

will be cut, the Safe and Drug Free Schools Program will be cut and summer jobs are eliminated.

The young people who will join me on Saturday are not those who images dominate our perceptions. They are not violent. They are not involved in drug sales. They are the majority.

What will I say to these young people? Instead of a bright future, Congress now offers you a bleak future.

This blind march to a balanced budget has taken us down the wrong path. I wonder where it is taking our youth?

Mr. Speaker, I ask you, what should we say to our youth? What is their future?

Mr. Speaker, this is no way to encourage our future.

THE ASSAULT ON IMPORTANT EDUCATIONAL PROGRAMS

(Mr. BROWN of Ohio asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mr. BROWN of Ohio. Mr. Speaker, today I rise to voice my dismay at the ongoing assault taking place on some of the most important educational programs in this country. Student loans are under attack by Republican budget cutters who want to give the wealthy a huge tax break.

The Republican plan cuts \$10 billion in the Stafford Loan Program over the next 7 years. These cuts will add an additional \$3,100 to undergraduate costs and \$9,400 to graduate students.

The Republicans want to knock 157,000 students out of the Perkins Loan Program, denying these low- and middle-income students these loans; 280,000 students will lose Pell grants. Prevention programs such as the Safe and Drug Free Schools Program, will be cut by 60 percent. Even programs like Head Start will not be safe from the Republican budget ax.

Why these cuts, Mr. Speaker? The simple answer to that is Republicans are making these cuts to give tax breaks to the wealthiest people in this country. Giving tax breaks to \$200,000 incomes is wrong, Mr. Speaker, while cutting education.

BALANCING THE BUDGET ON THE BACKS OF OUR YOUTH

(Mrs. LOWEY asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend her remarks.)

Mrs. LOWEY. Mr. Speaker, the Republican leadership says it is cutting student aid by more than \$10 billion in order to shrink the deficit. What are the priorities of this Congress? Education is an investment that we must protect.

Yesterday, I talked to students from Purchase College and Manhattanville College. Michael Henry is a 22-year-old Purchase College student from Forest Hills, NY. He works two jobs while in school. He drives a truck during the graveyard shift so he can attend class-

es during the day. I do not know when Michael sleeps. He is studying economics and hopes to start his own business. Without Federal financial aid, Michael said that he would not be able to attend college. What does this budget do? It threatens to rob us of the contribution of a bright, talented young person like Michael. It jeopardizes the dreams of a future entrepreneur who could contribute enormously to society.

We need to shrink the deficit. We can not keep paying billions in interest payments on the debt. But we can lower the deficit without cutting education and robbing deserving young people of the chance to earn a decent living.

A college education is an economic necessity. Let us not balance the budget on the backs of our Nation's deserving youth.

LEGISLATIVE ASSAULT ON OUR CITIES

(Miss COLLINS of Michigan asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend her remarks.)

Miss COLLINS of Michigan. Mr. Speaker, I rise this morning to express my deep felt concern for the future of our cities. The U.S. Conference of Mayors recently surveyed 145 of their member officials and found that 80 to 96 percent reported proposed congressional cuts in appropriations will have a negative impact on their cities and residents, their economies, economic development activities, human investment efforts, youth development, basic transportation needs, job creation and efforts to reduce homelessness. And of course, we all realize that at least some of the moneys saved through these planned cuts is intended to be used to finance a tax break for the wealthy.

To make matters, worse, these same city officials say they do not believe the business community, nonprofit organizations, charities, religious institutions, foundations or State governments will be able to make up the difference.

If you feel it is more important to give an unneeded tax break to the wealthy at the expense of the economies of our cities, city economic development activities, city human investment efforts, youth development, basic transportation needs, job creation efforts, and reducing homelessness, then I ask that you re-examine your priorities; that you forget about this ill-conceived tax break for the wealthy; and that you recommit to supporting these important needs of our cities and those who live in our cities.

THE DEVASTATING EFFECTS OF HUGE MEDICARE CUTS

(Ms. WOOLSEY asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend her remarks.)

Ms. WOOLSEY. Mr. Speaker, throughout the month of August, senior citizens, working families, and health care providers told me the majority's huge Medicare cuts would have devastating effects on them.

Local hospitals in my district, which are already the most efficient in the Nation, said they would be forced to cut back crucial services or possibly close. In fact, one hospital administrator said, "They would just mail the keys in." And, outraged seniors, looking at \$3,600 more in out-of-pocket expenses under the Gingrich Medicare plan, told me that they just could not afford any additional medical expenses.

Why? They repeatedly asked me would the majority make these huge cuts in Medicare?

And, I told them, over and over again, that the majority was taking an axe to Medicare, instead of a scalpel, for one reason, and for one reason only; to pay for one of the most outrageous, counterproductive, and unfair tax giveaways in American history.

THE VALUE OF STUDENT AID PROGRAMS

(Mr. POSHARD asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. POSHARD. Mr. Speaker, when I graduated from high school in 1962, I grew up on a small family farm, and my folks did not have the money to send me to college. I went in the U.S. Army, and I spent 3 years, and when I got out, I enrolled in Southern Illinois University, and my Government really enrolled with me.

I was able to go there on the GI Bill. I was able to get a job on campus working in the physical plant through the student work and financial aid program. The National Student Defense loans at that time helped my young striving family to get by.

These were all really important programs for working-class families' children who needed a college education, and we need to keep those programs alive today.

It is not a matter in this country of whether we need to balance the budget and bring down the deficit. We brought down the deficit from \$291 to \$160 billion this year. In 3 years, under the President's budget, we are going to continue to bring down the deficit.

The question is whether we need to finance a huge tax cut and offset these programs more than what we have to, and that is the debate in this House.

MEDICARE: UNSPECIFIED FUTURE CUTS

(Ms. DELAURO asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend her remarks.)

Ms. DELAURO. Mr. Speaker, Republicans are cooking the books on their Medicare plan, but it is America's seniors who are getting burned.

On Sunday, Speaker GINGRICH stated that the Republican Medicare cuts would mean only \$7 dollars in increased monthly premiums for Medicare recipients. But, the Republican-controlled Congressional Budget Office disagrees with the Speaker's new math. In fact, the CBO says that seniors will pay \$56.50 more each month, not the \$7 the Speaker claims.

But, my colleagues on the other side of the aisle have developed a new accounting device called unspecified future cuts. Unspecified future cuts means that Republicans can claim \$80 billion in savings, without telling the American people where that money is coming from.

It is time for the Republican leadership to stop playing games and to come clean with the American people about its plan to cut \$270 billion from Medicare to pay for a tax cut to the wealthy.

COMMUNICATION FROM THE CHAIRMAN OF THE DEMOCRATIC CAUCUS

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. SHAYS) laid before the House the following communication from the Honorable VIC FAZIO, chairman of the Democratic Caucus:

DEMOCRATIC CAUCUS,
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES,
September 5, 1995.

Hon. NEWT GINGRICH,
Speaker, House of Representatives, Washington,
DC.

DEAR MR. SPEAKER: This is to inform you that Representative W.J. (BILLY) TAUZIN is no longer a member of the Democratic Caucus.

Sincerely,

VIC FAZIO,
Chairman.

COMMUNICATION FROM THE SPEAKER OF THE HOUSE

The SPEAKER pro tempore laid before the House the following communication from the Speaker of the House of Representatives:

THE SPEAKER'S ROOMS,
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES,
Washington, DC, September 6, 1995.

Hon. THOMAS J. BLILEY, Jr.,
Chairman, Committee on Commerce, Rayburn
House Office Building, Washington, DC.

DEAR MR. CHAIRMAN: This is to advise you that Representative W.J. (BILLY) TAUZIN's election to the Committee on Commerce has been automatically vacated pursuant to clause 6(b) of rule X, effective today.

Sincerely,

NEWT GINGRICH.

COMMUNICATION FROM THE SPEAKER OF THE HOUSE

The SPEAKER pro tempore laid before the House the following communication from the Speaker of the House of Representatives:

THE SPEAKER'S ROOMS,
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES,
Washington, DC, September 6, 1995.

Hon. DON YOUNG,
Chairman, Committee on Resources, Longworth
House Office Building, Washington, DC.

DEAR MR. CHAIRMAN: This is to advise you that Representative W.J. (BILLY) TAUZIN's election to the Committee on Resources has been automatically vacated pursuant to clause 6(b) of rule X, effective today.

Sincerely,

NEWT GINGRICH.

ELECTION OF MEMBER TO COM- MITTEE ON COMMERCE AND COMMITTEE ON RESOURCES

Mr. BOEHNER. Mr. Speaker, pursuant to direction of the Republican Conference, I call up a privileged resolution (H. Res. 217) and ask for its immediate consideration.

The Clerk read the resolution, as follows:

H. RES. 217

Resolved, That the following named Member be, and he is hereby, elected to the following standing committees of the House of Representatives:

Committee on Commerce: Mr. Tauzin of Louisiana, to rank following Mr. Moorhead of California.

Committee on Resources: Mr. Tauzin of Louisiana, to rank following Mr. Young of Alaska.

The resolution was agreed to.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

COMMUNICATION FROM THE CLERK OF THE HOUSE

The SPEAKER pro tempore laid before the House the following from the Clerk of the House of Representatives:

OFFICE OF THE CLERK,
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES,
Washington, DC, September 12, 1995.

Hon. NEWT GINGRICH,
The Speaker, House of Representatives, Wash-
ington, DC.

DEAR MR. SPEAKER: Pursuant to the permission granted in clause 5 of rule III of the Rules of the U.S. House of Representatives, I have the honor to transmit a sealed envelope received from the White House on Friday, September 8, 1995 at 4:05 p.m. and said to contain a message from the President whereby he transmits a revised deferral of budgetary resources for the International Security Assistance program.

With warm regards,

ROBIN H. CARLE,
Clerk,
U.S. House of Representatives.

REVISED DEFERRAL OF BUDG- ETARY RESOURCES—MESSAGE FROM THE PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES (H. DOC. NO. 104- 114)

The SPEAKER pro tempore laid before the House the following message from the President of the United States; which was read and, together with the accompanying papers, without objection, referred to the Committee on Appropriations and ordered to be printed:

To the Congress of the United States:

In accordance with the Congressional Budget and Impoundment Control Act of 1974, I herewith report one revised deferral of budgetary resources, totaling \$1.2 billion.

The deferral affects the International Security Assistance program.

WILLIAM J. CLINTON.

THE WHITE HOUSE, September 8, 1995.

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to the provisions of clause 5, rule I, the Chair announces that he will postpone further proceedings today on the motion to suspend the rules under which a recorded vote or the yeas and nays are ordered or on which a vote is objected to under clause 4 of rule XV.

Such rollcall vote, if postponed, will be taken later in the day.

PERMISSION FOR CERTAIN COM- MITTEES AND THEIR SUB- COMMITTEES TO SIT TODAY DURING 5-MINUTE RULE

Mrs. MEYERS of Kansas. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that the following committees and their subcommittees be permitted to sit today while the House is meeting in the Committee of the Whole House under the 5-minute rule:

The Committee on Commerce, the Committee on Government Reform and Oversight, the Committee on the Judiciary, the Committee on Resources, the Committee on Science, and the Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence.

It is my understanding that the minority has been consulted and that there is no objection to these requests.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Kansas?

There was no objection.

SMALL BUSINESS CREDIT EFFICIENCY ACT OF 1995

Mrs. MEYERS of Kansas. Mr. Speaker, I move to suspend the rules and pass the bill (H.R. 2150) to amend the Small Business Act and the Small Business Investment Act of 1958 to reduce the cost to the Federal Government of guaranteeing certain loans and debentures, and for other purposes, as amended.

The Clerk read as follows:

H.R. 2150

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

This Act may be cited as the "Small Business Credit Efficiency Act of 1995".

SEC. 2. FEE FOR LOAN GUARANTEES SOLD ON SECONDARY MARKET.

Section 5(g)(4)(A) of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 634(g)(4)(A)) is amended by striking "⁴/₁₀ of one percent" and inserting "one-half of 1 percent".

SEC. 3. GENERAL BUSINESS LOANS.

(a) REDUCED LEVEL OF PARTICIPATION IN GUARANTEED LOANS.—Section 7(a)(2) of the