

Winn-Dixie in 1957, he was executive secretary of the Florida Petroleum Industries for 11 years. In 1981, he was named Groceryman of the Year by the Retail Grocers Association of Florida.

At his funeral on January 28, Robert O. Aders, former president of the Food Marketing Institute, gave a warm and moving eulogy to his good friend, Glen Woodard. It captures Glen's sharp wit, down-home personality, and wonderful good-natured philosophy. I ask unanimous consent that a copy of this excellent tribute be printed in the RECORD.

There being no objection, the tribute was ordered to be printed in the RECORD, as follows:

EULOGY TO GLEN WOODARD

(By Robert O. Aders)

Glen, it is an honor to be invited to eulogize you. It is not the first time that I or others have praised you in public but it is the first time you won't have the last word. I speak on behalf of myself and Tabitha and your other close friends in the industry that you have served so well for so many years—on behalf of your many associates in FMI and other groups in Washington and the State capitols with whom you have worked to improve food system and the supermarket industry—to improve the quality of government—and to improve the relationships between industry and government—in order to better serve the public. We have enjoyed considerable success in all these things and you have truly left your mark. You have made a difference. And today we celebrate your life.

We all lead our lives on many levels—our home, our church, our country, daily work, recreation. So did Glen Woodard. I would like to say a few words on behalf of those who knew him mostly in his Washington life, that part of his Winn-Dixie career where some of us in this room were his extended family. Glen was born in Washington, D.C.—says so in the Jacksonville newspaper so it must be true. But Glen always denied that. He didn't want to be a Washington insider. Instead Glen told a Supermarket News reporter who asked where he was born:

"Born in North Georgia in 1917, RFD 1, Clermont. Go out from Gainesville, turn left at Quillens store, going toward the Wahoo Church, and then past there up toward Dahlonga. We lived there till the Grand Jury met—then moved to Florida."

My friendship with Glen goes back a long way. We both joined the supermarket industry 38 years ago. In 1957 Glen joined Winn-Dixie and I joined Kroger—he as a lobbyist, I as a lawyer.

These were the good old days of smaller government but it was growing and soon Kroger decided to form a government relations department. I was chosen to do it. We were going to lobby and all I knew about that was what you had to go through when you check into a hotel. Then I got lucky. The American Retail Federation was holding a regional conference in Springfield, Illinois, and the already-famous Glen Woodard was the featured speaker on "lobbying." Glen spoke on the nitty-gritty of working with government—the day-to-day task of dealing with small problems so they don't get big—the same way we all deal with our family and business problems. He spoke on the day-to-day things that government does, wittingly or unwittingly, that impose a great burden on business. While business is focusing on the big issues we tend to ignore the minor day-to-day interferences that cost us money and slow us down. The title of his speech was repeated at just the right time throughout his presentation, in that patented stentorian voice. It was "While you

are watching out for the eagles you are being pecked to death by the ducks." And that was my introduction to the famous Glen Woodard vocabulary and the beginning of a long professional relationship as well as a personal friendship.

To Glen, a Congressman or a Senator was always addressed as "my spiritual advisor." Glen Woodard's world was not populated by lawyers, accountants and ordinary citizens but by "skin 'em and cheat 'ems," "shiny britches," and "snuff dippers." These people don't merely get excited they have "rollin' of the eyes" and "jerkin' of the navel." Colorful he was. But Glen needed that light-hearted perspective to survive, for Glen was in the middle of what is now called "that mess in Washington" from Presidents Eisenhower to Clinton. Working his contacts, talking to representatives and senators, walking his beat—those endless marble corridors of power—doing as he put it "the work of the Lord." And, indeed, his work affected the law of the land.

And, indeed, that work was made a lot more fun for all of us by Glen's marvelous sense of humor and his wonderful delivery. I remember a meeting a few years ago with a top official in the Treasury Department. We had been stymied for years trying to change a ridiculous IRS regulation because of the stubbornness of one particular bureaucrat. One day Glen broke the logjam as follows: "Jerry, I had occasion to pay you a high compliment when I was with the Chairman of the Ways and Means Committee last week. I said you were just great with numbers. In fact, you're the biggest 2-timin', 4-flushin', SOB I've ever known." He got the point and the rule was changed.

With all his blunt talk and tough wit, he was a kind and generous man. In fact, my wife described him when she first met him as courtly and gallant. That was at a luncheon at the Grand Old Opry years ago. My mother was also present and Glen was with his beloved Miss Ann. My mother was so charmed that for the rest of her life she always asked me "How is that wonderful gentleman from Winn-Dixie that you introduced me to in Nashville." Of course, Tab got to know the total Glen over the ensuing years at the many private dinners the three of us enjoyed when Glen was in Washington and had a free evening.

Those of us who worked at the Food Marketing Institute during Glen Woodard's career knew the many facets of this fine man. Always with us when we needed him, he was a brother to me and he was Uncle Glen to the young people on the staff.

Those young people he mentored over the years—young people now mature—carry the principles and values that he lived and taught. Here are some of them:

Integrity—stick to your principles.

Strength and toughness—take a position and stand on it.

Work ethic—It may not be fun at first. If you work hard enough you'll enjoy it.

Responsibility—Take it. Most people duck it.

Generosity—Take the blame; share the credit.

Reliability—Say what you'll do and then do it.

Fairness—It isn't winning if you cheat.

And finally, Grace under pressure.

On behalf of those young people, Glen, I say you brought a great deal of nobility to our day-to-day lives and you made us feel worthwhile.

A few years ago we tricked Glen into coming to a testimonial dinner on his behalf. He thought it was for someone else. The dinner menu was designed especially to Glen's taste. He always said he was sick of overcooked beef, rubber chicken and livers wrapped in burnt bacon. So we had a Glen

Woodard menu prepared at one of the fanciest private clubs in Washington—The F Street Club. Their kitchen staff will never forget it. We had country ham, redeye gravy and biscuits with collard greens. We had cat fish, hush puppies and cole slaw. All the condiments were served in their original containers—ketchup in the bottle, mustard in the jar, and alongside each table in a silver ice bucket we had Glen's cheap rose' wine in a screw-top bottle.

The FMI staff had prepared a special plaque for this man who already had a wall covered with plaques, but this was different and it expressed how the staff felt about him. It went this way: "FMI to Glen P. Woodard, the Best There Is."

For nearly 30 years you have served your company and our industry in the area of public affairs with unparalleled skill and devotion. Currently chairman of the FMI Government Relations Committee, recent Chairman of the FMI Fall Conference, untiring laborer in the vineyards of government on behalf of the American food system, you have accomplished mightily for our industry.

We salute your dedication, your knowledge, your wit and your style. And we treasure your friendship. You are, indeed, The Best There Is. And we love you. Washington, D.C., October 22, 1985.

And that still goes Glen, old buddy.

IS CONGRESS IRRESPONSIBLE? THE VOTERS HAVE SAID YES!

Mr. HELMS. Mr. President, the impression will not go away: The enormous Federal debt greatly resembles the well-known energizer bunny we see, and see, and see on television. The Federal debt keeps going and going and going—always at the expense, of course, of the American taxpayer.

A lot of politicians talk a good game—when they are back home—about bringing Federal deficits and the Federal debt under control. But so many of these same politicians regularly voted in support of bloated spending bills during the 103d Congress—which may have been one factor in the new configuration of U.S. Senators for the 104th Congress.

There is a rather distressing fact as the 104th Congress moves along: As of Friday, March 10, 1995, the Federal debt stood—down to the penny—at exactly \$4,847,327,170.23 or \$18,400.54 per person.

Mr. President, my hope is that the 104th Congress can bring under control the outrageous spending that created this outrageous debt. If the party now controlling both Houses of Congress, as a result of the November elections last year, does not do a better job of getting a handle on this enormous debt, the American people are not likely to overlook it in 1996.

DR. RICHARD C. HALVERSON

Mr. HATCH. Mr. President, last Friday marked the official last day of duty for our Senate Chaplain, the Reverend Richard C. Halverson. I want to take just a moment to pay tribute to his service to the Senate as an institution and a word of thanks for his ministry to Senators as individuals.

Dr. Halverson came to us in 1981 after an already distinguished pastorate at Bethesda's Fourth Presbyterian Church. There, as here, he tried to build a strong community—a community that supported each other and strengthened each other's faith.

Dr. Halverson was not a spiritual leader as much as he was a spiritual coalition builder. He knew that the needs of Senators were so unique that any chaplain had to do more than pray for us once a day. He knew that cultivating faith and goodwill required more than the skills of a single professional clergyman. That Reverend Halverson led us to appreciate and seek out the spiritual strengths in each other was perhaps his greatest achievement as chaplain.

To those who view the Senate on C-SPAN or even from the inside vantage point of the press galleries, the office of Senate Chaplain may appear to be superfluous. But, Dr. Halverson's gentle outreach to all Senators—of both parties and of all religious denominations—made the chaplaincy a living example of exactly the kind of men and women we all strive to be: kind, forgiving, honorable, and joyful. I believe that most Americans support the idea that these qualities ought to exist somewhere in the hustle and bustle of what goes on under this great Capitol dome.

I, for one, will miss hearing his cheerful "God bless you" when passing him in the corridors. There is not a one of us here who would not admit to feeling better upon hearing that; sometimes it changed the perspective of the entire day.

His ministry here has been well-served and now his retirement is well-deserved. I wish to join all Senators in wishing Dr. Halverson a rewarding and happy retirement.

TIME FOR COMMON COURTESY: WELCOME TAIWAN'S PRESIDENT TO OUR SHORES

Mr. HELMS. Mr. President, I am happy to participate in calling the Senate's attention to a travesty in the modern conduct of U.S. foreign relations. The question all Americans should confront is, how and when did the United States reach the point in United States-Taiwanese relations that United States foreign policy could possibly forbid a visit to the United States by the highest-ranking, democratically elected citizen of Taiwan?

Though I seldom disagree with Ronald Reagan—I did strongly disagree on a few occasions and one of those was when President Reagan's advisors made a bad decision—one which so jeopardized our relations with Taiwan by cuddling up to the brutal dictators in Beijing.

Since that time, the United States has been forced to hide behind a diplomatic screen to demonstrate our commitment and loyalty to the Taiwanese people.

Mr. President, at the time President Reagan's advisers cast their lot with the Red Chinese Government, Congress was promised that the United States would nonetheless continue to "preserve and promote extensive, close and friendly * * * relations" with the people on Taiwan. But one administration after another failed to live up to that promise.

How in the world could any one consider it close and friendly to require the President of Taiwan to sit in his plane on a runway in Honolulu while it was refueled? I find it hard to imagine that United States relations with Red China would have come to a standstill because a weekend visit to the United States by Taiwan's President Lee was allowed.

The President's China policy is in poor shape at this point—even members of Mr. Clinton's team recognize that. So, how can anyone really pretend that allowing President Lee to travel to his alma mater—or to vacation in North Carolina—would send our already precarious relations with Red China plummeting over the edge?

Last time I checked the mainland Chinese were obviously and understandably enjoying their relations with the United States a great deal. We would be enjoying them, too, if only American taxpayers could be benefiting to the tune of \$30 billion every year as a result of United States trading with Red China.

Time and again, the U.S. Congress has urged the administration to grant President Lee a visa. We have even amended United States immigration law so that it now specifically mentions the President of Taiwan. Congress has passed resolution after resolution encouraging the President to allow President Lee into the United States for a visit. All to no avail.

Now's the time, Mr. President, We encourage you to allow President Lee to visit the United States when he so chooses. Bear in mind that some of us in Congress will never cease our support for one of America's greatest allies, the oldest democracy in the Asian region—the Republic of China on Taiwan.

Mr. KENNEDY addressed the Chair.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Will the Senator from Massachusetts withhold so that we can go back to the pending business?

Mr. KENNEDY. I thank the Chair.

CONCLUSION OF MORNING BUSINESS

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Under the previous order, morning business is closed.

EMERGENCY SUPPLEMENTAL AP- PROPRIATIONS AND RESCIS- SIONS ACT

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Under the previous order, the Senate will now

resume consideration of H.R. 889, which the clerk will report.

The assistant legislative clerk read as follows:

A bill (H.R. 889) making emergency supplemental appropriations and rescissions to preserve and enhance the military readiness for the Department of Defense for the fiscal year ending September 30, 1995, and for other purposes.

The Senate resumed consideration of the bill.

Pending:

Bumpers amendment No. 330, to restrict the obligation or expenditure of funds on the NASA/Russian Cooperative MIR program.

Kassebaum amendment No. 331 (to committee amendment beginning on page 1, line 3), to limit funding of an Executive order that would prohibit Federal contractors from hiring permanent replacements for striking workers.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Chair recognizes the Senator from Massachusetts.

Mr. KENNEDY. Madam President, during the course of our discussion last week about the action of the President of the United States in issuing the Executive order on the permanent replacement of striking workers, there were a number of issues that were raised. One was the question of whether the President had the authority and the power to issue the Executive order; a second was whether there was a sound public policy rationale to do so. I would like to take a few moments of the Senate's time this afternoon to address those issues specifically, and then to make some additional general comments.

Madam President, I understand that earlier in the course of the Senate session there may have been a statement by the majority leader as to how we were going to proceed on the Kassebaum amendment. We initially had the cloture vote called for at 5:30 this afternoon but now that vote will occur on Wednesday at a time to be worked out by the leaders. I believe that I am correct. That is my understanding as how we are going to proceed. I was inquiring of staff whether that had actually been announced in the Senate for the benefit of the membership. Could I make that inquiry?

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Consent was obtained to postpone the vote on the Kassebaum amendment to Wednesday, March 15 at 10:30 a.m..

Mr. KENNEDY. I thank the Chair.

Madam President, when we debated the issue of permanent striker replacement last year and again on the floor last week, our opponents argued that the use of permanent replacements is too infrequent to justify a legislative response. But the tens of thousands of workers around the country who have lost their jobs for exercising their legal right to strike bear witness to the need for action. Study after study has shown that the permanent replacement of strikers has exploded, and that the use—or threat of use—of permanent replacement is now a routine practice in collective bargaining negotiations. I