members and Mr. Phalen who know what is in it, except Mr. Wright's lawyer, and I think that that report and the backup documents have to be published.

was the then-Congressman That NEWT GINGRICH.

I cannot imagine going to the country, tell them we have got a \$1.6 million report, and by the way there is nothing in it, but you cannot see it.

This is exactly what we are talking about.

Mr. VOLKMER. That is NEWT GING-RICH all over again.

Ms. DELAURO. Clearly that report is going to have to be published. That is right. The now-Speaker was right when he spoke in 1989. That report, it is a report by any other name is a report, ought to be published and the Members of this House ought to know what is in it. More importantly, the American public ought to know what is in it.

Mr. VOLKMER. That is correct. Good or bad, whatever. The public is entitled to know.

Mr. KLINK. If the gentleman will yield, our friend and colleague, the gentleman from Florida, PORTER GOSS, was on the floor a few moments ago, and he talked about the fact that the Committee on Standards of Official Conduct's investigation in the system was broken, and I would suggest to my colleagues on the other side of the aisle, let us fix it in a bipartisan manner. Let us not make a difference in this House of Representatives whether the Speaker is a Democrat or a Republican, he would be treated differently. I think we need to send some sunshine on this House to make those shadows and those clouds go away.

ANSWER OUR QUESTIONS, MR. **PRESIDENT**

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Georgia [Mr. CHAMBLISS] is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. CHAMBLISS. Mr. Speaker, you know there is something going on here that I simply do not understand. A couple weeks ago when the President took the action that he took to counteract the action of Saddam Hussein and Iraq, I came out immediately in strong support of the action that the President took. I think the President did the right thing. There is not time in an emergency situation like that for the President to come to Congress and say, "Hey, this is going on, this is what I want to do, can I do it, should I do it?" That is his decision to make. He made that decision; the American people fully support that.

But now we are 2 weeks after the fact. We are 2 weeks into a crisis situation in the Middle Eastern part of this world, a very dangerous part of the world and a part of the world in which we already had sacrifices back 3 or 4 years ago. It is a part of the world that we have got to keep our pulse on, and what we are into now is the President

of the United States again sending our young men and women into harm's way without coming to the Congress and saying after this 2-week period, "Ladies and gentleman of the Congress, this is what is going on, I need you to know this, and I need your input into this.'

As I go home this weekend, I have 3 military bases in my district, I am going to be asked by men and women, not only military men and women, but civilian men and women, "Tell me about what is going on in Iraq.'

I am going to say, "Hey, you pick up the Atlanta Journal, you pick up the Macon telegraph, you will find out what's going on, and you'll know just as much as I know.'

There is something basically wrong about that.

The chairman of a very powerful subcommittee on the Committee on National Security got up a minute ago and said that he knows nothing about this. He is the gentleman that is responsible for the research and development of the weapons that are being sent to Iraq today. He has no idea whether what he has been working on for the last several years by being a member of the Committee on National Security is the right thing to do. He knows nothing, nobody in this Congress knows anything about what is going on.

I do not think we are asking a whole lot of the President to say, "Mr. President, please come to us and just tell us what's going on. Why are you sending our men and women into harm's way? What should we tell our constituents out there as to why we are supporting you?" And it is a very crucial question on a very crucial issue that I simply do not understand why we are not being advised on, and I yield to my friend from Pennsylvania.

Mr. WELDON of Pennsylvania. Mr. Speaker, I thank my friend for yielding, and I commend him for his leadership on armed services issues. He has been one of our brightest stars on the committee this year, and we appreciate

The issue here, Mr. Speaker, is we supported I publicly supported, President Clinton when he said we were going to send our troops to take action because of the situation with the Kurds. But then, Mr. Speaker, we heard that the first strikes were not successful, that some of the cruise missiles were off by as much as 500 miles. We were not given a specific briefing on that. I sat through the limited briefing that occurred last week, but then a second wave of a attacks occurred, and we were told that was a mop-up oper-

By now, day by day, hour by hour, new information comes out, Mr. Speaker, that we have no idea what is going on. It is all from the news media that we are now sending 8 or 10 F-117's over, that we are redeploying some other troops, that we are now putting in Kuwait, that perhaps Saudi Arabia is not

being as supportive as it was, that the whole coalition that was there initially in Desert Storm is falling apart, that we cannot get that kind of support because the action has not taken-we need to have those questions answered because these are our kids that this President is sending into harm's way.

And believe me, Mr. Speaker, if there are casualties over this weekend, we are going to demand to know why we were not consulted, and we are going to demand to know why we did not have compliance with the War Powers Act: why, in fact, we are going beyond the U.N. resolutions where unilaterally it looks like the United States alone is taking up this mission. These are questions that FLOYD SPENCE and RON DEL-LUMS and Ben GILMAN and LEEHAMILTON need to have answered and should have been briefed on.

But, Mr. Speaker, as of today, as the gentleman pointed out, less than an hour ago in an arms national security markup meeting when I asked the chairman very directly, "Mr. Chairman, have you at all been briefed on what is going on"; he said, "No, I will be coming out with a statement and a letter shortly, today or tomorrow, expressing my concern on this issue.

Mr. Speaker, we are talking about American kids. We are not talking about some far-off. We are talking about our kids that are now being put on alert status to be sent over into a hostile environment where we know this madman is out to get them, and if this President wants us to get behind him, then he better make that case to

We will support the troops, no doubt about that. The question is, will we support the President, and that remains to be seen based upon what the plan is. None of us know what the plan is. We read about it every day and not only hear about it from the news announcement by a man named McCurry. He is not the President of the United States, and he is not charged with the responsibility of briefing us.

HURRICANE FRAN

Mr. WISE. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to address the House for 5 minutes

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from West Virginia?

Mr. DORNAN. Mr. Speaker, reserving the right to object, and of course I will not object, I do not know what happened earlier where 1 minute was cut off for the Members of the minority. I was a minority Member for 18 years. I just think 1 minute and 5 minutes, and 60 minutes can go on all night, and I did not vote for that midnight cutoff. But I just wondered if we have a long series of 5 minutes who were not recorded or requesting a 5-minute speech today so I know how much time I have before my special order, because I am the first with a 60-minute special order

Could I inquire of my colleagues how many 5 minutes they are going to ask?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair cannot predict how many 5 minutes are going to be coming up. The Chair would intend to extend courtesy to all Members on the floor to request 5 minutes.

Mr. DORNAN. Well believe me, a robust, wide open, freewheeling debate in the world's greatest legislature, I will not object to any unanimous-consent request.

Mr. Speaker, I withdraw my reservation of objection.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from West Virginia.

There was no objection.

□ 1300

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman from West Virginia [Mr. WISE] is recognized for 5 minutes.

CONCERNS REGARDING IRAQ

Mr. WISE. Mr. Speaker, I am going to speak about Hurricane Fran, but I want to take just a moment to address some of the concerns that have been addressed concerning Iraq.

I happen to be one of the most ardent supporters of the War Powers Act. I have been frustrated that Presidents of both parties have disregarded it in years past. Be that as it may, I think this situation that the President is in is difficult enough already.

I note with interest that a previous speaker talked about President Bush going to the United Nations six or seven times. That is true. There was a vote in Congress. That was over a 6-month period, as we slowly built troops up to a 500,000 person level.

In this case, we have surface-to-air missiles winging at our airplanes during the last couple of days. We have Saddam Hussein drawing direct provocations, lines in the sands. I do not remember, in the case of an immediate action, I do not remember President Bush or President Reagan coming to Congress to announce in advance the details of how they are going to invade Grenada, perform the air raid on Libya, or invade Panama, all actions which received bipartisan support. I suggest that may be the reason Congress has not received a full briefing today on the details about what probably will be upcoming military action in Iraq.

Later on, of course, there does need to be full explanation. I, too, watch with concern, as much as anyone else. I also know the Commander in Chief needs some flexibility.

Mr. Speaker, I want to talk about Hurricane Fran because, Mr. Speaker, as that storm has devastated so much of our east coast, as it certainly has eight counties, actually more than that, a number of counties in West Virginia. Governor Caperton requested a disaster assistance declaration from President Clinton. I backed up that request. I am happy to say the WhiteHouse acted immediately. Now eight counties in West Virginia, as well

as a number of others across the east coast, are now in a federally declared disaster area.

People should know, Mr. Speaker, that this means that the full range of Federal Emergency Management Agency programs are available today as we speak to the residents of all those declared counties. So what I have encouraged my constituents to do is immediately to call the toll free number, the FEMA, Federal Emergency Management Agency toll-free number of 1-800-462-9029, because they can begin the application process right now, Mr. Speaker. They can start that going. They do not have to wait to speak to anybody personally.

Also, Mr. Speaker, I have gotten a lot of questions about, well, if I were in a flood before, and we have had four now in West Virginia in the last 9 months, if I was in a disaster area during the last year, do I file again? And the answer, Mr. Speaker, is yes. Anyone who was hit by Fran in West Virginia is in one of the declared disaster counties needs to call that toll-free number, once again identify themselves, the county they are in, and begin immediately to receive that assistance.

The Federal Emergency Management Agency is going to be offering, Mr. Speaker, the same assistance as in past floods: disaster housing assistance for those who need it, special crisis counseling for those with special needs, unemployment assistance to those who have been put out of work by the flooding, special loans for homeowners, renters, and business owners to repair or replace damaged property, tax assistance through programs from the IRS, the State and local governments. This is crucial, Mr. Speaker, that people begin this process now.

It is likely that FEMA will set up throughout the State of West Virginia several disaster relief centers where people can walk in and receive personal assistance. I urge, though, Mr. Speaker, that people not wait for that, but call that toll-free number.

There is something else constituents can do as well, Mr. Speaker. That is, if they have suffered damage and they have a lot of debris, they should document that damage as much as possible through photographs, videotaping, whatever means possible. In some cases, the National Guard is going to have to pick that debris up, or others will have to pick that debris up and get it out of the way, so it is important to document that.

Mr. Speaker, there have been an incredible number of sagas of heroism during the last few days. You cannot say enough about the National Guard, the Red Cross, the Salvation Army, the volunteer fire departments, the emergency services operations, both Stateand county-wide.

There are so many tales of heroism: The elementary school principal in Moorefield, who came beseeching the Governor to get her school back up and running so they lost as few days as possible, to bring stability back to people's lives; the contractor in Hardy County who worked himself to exhaustion and a trip to the hospital trying to shore up the levee to make sure that damage was minimized.

So many tales: Other contractors donating equipment throughout the area to help try and stave off the damage caused by the flooding; theHampshire County junior high students who went door-to-door taking water to people. Mr. Speaker, there are so many tales that relate, once again, how people pull together in the best spirit of West Virginians.

We have another digging out to do, Mr. Speaker, but happily, FEMA has responded quickly, and now what I urge people to do is to take advantage of that, even if they have another claim in from a previous flood, and we have had far too many this year in our State, but even if they have a claim in, they need to call, Mr. Speaker, that toll-free number of 1-800-462-9029 and begin that process of digging out.

UNITED STATES REACTION TO ACTIONS IN IRAQ

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of theHouse, the gentleman from Missouri [Mr. TALENT] is recognized for 60 minutes.

Mr. TALENT. Mr. Speaker, I wanted to address briefly the incidence and pattern of behavior with regard to our reaction to Saddam Hussein's latest actions in Iraq, and I want to preface it by saying that I have defended the President's decisions in Missouri with my constituents. I think it is important, given the history we have had with Saddam Hussein, to show him that the action we clearly regard as aggressive and the world regards as aggressive not be something he can get away with easily.

This is the kind of person who keeps pushing and keeps pushing until he is stopped. it is better to try to stop him, to stop him at the early stages, rather than to wait until you have some kind of a general conflagration.

I felt the President was right in responding. I did not want to second-guess the particular tactics that he chose. However, I think it is also important to be sensitive now to the kinds of concerns that my friend, the gentleman from Pennsylvania [Mr. WELDON] raised with regard to the fact that we are no longer dealing with a single response to a single incident.

We are now dealing with a chain of responses, an ongoing pattern of behavior, and a policy that is being developed, a policy that involves ongoing and perhaps intermittent but ongoing military strikes. Even apart from the legalities of the War Powers Act, it would, it seems to me, to be both prudent and, as a matter of comity, an important thing for the President to consult at least with the bipartisan leadership.

My understanding is that this has not been done. The longer this goes on,