

deal with these challenges. Democrats believe that education is the key to our children's future and the key to our country's continued success.

Under this Republican Congress, however, education has not fared well. In 1995, Senator Dole supported the largest cuts in Federal education funding in the history of our Nation, and the assault continues. Instead of considering further education cuts, we should be involved in debating increasing Federal commitment to our children's education.

Most of education is paid for at the local and State level, but as a Nation we have to be competitive with the world. It is time to stop blaming teachers for our educational problems and start blaming those who have consistently opposed funding for education. We will be judged by how we treat and educate our children.

Senator Dole, who has a poor record on education, should be judged appropriately on November 5.

COMMUNICATION FROM THE HONORABLE THOMAS J. BLILEY, JR., MEMBER OF CONGRESS

The Speaker pro tempore laid before the House the following communication from the Honorable THOMAS J. BLILEY, Jr., Member of Congress:

U.S. HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES,  
COMMITTEE ON COMMERCE,

Washington, DC, September 18, 1996.

Hon. NEWT GINGRICH,  
Speaker, U.S. House of Representatives, Washington, DC.

DEAR MR. SPEAKER: This is to formally notify you, pursuant to Rule L (50) of the Rules of the House of Representatives, that Reid Stuntz, currently the minority general counsel of the Committee on Commerce and formerly the staff director and chief counsel for the Subcommittee on Oversight and Investigations for the Committee on Energy and Commerce, has been served with a subpoena issued by the U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia in the matter of United States v. Jeffrey M. Levine, Cr. No. 94-034.

After consultation with the Office of General Counsel, I have determined that the subpoena appears not to be consistent with the rights and privileges of the House and, therefore, should be resisted.

Sincerely,

THOMAS J. BLILEY, Jr.

COMMUNICATION FROM THE HONORABLE THOMAS J. BLILEY, JR., MEMBER OF CONGRESS

The Speaker pro tempore laid before the House the following communication from the Honorable THOMAS J. BLILEY, Jr., Member of Congress:

U.S. HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES,  
COMMITTEE ON COMMERCE,

Washington, DC, September 18, 1996.

Hon. NEWT GINGRICH,  
Speaker, U.S. House of Representatives, Washington, DC.

DEAR MR. SPEAKER: This is to formally notify you, pursuant to Rule L (50) of the Rules of the House of Representatives, that a trial subpoena (for documents and testimony) issued by the U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia in the matter of United

States v. Jeffrey M. Levine, Cr. No. 94-034, has been served on me.

After consultation with the Office of General Counsel, I have determined that the subpoena appears not to be consistent with the rights and privileges of the House and, therefore, should be resisted.

Sincerely,

THOMAS J. BLILEY, Jr.

COMMUNICATION FROM THE HONORABLE THOMAS J. BLILEY, JR., MEMBER OF CONGRESS

The Speaker pro tempore laid before the House the following communication from the Honorable THOMAS J. BLILEY, Jr., Member of Congress:

U.S. HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES,  
COMMITTEE ON COMMERCE,

Washington, DC, September 18, 1996.

Hon. NEWT GINGRICH,  
Speaker, U.S. House of Representatives, Washington, DC.

DEAR MR. SPEAKER: This is to formally notify you, pursuant to Rule L (50) of the Rules of the House of Representatives, that a trial subpoena (for documents and testimony) issued by the U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia in the matter of United States v. Jeffrey M. Levine, Cr. No. 94-034, has been served on me as custodian of records for the Subcommittee on Oversight and Investigations of the Committee on Commerce.

After consultation with the Office of General Counsel, I have determined that the subpoena appears not to be consistent with the rights and privileges of the House and, therefore, should be resisted.

Sincerely,

THOMAS J. BLILEY, Jr.

THE EXAMPLE OF HARRY TRUMAN

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from California [Mr. DORNAN] is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. DORNAN. Mr. Speaker, this is going to be hot stuff.

I said last week I would quote from battling Harry Truman. Everybody wants to be Harry Truman if they are coming from behind in an election, and I said that Harry Truman was tough on adultery, loyal to his Bess.

My dad was Harry Dornan, D Artillery Battalion, World War I, 30th Division. Harry was 34th Division, Battery D, Artillery. Harry. Harry.

Listen to this on adultery, Mr. Speaker, and I bring this up during a Presidential race period for obvious reasons. "Harry Truman said, 'Any man who was dissolute with women,' Truman believed, 'was not a man to be trusted entirely. He discovered that'—two names from the past—"both loved the ladies and kept telephone girls on the payroll. 'I'll say this for the big boss,'" referring to the Tom Pendergast of Pendergast machine fame, "he has no feminine connections."

This is the book called "Truman" that won a Pulitzer Prize for an author and biographer of some note, David McCullough. David McCullough.

Listen to this paragraph. It seems that Harry Truman was plagued with

headaches, as was my mother, as I was at one point studying for exams, but lucky in my later years. "Harry Truman says he worried always about possible entrapment with women," would have saved a lot of careers in the Senate and this body if people had taken this advice, "an old device for destroying politicians. Once, responding to a call for a meeting in a room at the Baltimore Hotel," this is in Missouri, "He asked Edgar Hine to go along, just in case. When they knocked at the room, Hine remembered a blond woman was there in a negligee. She opened the door. Harry Truman spun on his heels and ran back down the hall, disappearing around the corner. Hine thought it was a fear verging on the abnormal." Or maybe the decent.

"Three things rule a man," Harry would tell a reporter long afterward, "power, money and women." The great archbishop and evangelist in the Catholic church, Fulton Sheen told me the same thing. Only he put women in the first category, the downfall in the twenties and thirties; then came power, the obsession of men in their thirties, forties, and fifties; and then money, for men in their older years, the accretion of power, money you are never going to get to spend at the end of your life.

Hine wrote this: "I have been around Legion conventions with Harry Truman. He would have his room there. Naturally, everybody would kind of gravitate to the Senator's room. If some fellow brought a woman in there, or even his wife, I have seen Truman pick up his hat and coat, take off out of there, and that would be the last you would see of him until those women left. He just didn't want women around his hotel room. He had a phobia about it."

This is not the story of Little Rock, AK, folks. This is the story of Harry Truman and Missouri.

I would like to put in the RECORD, Mr. Speaker, the editorial from the Wall Street Journal on Monday, the 16th, titled "Will Anyone Believe?" It is all about the Clintons stonewalling on both their medical records, but particularly the commander-in-chief. Shalikashvili's medical records are out there.

Every combat commander down to a private, the whole chain of command, their whole medical records are out there. It was asked for of Perry before he became Secretary of Defense. But only these doctor summaries.

So the Wall Street Journal says nobody is going to believe because it is a stonewalling pattern, as it was with the tax return commodity trade stonewall, as it was with the health care task force stonewall, as it was with the White House passes stonewall, as it was with the billing records stonewall, as it stonewalled House committees here on Waco, on every other scandal, on Haiti, on Bosnia now, on Somalia, stonewalling on people in drug programs at the White House.

No, if America is going to pass a morality and an IQ test on November 5 in the Presidential race, they had better know something about the full physical records, the actual documents. Not summaries by doctors taking down, as when I get a physical, they say, "How is your health?"

"Pretty darn good, doc. Generally excellent." And they write all that down.

No, no, not testimony from Clinton himself, the medical records.

There are all sorts of ricochets flying around, like the center of the new book by Roger Morris called "Partners In Power." In the middle it has a brother who went to prison for cocaine under a cocaine pusher named Lassiter who got pardoned, saying my brother has a nose like a shovel. Guess of whom he was speaking, Mr. Speaker?

Rule XVIII prohibits me from telling the million or so people in our audience. Use your imagination. Who has a shovel for a nose in Federal Government today?

#### TEEN DRUG PROBLEM IS NATIONAL CRISIS

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Florida [Mr. MICA] is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. MICA. Mr. Speaker, I come to the floor again. I have been here just about every day recently, talking about what I consider a national crisis, as a parent, as a father, someone concerned about the future of my children, how I tried to raise my children, and talk about the serious problem of teen drug use and abuse.

What prompted me today to come to the floor is really an ad I have seen which features the President talking about his efforts to curtail drug use, and I just do not think that the President is really dealing with the facts here.

Now, if we listen to the ad, the President says the Republicans cut funding in programs. Now, I just have to remind the Congress and the American people that, in fact, from 1992 to 1994, the House of Representatives was controlled, by an overwhelming majority, 250 Democrats plus, I believe, and the Senate was controlled by the other party, and the White House was controlled by the Democrats. Now, we did not have the power to do anything in that time period except raise concerns.

I came to this floor repeatedly and raised concerns. I had over 100 Members sign a letter to the committee of jurisdiction asking for hearings because we knew then what was starting to happen; that we cannot put all our eggs in one basket in treatment, in the end, treating only the wounded, as it may be in a battle, and not paying attention to education, to interdiction, and also importantly to enforcement.

Here we see the results. Again I bring this to the floor. Twice as many teens using drugs as in 1992. These are the facts. This is not something that the

Republicans have manufactured, the new majority has manufactured. It is the result of firing the Drug Czar's office, of hiring a Surgeon General, the chief health officer of the country, who says, "just say maybe."

□ 1445

Then we had a President who got on TV, and I still cannot believe it. I was personally offended by it because I have children, and he said if he had it to do over again, he would inhale. What kind of message does that send to our people? And what does it do? And the evidence is here again. These are the statistics and the latest.

Overall drug use by our teenagers 12 to 17, up 78 percent; marijuana use up 105 percent; LSD use up 105 percent during this time frame; and, cocaine use up 166 percent. And heroin is epidemic even in my own community. I brought the headlines from my community.

So what the President has sown, now we are reaping with our children. First of all, they controlled the House of Representatives, the other body, and the White House. Then, to top it off, they killed our interdiction program. And I spoke out against it on the floor. We even met with the President in Miami and we said this is a disaster. We stopped our radar sharing and our information sharing to shoot down drug planes in the Andean region.

They transferred, this administration transferred, and I met with the agents in South America who told me that they transferred, \$40 million and left them with a shoestring operation in Haiti for their agenda and nation-building in that country.

So the facts are in that just treatment does not work. You have to have education, you have to have interdiction, and you have to have enforcement. The fact is in. The Republicans expressed concern, I expressed concern on the floor of this House in letters to the chairman and to the administration about what was going to take place.

The fact is that now this new majority is taking steps to restore money in interdiction. We are giving our military and our Coast Guard the tools to stop drugs cost-effectively at our borders and at their sources. So we are taking positive steps. We are providing the leadership that is lacking in the White House.

And, again, the President is wrong when he tells the American people that the Republicans, or the new majority, cut. We did not even have control. We did not have votes to change anything here, but we did express concern and this is the results you see today. Again, a situation out of control, a situation where we have lost our streets, lost our children, and we must turn this around.

#### ENVIRONMENT MUST BE PROTECTED AT ALL COSTS

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under the Speaker's announced policy of May

12, 1995, the gentleman from New Jersey [Mr. PALLONE] is recognized for 60 minutes as the designee of the minority leader.

Mr. PALLONE. Mr. Speaker, for more than a quarter of a century successive Congresses sought to strengthen environmental law in order to protect our air, water, and land from pollution and other threats, and from the time that Democratic Senator Gaylord Nelson organized the first Earth Day over 25 years ago and Republican President Richard Nixon created the Environmental Protection Agency in 1970, there was a consensus that we needed laws to protect the health of our families and the quality of our natural resources.

It is a consensus, a bipartisan consensus, that led to passage and strengthening of the Clean Water Act, the Clean Air Act, the Endangered Species Act, the Marine Mammal Protection Act, the Solid Waste Disposal Act, Superfund, Safe Drinking Water Act, and many other pieces of pro-environmental legislation.

However, that consensus, that bipartisan consensus that existed, both with the White House as well as with Congress, broke down during the Dole-Gingrich 104th Congress that we are now in, that is now about to end. Under the leadership of Dole and GINGRICH, Congress for the first time in 25 years devoted more time to rolling back environmental protection than to improving the health, safety, and well-being of our families and our Nation.

Now, many in Congress have tried to further environmental protection in ways that would be for the average American. But Bob Dole, NEWT GINGRICH, and their Republican leadership colleagues have instituted a campaign to reward special interests at the expense of the health and environmental heritage of our citizens. From the very first day of this current Congress, we saw the special interests, the polluters, actually sitting down in committee writing legislation that would gut many of the environmental bills that I already mentioned.

Clearly, it is the obligation of those who care about the purity of the water for their children, that their children drink and the air that they breathe, to actively oppose this extremist Republican agenda that we have seen in this 104th Congress. We have to make sure that the disastrous environmental record of this 104th Congress will not be repeated.

Now, I just wanted to say that this effort, if you will, to turn back the clock on environmental protection manifests itself in a major way in terms of the budget cuts that we have seen and have been proposed by the Republican leadership for those agencies that deal with the environment, such as the EPA, such as the Department of the Interior. And I know that we have to make tough decisions if we are going to balance the budget. We have to figure out where our priorities should be.