E1125

health care fraud and abuse control program to coordinate Federal, State, and local law enforcement actions and funding is increased for investigation, reviews, and prosecutions.

To provide greater access to health care, the agreement fights discrimination in the Tax Code against millions of small, self-employed business men and women by giving them virtually the same rights as large corporations to deduct their health insurance costs. It allows tax deductions for long-term health care needs, and it allows terminally ill patients and their families to receive tax-free accelerated death benefits from their insurance companies.

The President and his liberal allies insist on perpetuating big Government policies and socialized heath care. America rejected it in 1993, and they do not want it today. The Health Coverage Availability and Affordability Act of 1996 ensures portable, affordable health care for working Americans.

It is time the Clinton liberals stop dragging their feet and came to the negotiating table.

DO NOT PUT HARD-WORKING AMERICANS AT RISK

HON. GREG LAUGHLIN OF TEXAS

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES Wednesday, June 19, 1996

Mr. LAUGHLIN. Mr. Speaker, like other Members of this body, I abhor terrorism and support ongoing efforts to reduce the spread of weapons of mass destruction. But I also want to be sure that we do not hurt hard-working Americans in our efforts to achieve foreign policy objectives. From the outset, I have been particularly concerned that enactment of this bill might hurt the citizens of the 14th District of Texas and American families throughout the

country.

As the chairman of the Trade Subcommittee knows, I was particularly concerned that the bill, as reported by the International Relations Committee, could have two potentially harmful effects. First, the initial bill would have put at risk the jobs of Americans at totally innocent U.S. subsidiaries of foreign companies. Second, the initial bill could be read to apply retroactively to investment commitments made and contractual obligations undertaken many years ago.

Through the strong leadership and personal intervention of the chairman of the full committee and of the Trade Subcommittee, these concerns have been addressed. I am gratified that the unprecedented innocent subsidiary provision was dropped in its entirely. That change alone will ensure that workers in my district will not have their livelihoods affected by the actions of others that were well beyond their control. Moreover, the bill was redrafted to ensure that the long-standing principle of contract sanctity is preserved. To eliminate any possible interpretive ambiguity, the definition of investment makes clear that the legislation applies only to activities undertaken pursuant to an agreement entered into with the Government of Iran or the Government of Libya (or nongovernmental entities formed by those governments) after the date of enactment. Thus, for example, companies can continue to honor their contractual obligations under existing contracts without fear of being

sanctioned. As a result, the supply of services and other subcontracts, farm-in arrangements, and the like in connection with contracts entered into prior to the date of enactment will not expose companies to potential sanctions. Similarly, companies may continue the development of oil resources as contemplated under exploration and production-sharing agreements signed long before introduction of this legislation. By addressing these legitimate concerns of the business community, our committee has preserved an important principle while reducing the likely exposure of U.S. companies and U.S. workers to foreign government retaliation.

As the administration made clear in its testimony before the Trade Subcommittee, it too shares my concerns about the potential unintended consequences of the legislation. I was pleased that the administration indicated that the bill should apply only prospectively, to future contracts and to future investments. With the bill before us today, the administration should be in a better position to ensure that hard-working Americans in the 14th District or anywhere in our great land will not be put at risk.

In closing, I wish to again commend our Committee leadership for producing a bill that maintains long-standing principles, reduces the risk of harmful retaliation, and provides the President with the flexibility needed to ensure that the American economy is not adversely affected by our pursuit of foreign policy objectives.

HONORING "OLD" JOE CLARK

HON. HAROLD ROGERS

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Wednesday, June 19, 1996

Mr. ROGERS. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to honor one of southern Kentucky's country music legends, "Old" Joe Clark.

"Old" Joe recently celebrated his 50th year of performing at Renfro Valley, Kentucky's premier country music venue. He has been making us laugh with his unique brand of country humor and skillful banjo-picking for the last half century. He is a true treasure of the Commonwealth.

"Old" Joe came to Renfro Valley after entertaining folks in and around his home of Johnson City, TN. After sharpening his talents in Tennessee, "Old" Joe attracted the attention of Renfro Valley's founder, John Lair. And, as they say, the rest is history.

It did not take long for "Old" Joe's fame to spread throughout southern Kentucky. And, he was soon a part of the national country music scene. He appeare at the Grand Ole Opry and performed with some the Nation's top country stars.

"Old" Joe Clark talents are legendary at Renfro Valley. For 50 years, he has set the standard for an entire generation of country musicians and comedians. Without a doubt, "Old" Joe has left his mark on the Renfro Valley community.

Mr. Speaker, I am very proud to honor "Old" Joe Clark on his 50th anniversary at Renfro Valley. I know that the people of southern Kentucky love Joe and appreciate his lifetime of service to entertain us. TRIBUTE TO WENDY GUEY, 1996 NATIONAL SPELLING BEE WINNER

HON. MARK ADAM FOLEY

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Wednesday, June 19, 1996

Mr. FOLEY. Mr. Speaker, today, I rise to salute an exceptional student from Palm Beach County, FL, Miss Wendy Guey. At 12 years old, Wendy attends the Palm Beach County School of the Arts and was the winner of the 1996 Scripps Howard National Spelling Bee.

Not only a talented pianist and violinist, Miss Guey is also a bright, young lady who calmly spelled vivisepulture to become a national champion. To get through the early rounds, she spelled correctly—parquet, multifarious, and gesticulate. Aside from a small shopping trip, she donated \$200 to her school while the rest of the prize money has been put away for college.

This was Miss Guey's fourth National Spelling Bee. In 1993, she came in fourth place at the unbelievable age of 9. This year, she came back after missing two words in previous rounds to win the championship.

Perhaps most importantly, Miss Guey has reached a level that all American students should strive to achieve. Education cannot be emphasized enough; our children need to be prepared to attain the skilled positions that will await them in the future. For the United States to compete on the international level, young individuals such as Miss Guey need to become the role models for all students.

I am proud to recognize Miss Guey for her victory as well as her parents Mr. and Mrs. Ching and Susan Guey of Palm Beach Gardens. We should all be proud to salute Wendy for her achievements and wish her the best of luck in her future endeavors.

POSTAL REFORM

HON. JOHN M. MCHUGH OF NEW YORK

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Wednesday, June 19, 1996

Mr. McHUGH. Mr. Speaker, the following letter by Postmaster General Marvin Runvon was published in the June 1, 1996 Washington Post as a rebuttal to an earlier Washington Post column calling for the creation of a Government commission to address the complex issues of postal reform. The authors of the original article-Messrs. David Ginsburg, Murray Comarow, Robert Hardesty and David Harris-argued in their guest column, "Delivery for the Postal Service," that postal reform would best be addressed through the creation of a Government commission to report and analyze these important public policy issues. While I do not embrace that conclusion, I included their column in the CONGRESSIONAL RECORD of June 6.

In his rebuttal, Mr. Runyon argues to the contrary and says that the Postal Service cannot wait for results of findings of a commission. Mr. Runyon stresses that the Postal Service has begun to meet the demands of today's mail delivery and that legislative reforms are needed to keep it thriving for years to come. I will be introducing such legislation in the next few days. [From the Washington Post, June 1, 1996]

ALREADY DELIVERING

(By Marvin Runyon)

Were the Postal Service a private company, it would be the ninth-largest business in the United States. It is bigger than Coca-Cola, Xerox and Eastman Kodak—combined. With more than 750,000 employees in all U.S. states and territories, the U.S. Postal Service is the largest civilian employer in the country—accounting for one out of every 170 U.S. paychecks. Last year, the Postal Service delivered 181 billion pieces of mail—more pieces in a day than Federal Express delivers in a year.

No doubt the complex and amazing U.S. Postal Service faces some serious challenges. But does anyone seriously believe that this calls for creating another government commission?

In their article of May 20 ["Delivery for the Postal Service," op-ed] four friends of the Postal Service—David Ginsburg, Murray Comarow, Robert L. Hardesty and David F. Harris—argue for just such a panel.

The fact is, the Postal Service can't wait for a commission. We've already begun to turn things around.

No tax dollars fill our coffers. And the real price of a stamp, when adjusted for inflation, is about the same today as it was in 1971. But today's Postal Service makes a profit. Last year, we earned \$1.8 billion. So far, we're on track to earn between \$700 million and \$900 million in fiscal 1996.

In 1995 we set a record of 88 percent for ontime delivery. We expect to set a new record when new statistics are released next week. Moreover, we intend to raise our national on-time delivery average for local first-class mail to 92 percent by next year. By 2000, we are aiming for 95 percent or better, with similar improvements in other service categories.

We're also working to raise revenue and exploring the universe of technology. In the coming months, we will be launching hybrid mail services that combine the speed of computer messaging with the security and impact of the U.S. Mail. We'll also be introducing electronic money transfer services, international catalogue shopping, convenient new bill-paying methods and dozens of new services available at our 40,000 post offices.

And we're increasing service, not costs, by reengineering the way we deliver the mail. Last year, we launched a new blueprint for excellence called CustomerPerfect!, which is helping us examine how we deliver the mail every step along the way, from the back dock to the customer's mailbox. At the same time, we're working to reduce labor costs, which account for some 80 percent of our annual budget.

But more must be done. Legislative reform is needed to allow the Postal Service to keep pace with the communications business; for example, to offer business customers volume discounts and customized service contracts. We need the authority to test new products more easily and bring them to market more quickly. And we need changes that will bring labor negotiations back to the bargaining table so we can better control our costs.

The Postal Service doesn't need a commission. It needs to have the shackles of government regulation loosened so it can continue its commitment to excellence.

INS TO BE COMMENDED IN MIAMI

HON. LINCOLN DIAZ-BALART OF FLORIDA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Wednesday, June 19, 1996

Mr. DIAZ-BALART. Mr. Speaker, the Immigration and Naturalization Service [INS], created by Congress over a hundred years ago-March 3, 1891-has been charged with the responsibility of providing services under the Immigration and Nationality Act, which among other things includes providing assistance to individuals seeking naturalization-the process by which eligible immigrants become U.S. citizens. Therefore, INS is appropriately involved in the citizenship process as an integral part and I believe that Commissioner Meissner has made significant progress in reducing the extensive processing backlogs for prospective new citizens as interest in naturalization has increased substantially during her term as commissioner.

Although I cannot speak for other portions of the country, in Miami INS has done a commendable job of moving applicants through the citizenship process expeditiously. As a part critic of INS's failure to process applications on a timely basis, I have been encouraged by the important headway INS has made in reducing the average time for completing an application.

Naturalization applications have severely outpaced the capacity of INS-from just over 200.000 in 1983 to over a million in 1955, and thousands of applications had been accumulating in Miami with a mere 22 personnel to process them. To respond to this unacceptable situation, using its own fee revenue, INS has added 158 naturalization personnel to the Miami District staff this year to handle the steadily increasing volume of citizenship applications. In the first half of this year, thanks to the additional staffing provided by Commissioner Meissner, the Miami district has been able to complete close to 30,000 N-400 applications-the standard naturalization formwhich is over 1.000 more than the Miami district completed in the entire year for 1995. I have been pleased with this progress and commend Commissioner Meissner's hard work to ensure that naturalization is given the prioritv it merits.

Through its Citizenship USA project, INS is meeting on a monthly basis in Miami with local organizations to improve community outreach. Groups such as One Nation, the Catholic Legal Immigration Network Inc. [CLINIC], Dade County Schools and the Hispanic Coalition have worked with volunteers and local officials to help the INS facilitate its citizenship activities.

To be eligible for citizenship, an immigrant must be a legal permanent resident for at least 5 years—three if married to a U.S. citizen—exhibit good moral character and understanding of constitutional principles, demonstrate a knowledge of U.S. history/civics and basis English—unless exempted for age or disability—and must pay an application fee of \$95 which funds the INS process of examining each case. Thus, naturalization is not an automatic step for every immigrant, and those individuals who have gone to the trouble and effort of playing by the rules and have demonstrated their dedication and desire to be a U.S. citizen deserve the opportunity to be

processed on a timely, efficient basis by INS. Although there have been enormous backlogs in the past, I believe that Commissioner Meissner is taking important steps toward helping immigrants naturalize and take full advantage of citizenship in these great United States.

CITRUS TRISTEZA VIRUS

HON. FRANK RIGGS of california

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Wednesday, June 19, 1996

Mr. RIGGS. Mr. Speaker, northern California, with its benign temperature, is home to many agricultural products, including grapes, stone fruits, vegetables, and citrus. California has 275,000 acres in citrus groves. Roughly 30,000 to 35,000 people are employed in the citrus industry, which means ontree revenues of \$546.3 million for the State of California. However, if the brown citrus aphid intrudes into our groves, everything we worked so hard for will be lost.

The brown citrus aphid is the carrier for the citrus tristeza virus or CTV. CTV is a very destructive disease that has already killed over 40 million trees worldwide and is projected to destroy 180 million citrus trees on citrus tristeza virus-sensitive sour orange rootstock in the United States, Mexico, the Caribbean, and other parts of North America. If there is even one strain of the CTV in the rootstock, it will debilitate the trees and will produce extremely low quantities of fruit. If the quantity of citrus decreases, it means millions of dollars in revenue lost for the State of California.

My colleagues in Arizona, Flordia, Louisiana, and Texas share California's understanding of the importance of the threat presented by the brown citrus aphid. If not controlled, the disease will escalate and will affect the U.S. citrus industry, possibly eliminating the United States as a major supplier of fresh fruit and juice concentrate in the world.

Congress has already made a commitment to fight the citrus tristeza virus in the fiscal year 1996 and fiscal year 1997 Agricultural appropriations bills with a \$500,000 special research grant. However, I believe more needs to be done. The farm bill, passed earlier this year, created a \$3 million cooperative national research initiative to control the citrus tristeza virus and the brown citrus aphid. The program would entail new research and develop technologies needed to manage the disease, provide environmentally and energy-efficient control measures, and reduce the economic losses due to the diseases caused by the CTV. Unfortunately it was not possible to fund the research initiative in this year's appropriations bill. However, if additional monies become available to the committee, I will work to ensure that the CTV research initiative is given strong consideration for funding.

AUNG SAN SUU KYI AND HER WORK IN BURMA

HON. NEIL ABERCROMBIE OF HAWAII

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES Wednesday, June 19, 1996

Mr. ABERCROMBIE. Mr. Speaker, today there was a ceremony commemorating the