

Deutsches	Kaptur	Roukema
Dickey	Klecza	Royce
Duncan	Klug	Salmon
Ehrlich	LaTourette	Sanders
Ensign	Lewis (GA)	Sanford
Fawell	LoBiondo	Scarborough
Filner	Lofgren	Schaefer
Flanagan	Luther	Schroeder
Foley	Maloney	Schumer
Forbes	Manzullo	Seastrand
Frank (MA)	Martini	Sensenbrenner
Franks (NJ)	McCarthy	Shadegg
Funderburk	McDermott	Skaggs
Goodlatte	McKinney	Slaughter
Graham	Meehan	Smith (MI)
Gutknecht	Metcalfe	Smith (WA)
Hancock	Miller (CA)	Souder
Hastings (WA)	Miller (FL)	Stearns
Hayworth	Minge	Stupak
Hefley	Myrick	Talent
Hefner	Neumann	Tate
Herger	Ney	Tiahrt
Hilleary	Owens	Wamp
Hoekstra	Parker	Waters
Hostettler	Payne (NJ)	Watt (NC)
Hunter	Peterson (MN)	Watts (OK)
Hutchinson	Radanovich	Weldon (FL)
Inglis	Ramstad	Woolsey
Istook	Rivers	Yates
Jones	Roemer	Zimmer
Kanjorski	Rohrbacher	

NOT VOTING—38

Andrews	Furse	Mink
Bachus	Ganske	Nethercutt
Borski	Hastings (FL)	Norwood
Bryant (TX)	Hayes	Pastor
Crane	Heineman	Peterson (FL)
Cubin	Hyde	Rangel
Davis	Jefferson	Rose
Dellums	Johnson, E. B.	Shuster
Dooley	Johnston	Stark
Durbin	Largent	Thompson
Edwards	Laughlin	White
Fazio	Levin	Wicker
Fields (TX)	McCrery	

□ 1900

Mrs. ROUKEMA and Mr. CUNNINGHAM changed their vote from "yea" to "nay."

Mr. BROWNBACK changed his vote from "nay" to "yea."

So (two-thirds having voted in favor thereof) the rules were suspended and the bill, as amended, was passed.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

PERMISSION FOR SPEAKER TO ENTERTAIN CERTAIN MOTIONS TO SUSPEND RULES ON WEDNESDAY, SEPTEMBER 18, 1996

Mr. SOLOMON. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that, notwithstanding clause 1 of Rule XXVII, the Speaker may entertain motions to suspend the rules and pass the following bills on Wednesday, September 18, 1996: H.R. 2594, H.R. 2940, H.R. 3923, H.R. 3348, H.R. 4040, S. 1995, and S. 1636.

These are the suspension bills that we were unable to finish earlier.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. GOODLATTE). Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from New York?

There was no objection.

ELECTION OF MEMBERS TO COMMITTEE ON SMALL BUSINESS AND COMMITTEE ON VETERANS' AFFAIRS

Mrs. KENNELLY. Mr. Speaker, by direction of the Democratic Caucus, I

offer a privileged resolution (H. Res. 523) and ask for its immediate consideration.

The Clerk read the resolution, as follows:

H. RES. 523

Resolved, That the following named Members be, and that they are hereby, elected to the following standing committees of the House of Representatives:

To the Committee on Small Business: Mr. BECERRA of California, Mr. CLYBURN of South Carolina, Ms. NORTON of the District of Columbia, and Ms. WATERS of California;

To the Committee on Veterans' Affairs: Mr. PETERSON of Minnesota.

The resolution was agreed to.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

SPECIAL ORDERS

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under the Speaker's announced policy of May 12, 1995, and under a previous order of the House, the following Members will be recognized for 5 minutes each.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Florida [Mr. GOSS] is recognized for 5 minutes.

[Mr. GOSS addressed the House. His remarks will appear hereafter in the Extensions of Remarks.]

TEENAGE DRUG USE

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Texas [Ms. JACKSON-LEE] is recognized for 5 minutes.

Ms. JACKSON-LEE of Texas. Mr. Speaker, I had the privilege in being home in the district to listen to the most important components of democracy and that is the people, the 18th Congressional District. We held a hearing in city council chambers in the 18th District on September 16 regarding the scourge of teenage drug use.

The enlightening fact that I think should be evidenced around the Nation is that no one with good common sense, no one running for office, seeking reelection, running for the first time, rises to any podium, takes any microphone, goes to any newspapers, stands before any audience and says, I am glad and I am enthusiastic about increased use of drugs by teenagers. So for us to make this a partisan issue during this election year makes us miss the point. The real issue is, how do we respond to our young people who have lost their way and begin to think that the frivolity of drug use is the way of the future?

I would offer to say to you that the hearing that we held in Houston, listening to the U.S. attorney for the southern district of Texas, the special agent in charge of the DEA, the FBI, the Harris County medical examiner, juvenile court judge and a myriad of community leaders and individuals who have hands-on experience with

drug usage. First of all, they rebut and they clearly indicate that building more Federal prisons, giving political year gimmickry and loud talking will not be a solution. Housing juveniles with adults will not be a solution. Suggesting that you can single-handedly as a politician cut teenage drug use in half is not a solution.

What these individuals said, which was a directed comment on the fact that it does take a family, a community, a village, a State, a Nation to raise the future generation, was that parents must become more involved in the concept of moral leadership, indicating that it is not the right thing to do to experiment with drugs. I know there is a study that says that those parents who are of the baby boomer generation are a little bit intimidated. Well, a parent is a parent. I refuse to accept that.

As I listened to those who are on the battlefield on this issue, individuals who raise concerns about making sure that those who wanted to be treated for drug addiction could have treatment on demand, a reasoned response so that those drug addicts would not be lost, that would also provide parents with education to help them be able to teach their children against the evils of drugs but also the dangers of drugs, one thing that we have not done with the prevention programs dealing with drugs is to include the wide net of teachers and as well parents. That is an important issue.

We have not responded to those who have been rehabilitated to create jobs, but yet the Presidential candidate who is now running, who seeks the Presidency, believes that he can raise points and raise opportunity with political rhetoric of incarcerating those who might use drugs. This is not a political issue. It is an issue of family and children. It is an issue that needs a collective mind-set.

So I come to the floor of the House to say that I will be supporting legislation that encompasses parents in educational opportunities to encourage them and give them support and in giving their children the right instruction, teachers and schools. I will be supporting legislation and sponsoring legislation that says that the Federal Drug Forfeiture Asset Act should include more opportunity for its usage by taking some of those funds that are captured from those who sell drugs, the property of those who sell drugs, and provide those funds for AIDS research, for treatment and prevention of those using drugs.

We need to get down to the bottom line and the bottom line is that we do have a crisis in this Nation. I hope more of my colleagues will go home to their districts, listen to the people who are on the front line, listen to parents and teachers and, yes, listen to rehabilitated drug addicts who said to me last evening, I am prepared to work with you every step of the way. Provide us with jobs, give us treatment on

demand. Give us the opportunity to turn the heads of children who would experiment with designer drugs, causing the loss of life of a very dear teen in our community, a bright athlete. We are prepared to work with you in the real solutions. We just want the political rhetoric to stop.

I am here on the floor of the House today on September 17 to say, I agree with you 100 percent. The political rhetoric will stop and those of us who want to get to work will get to work and stem the tide of drug use among teenagers in this Nation.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Indiana [Mr. BURTON] is recognized for 5 minutes.

[Mr. BURTON addressed the House. His remarks will appear hereafter in the Extensions of Remarks.]

A TRIBUTE TO THE FIRST AMERICAN-BORN ARCHBISHOP OF THE GREEK ORTHODOX CHURCH OF AMERICA—ARCHBISHOP SPYRIDON

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mrs. MYERS of Kansas). Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Florida [Mr. BILIRAKIS] is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. BILIRAKIS. Madam Speaker, I rise today to recognize a very special occasion, and that is the enthronement of the newly elected Archbishop of the Greek Orthodox Archdiocese of America, Archbishop Spyridon.

On September 21, 1996, the enthronement ceremony will take place in New York for Archbishop Spyridon—the first American-born leader of Greek orthodoxy in the United States since the Archdiocese was founded in 1922.

Born on September 24, 1944, in Warren, OH, George Papageorgiou is the one of Clara and the late Dr. Constantine P. George. Spyridon is actually the Archbishop's religious name which he took in honor of a fourth-century Cypriot saint who was revered for his skills as a shepherd. He chose this name when he was ordained a deacon in 1968.

For the past 5 years, Archbishop Spyridon has lived in Venice, Italy, I would like to be one of the first to welcome him back to his homeland here in America. In fact, it gives me great pleasure to note that he graduated from Tarpon Springs High School which is located in my Florida congressional district. I might add, proudly, that I was born in that city and that my wife's and my parents and grandparents immigrated there in the early part of this century.

After high school, he returned to Greece to prepare for priesthood. He studied at the famous Theological School of Halki in Turkey where he graduated with highest honors. Unfortunately, the renowned Orthodox school was closed by the Turkish Gov-

ernment in 1971, contrary to Turkey's obligations under international law.

It is my hope that our new Archbishop will work with me and others to see that this school is again open to train such talented people.

With a thirst for knowledge, Archbishop Spyridon pursued postgraduate studies at the University of Geneva in Switzerland. Having been awarded a scholarship by the Ecumenical Patriarchate, he then studied Byzantine literature at Bochum University in Germany.

Archbishop Spyridon has served as secretary at the Permanent Delegation of the Ecumenical Patriarchate to the world council of churches from 1966 to 1967, and later as secretary of the Orthodox Center of the Ecumenical Patriarchate at Chambesy, Geneva. He was also the director of its news bulletin from 1976 to 1985.

Also from 1976 to 1985, he was assigned duties as dean of the Greek Orthodox community of St. Andrew in Rome. Prior to his post as metropolitan of Italy, he was assigned to the Greek Orthodox Archdiocese of Austria and Exarchate of Italy.

Certainly, his achievements are many and varied. Archbishop Spyridon is fluent in English, French, German, Greek, and Italian.

His Eminence brings with him the knowledge and insight that comes from having lived in America and Europe. I am confident that his energy, enthusiasm, and leadership will serve the Church well, as he pursues church unity between the Greek Orthodox Church and the other Orthodox communities in the United States.

In addition, I am sure that his dynamic personality will help him in addressing the interests and needs of both, the American-born and immigrant members of our church.

I wish him all the best for a bright future as the new spiritual leader of the Greek Orthodox Church of America.

□ 1930

OPPOSE THE DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR'S PROPOSAL TO TAX OUTDOOR-RELATED ITEMS

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mrs. MEYERS of Kansas). Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from California [Mr. DREIER] is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. DREIER. Madam Speaker, I rise to inform my colleagues that this weekend I had the opportunity, as I suppose many have, of seeing a political advertisement on television; we are all being deluged with these ads that are coming on, and I saw, believe it or not, a Clinton-Gore campaign ad. In this ad they described what Bob Dole and Jack Kemp have put forward as a plan to allow the American people to keep more of their own hard-earned money. As Bob Dole says so well, it is not ours; it is theirs. They describe in

that ad that action as a risky tax scheme. Those three words are used to describe the plan to bring about a 15-percent across-the-board tax cut for working families in this country.

Then, back from California and to Washington, to get this amazing report that has come forward. For starters we have seen the information that the Clinton proposed tax cut, actually over a 10-year period, is a tax increase of \$64 billion, but, Madam Speaker, that is just the tip of the iceberg.

The latest development came forward from the Secretary of the Interior, Secretary Babbitt, who has informed us that he now wants to implement his Teeming with Wildlife Project. Now what does the Teeming with Wildlife Project consist of? It consists of a tax increase, a tax increase of from one-quarter of 1 percent to 5 percent on outdoor-related items.

Now, when one thinks of outdoor related items, this is a very far-reaching area. Some have mentioned bird seed as one of those items that would be taxed, and others have thought about the prospect of the taxation of backpacks, and I was thinking, as children have started school this month, of the increase in the tax for those children buying backpacks, and you think of the other things that relate to this: boots and parkas and all kinds of items, and this supposedly is going into a fund that is designed to fund education, recreation, and conservation projects.

Now, this administration is supposedly talking about a tax cut when the Secretary of Interior is proposing what obviously would be a tax increase, which he claims would raise approximately \$350 million, that tax on our people who are hoping to enjoy some sort of outdoor activity. It is, I believe, preposterous to have this kind of proposal come forward. As a Representative who comes to this institution from the western part of the United States, I can think of little more that would be punitive than those that want to enjoy the great outdoors, and at the rate we are going on this there might be a surcharge that Secretary Babbitt may want to impose for just enjoying the fresh air that we have out West.

Madam Speaker, I believe that it is a very, very sad day when we have got these kinds of proposals coming forward, but tragically, Madam Speaker, they are indicative of the kinds of things that this administration has done with its massive increase on middle-class wage earners and that they propose to do in the future even though they called theirs a tax cut and they described a real tax cut, that proposed by the Dole-Demp ticket as nothing more than a risky tax scheme.

Madam Speaker, I urge my colleagues to join in opposition to this ludicrous proposal which has come forward from the Department of the Interior.