The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. Hefley). The question is on the resolution.

The question was taken; and the Speaker pro tempore announced that the ayes appear to have it.

Mr. BEILENSON. Mr. Speaker, I object to the vote on the ground that a quorum is not present and make the point of order a quorum is not present.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 5 of the rule I, the Chair postpones further proceedings on this resolution until after the vote on House Resolution 232.

The point of no quorum is considered as having been withdrawn.

WAIVING POINTS OF ORDER AGAINST CONFERENCE REPORT ON H.R. 2126, DEPARMENT, OF DEFENSE APPROPRIATIONS ACT, 1996

Mr. GOSS. Mr. Speaker, by direction of the Committee on Rules, I call up House Resolution 232 and ask for its immediate consideration.

The Clerk read the resolution, as follows:

H. RES. 232

Resolved, That upon adoption of this resolution it shall be in order to consider the conference report to accompany the bill (H.R. 2126) making appropriations for the Department of Defense for the fiscal year ending September 30, 1996, and for other purposes. All points of order against the conference report and against its consideration are waived.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman from Florida [Mr. GOSS] is recognized for 1 hour.

Mr. GOSS. Mr. Speaker, for the purposes of debate only, I yield the customary 30 minutes to the distinguished gentleman from Texas [Mr. Frost], pending which I yield myself such time as I may consume. During consideration of this resolution, all time yield is for the purpose of debate only.

(Mr. GOSS asked and was given permission to include extraneous material in the RECORD.)

Mr. GOSS. Mr. Speaker, this is a very simple, very fair rule for the consideration of the conference report for H.R. 2126, the Department of Defense appropriation bill. We provide for an hour of debate, and all points of order against the report are waived. It is that simple. As we rapidly approach the end of the 1995 fiscal year, and it becomes clear that we will not be able to have all 13 appropriations bills signed into law by October 1, I am pleased that we are making defense a priority. The Constitution explicitly requires Congress to provide for the national defense, and it is entirely appropriate that we are moving this bill today. Many people, myself included, feel that this administration has allowed our military readiness to decline at an alarming rate. I am concerned that scaling our Armed Forces back too far in the name of peace may actually invite new aggression. Certainly the Soviet threat is

gone, but in the wake of its passing, we are left with multiple problems. Mr. Speaker, the lessons of history serve us well here—allowing our defensive capabilities to be reduced too much could easily be an invitation to aggression against American interests abroad, or even here at home. Since the collapse of the Soviet Union and Warsaw Pact. United States troops have been far from idle-they have been actively involved in a major shooting war in the Gulf, and many hotspots such as Haiti, Somalia, and Bosnia. New threats have emerged, too. Many relatively small countries are gaining access to advanced equipment such as submarines and nuclear weapons. And international terrorism has reared its ugly head here at home. Mr. Speaker, being prepared means meeting our defense needs-from top to bottom. And the little things are important-it does an army no good to have thousands of soldiers, equipped with the latest weapons, if those soldiers do not have boots for their feet. My friend and colleague, BILL YOUNG, chairman of the Defense Appropriations Subcommittee, vividly demonstrated for the Rules Committee all the small needs like boots, laces. and so forth, that were not currently being met by stretching a list of these items from one end of the Rules Committee hearing room to the other. I am pleased that we have made some real headway in correcting these problems in this bill, and I urge adoption of the rule and the conference report.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. FROST. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, I rise in support of this rule which provides for the consideration of the conference report to accompany the fiscal year 1996 Department of Defense appropriation. The subcommittee chairman, Mr. YOUNG, and his able ranking member, Mr. MURTHA, are to be congratulated for negotiating an agreement which should receive strong support both in the House and the Senate.

Mr. Speaker, I am personally pleased that the conference agreement contains \$493 million for the continued production of the B-2 stealth bomber. I am a firm believer that in a troubled and dangerous world, a significant bomber capability is required to ensure our military preparedness and to protect our national interest. The B-2 stealth bomber is an important component in our overall national defense capability and the construction of additional aircraft in addition to the 20 already authorized will ensure the continued capability of our armed services to protect and defend our national interests

I am also gratified that the conference report provides \$159 million for the procurement of six F-16's as well \$2.2 billion for research and development funds for the F-22, the next-generation fighter intended to replace the F-16. The conferees are to be congratu-

lated for providing for both the nearterm and long-term tactical needs of the Air Force. And, while the conferees reduced the funds for research and development for the V-22 Osprey, I am pleased that the conference report does contain \$758 million for this important addition to the Marine Corps arsenal.

Mr. Speaker, this conference report represents a great deal of hard work and hard bargaining and I believe the rule merits the support of the House. I recognize that a number of my colleagues have reservations about the total amount of defense spending contained in the conference report. They will have an opportunity to express that concern by voting against the conference report itself and I urge that they support the rule. I urge my colleagues to support the conference agreement and I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. GOSS. Mr. Speaker, I have no speaker scheduled at this time and I continue to reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. FROST. Mr. Speaker, I yield 5 minutes to the gentleman from Wisconsin [Mr. OBEY], the ranking member of the Committee on Appropriations.

Mr. OBEY. Mr. Speaker, I would again urge defeat of this rule so that this bill could be sent back to conference and we can get serious about deficit reduction. As every Member of this House knows, we are being asked in virtually every domestic arena to make incredibly tough cuts that will squeeze people out of opportunity for a decent education; we are being asked to squeeze people who are on family farms; we are being asked to make savage reductions in environmental protection laws of the country; we are being asked to make huge reductions in Medicare; we are being asked to eliminate the protections that seniors now have so that when one partner goes in a nursing home the other does not have to go bankrupt before they can qualify for Medicaid.

Mr. Speaker, we are being asked to swallow all of that, and yet we are being asked to swallow a defense appropriations bill which does the following: We have a half billion dollars in here as a downpayment for more B-2 bombers than the Pentagon wants to buy. Just the cost of one of those B-2 bombers would pay the tuition for every single undergraduate at the University of Wisconsin for the next 12 years.

We are having a big controversy in our State about whether or not the State should buy a new stadium for the Milwaukee Brewers. Just the cost of one B-2 bomber would pay for four of those stadiums with a dome, and yet we will go ahead and build and buy those new B-2 bombers.

We have a half billion dollars extra in here for star wars that the Secretary of Defense says is unneeded. We have another \$350 million for C-130 aircraft built in Georgia for which the military cannot even identify a military requirement. We have a number of other

items. We have \$2.4 billion for a new fighter to be built in Georgia, the F-22, which the GAO has repeatedly recommended should be put on hold for at least 7 years because we already have hundreds and hundreds of F-15's, the best fighter plane in the world.

And speaking of F-15's, Mr. Speaker, this bill also buys six new ones that the Pentagon did not ask for at a cost of \$300 million. And yet the supporters of this bill pretend that they are going to abide by the budget limits in the Ka-

sich budget resolution.

There is a very well kept secret in the defense portion of this budget. The secret is that the Kasich budget resolution in the 7th year winds up taking the military budget below that of President Clinton. The problem is, if we buy every new weapon system in this bill, we will never be able to live within that budget ceiling imposed by the Kasich budget resolution. And so what this bill represents is the first shot fired in the effort to blow the lid off the budget ceilings in the Kasich budget resolution with respect to military spending in this country over the next 7 years. Mr. Speaker, I would suggest there

are an awful lot of reasons to vote against this bill. The best reason is simply that we cannot seriously uphold the budget limitations in the Kasich budget resolution for the defense portion of the budget if we vote to pass this bill and turn it into law. The White House is absolutely correct to say that this bill is going to be vetoed in its present form. I think the President has no choice if he wants to impose fiscal prudence on all parts of the Federal budget.

Mr. GOSS. Mr. Speaker, I yield such time as he may consume to my colleague, the distinguished gentleman from Florida [Mr. YOUNG], the chairman of the appropriations subcommit-

Mr. YOUNG of Florida, Mr. Speaker. I thank the gentleman for yielding me time, and I take this time just to maybe clear up a misperception that the gentleman from Wisconsin [Mr. OBEY] might have created in his statement.

We are within the budget limits. As a matter of fact, if the gentleman will recall when the bill was on the floor, we were \$2.2 billion below the armed services authorized level. When we went to conference, actually during the conference, we were presented with an additional cut in our 602(b) allocation, so we have been coming down, since the first of the year, from the numbers that we thought we should have. We have been coming down in a very dra-

The gentleman talked about several areas where we could do this or that if we did not build a particular airplane or ship or whatever. Let me make this case. If we were to freeze the level, as he suggested, what that would do is keep us basically at last year's level and provide for the pay raise that we

have promised our men and women who serve in the military. If he wants further cuts, the Defense Department would like to cut the program for breast cancer. They do not want to spend the breast cancer money for the purpose we appropriated. We are going to insist that they spend it.

Mr. Speaker, just in the interest of time, and the Members have other things to do today, I would like to say this. We can stand here with a long list of things that we could do if we did not have a Defense Department or if we did not built a ship or if we did not buy an airplane or if we did not pay the troops an increase in their salaries. But most of those things can actually be done by the State governments through block grant programs with their own funds or by the local governments. But, Mr. Speaker, if there is one thing that State governments cannot do, or one thing that local governments cannot do, that is to provide for the national defense, the national security and the intelligence requirements of the United States of America. The Congress and the President, as Commander in Chief, that is our obligation. And the bill that this rule provides for meets that obligation in a very straightforward way.

Mr. Speaker, this is not a political bill. There are no big pork projects in here. There was a rule that I applied at the subcommittee level that any item in this bill had to have military application, number one, or there had to be a requirement for it. Military application by itself would not do it, there

also had to be a requirement.

Mr. Speaker, this is actually a good bill. This is a good defense bill, and there is no reason why it cannot pass the House and the Senate and be signed by the President, who, incidentally, his press aide today, in a press conference, indicated they had not decided to veto this bill. We have reason to believe that we can persuade the President. who claims to be a strong national defense President, to sign this bill because that is what this bill is.

Mr. FROST. Mr. Speaker, the gentleman from Wisconsin [Mr. OBEY] has requested 1 additional minute in response to some remarks that the previous gentleman just made, and I yield 1 minute to the gentleman from Wisconsin.

Mr. OBEY. Mr. Speaker, the gentleman from Florida [Mr. YOUNG], compulsively mentions the question of military pay every time someone dares to question the total dollar amount in any of these appropriation bills. Let me stipulate I know of not a single person in this House who does not want to see the full military pay increase go through. It will. We have \$243 billion in this bill.

□ 1645

We are suggesting this bill is \$7 billion over where it ought to be. That still leaves \$236 billion in this bill. The first dollars that will go out under that bill, whenever it is signed, will go for pay. There is no action that any Member is going to be taking to eliminate in any way any of the contemplated pay increase for our military personnel, and the gentleman ought to know better than to suggest otherwise.

Mr. GOSS. Mr. Speaker, I do not have any further speakers at this time.

Mr. FROST. Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time and I urge a vote for the rule.

Mr. GOSS. Mr. Speaker, I will only sav that this vote is about the rule. It is a good rule. It is a fair rule. They do not get any simpler or better, when we come to rules.

Mr. Speaker, I urge support for the rule

Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time and I move the previous question on the resolution.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. HEFLEY). The question is on the resolution.

The question was taken; and the Speaker pro tempore announced that the ayes appeared to have it.

Mr. YATES. Mr. Speaker, I object to the vote on the ground that a quorum is not present and make the point of order that a quorum is not present.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Evidently a quorum is not present.

The Sergeant at Arms will notify absent Members.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were-yeas 284, nays 139, not voting 11, as follows:

[Roll No. 694] YEAS-284

Fox

Abercrombie Clayton Allard Clinger Andrews Clyburn Archer Armev Coleman Collins (GA) Bachus Combest Baker (LA) Condit Ballenger Cooley Costello Barr Barrett (NE) Cox Bartlett Cramer Barton Crane Bass Cremeans Bateman Cunningham Davis Bentsen de la Garza Bevill Bilbray Deal Bilirakis DeLauro Bishop DeLav Diaz-Balart Blute Dickey Boehlert Dicks Dixon Bonilla Dooley Bono Doolittle Borski Dornan Boucher Dovle Brewster Dreier Browder Duncan Brown (CA) Dunn Durbin Brown (FL) Bunn Edwards Bunning Ehlers Ehrlich Burr English Burton Buver Ensign Callahan Eshoo Calvert Everett Camp Farr Canady Fawell Cardin Fields (TX) Castle Flanagan Chambliss Foley Christensen Ford Chrysler

Fowler

Franks (CT) Franks (NJ) Frelinghuysen Frisa Frost Gallegly Ganske Geidenson Gekas Geren Gibbons Gilchrest Gillmor Gilman Gonzalez Goodlatte Goodling Gordon Goss Gunderson Gutknecht Hall (OH) Hall (TX) Hamilton Hancock Hansen Harman Hastert Hastings (FL) Hastings (WA) Haves Hefley Hefner Heineman Herger Hobson Hoekstra Hoke Holden Horn Hostettler Houghton Hunter Hvde Johnson (CT)

Johnson (SD)

Roth

Johnson, E. B. Montgomery Johnson, Sam Moorhead Kaptur Moran Kasich Morella Kelly Murtha Kennedy (RI) Myers Kennelly Neal Kim Nethercutt King Neumann Kingston Ney Nussle Klink Oberstar Klug Knollenberg Ortiz Kolbe Oxley Packard Lantos Pallone Largent Latham Parker LaTourette Paxon Payne (VA) Laughlin Peterson (FL) Lazio Leach Petri Lewis (CA) Pickett Lightfoot Pombo Porter Lipinski Livingston Portman LoBiondo Pryce Longley Quillen Lucas Quinn Martini Radanovich Mascara Ramstad Matsui Reed McCollum Regula Richardson McCrery McDade Riggs McHale Roberts McHugh Roemer McInnis Rogers McIntosh Rohrabacher McKeon Ros-Lehtinen McNulty Rose Roth Meek Menendez Roukema Meyers Royce Mica Sawyer

Miller (FL)

Moakley

Molinar

Mollohan

Scott Seastrand Sensenbrenner Shays Shuster Skaggs Skeen Skelton Slaughter Smith (MI) Smith (TX) Solomon Spence Spratt Stearns Stenholm Stockman Stump Talent Tanner Tauzin Taylor (MS) Taylor (NC) Thomas Thornberry Thornton Thurman Traficant Upton Visclosky Vucanovich Waldholtz Walker Walsh Wamp Ward Weldon (PA) Weller

Whitfield

Young (AK)

Young (FL)

Wicker

Wilson

Wolf

Zeliff

Zimmer

Owens

Pastor

Payne (NJ)

NAYS-139

Saxton

Schiff

Norwood

Obey

Olver

Orton

Scarborough

Baker (CA) Hayworth Hilleary Baldacci Barcia Hilliard Barrett (WI) Hinchey Becerra Hutchinson Beilenson Bereuter Inglis Istook Berman Jackson-Lee Bonior Brown (OH) Jacobs Brownback Jefferson Bryant (TN) Johnston Bryant (TX) Jones Chabot Kanjorski Kennedy (MA) Chenoweth Clay Kildee Coburn Kleczka Collins (IL) LaFalce LaHood Collins (MI) Conyers Levin Lewis (GA) Covne Crapo Lewis (KY) Cubin Lincoln Lofgren Danner DeFazio Lowey Dellums Luther Maloney Deutsch Dingell Manton Doggett Manzullo Emerson Markey Martinez Engel Evans McCarthy McDermott Ewing Fattah McKinney Fazio Meehan Fields (LA) Metcalf Mfume Filner Miller (CA) Flake Foglietta Mineta Minge Mink Forbes Frank (MA) Funderburk Myrick Furse Gephardt Nadler

Graham

Gutierrez

Green

Pelosi Peterson (MN) Pomerov Poshard Rahall Rangel Roybal-Allard Rush Sabo Salmon Sanders Sanford Schroeder Schumer Serrano Shadegg Smith (NJ) Smith (WA) Souder Stark Stokes Studds Stupak Tate Thompson Tiahrt Torres Torricelli Towns Velazquez Vento Waters Watt (NC) Watts (OK) Waxman Weldon (FL) White Williams Woolsey Wyden Wynn

Yates

Barr

Bass

Bevill

Bliley

Blute

Bono

Bunn

Burr

NOT VOTING-11 Rivers Tucker Sisisky Volkmer

Chapman Greenwood Tejeda Reynolds Torkildsen

□ 1708

Mr. LEWIS of Kentucky, Mrs. SMITH of Washington, and Messrs. BRYANT of HILLEARY, Tennessee, LUTHER, OWENS, EWING, ISTOOK, FAZIO of California, and ORTON, Ms. PELOSI, Mr. SALMON, Ms. JACKSON-LEE, Mr. BARCIA, and Mr. EMERSON changed their vote from "yea" to "nay.

Mr. ABERCROMBIE, Mrs. ČLAYTON, and Messrs. WAMP, ENSIGN, CHRISTENSEN changed their from "nay" to "yea.

So the resolution was agreed to.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

WAIVING **POINTS ORDER** OF AGAINST CONFERENCE REPORT ON H.R. 1977, DEPARTMENT OF INTERIOR AND RELATED APPROPRIATIONS **AGENCIES** ACT, 1996

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. HEFLEY). The pending business is the question de novo on agreeing to House Resolution 231.

The Clerk read the title of the resolution.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the resolution.

The question was taken; and the Speaker pro tempore announced that the ayes appeared to have it.

RECORDED VOTE

Mr. BEILENSON. Mr. Speaker, I demand a recorded vote.

A recorded vote was ordered.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. This is a 5-minute vote.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were aves 251, noes 171, not voting 12, as follows:

[Roll No. 695]

AYES-251

Allard Buver Diaz-Balart Callahan Archer Dickey Armey Calvert Dicks Doolittle Bachus Camp Canady Baker (CA) Dornan Baker (LA) Castle Doyle Ballenger Chabot Dreier Chambliss Duncan Barrett (NE) Chenoweth Dunn Bartlett Christensen Ehlers Barton Chrysler Ehrlich Clement Emerson Bateman English Clinger Coble Ensign Bilbray Coburn Everett Bilirakis Collins (GA) Ewing Combest Bishop Fields (TX) Condit Cooley Flanagan Boehner Costello Foley Bonilla Cox Ford Cramer Fowler Brewster Crane Fox Franks (CT) Browder Crapo Brownback Cremeans Franks (NJ) Bryant (TN) Cubin Frelinghuysen Cunningham Frisa Funderburk Bunning Davis Deal DeLay Gallegly Ganske Burton

Geren Gilchrest Gillmor Gilman Goodlatte Goodling Gordon Graham Greenwood Gunderson Gutknecht Hall (TX) Hamilton Hancock Hansen Hastert Hastings (WA) Haves Hayworth Hefley Hefner Heineman Herger Hilleary Hobson Hoekstra Hoke Horn Hostettler Hunter Hutchinson Hyde Inglis Istook Johnson (CT) Jones Kasich Kelly Kim King Kingston Klug Knollenberg Kolbe LaHood Largent Latham LaTourette Laughlin Lazio Leach

Abercrombie

Barrett (WI)

Ackerman

Andrews

Baesler

Baldacci

Barcia

Becerra

Bentsen

Bereuter

Berman

Boehlert

Bonior

Borski

Boucher

Cardin

Clay Clayton

Clyburn

Coleman

Convers

Coyne

Danner

DeFazio

DeLauro

Dellums

Deutsch

Dingell

Doggett

Dooley Durbin

Edwards

Engel Eshoo

Dixon

Collins (IL)

Collins (MI)

de la Garza

Brown (CA)

Brown (FL)

Brown (OH)

Bryant (TX)

Beilenson

Lewis (CA) Lewis (KY) Lightfoot Lincoln Livingston LoBiondo Longley Lucas Manton Manzullo Martini McCollum McCrery McDade McHugh McInnis McIntosh McKeon Metcalf Mevers Mica Miller (FL) Molinari Mollohan Montgomery Moorhead Morella Murtha Myers Myrick Nethercutt Neumann Ney Norwood Nussle Oxley Packard Parker Paxon Petri Pombo Porter Portman Pryce Quillen Quinn Radanovich Ramstad Regula Riggs Roberts Rogers Rohrabacher Ros-Lehtinen

Roukema Royce Salmon Sanford Saxton Scarborough Schaefer Schiff Seastrand Sensenbrenner Shadegg Shaw Shays Shuster Skeen Slaughter Smith (MI) Smith (NJ) Smith (TX) Smith (WA) Solomon Souder Spence Stearns Stenholm Stockman Stump Talent Tate Tauzin Taylor (NC) Thomas Thornberry Traficant Upton . Vucanovich Waldholtz Walker Walsh Wamp Watts (OK) Weldon (FL) Weldon (PA) Weller White Whitfield Wicker Wilson Wolf Young (AK) Young (FL)

NOES-171

Zeliff

Evans Farr Fattah Fazio Fields (LA) Filner Flake Foglietta Forbes Frank (MA) Frost Furse Gejdenson Gephardt Gibbons Gonzalez Green Gutierrez Hall (OH) Harman Hastings (FL) Hilliard Hinchey Holden Hover Jackson-Lee Jacobs Jefferson Johnson (SD) Johnson, E. B. Johnston Kanjorski Kaptur Kennedy (MA) Kennedy (RI) Kennelly Kildee Kleczka Klink LaFalce Lantos Levin Lewis (GA)

Lipinski Lofgren Lowey Luther Maloney Markey Martinez Mascara Matsui McCarthy McDermott McHale McKinney McNulty Meehan Meek Menendez Miller (CA) Mineta Minge Mink Moakley Moran Nadler Neal Oberstar Obey Olver Ortiz Orton Owens Pallone Pastor Payne (NJ) Payne (VA) Pelosi Peterson (FL) Peterson (MN) Pickett Pomeroy Poshard

Rahall

Range