Act. It is the understanding of the Managers that the Federal Advisory Committee Act (FACA) applies to proceedings under the Negotiated Rulemaking Act, but does not apply to proceedings under the Administrative Dispute Resolution Act. The Director also is required to submit recommendations to Congress for any necessary legislative changes within one year after enactment.

The Managers deleted language in paragraph (b)(1)(B) determining that property accepted under this section shall be considered a gift to the United States for federal tax purposes because the Managers determined that the language merely repeated current law.

Section 12—House recedes to Senate amendment with modifications. This section consolidates federal court jurisdiction for procurement protest cases in the Court of Federal Claims. Previously, in addition to the jurisdiction exercised by the Court of Federal Claims, certain procurement protest cases were subject to review in the federal district courts. The grant of exclusive federal court jurisdiction to the Court of Federal Claims does not affect in any way the authority of the Comptroller General to review procurement protests pursuant to Chapter 35 of Title 31, U.S.C. Code.

This section also applies the Administrative Procedure Act standard of review previously applied by the district courts (5 U.S.C. sec. 706) to all procurement protest cases in the Court of Federal Claims. It is the intention of the Managers to give the Court of Federal Claims exclusive jurisdiction over the full range of procurement protest cases previously subject to review in the federal district courts and the Court of Federal Claims. This section is not intended to affect the jurisdiction or standards applied by the Court of Federal Claims in any other area of the law.

HENRY HYDE,  
GEORGE W. GEKAS,  
MICHAEL PATRICK  
FLANAGAN,  
JOHN CONYERS, JR.,  
JACK REED,

*Managers on the Part of the House.*

TED STEVENS,  
BILL COHEN,  
CHUCK GRASSLEY,  
JOHN GLENN,  
CARL LEE,

*Managers on the Part of the Senate.*

#### ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to the provisions of clause 5 of rule I, the Chair announces that he will postpone further proceedings on each motion to suspend the rules on which a recorded vote or the yeas and nays are ordered, or on which the vote is objected to under clause 4 of rule XV.

Such rollcall votes, if postponed, will be taken tomorrow.

#### COMPREHENSIVE METHAMPHETAMINE CONTROL ACT OF 1996

Mr. MCCOLLUM. Mr. Speaker, I move to suspend the rules and pass the bill (H.R. 3852) to prevent the illegal manufacturing and use of methamphetamine, as amended.

The Clerk read as follows:

H.R. 3852

*Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,*

#### SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE AND TABLE OF CONTENTS.

(a) SHORT TITLE.—This Act may be cited as the "Comprehensive Methamphetamine Control Act of 1996".

(b) TABLE OF CONTENTS.—The table of contents for this Act is as follows:

Sec. 1. Short title and table of contents.

#### TITLE I—IMPORTATION OF METHAMPHETAMINE AND PRECURSOR CHEMICALS

Sec. 101. Support for international efforts to control drugs.

Sec. 102. Penalties for manufacture of listed chemicals outside the United States with intent to import them into the United States.

#### TITLE II—PROVISIONS TO CONTROL THE MANUFACTURE OF METHAMPHETAMINE

Sec. 201. Seizure and forfeiture of regulated chemicals.

Sec. 202. Study and report on measures to prevent sales of agents used in methamphetamine production.

Sec. 203. Increased penalties for manufacture and possession of equipment used to make controlled substances.

Sec. 204. Addition of iodine and hydrochloric gas to list II.

Sec. 205. Civil penalties for firms that supply precursor chemicals.

Sec. 206. Injunctive relief.

Sec. 207. Restitution for cleanup of clandestine laboratory sites.

Sec. 208. Record retention.

Sec. 209. Technical amendments.

Sec. 210. Withdrawal of regulations.

#### TITLE III—INCREASED PENALTIES FOR TRAFFICKING AND MANUFACTURE OF METHAMPHETAMINE AND PRECURSORS

Sec. 301. Trafficking in methamphetamine penalty increases.

Sec. 302. Penalty increases for trafficking in listed chemicals.

Sec. 303. Enhanced penalty for dangerous handling of controlled substances: amendment of sentencing guidelines.

#### TITLE IV—LEGAL MANUFACTURE, DISTRIBUTION, AND SALE OF PRECURSOR CHEMICALS

Sec. 401. Diversion of certain precursor chemicals.

Sec. 402. Mail order restrictions.

#### TITLE V—EDUCATION AND RESEARCH

Sec. 501. Interagency methamphetamine task force.

Sec. 502. Public health monitoring.

Sec. 503. Public-private education program.

Sec. 504. Suspicious orders task force.

#### TITLE I—IMPORTATION OF METHAMPHETAMINE AND PRECURSOR CHEMICALS

##### SEC. 101. SUPPORT FOR INTERNATIONAL EFFORTS TO CONTROL DRUGS.

The Attorney General, in consultation with the Secretary of State, shall coordinate international drug enforcement efforts to decrease the movement of methamphetamine and methamphetamine precursors into the United States.

##### SEC. 102. PENALTIES FOR MANUFACTURE OF LISTED CHEMICALS OUTSIDE THE UNITED STATES WITH INTENT TO IMPORT THEM INTO THE UNITED STATES.

(a) UNLAWFUL IMPORTATION.—Section 1009(a) of the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. 959(a)) is amended—

(1) in the matter before paragraph (1), by inserting "or listed chemical" after "schedule I or II"; and

(2) in paragraphs (1) and (2), by inserting "or chemical" after "substance".

(b) UNLAWFUL MANUFACTURE OR DISTRIBUTION.—Paragraphs (1) and (2) of section 1009(b) of the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. 959(b)) are amended by inserting "or listed chemical" after "controlled substance".

(c) PENALTIES.—Section 1010(d) of the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. 960(d)) is amended—

(1) in paragraph (5), by striking "or" at the end;

(2) in paragraph (6), by striking the comma at the end and inserting "; or"; and

(3) by adding at the end the following:

"(7) manufactures, possesses with intent to distribute, or distributes a listed chemical in violation of section 959 of this title."

#### TITLE II—PROVISIONS TO CONTROL THE MANUFACTURE OF METHAMPHETAMINE

##### SEC. 201. SEIZURE AND FORFEITURE OF REGULATED CHEMICALS.

(a) PENALTIES FOR SIMPLE POSSESSION.—Section 404 of the Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 844) is amended—

(1) in subsection (a)—

(A) by adding after the first sentence the following: "It shall be unlawful for any person knowingly or intentionally to possess any list I chemical obtained pursuant to or under authority of a registration issued to that person under section 303 of this title or section 1008 of title III if that registration has been revoked or suspended, if that registration has expired, or if the registrant has ceased to do business in the manner contemplated by his registration."; and

(B) by striking "drug or narcotic" and inserting "drug, narcotic, or chemical" each place it appears; and

(2) in subsection (c), by striking "drug or narcotic" and inserting "drug, narcotic, or chemical".

(b) FORFEITURES.—Section 511(a) of the Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 881(a)) is amended—

(1) in paragraphs (2) and (6), by inserting "or listed chemical" after "controlled substance" each place it appears; and

(2) in paragraph (9), by—

(A) inserting "dispensed, acquired," after "distributed," both places it appears; and

(B) striking "a felony provision of".

(c) SEIZURE.—Section 607 of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1607) is amended—

(1) in subsection (a)(3), by inserting "or listed chemical" after "controlled substance"; and

(2) by amending subsection (b) to read as follows:

"(b) As used in this section, the terms 'controlled substance' and 'listed chemical' have the meaning given such terms in section 102 of the Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 802)."

##### SEC. 202. STUDY AND REPORT ON MEASURES TO PREVENT SALES OF AGENTS USED IN METHAMPHETAMINE PRODUCTION.

(a) STUDY.—The Attorney General of the United States shall conduct a study on possible measures to effectively prevent the diversion of red phosphorous, iodine, hydrochloric gas, and other agents for use in the production of methamphetamine. Nothing in this section shall preclude the Attorney General from taking any action the Attorney General already is authorized to take with regard to the regulation of listed chemicals under current law.

(b) REPORT.—Not later than January 1, 1998, the Attorney General shall submit a report to the Congress of its findings pursuant to the study conducted under subsection (a) on the need for and advisability of preventive measures.

(c) CONSIDERATIONS.—In developing recommendations under subsection (b), the Attorney General shall consider—

(1) the use of red phosphorous, iodine, hydrochloric gas, and other agents in the illegal manufacture of methamphetamine;

(2) the use of red phosphorous, iodine, hydrochloric gas, and other agents for legal