September 30, 1996

10 million special teaching brochures are being distributed this year. This includes the manufacturers inserting one in every new range as well as several million being distributed by school teachers, fire educators, and public service groups. In addition, a teaching video is being developed for fire educators. Finally, public service announcements and video news releases have been prepared and special educational grants have been made to fire educators to try new avenues to reach and educate the public.

The most important messages are rather simple. Stay focused on your cooking. If you have to leave the kitchen, turn off the range. If you have a fire, get the family out of the house and call 911 or the emergency service number.

I commend the appliance manufacturers on this program urge public service groups and all fire departments across the country to join together to fight careless cooking fires. Together we can reduce the numbers of these fires and the effects of such a disaster on our citizens.

INTRODUCTION OF THE COMMOD-ITY EXCHANGE ACT AMEND-MENTS OF 1996

HON. THOMAS W. EWING

OF ILLINOIS

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES Saturday, September 28, 1996

Mr. EWING. Mr. Speaker, as a point of departure as the 104th Congress passes into the historical record, today I am introducing legislation to reform the Commodity Exchange Act [CEAct], the law governing the regulation of futures and options on our Nation's commodity exchanges and other risk management financial instruments that are traded in over-the-counter markets.

Although this legislation is not massive in size, it is sizable in scope. This area of Federal regulation—the importance of our futures and options markets-demands new treatment. Although the Commodity Futures Trading Commission [CFTC] was just reauthorized through the year 2000 last April, the Congress took more than three years to agree on the Futures Trading Practices Act of 1992 [1992 FTPA]. Needless to say, that law was a contentious debate; this bill may be similarly contentious. For that reason, it should be viewed as a discussion document. We will have several months to think about it and discuss it prior to the introduction of a new bill in the 105th Congress.

The purpose of the bill is to establish the concept of self-regulation with CFTC oversight. The commodity exchanges are self-regulatory organizations; they regulate their members and the trade and financial practices of their members. The National Futures Association [NFA], at this time the sole registered futures association, regulates the professional futures community, setting industry-wide standards of sales and trade practice conduct.

The aim is to keep the U.S. futures industry competitive as it enters the next century. The price discovery and hedging functions of our futures markets still are paramount. The law, however, must recognize that technology is constantly changing and that our commodity exchanges serve a sophisticated, mostly insti-

tutional clientele these days, not small, retail traders

With that in mind, let me briefly outline the contents of the bill I am introducing.

Section 2(a)(1)(A)(ii), is known commonly as the Treasury amendment and was enacted as a part of the Commodity Futures Trading Commission Act of 1974. Unfortunately, this language has created numerous legal problems the courts have dealt with inconsistently.

Title II of the bill offers a solution to these problems. It is one solution. Obviously, there are others. Attempting to deal with a controversy of this magnitude is not easy. The solution in the legislation will be disputed and argued. I welcome all interested groups, including members of the other body, to help to solve this matter in the next Congress.

Section 3 of the CEAct describes the reasons for federal regulation of futures and option markets and a great deal of this section is simply outdated and does not fit today's regulatory requirements or needs. The bill substantially restates the purposes of federal regulation.

Section 4 is amended to include specifically an exemption for certain professional markets whose participants are recognized under current law. These "appropriate persons" are described in Sec. 4(c)(3) of the CEAct and include futures commission merchants, floor brokers and floor traders. In light of the exemptions afforded other professional traders by the 1992 FTPA, I believe this language is consistent with congressional intent in this area.

Sections 103 and 104 of the bill enhance the self-regulation of exchange institutions by providing simplified and streamlined contract market designation and rule submission procedures. These are necessary in my view to maintain the competitiveness of our commodity exchanges in a world that has come to understand the importance of risk management on exchanges with sound, but limited, regulatory programs.

These amendments presume a commodity exchange develops sound contracts with economic purposes that are widely recognized and will be used by commercial and specularive interests for price discovery and risk-shifting that have long been viewed in this country and by the Congress as beneficial to our Nation's economy.

Section 105 of the bill seeks to improve commodity exchange audit trails without impairing the functions of the markets. Audit trail issues date from the establishment of the CFTC but have been actively debated in the CFTC's regulatory programs since 1986, when the CFTC proposed a one-minute, verifiable standard.

Understanding that each commodity exchange has different trade customs and systems unique to each institution means there are numerous ways to obtain adequate, verifiable audit trails. These trade recordation systems have changed dramatically over the years, and U.S. commodity exchanges constantly are improving and upgrading their audit trail systems. The amendment seeks to develop standards that are objective and reasonable.

Section 106 of the legislation provides benefit-cost analysis to the CFTC's regulatory program. Regulation under Republican administrations and new law under this Republican Congress has moved us further in that direc-

tion. There is no reason we cannot bring similar sound, reasonable, and fair regulation to our commodity exchanges and preserve the public interest.

Finally, section 107 is a house-keeping matter of interest to the Committee on Agriculture. An objective of the Committee during the reform of U.S. Agriculture embodied in the Federal Agriculture Improvement and Reform Act of 1996 [FAIR Act] was to use fewer words. The FAIR Act is literally one-half the volume of the 1990 farm bill. With that in mind—and there may be further improvements later—section 107 repeals Sec. 8e dealing with CFTC oversight and deficiency orders. It is my understanding that after the nearly four years this section has been law it has never been used. that makes it unnecessary in my view.

I look forward to comments on the legislation and working with interested parties as we proceed with this necessary reform in the 105th Congress.

THE PRESIDENTIAL DEBATE REFORM INITIATIVE

HON. BILL McCOLLUM

OF FLORIDA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES Saturday, September 28, 1996

Mr. McCOLLUM. Mr. Speaker, today I am introducing the Presidential Debate Reform Act. The situation surrounding the current Presidential election has highlighted some of the flaws in our current method for selecting a President and Vice President of the United States of America. One critical flaw involves the way Presidential debates are scheduled.

My legislation would create the framework for deciding the participants and structure of presidential debates. This framework would include a commission of 10 people nominated by various leaders and guaranteed to include 4 politically independent members. These commissioners would then schedule several debates.

One such debate would be optional and include any candidate who is on the ballot in 50 States or polls at 5 percent in popular polls among likely voters. This could include major party candidates, although it would provide a forum for lesser known candidates to express their views.

The commission would also establish debates for the Vice Presidential and Presidential candidates. These would be for the candidates polling over 10 percent in polls, taken after the optional debate, and on the ballot in at least 40 States. Participation in these debates would be mandatory. The penalty for not participating in the debate, other than perhaps embarrassment, would be a reduction in the amount of Federal funds that candidate's party will receive to run the next convention. The reduction would be equal to the fraction of mandatory debates missed. I cannot imagine that a party would want to miss out on \$3 million (approximately the amount that would be lost to pay for the 1996 conventions through missing one debate).

This has nothing to do with whether I think certain people should or should not participate in debates. I do think that we need to have an established framework with defined ground rules to ensure the fairness in the system.

Mr. Speaker, I think this is a good bill and I look forward to hearing feedback from my

colleagues. I expect to offer this legislation at the beginning of the next Congress and hope to hear meaningful debate.

CROOKED PENSION RETRIEVAL

HON. GEORGE W. GEKAS

OF PENNSYLVANIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Saturday, September 28, 1996

Mr. GEKAS. Mr. Speaker, I wish to applaud the House of Representatives for its 391 to 32 vote on Thursday, September 26, 1996, on H.R. 4011, the Congressional Pension Forfeiture Act. This measure would prohibit a Member of Congress from collecting Federal retirement benefits if they are convicted of a felony. My vote on this much needed proposal was "aye."

My support of this measure was, of course, a given. Why? Well, H.R. 4011 was a descendant of my own proposal—H.R. 3342, the Anti-Bribery Act of 1991—from the 102d Congress. H.R. 3342 had its beginnings in the State of Pennsylvania, where public corruption linked with huge pension payouts led to my successful efforts there as a State senator to reform the system in the same way we are doing now. Public trust in public officials means just that: If you violate it, you should not be rewarded in any fashion for that violation.

I submit for the RECORD a press release from September 9, 1991—nearly 5 years ago to the day—regarding my early involvement in the issue of restoring public trust in public officials, and punishing those who violate that trust.

RESTORING THE PUBLIC TRUST

(By Congressman George Gekas)

Many of us can remember the images across our television scenes in the 1980's: Members of Congress videotaped accepting bribes as part of the "Abscam" investigation. These images burned in the minds of Americans and further deepened their suspicions about public officials in general.

Indeed, there have been too many instances over the past few decades where Members of Congress and other elected or appointed officials have betrayed the trust the public has placed in them by engaging in bribes or in conspiracies to defraud the government. We recently have seen some convictions from the so-called "Ill Wind" scandal that involved defense contractors bribing some Defense Department officials.

I believe that we need to send a clear signal that this type of activity cannot be tolerated among any public servant who works for the federal government. I have introduced legislation, "The Anti-Bribery Act," that would prove to be a strong deterrent to anyone considering engaging in an act of bribery. Under current law, if a Member of Congress, for instance, was convicted of bribery, he would be subjected to a prison sentence or a severe fine. He would, however, after going to jail, come out and continue to receive his federal pension. My legislation would prevent that from ever taking place, because that individual's pension or retirement benefit would be forfeited by reason of the bribery conviction.

When I was in the Senate of Pennsylvania, and there had been a spate of convictions of public officials, it did not take too long before the General Assembly acted on this type of legislation. I supported a bill, authored by Senator John Hopper of Camp Hill, that did

precisely the same thing—cut off the pension benefits from a convicted public official.

The Anti-Bribery Act of 1991'' make sure that there would be no existing loopholes in federal statutes that would allow Members of Congress and other officials to receive any benefits after betraving the public trust. The public has a right to expect that all public servants—especially Members of Congress—have the highest degree of integrity in performing their duties. Those individuals who would stoop so low as to accept a bribe do not deserve to be the beneficiary of any retirement pay from the federal government. This legislation, in my estimation would send a clear message to all that any type of payoff to anyone working for our nation's taxpayers will not be tolerated or rewarded in any way, shape or form. We in Congress must take the lead in re-

We in Congress must take the lead in restoring the public's faith in government. As I have said, there is a perception out there that we in Congress are unethical and corrupt. I believe that the majority of public officials are faithful public servants, but we must take a stronger stand against those who go about destroying what little faith the American people have left in their government

I believe that my legislation is a major step forward in preventing corruption from taking place within the ranks of the federal government. It is my hope that my colleagues will come up to the plate and join me

TRIBUTE TO LEROY F. SMITH, JR., A REAL HERO

HON. DONALD M. PAYNE

OF NEW JERSEY

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES Saturday, September 28, 1996

Mr. PAYNE of New Jersey. Mr. Speaker, I would like to take this opportunity to pay tribute to one of this Nation's heroes—Mr. LeRoy F. Smith, Jr. Professionally, LeRoy Smith is assistant director of emergency medical services for the University of Medicine and Dentistry of New Jersey in Newark, NJ. Like most of us, LeRoy loves his career and uses his professional skills for the betterment of our world. What is extraordinary about LeRoy is that he always goes beyond the call of duty.

LeRoy began his emergency medical service career in 1969 as an ambulance driver. While in that position he became a New Jersey State Certified Emergency Medical Technician. That was the beginning of a sterling career of service to humanity. Over the years, LeRoy, a nearly lifelong resident of Newark, NJ, has shown his love, respect and caring for the city, its institutions and its people. He has volunteered his services and time to more than 30 programs and organizations. Presently, he is active with more than half of these groups. He has worked extensively with the youth of our community.

While there are many examples of LeRoy's valor, I would like to share one experience with my colleagues. Last year, LeRoy underwent successful heart surgery. Because of his caring, there was a deserved outpouring of prayers and support by the residents of Newark. Last month, LeRoy became a hero again when he rescued a drowning child. Never thinking about his own safety or survival, LeRoy saved another life, one of many saved throughout his career.

Mr. Speaker, I am sure my colleagues will want to join me as I thank and commend

LeRoy F. Smith, Jr. for his heroism and humanitarianism. LeRoy has been recognized more than 400 times for his service. This year he received an honorary doctorate of humanities degree from Essex County College and the baseball season in Guaynabo, PR was dedicated in his name. It is fitting that his record of service be noted in the annals of American history. I also want to thank his family—his wife, Maria, and his two children, Michael Jason and Lee Ann, for sharing LeRoy with us.

COMMENDING PACIFIC GAS & ELECTRIC CO. AND THE MONTE-REY BAY FUTURES NETWORK

HON. SAM FARR

OF CALIFORNIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Saturday, September 28, 1996

Mr. FARR of California. Mr. Speaker, Monterey County and many northern California areas have been challenged by military base closings and resulting job loss. In Monterey County, local government and business leaders have worked together to develop economic plans for base conversion and the future. A key participant was Pacific Gas and Electric Co. [PG&E], which for its role was honored with the Edison Electric Institute's Common Goals Award for customer satisfaction.

Tapan Munroe, PG&E's chief economist and manager of community economic vitality initiatives, came to Washington to receive the award from EEI President Thomas R. Kuhn in a Capitol Hill Ceremony.

PG&E's local manager chaired the effort that founded the Monterey Bay region Futures Network, called FUTURES, a nonprofit organization dedicated to improving the economic vitality of our region while maintaining environmental quality and the social quality of life.

Bruce R. Gritton, of the Monterey Bay Aquarium Research Institute, who is president of FUTURES, says a PG&E-sponsored study "Vision and Strategies for Shaping the Monterey Region's Economic Future," provides FUTURES' conceptual anchor. Rob Stump, of PG&E's Monterey Division, continues to serve as a FUTURES officer. After the study, the University of California at Santa Cruz, opened the Monterey Bay Science and Technology Center at Fort Ord, the first reuse of the former military base.

I commend everyone involved in FUTURES Network for all of their good work for Monterey County. Congratulations to PG&E on winning the EEI Common Goals Award.

THE UPDATED UNITED STATES-PUERTO RICO POLITICAL STA-TUS ACT

HON. DON YOUNG

OF ALASKA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Saturday, September 28, 1996

Mr. YOUNG of Alaska. Mr. Speaker, today I am introducing the updated United States-Puerto Rico Political Status Act, H.R. 4281, which contains provisions regarding the role of