

amount of funding from the board or council as determined by the Secretary.

(2) **SCOPE OF REVIEW.**—A review under paragraph (1) shall examine whether any funds collected by the board or council are used to directly or indirectly fund or subsidize an entity or association that engages in influencing legislation or governmental action or policy.

(3) **REPORT.**—The Secretary shall submit a report on the findings of any review under this subsection and make recommendations for any actions that should be taken as a result of the findings to the Committee on Agriculture of the House of Representatives and the Committee on Agriculture, Nutrition, and Forestry of the Senate.

SEC. 7. PERIODIC REFERENDA.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, not less than 4 nor more than 6 years after the date of enactment of this Act or the date on which the Secretary determines the results of the most recent referendum for a promotion program, whichever is earlier, and not less than once every 5 years thereafter, the Secretary shall conduct a referendum to determine whether to approve or terminate the order under the promotion program and whether refunds should be made under the order.

(b) **PROCEDURE.**—The referendum under subsection (a) shall be conducted using the same eligibility and other procedures as the referendum used to approve the original order under the promotion program, except that, notwithstanding any other provision of law, no greater than a simple majority of eligible producers shall be required to approve the making of refunds to producers.

(c) **TERMINATION.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—If the percentage of persons voting to approve the order does not equal or exceed the percentage of persons necessary to approve the continuation of the original order under the promotion program, the Secretary shall terminate the order.

(2) **TIME OF TERMINATION.**—The Secretary shall terminate the order at the end of the marketing year during which the referendum is conducted.

(d) **REFUNDS.**—If the making of refunds is approved in a referendum under subsection (a), the Secretary shall establish a procedure for making the refunds not later than 180 days after the date of the referendum.

(e) **COOPERATIVE ASSOCIATION.**—Notwithstanding subsection (b), a cooperative association may not vote on behalf of the members of the association in a referendum conducted under this section.

(f) **INACTIVE PROMOTION PROGRAMS.**—The Secretary shall not conduct a referendum of a promotion program under this section if the Secretary determines that the promotion program is not active.

NATIONAL FARMERS UNION,

November 7, 1995.

Re legislation to regulate producer assessments for promotion funding.

Hon. RUSS FEINGOLD,
U.S. Senator,
Washington, DC.

DEAR SENATOR FEINGOLD: On behalf of the nearly 300,000 farm families of the National Farmers Union, I write to express our strong support of the Agricultural Promotion Accountability Act of 1995. Many of our members pay multiple mandatory assessments for promotion funding, amounting to thousands of dollars per year, per producer. Our 1995 national policy statement calls for legislative safeguards to insure the use of promotion funds is controlled by the producers who pay the assessments, and that dollars are used to enhance producer profitability. Your proposed legislation will help address several items of concern.

(1) It is essential that mandatory assessments are not used for lobbying. Although

lobbying is prohibited under current law, your bill makes the prohibition meaningful by clearly defining the prohibited activities.

(2) It is essential that producers control how their dollars are spent. Your legislation ensures that decisions are made by independent, accountable boards. Your legislation also helps ensure that all producers have a voice, not just those who belong to a specific trade association. Your legislation further promotes producer control by prohibiting bloc voting.

(3) It is essential that an independent review of funding be conducted annually. We support naming the Inspector General of USDA to conduct this review.

(4) It is essential that periodic referenda are held to provide producers the opportunity to review whether the promotion program is worth continuing. Your legislation achieves this by specifying a referendum every five years, including a referendum on refunds.

(5) It is essential that assessments are used for activities to enhance producer price. The proposed legislation meets this goal by prohibiting use of funding for influencing regulatory bodies, and other purposes not specifically linked to product promotion.

Thank you for your work on behalf of family farmers. Promotion assessments affect nearly every farmer and the topic always produces much debate whenever discussed by producers. Your legislation is a positive step in addressing many concerns. We look forward to working with you to pass this bill.

Sincerely,

LELAND SWENSON,
President. •

ADDITIONAL COSPONSORS

S. 295

At the request of Mrs. KASSEBAUM, the name of the Senator from Kentucky [Mr. McCONNELL] was added as a cosponsor of S. 295, a bill to permit labor management cooperative efforts that improve America's economic competitiveness to continue to thrive, and for other purposes.

S. 968

At the request of Mr. McCONNELL, the name of the Senator from Tennessee [Mr. FRIST] was added as a cosponsor of S. 968, a bill to require the Secretary of the Interior to prohibit the import, export, sale, purchase, and possession of bear viscera or products that contain or claim to contain bear viscera, and for other purposes.

S. 978

At the request of Mrs. HUTCHISON, the names of the Senator from Montana [Mr. BURNS] and the Senator from Rhode Island [Mr. CHAFEE] were added as cosponsors of S. 978, a bill to facilitate contributions to charitable organizations by codifying certain exemptions from the Federal securities laws, to clarify the inapplicability of anti-trust laws to charitable gift annuities, and for other purposes.

S. 984

At the request of Mr. GRASSLEY, the name of the Senator from Virginia [Mr. WARNER] was added as a cosponsor of S. 984, a bill to protect the fundamental right of a parent to direct the upbringing of a child, and for other purposes.

S. 1058

At the request of Mr. WELLSTONE, the names of the Senator from Illinois [Mr. SIMON] and the Senator from Michigan

[Mr. LEVIN] were added as cosponsors of S. 1058, a bill to provide a comprehensive program of support for victims of torture.

S. 1178

At the request of Mr. CHAFEE, the name of the Senator from Iowa [Mr. HARKIN] was added as a cosponsor of S. 1178, a bill to amend title XVIII of the Social Security Act to provide for coverage of colorectal screening under part B of the Medicare Program.

S. 1335

At the request of Mr. McCONNELL, the name of the Senator from Arkansas [Mr. BUMPER] was added as a cosponsor of S. 1335, a bill to provide for the protection of the flag of the United States and free speech, and for other purposes.

S. 1432

At the request of Mr. MCCAIN, the name of the Senator from Delaware [Mr. BIDEN] was added as a cosponsor of S. 1432, a bill to amend title II of the Social Security Act to provide for increases in the amounts of allowable earnings under the Social Security earnings limit for individuals who have attained retirement age, and for other purposes.

SENATE RESOLUTION 197—TO CONGRATULATE THE NORTHWESTERN UNIVERSITY WILDCATS

Mr. SIMON (for himself and Ms. MOSELEY-BRAUN) submitted the following resolution; which was considered and agreed to:

S. RES. 197

Whereas the Northwestern University Wildcats are the 1995 Big Ten Conference football champions and have been invited to participate in the Rose Bowl on January 1, 1996, in Pasadena, California;

Whereas the winning of the 1995 Big Ten Conference football championship by the Wildcats completes an unprecedented 1-year turnaround of the Northwestern University football program; and

Whereas Northwestern University is committed to athletic competitiveness without diminution of scholastic standards: Now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Senate—

(1) congratulates Northwestern University and its athletes, coaches, faculty, students, administration, and alumni on the winning of the 1995 Big Ten Conference football championship by the Wildcats and on the receipt by the Wildcats of an invitation to compete in the 1996 Rose Bowl; and

(2) recognizes and commends Northwestern University for its pursuit of athletic as well as academic excellence.

AMENDMENTS SUBMITTED

THE PARTIAL-BIRTH ABORTION BAN ACT OF 1995

SMITH AMENDMENT NO. 3080

Mr. SMITH proposed an amendment to the bill (H.R. 1833) to amend title 18,

United States Code, to ban partial-birth abortions; as follows:

On page 2, at the end of line 9, insert the following: "This paragraph does not apply to a partial-birth abortion that is necessary to save the life of a mother whose life is endangered by a physical disorder, illness, or injury, provided that no other medical procedure would suffice for that purpose."

DOLE AMENDMENT NO. 3081

Mr. DOLE proposed an amendment to amendment No. 3080 proposed by Mr. SMITH to the bill, H.R. 1833, *supra*; as follows:

In the pending amendment, strike all after the word "This" and insert in lieu thereof the following: "paragraph shall not apply to a partial-birth abortion that is necessary to save the life of a mother whose life is endangered by a physical disorder, illness, or injury, provided that no other medical procedure would suffice for that purpose."

This paragraph shall become effective one day after enactment.

PRYOR (AND OTHERS) AMENDMENT NO. 3082

Mr. PRYOR (for himself, Mr. CHAFEE, and Mr. BROWN) proposed an amendment to the bill, H.R. 1833, *supra*; as follows:

At the appropriate place, insert the following new section:

SEC. . APPROVAL AND MARKETING OF PRESCRIPTION DRUGS.

(a) APPROVAL OF APPLICATIONS OF GENERIC DRUGS.—For purposes of acceptance and consideration by the Secretary of an application under subsections (b), (c), and (j) of section 505, and subsections (b), (c), and (n) of section 512, of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (21 U.S.C. 355 (b), (c), and (j), and 360b (b), (c), and (n)), the expiration date of a patent that is the subject of a certification under section 505(b)(2)(A) (ii), (iii), or (iv), section 505(j)(2)(A)(vii) (II), (III), or (IV), or section 512(n)(1)(H) (ii), (iii), or (iv) of such Act, respectively, made in an application submitted prior to June 8, 1995, or in an application submitted on or after that date in which the applicant certifies that substantial investment was made prior to June 8, 1995, shall be deemed to be the date on which such patent would have expired under the law in effect on the day preceding December 8, 1994.

(b) MARKETING GENERIC DRUGS.—The remedies of section 271(e)(4) of title 35, United States Code, shall not apply to acts—

(1) that were commenced, or for which a substantial investment was made, prior to June 8, 1995; and

(2) that became infringing by reason of section 154(c)(1) of such title, as amended by section 532 of the Uruguay Round Agreements Act (Public Law 103-465; 108 Stat. 4983).

(c) EQUITABLE REMUNERATION.—For acts described in subsection (b), equitable remuneration of the type described in section 154(c)(3) of title 35, United States Code, as amended by section 532 of the Uruguay Round Agreements Act (Public Law 103-465; 108 Stat. 4983) shall be awarded to a patentee only if there has been—

(1) the commercial manufacture, use, offer to sell, or sale, within the United States of an approved drug that is the subject of an application described in subsection (a); or

(2) the importation by the applicant into the United States of an approved drug or of active ingredient used in an approved drug that is the subject of an application described in subsection (a).

(c) APPLICABILITY.—The provisions of this section shall govern—

(1) the approval or the effective date of approval of applications under section 505(b)(2), 505(j), 507, or 512(n), of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (21 U.S.C. 355 (b)(2) and (j), 357, and 360b(n)) submitted on or after the date of enactment of this Act; and

(2) the approval or effective date of approval of all pending applications that have not received final approval as of the date of enactment of this Act.

BOXER AMENDMENT NO. 3083

Mrs. BOXER proposed an amendment to amendment No. 3083 proposed by Mr. PRYOR to the bill, H.R. 1833, *supra*; as follows:

At the end of the amendment, add the following new sentence: "The prohibition in section 1531(a) of title 18, United States Code, shall not apply to any abortion performed prior to the viability of the fetus, or after viability where, in the medical judgment of the attending physician, the abortion is necessary to preserve the life of the woman or avert serious adverse health consequences to the woman."

AUTHORITY FOR COMMITTEES TO MEET

COMMITTEE ON FINANCE

Mr. BENNETT. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the Committee on Finance be permitted to meet Tuesday, December 5, 1995, beginning at 10 a.m. in room SD-215, to conduct a hearing on the Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development [OECD] Shipbuilding Subsidies Agreement.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL AFFAIRS

Mr. BENNETT. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent on behalf of the Governmental Affairs Committee to meet on Tuesday, December 5, at 9:30 a.m. for a hearing on S. 88, Local Empowerment and Flexibility Act of 1995.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

SUBCOMMITTEE ON THE ADMINISTRATIVE OVERSIGHT AND THE COURTS

Mr. BENNETT. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the Subcommittee on the Administrative Oversight and the Courts of the Committee on the Judiciary, be authorized to meet during the session of the Senate on Tuesday, December 5, 1995, at 10 a.m., in the Senate Dirksen Building, room 226, to hold a hearing on S. 984, the Parental Rights and Responsibilities Act.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

ADDITIONAL STATEMENTS

GLAXO WELLCOME

• Mr. FAIRCLOTH. Mr. President, I want to applaud a dramatic new commitment by Glaxo Wellcome, a North Carolina-based pioneer pharmaceutical research company whose contributions

to medicine and biotechnology have helped to make the American health care industry the most innovative and productive in the world.

Glaxo Wellcome has just received approval from the Food and Drug Administration for its latest drug, Epivir, an aggressive new treatment for AIDS. Epivir received FDA approval in less than 5 months, but the advent of this new treatment is the result of years of hard work and millions of dollars invested by Glaxo Wellcome.

The firm also announced that it has set itself the goal of bringing an unprecedented three new medicines to market each year by the beginning of the next century. This is an enormous endeavor. It will require threefold increase in Glaxo Wellcome's research and development productivity.

The merger of Glaxo and Burroughs Wellcome produced an enormous portfolio of research and development projects. To ensure the most efficient integration of the two firms, the entire portfolio was reviewed according to rigorous standards. The resulting R&D portfolio now includes 50 major research projects and 93 development projects. These projects run the gamut from cardiovascular disease and cancer to the neurosciences. Significant resources are being committed to projects involving the respiratory system: anti-viral infection; the central nervous system and other areas. Together, Glaxo Wellcome's total R&D spending for 1996 will exceed \$1.9 billion.

That's good news for the millions of Americans who suffer from life-threatening diseases for which there is currently no known treatment. Good news also for their families, their employers, and their neighbors. This massive investment in the future of American health care is good news for all of us.

Pioneering the next "miracle drug" is not easy. It costs, on average, 12 years and \$350 million to develop just one new pharmaceutical. Only one in 5,000 compounds tested in a laboratory ever finds its way onto pharmacy shelves. And only a third of those ever earns full return on the vast investment of time, money, and thought made to discover it.

Because of the costly pioneering research of pharmaceutical companies like Glaxo Wellcome, American consumers have access to the next generation of pharmaceuticals and state-of-the-art medical treatments. Taxpayers also benefit because of the savings to be realized in future health care costs. Pioneers like Glaxo Wellcome hold our best hope for the discovery of breakthrough medicines in the future. I salute Glaxo Wellcome for deepening its commitment to the future of American medicine. •

THE NATIONAL HIGHWAY SYSTEM DESIGNATION ACT OF 1995

• Mr. JOHNSTON. Mr. President, on November 28, 1995, President Clinton