□ 1408

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. BUNNING of Kentucky). On this rollcall, 403 Members have recorded their presence by electronic device, a quorum.

Under the rule, further proceedings under the call are dispensed with.

## PERSONAL EXPLANATION

Mr. GILMAN. Mr. Speaker, I regret that my being involved in an event at the White House prevented me from voting on rollcall No. 698, a quorum call. Had I been able to vote I would have voted "present."

CONFERENCE REPORT ON H.R. 2126, DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE AP-PROPRIATIONS ACT, 1966

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman from Florida [Mr. YOUNG] has 5

minutes remaining.
Mr. YOUNG of Florida. Mr. Speaker,
I yield the balance of my time to the
gentleman from Louisiana [Mr. LIVINGSTON], the distinguished chairman of
the Committee on Appropriations.

(Mr. LIVINGSTON asked and was given permission to revise and extend

his remarks.)

Mr. LIVINGSTON. Mr. Speaker, I would like to inquire of the gentleman from Pennsylvania, if this bill goes down, what does he think the next one is going to look like?

Mr. MURTHA. Mr. Speaker will the gentleman yield?

Mr. LIVINGSTON. I yield to the gentleman from Pennsylvania.

Mr. MURTHA. Mr. Speaker, the problem, as I see it, is, we had over 2000 suggestions and recommendations to the bill. Obviously, we had to make a judgment on each of those recommendations as we went through the bill. Certainly, it would be a problem because as it gets involved in negotiations, there will be less of everything available. So there is no question in my mind, that there will be some substantial changes in the bill.

Mr. LIVINGSTON. Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentleman. There was some clapping when the gentleman said that. Some Members believe that what the gentleman from Pennsylvania said is a good thing. As a matter of fact, the gentleman from Wisconsin [Mr. OBEY] spoke against the bill. He thinks that there is too much spending. The gentleman, various other folks on the other side of the aisle and on this side of the aisle have spoken against the bill for various reasons.

We got a letter here from Alice Rivlin, dated today, saying the President of the United States is going to veto this bill because it is too much spending. I know that that represents a large sentiment in the minority, the minority.

My colleagues, I address these comments to my friends on this side, we are the majority. We have been elected to set the agenda. One of the planks in the Contract With America was to provide for a strong national defense.

Now, there are those among us who came to Congress with one issue or two issues in mind that had nothing whatsoever to do with the strong national defense. And I agree with them on those issues. Some want to balance the budget. Some believe that the protection of innocent life is the most important thing in this world. I agree with them. I have got a 100 percent pro-life record. But I also think that we as elected Members of the House of Representatives have the responsibility to represent our mutual constituents. We have the responsibility of representing every live: man, woman and child in our districts, every man, woman and child in America. Under the Constitution of the United States, one of our primary, if not our primary, responsibilities is to provide for an adequate defense for this Nation.

The House Committee on Appropriations and the Senate Committee on Appropriations have met in conference and we have produced a conference report in bipartisan fashion which provides for not only an adequate defense but for a better defense than the President of the United States was prepared to provide if his numbers had governed.

Last year in the rose garden in front of the White House, the President of the United States, surrounded by people with medals of all sorts, his Joint Chiefs of Staff, said his plan to reduce the military, the pentagon, had gone so far that he was \$25 billion short, short in his plans to protect the sanctity of the United States to provide for the national defense. And, therefore, he was going to recommend that we spend \$25 billion more.

Guess what? The check never arrived. It never came. In his budget proposal in February, he provided for spending on defense of \$7 billion less than last year, \$7 billion less than last year.

This conference committee, in conjunction with the Senate, said, no, Mr. President. We are going to hold you to your promise. We are going to provide exactly, not more, not less, but exactly what we provided last year. We are going to stem the flow. We realize that defense has been the scapegoat for every domestic program on earth for 11 straight years, that for the last 11 years procurement has gone down by almost 75 percent, that in real terms, spending on defense has gone down by nearly 30 percent, and that it is time to stand up for the young men and women in uniform in this country and provide the basic services, the basic maintenance, the basic operations, the basic training that they need to do their job.

□ 1415

Now the President of the United States, the President of the United States, may well come to us in a few weeks and say he wants to send 25,000, or any number, of troops to Bosnia, and some of my colleagues want to put a preemption in there and say, "No, Mr. President, you can't do that." I suggest to my colleagues that we can do that,

that he must come to Congress, that he cannot ignore us, but to take the unheard-of-step, unconstitutional step, of binding him before he has taken that action, is to play in the hands of the foolish of the world who believe that it is in the best interest of the pacifists of the world to simply bind the President in future events. How in the world can we really seriously say that no matter what happens in this world, no matter how much more peaceful in this world the President can make Europe by helping Bosnia, that we are going to cut it off today without knowing what is going to happen tomorrow and that under no circumstances can we put 10 troops in Bosnia, let alone 25,000?

Let us cross that bridge when we come to it. Let us not unconstitutionally bind the President of the United States. Let us pass a good defense bill, even with last year. Let us not get hung up on pro-life issues that are important to all of us who are pro-life, but let us not forget that our first responsibility is to provide for an adequate national defense for every man, woman, and child in America today.

This is a good bill. Pass it.

Mr. WATTS of Oklahoma. Mr. Speaker, I stand before this House and offer a pledge of allegiance. However, unlike the pledge we take each morning, this pledge of allegiance is to those who are not yet born.

Simply said, I pledge allegiance to the right to life.

My belief in the right to life is not debatable, it is not contestable, it is not even open to discussion. It is an issue that simply offers no compromise and yet, today we face a dilemma.

That dilemma surrounds our vote on the 1996 Department of Defense Appropriations Act conference report. That report contains a provision that prohibits funds from being made available to perform abortions at DOD medical facilities only if specifically authorized in the National Defense Authorization Act. The Appropriations Committee has now placed a burden of responsibility squarely on the shoulders of those on the authorization committee.

Well, I accept that responsibility. And as I cast my vote for the appropriations conference report, I clearly understand that I must work hard to make certain the 1996 DOD authorization language directs that those facilities will not be used for abortions. At the same time, a vote for the appropriations conference report is a vote of support for our national defense and the needs of our Nation's military.

The correct forum to fight the battle against performing abortions in DOD facilities is in the authorization conference committee. As such, I encourage my colleagues to support the appropriations conference report.

Vote today for the conference report but I implore each and everyone in this chamber to support the design of language that prohibits this unacceptable procedure in our 1996 Defense Authorization Act.

Ms. PELOSI. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to oppose the conference report accompanying H.R. 2126, the Defense appropriations bill for fiscal year 1996. My colleagues, this conference agreement appropriates a total of \$243.3 billion for defense programs—\$6.9 billion more than the administration's request

Shays

Skaggs

and \$1.7 billion more than was appropriated in fiscal year 1995.

When combined with the monies appropriated under the defense-related provisions in the energy and water appropriations bill and those provided by the military construction appropriations bill, the total amount appropriated by the House of Representatives during fiscal year 1996 for Defense programs will be \$264.6 billion.

Mr. Speaker, I support a level of defense spending adequate to meet our legitimate national security needs. However, when we spend billions of dollars on elaborate new weapons systems, millions of Americans go without health care insurance, decent housing, and an opportunity to seek a higher education.

During the last several months, we have seen funding levels slashed for environmental and health protections, student loans, school lunches, Medicare, and numerous other governmental programs which make up the social welfare safety net. Increasing the funding levels for the Department of Defense while inflicting painful cuts on every other item in the Federal budget is both inequitable and harmful to our overall strength as a Nation.

Mr. Speaker, I urge my colleagues to join me in opposing this conference agreement. This conference agreement offers only a grand illusion of greater national security. Vote "no" on the conference report.

Mr. HOBSON. Mr. Speaker, I rise in strong support of the fiscal year 1996 Defense appropriations conference report.

This agreement provides \$243 billion for the Department of Defense including \$69 billion for military personnel, \$81.5 billion for operation and maintenance, and \$44.4 billion for procurement. Total funding is \$746 million less than the House-passed bill and \$1.7 billion more than enacted in fiscal year 1995.

As the No. 2 member of the Budget Committee, I can confirm that the Defense appropriations conference report is in line with the balanced budget priorities we established in the budget resolution. There should be no fiscal objection to this conference agreement. It is one which everybody can support.

As a member of the conference committee, I can attest that the House conferees stood up for the priorities established in the House bill, especially the military readiness and quality of life improvements which our servicemen and women deserve. Readiness is funded at \$647 million more than the President's request and quality of life improvements are funded at \$332 million more than the President's request. These increases are responsible and needed to cover our Nation's legitimate military requirements.

This is a conference report which protects the troops who protect us. It has my wholehearted support and should have the support of all my colleagues as well.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. BUNNING). All time has expired.

Without objection, the previous question is ordered on the conference re-

Mr. YOUNG of Florida, Mr. Speaker. reserving the right to object, I want to point out that when the gentleman from Wisconsin [Mr. OBEY] makes the motion to recommit with instructions, that we intend to defeat it.

Mr. Speaker, I withdraw my reservation of objection.

The previous question was ordered. MOTION TO RECOMMIT OFFERED BY MR. OBEY

Mr. OBEY. Mr. Speaker, I offer a motion to recommit.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is the gentleman opposed to the conference report?

Mr. OBEY. I am, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Clerk will report the motion to recom-

The Clerk read as follows:

Mr. OBEY moves to recommit the conference report to accompany the bill H.R. 2126 to the Committee of Conference with instructions to include in the conference report the following modification to Section 8108 of the House bill:

None of the funds available to the Department of Defense under this Act shall be obligated or expended to pay a contractor under contract with the Department of Defense for costs of any amount paid by the contractor to an executive or managerial employee when it is made known to the Federal official having authority to obligate or expend such funds that-

(1) such costs are for a bonus or otherwise in excess of the normal salary paid by the contractor to the employee such as payments under post retirement income plans, payments of deferred compensation, payments under performance incentive compensation plans, and payments pursuant to termination benefit agreements; and

(2) such costs are part of restructuring costs associated with a business combination resulting from a change in control of the employee's company.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Without objection, the previous question is ordered on the motion to recommit.

There was no objection.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion to recommit.

The question was taken; and the Speaker pro tempore announced that the noes appeared to have it.

RECORDED VOTE

Mr. OBEY. Mr. Speaker, I demand a recorded vote.

A recorded vote was ordered.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—ayes 176, noes 240, answered "present" 1, not voting 17, as follows:

## [Roll No. 699] AYES-176

Abercrombie	Condit	Frank (MA)
Ackerman	Conyers	Franks (NJ)
Allard	Costello	Furse
Baesler	Coyne	Gejdenson
Baldacci	Danner	Gephardt
Barcia	de la Garza	Geren
Barrett (WI)	DeFazio	Gonzalez
Becerra	DeLauro	Gordon
Beilenson	Dellums	Green
Bentsen	Dingell	Gutierrez
Berman	Dixon	Hall (OH)
Bevill	Doggett	Hall (TX)
Bilirakis	Doyle	Hastings (FL)
Bonior	Duncan	Hefley
Borski	Durbin	Hefner
Boucher	Edwards	Hilliard
Browder	Ehrlich	Hinchey
Brown (OH)	Engel	Holden
Bryant (TX)	Eshoo	Jackson-Lee
Cardin	Evans	Jacobs
Chapman	Farr	Johnson (SD)
Clay	Fattah	Johnston
Clayton	Fazio	Kanjorski
Clement	Filner	Kaptur
Clyburn	Flake	Kennedy (MA)
Coleman	Foglietta	Kennedy (RI)
Collins (MI)	Ford	Kennelly

Kleczka LaFalce Lantos Lazio Leach Levin Lewis (GA) Lipinski LoBiondo Lofgren Lowey Luther Maloney Manton Markey Martinez Martini Mascara Matsui McCarthy McDermott McInnis McKinney Meehan Menendez Mfume Miller (CA) Minge Mink Moakley

Barr

Rass

Cox

Deal

Emerson

Kolbe

Nadler Neal Oberstar Obey Olver Ortiz Orton Owens Pallone Pastor Payne (NJ) Payne (VA) Pelosi Peterson (MN) Petri Pomeroy Poshard Rahall Rangel Reed Richardson Rivers Roukema Roybal-Allard Rush Sabo Sanders Sawyer Schroeder Schumer Scott Serrano

Slaughter Spratt Stark Stenholm Stokes Studds Stupak Tanner Taylor (MS) Thornton Thurman Torres Torricelli Towns Velazquez Vento Visclosky Volkmer Ward Waters Watt (NC) Waxman Williams Wise Woolsey Wyden Wynn Yates Zimmer

### NOES-240

Andrews English Archer Ensign Everett Armey Ewing Fawell Bachus Baker (CA) Baker (LA) Fields (TX) Ballenger Flanagan Foley Barrett (NE) Forbes Bartlett Fowler Barton Fox Franks (CT) Bateman Frelinghuysen Bereuter Frisa Bilbray Funderburk Bishon Gallegly Ganske Blute Gekas Boehlert Gibbons Gilchrest Bonilla Gillmor Bono Gilman Goodlatte Brewster Brown (FL) Goodling Brownback Goss Bryant (TN) Graham Greenwood Bunn Gunderson Bunning Gutknecht Burton Hamilton Hancock Buyer Calvert Hansen Camp Harman Canady Hastert Hastings (WA) Castle Chabot Haves Hayworth Chambliss Chenoweth Heineman Christensen Herger Chrysler Hilleary Clinger Hobson Coble Hoekstra Coburn Hoke Collins (GA) Horn Combest Hostettler Cooley Houghton Hunter Cramer Hutchinson Crane Hyde Crapo Inglis Istook Cremeans Cubin Jefferson Cunningham Johnson (CT) Davis Johnson, E. B. Johnson, Sam Diaz-Balart .Jones Kasich Dickey Dicks Kelly Dooley Kim Doolittle King Dornan Kingston Klink Dreier Dunn Klug Ehlers Knollenberg

LaHood Largent Latham LaTourette Laughlin Lewis (CA) Lewis (KY) Lightfoot Linder Livingston Longley Lucas Manzullo McCollum McCrery McDade McHale McIntosh McKeon McNulty Meek Metcalf Meyers Mica Miller (FL) Molinari Mollohan Montgomery Moorhead Moran Morella Murtha Mvers Myrick Nethercutt Neumann Nev Norwood Nussle Oxley Packard Parker Paxon Peterson (FL) Pickett Pombo Portman Pryce Radanovich Ramstad Regula Riggs Roberts Roemer Rohrabacher Ros-Lehtinen Rose Roth Royce Salmon Sanford

Saxton

Schaefer

Scarborough

Schiff	Spence	Waldholtz
Seastrand	Stearns	Walsh
Sensenbrenner	Stockman	Wamp
Shadegg	Stump	Watts (OK)
Shaw	Talent	Weldon (FL)
Shuster	Tate	Weldon (PA)
Sisisky	Tauzin	Weller
Skeen	Taylor (NC)	White
Skelton	Thomas	Whitfield
Smith (MI)	Thornberry	Wicker
Smith (NJ)	Tiahrt	Wilson
Smith (TX)	Torkildsen	Wolf
Smith (WA)	Traficant	Young (AK)
Solomon	Upton	Young (FL)
Souder	Vucanovich	Zeliff

## ANSWERED "PRESENT"-1

#### Mineta

## NOT VOTING-17

Brown (CA) Frost Rogers Callahan Teieda Hover Collins (IL) McHugh Thompson DeLav Porter Tucker Deutsch Quillen Walker Fields (LA) Reynolds

#### □ 1438

The Clerk announced the following pair:

On this vote:

Mr. Deutsch for, with Mr. Porter against.

Mr. GEJDENSON and Mr. HEFNER changed their vote from "no" to "aye." So the motion to recommit was re-

jected. The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. BUNNING of Kentucky). The question is on the conference report.

Pursuant to clause 7 of rule XV, the yeas and nays are ordered.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 151, nays 267, answered "present" 1, not voting 15, as follows:

# [Doll No. 700]

	[Roll No. 700]	
	YEAS-151	
Abercrombie	Fawell	Kennelly
Archer	Fazio	Kim
Armey	Fields (TX)	Klink
Bachus	Foley	Knollenberg
Baesler	Fowler	Kolbe
Baker (LA)	Franks (CT)	Lazio
Ballenger	Frelinghuysen	Leach
Barr	Gallegly	Lewis (CA)
Bateman	Gejdenson	Livingston
Bilbray	Gekas	Longley
Bishop	Geren	Lucas
Blute	Gibbons	Matsui
Boehlert	Gilchrest	McCollum
Boehner	Gillmor	McCrery
Bonilla	Gilman	McDade
Bono	Gonzalez	McHale
Boucher	Goodling	McKeon
Brewster	Goss	McNulty
Brown (FL)	Greenwood	Meyers
Callahan	Gunderson	Miller (FL)
Calvert	Hansen	Moakley
Castle	Harman	Molinari
Chambliss	Hastert	Mollohan
Clinger	Hastings (FL)	Montgomery
Clyburn	Hastings (WA)	Moran
Coleman	Hefner	Murtha
Cox	Hobson	Neal
Cramer	Horn	Nethercutt
Davis	Houghton	Oxley
DeLauro	Hoyer	Packard
DeLay	Hunter	Paxon
Dicks	Istook	Peterson (FL)
Dixon	Jacobs	Pickett
Dooley	Jefferson	Pryce
Dreier	Johnson (CT)	Quinn
Dunn	Johnson, E. B.	Radanovich
Edwards	Johnson, Sam	Reed
Ehrlich	Kasich	Regula
Everett	Kelly	Rohrabacher
Farr	Kennedy (RI)	Rose

Salmon Saxton Scarborough Schiff Scott Shaw Shuster Sisisky Skeen Skelton Smith (MI)

Ackerman

Baker (CA)

Barrett (NE)

Barrett (WI)

Allard

Andrews

Baldacci

Barcia

Bartlett

Barton

Becerra

Bentsen

Bereuter

Berman Bevill

Bilirakis

Bliley

Bonior

Borski

Bunn

Burr

Burton

Buyer

Camp Canady

Cardin

Chabot

Chapman

Chrysler

Clayton

Clement

Coble

Coburn

Combest

Condit

Convers Cooley

Costello

Coyne

Crane

Crapo

Cubin

Danner

DeFazio

Dellums

Dickey Dingell

Doggett

Doolittle

Dornan

Duncan

Durbin

Ehlers

Engel

Emerson

English

Ensign

Eshoo

Evans

Ewing Fattah

Filner

Flake

Flanagan

Foglietta

Forbes

Ford

Myers Myrick

Wicker Williams

Doyle

Deal

Cremeans

Cunningham

de la Garza

Diaz-Balart

Collins (GA)

Collins (MI)

Clay

Chenoweth

Christensen

Bunning

Browder

Brown (OH)

Brownback

Bryant (TN)

Bryant (TX)

Beilenson

Bass

Smith (TX) Visclosky Waldholtz Solomon Spence Walsh Stenholm Ward Stump Watts (OK) Tanner Weldon (PA) Thomas White Thornberry Wilson Thurman Young (FL) Torkildsen Traficant

#### NAYS-267

Nadler Frank (MA) Neumann Franks (NJ) Ney Frisa Funderburk Norwood Nussle Oberstar Furse Ganske Obey Gephardt Olver Goodlatte Ortiz Gordon Orton Graham Owens Pallone Green Gutierrez Parker Gutknecht Pastor Payne (NJ) Hall (OH) Payne (VA) Pelosi Hall (TX) Hamilton Hancock Peterson (MN) Hayes Hayworth Petri Pombo Hefley Pomeroy Heineman Portman Poshard Herger Hilleary Rahall Hilliard Ramstad Hinchey Rangel Hoekstra Richardson Riggs Rivers Hoke Holden Hostettler Roberts Hutchinson Roemer Hyde Rogers Inglis Ros-Lehtinen Jackson-Lee Roth Johnson (SD) Roukema Johnston Roybal-Allard Jones Royce Kanjorski Rush Kaptur Sabo Kennedy (MA) Sanders Sanford Kildee King Sawyer Schaefer Kingston Kleczka Schroeder Klug LaHood Schumer Seastrand Sensenbrenner Lantos Largent Serrano Latham Shadegg LaTourette Shays Laughlin Skaggs Slaughter Levin Lewis (GA) Smith (NJ) Lewis (KY) Smith (WA) Lightfoot Souder Lincoln Spratt Linder Stark Lipinski Stearns LoBiondo Stockman Lofgren Stokes Lowey Studds Luther Stupak Maloney Talent Manton Tate Manzullo Tauzin Markey Taylor (MS) Martinez Taylor (NC) Martini Thornton Tiahrt Mascara McCarthy Torres Torricelli McDermott McInnis Towns McIntosh Upton McKinney Velazquez Meehan Vento Menendez Volkmer Vucanovich Metcalf Mfume Wamp Mica Miller (CA) Waters Watt (NC) Minge Waxman Weldon (FL) Mink Weller Moorhead Morella Whitfield

Wyden Wolf Wynn Woolsey

Young (AK) Zeliff Zimmer

## ANSWERED "PRESENT"-1

#### Mineta

## NOT VOTING-15

LaFalce Brown (CA) Revnolds Collins (IL) McHugh Tejeda Deutsch Meek Thompson Fields (LA) Tucker Porter Frost Walker

#### □ 1457

The Clerk announced the following

On this vote:

Mr. Porter for, with Mr. Deutsch against.

Messrs. LUTHER, COMBEST, and NEY, Mrs. CUBIN, Mr. WHITFIELD, and Mr. SPRATT changed their vote

from "yea" to "nay."
Mr. FARR and Mr. STENHOLM changed their vote from 'yea.'

So the conference report was rejected.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

#### PERSONAL EXPLANATION

Mr. DEUTSCH. Mr. Speaker, I would like to make a statement for the RECORD that I missed rollcall vote No. 699 and No. 700 in order to be home to fulfill religious and personal obligations. Had I been present, I would have voted "aye" to recommit with instructions and "nay" against the conference report on H.R. 2126, Defense appropriation for fiscal year 1996. I wish this statement to be placed in the RECORD immediately following the recorded vote.

## LEGISLATIVE PROGRAM

(Mr. ARMEY asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Without objection, the gentleman from Texas is recognized for 1 minute.

There was no objection.

Mr. ARMEY. Mr. Speaker, I wish to update the Members on today's working schedule.

Mr. Speaker, we have been in contact with the other body. They are taking an assessment at this time to determine the progress they may be making relative to the CR. I will go over and try to make sure that I can get some defining language and report back to the Members. At this point, though, I still cannot advise the Members about the circumstance of the CR in the other body, and we have nothing definitive to report.

As soon as we know something definite, we will advise the floor and advise the Members through a whip call. As soon as I can have that information, I will share it with the Members.

As it is now, we simply must continue to wait on the other body and try to do what work we can in the meantime.