children and for our grandchildren and children not yet born. We are convinced we cannot spend on the programs that are currently part of America at the same level, and give everybody everything they are getting under current programs, and be a budget that is good for all Americans, because the debt will continue, the interest rates will go up. And what it all boils down to it is that Americans will pay in the end with less of an economy, less good jobs, and less opportunities.

So I answer the question posed on that side of the aisle with a great deal of pride, that this budget is good for America and the people of America. We are not picking and choosing. We are producing a budget that will make America a better place for everyone.

I yield the floor.

I suggest the absence of a quorum. The PRESIDING OFFICER. The

clerk will call the roll.

The legislative clerk proceeded to call the roll.

Mr. EXON. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the order for the quorum call be rescinded.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

Mr. BAUCUS. Mr. President, I rise in opposition to this conference report.

When Senator DOMENICI's budget resolution passed the Senate, I said it was a good accountant's budget. That is, it had the right bottom line, and it made some tough choices by eliminating Cabinet Departments and reducing spending. But in the end, it failed the test of priorities and values.

It cut Medicare service by \$256 billion, which would reduce the essential Medicare health services for older Americans by nearly a quarter and place intense financial pressure on their children. And it weakened our future prospects by cutting education severely.

At the same time, the Senate budget left in place wasteful Federal projects like courthouses, foreign spending like the so-called TV Marti, and luxury items like space telescopes. At the same time, it provided a large tax cut whose benefits went primarily to wealthy individuals and corporations rather than middle-income Americans.

So I voted against it. But I hoped that with some changes in these priorities areas it could be made acceptable. Unfortunately, the opposite has hap-

pened.

Medicare will be cut by an additional \$14 billion, threatening the well-being of Montana's 125,000 senior citizens and the survival of Montana's rural hospitals.

Support for agriculture will decline by an additional \$1.4 billion to a total of \$13.3 billion over 7 years. Per farm, that means agricultural supports will fall by \$1,000 every year for the next 7 years. And with 85 percent of American farms grossing under \$100,000 per year, we will see a severe cut in income all over rural America.

Education will be reduced by \$10 billion, meaning our children will be less

able to compete with our trade rivals abroad.

And wealthy people will get \$75 billion more in tax breaks, which comes directly from senior citizens, rural hospitals, agricultural producers, and investment in education.

Finally, it is no longer a good accountant's budget. Senator DOMENICI's sober projections have been replaced by unrealistic rosy scenario assumptions about growth, interest rates, and so on. It is far less likely to lead to a balanced budget.

So this budget is significantly worse than the version the Senate voted on last month. It is less disciplined. Less far-sighted. And more damaging to senior citizens, rural America, and our future.

I oppose it, and I urge the conference committee to go back to the drawing board and start over.

Mr. EXON. How much time is remaining on our side?

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Three minutes twenty seconds.

Mr. EXON. I ask unanimous consent that we be allowed to reserve that time for later in the debate without further charging to this side of the aisle.

How much time is left on the other side?

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Five seconds.

Mr. DOLE. Five seconds?

The PRESIDING OFFICER. That is correct

Mr. DOLE. We will yield that back. [Laughter]

Mr. EXON. We do not yield ours back at this time.

UNANIMOUS CONSENT AGREEMENT

Mr. DOLE addressed the Chair.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The majority leader.

Mr. DOLE. Mr. President, I have been conferring throughout the day with the distinguished Democratic leader, Senator DASCHLE. I think we have an arrangement that will satisfy most of our colleagues on both the budget and regulatory reform and the program for the remainder of the week.

So I ask unanimous consent that the Senate now turn to the consideration of Calendar No. 118, S. 343, the regulatory reform bill, and we have 1 hour of debate on S. 343 commencing as soon as we obtain the consent.

Mr. DASCHLE. Mr. President, reserving the right to object, I will not object, but simply to clarify what I understand to be the circumstances.

Senator DOLE, the majority leader, and I have been talking about the opportunity for Senators to discuss the issue of regulatory reform and to do it in the context of S. 343 for the next hour. Then it would be our assumption that we could go back to it again sometime tomorrow and discuss it further. But it is also our understanding that there will not be any amendments offered during this time, to accommo-

date the effort that is now underway on both sides in good faith off the floor to try to continue to work through some of the disagreements that may continue to exist with regard to the draft that Senator DOLE and Senator JOHN-STON and others have been working on.

It is with that understanding that I think this would be a very good approach and would offer no objection at this time.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

Mr. DOLE. Mr. President, let me thank the distinguished Democratic leader

There has been some progress. There have been a number of meetings. I am not certain whether either one of us can stand here and predict that everything is going to be worked out. I would guess the odds are that probably not everything is worked out. But we had a bipartisan press conference today. We think there is an opportunity here for a bipartisan improvement. We may reach a point where we have to say, OK, we will offer amendments and have the debate, up or down, and then proceed with the bill in that fashion.

Mr. DASCHLE. If I could just clarify the majority leader's understanding as I have stated it, is that correct?

Mr. DOLE. That is correct.

I ask unanimous consent that between now and 5 p.m. we debate S. 343, and that the time be equally divided and then we go back to the budget resolution, and all time consumed this evening be subtracted from the statutory time limitation on the budget resolution.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

SCHEDULE

Mr. DOLE. So, for the information of all Senators, there will be no further votes today. When the Senate completes its business this evening it will stand in recess until 9 a.m. on Thursday June 29, 1995; following the prayer, the leaders' time will be reserved, and there will be a period for the transaction of routine morning business not to extend beyond the hour of 10:30 a.m.

As I understand, there will be a Democratic caucus in the morning at 9:30. So, I think there are requests for morning business. Then perhaps following that caucus the two leaders would have further conversation. Hopefully, we could proceed again for a period of time on S. 343, regulatory reform.

Then also, depending on the House action on the budget conference report, we could eat up more time than the 10 hours. We now have 6 hours remaining on the budget, as I understand it.

So there will be no more votes tonight. We will try to accommodate many of our colleagues who must travel long distances and who would like to depart tomorrow evening. It is our hope that we could work that out. There may be a rescissions package. I understand it is still in negotiation with the White House, with Senator

HATFIELD and Senator BYRD on this side and their House counterparts. If that can be done, I hope we can get an agreement on the Senate side that we do it by consent. Otherwise, it would be open to amendment and we would be here for days. But I believe that if the White House, the President, and bipartisan leaders on appropriations can agree on a package, perhaps we could obtain consent to do that. If we had to do that Friday morning, perhaps we could do it without a vote.

Mr. DASCHLE. That would be my hope as well. We have a lot of Senators we are trying to accommodate. This is an important effort. It has been under way now for a couple of weeks. We are so close, it would be nice to finish it and be convinced that it is our best product. Indeed, I think it would be.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, the foregoing requests are agreed to.

COMPREHENSIVE REGULATORY REFORM ACT

The PRESIDING OFFICER. clerk will report the pending business. The legislative clerk read as follows: A bill (S. 343) to reform the regulatory process, and for other purposes.

The Senate proceeded to consider the bill which had been reported from the Committee on Governmental Affairs to strike out all after the enacting clause and inserting in lieu thereof the language shown in italic; and from the Committee on the Judiciary with amendments as follows:

(The parts of the bill intended to be stricken are shown in boldface brackets, and the parts of the bill intended to be inserted are shown in italic.)

[SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

[This Act may be cited as the "Comprehensive Regulatory Reform Act of 1995''. **ISEC. 2. DEFINITIONS.**

[Section 551 of title 5. United States Code. is amended-

[(1) in paragraph (13), by striking out "; and" and inserting in lieu thereof a semicolon:

[(2) in paragraph (14), by striking out the eriod and inserting in lieu thereof "; and"; period and inserting in lieu thereof

I(3) by adding at the end thereof the following new paragraph:

[''(15) 'Director' means the Director of the Office of Management and Budget.".

[SEC. 3. ANALYSIS OF AGENCY RULES.

[(a) IN GENERAL.—Chapter 6 of title 5, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following:

["SUBCHAPTER II—ANALYSIS OF AGENCY RULES

["§ 621. Definitions

["For purposes of this subchapter the definitions under section 551 shall apply and-

[''(1) the term 'benefit' means the reasonably identifiable significant favorable effects, including social, environmental and economic benefits, that are expected to result directly or indirectly from implementation of a rule or an alternative to a rule;

[''(2) the term 'cost' means the reasonably identifiable significant adverse effects, including social, environmental, and economic costs that are expected to result directly or

indirectly from implementation of, or compliance with, a rule or an alternative to a

[''(3) the term 'cost-benefit analysis' means an evaluation of the costs and benefits of a rule, quantified to the extent feasible and appropriate and otherwise qualitatively described, that is prepared in accordance with the requirements of this subchapter at the level of detail appropriate and practicable for reasoned decisionmaking on the matter involved, taking into consideration the significance and complexity of the decision and any need for expedition;

[''(4)(A) the term 'major rule' means-

[''(i) a rule or a group of closely related rules that the agency proposing the rule, the Director, or a designee of the President reasonably determines is likely to have a gross annual effect on the economy of \$100,000,000 or more in reasonably quantifiable direct and indirect costs; or

[''(ii) a rule or a group of closely related rules that is otherwise determined to be a major rule by the agency proposing the rule. the Director, or a designee of the President on the ground that the rule is likely to result in-

["(I) a substantial increase in costs or prices for wage earners, consumers, individual industries, nonprofit organizations, Federal, State, local, or tribal government agencies, or geographic regions;

[''(II) significant adverse effects on wages, economic growth, investment, productivity, innovation, the environment, public health or safety, or the ability of enterprises whose principal places of business are in the United States to compete in domestic or export markets;

["(III) a serious inconsistency or interference with an action taken or planned by another agency;

[''(IV) the material alteration of the budgetary impact of entitlements, grants, user fees, or loan programs, or the rights and obligations of recipients thereof; or

I"(V) a significant impact on a sector of the economy, or disproportionate costs to a class of persons and relatively severe economic, social, and environmental consequences for the class; and

 $\hat{\mathbf{l}}$ (B) the term 'major rule' shall not include-

[''(i) a rule that involves the internal revenue laws of the United States;

["(ii) a rule or agency action that authorizes the introduction into, or removal from, commerce, or recognizes the marketable status, of a product; or

["(iii) a rule exempt from notice and public comment procedure under section 553 of this title:

[''(5) the term 'market-based mechanism' means a regulatory program that-

 $\mathbf{I}^{"}(A)$ imposes legal accountability for the achievement of an explicit regulatory objective, including the reduction of environmental pollutants or of risks to human health, safety, or the environment, on each regulated person:

I"(B) affords maximum flexibility to each regulated person in complying with mandatory regulatory objectives, and such flexibility shall, where feasible and appropriate, include the opportunity to transfer to, or receive from, other persons, including for cash or other legal consideration, increments of compliance responsibility established by the program; and

(C) permits regulated persons to respond at their own discretion in an automatic manner, consistent with subparagraph (B), to changes in general economic conditions and in economic circumstances directly pertinent to the regulatory program without affecting the achievement of the program's ex-

plicit regulatory mandates under subparagraph (A);

["(6) the term 'performance standard' means a requirement that imposes legal accountability for the achievement of an explicit regulatory objective, such as the reduction of environmental pollutants or of risks to human health, safety, or the environment, on each regulated person;

["(7) the term 'risk assessment' has the same meaning as such term is defined under section 632(5); and

[''(8) the term 'rule' has the same meaning as in section 551(4) of this title, and shall not include-

[''(A) a rule of particular applicability that approves or prescribes for the future rates, wages, prices, services, corporate or financial structures, reorganizations, mergers, acquisitions, accounting practices, or disclosures bearing on any of the foregoing:

["(B) a rule relating to monetary policy proposed or promulgated by the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System or by the Federal Open Market Committee;

["(C) a rule relating to the safety or soundness of federally insured depository institutions or any affiliate of such an institution (as defined in section 2(k) of the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956 (12 U.S.C. 1841(k)); credit unions; the Federal Home Loan Banks; government-sponsored housing enterprises; a Farm Credit System Institution; foreign banks, and their branches, agencies, commercial lending companies or representative offices that operate in the United States and any affiliate of such foreign banks (as those terms are defined in the International Banking Act of 1978 (12 U.S.C. 3101)); or a rule relating to the payments system or the protection of deposit insurance funds or Farm Credit Insurance Fund; or

["(D) a rule issued by the Federal Election Commission or a rule issued by the Federal Communications Commission pursuant to sections 312(a)(7) and 315 of the Communications Act of 1934.

["§ 622. Rulemaking cost-benefit analysis

["(a) Before publishing notice of a proposed rulemaking for any rule (or, in the case of a notice of a proposed rulemaking that has been published on or before the effective date of this subchapter, no later than 30 days after such date), each agency shall determine whether the rule is or is not a major rule within the meaning of section 621(4)(A)(i) and, if it is not, determine whethit is a major rule under section 621(4)(A)(ii). For the purpose of any such determination, a group of closely related rules shall be considered as one rule.

[''(b)(1) If an agency has determined that a rule is not a major rule, the Director or a designee of the President may, as appropriate, determine that the rule is a major rule no later than 30 days after the publication of the notice of proposed rulemaking for the rule (or, in the case of a notice of proposed rulemaking that has been published on or before the effective date of this subchapter, no later than 60 days after such date).

["(2) Such determination shall be published in the Federal Register, together with a succinct statement of the basis for the determination.

[''(c)(1)(A) When the agency publishes a notice of proposed rulemaking for a major rule, the agency shall issue and place in the rulemaking file an initial cost-benefit analysis, and shall include a summary of such analysis in the notice of proposed rulemaking.

["(B)(i) When the Director or a designee of the President has published a determination that a rule is a major rule after the publication of the notice of proposed rulemaking for the rule, the agency shall promptly issue and