

must have if we are to make the investments we need and move this country forward.

The CHAIRMAN. Are there other amendments to the bill?

If not, the Clerk will read the last 3 lines.

The Clerk read as follows:

This Act may be cited as the "Department of Labor, Health and Human Services, and Education, and Related Agencies Appropriations Act, 1996".

AMENDMENT NUMBER 63 OFFERED BY MR. SANDERS

The CHAIRMAN. Pursuant to the order of the House of August 2, 1995, proceedings will now resume on amendment number 63 offered by the gentleman from Vermont [Mr. SANDERS].

The pending business is the demand for a recorded vote on the amendment offered by the gentleman from Vermont [Mr. SANDERS] on which further proceedings were postponed and on which the noes prevailed by a voice vote.

The Clerk will redesignate the amendment.

The Clerk redesignated the amendment.

#### RECORDED VOTE

The CHAIRMAN. A recorded vote has been demanded.

A recorded vote was ordered.

The vote was taken by electronic device and there were—ayes 141, noes 284, not voting 9, as follows:

[Roll No. 624]

AYES—141

Abercrombie	Furse	Ortiz
Ackerman	Gephardt	Owens
Baldacci	Gibbons	Pastor
Barcia	Gonzalez	Payne (NJ)
Barrett (WI)	Green	Poshard
Becerra	Gutierrez	Rahall
Beilenson	Hall (OH)	Rangel
Berman	Hefner	Reed
Bevill	Hilliard	Rivers
Bishop	Hinchey	Rohrabacher
Bonior	Holden	Rose
Borski	Jefferson	Roybal-Allard
Brown (CA)	Johnson (SD)	Rush
Brown (FL)	Johnson, E. B.	Sabo
Brown (OH)	Johnston	Sanders
Bryant (TX)	Kanjorski	Schroeder
Clay	Kaptur	Schumer
Clayton	Kennedy (RI)	Scott
Clement	Kildee	Serrano
Clyburn	Kingston	Shays
Coleman	Klecza	Skaggs
Collins (IL)	LaFalce	Skelton
Collins (MI)	Lantos	Slaughter
Conyers	Lewis (GA)	Spratt
Costello	Lincoln	Stark
Coyne	Lipinski	Stokes
de la Garza	Lowey	Studds
DeFazio	Luther	Stupak
Dellums	Maloney	Tanner
Dicks	Manton	Tejeda
Dingell	Martinez	Thompson
Dixon	Mascara	Torres
Doggett	Matsui	Torricelli
Doyle	McDermott	Towns
Duncan	McHale	Tucker
Durbin	McKinney	Velazquez
Edwards	McNulty	Vento
Engel	Miller (CA)	Visclosky
Evans	Mineta	Volkmer
Farr	Minge	Ward
Fattah	Mink	Waters
Fazio	Moran	Watt (NC)
Fields (LA)	Murtha	Waxman
Flake	Nadler	Wilson
Foglietta	Oberstar	Wise
Ford	Obey	Woolsey
Frost	Oliver	Wyden

#### NOES—284

Gejdenson	Morella
Gekas	Myers
Geren	Myrick
Gilchrest	Neal
Gillmor	Nethercutt
Gilman	Neumann
Goodlatte	Ney
Goodling	Norwood
Gordon	Nussle
Goss	Orton
Graham	Oxley
Greenwood	Packard
Gunderson	Pallone
Gutknecht	Parker
Hall (TX)	Paxon
Hamilton	Payne (VA)
Hancock	Pelosi
Hansen	Peterson (FL)
Harman	Peterson (MN)
Hastert	Petri
Hastings (FL)	Pickett
Hastings (WA)	Pombo
Hayes	Pomeroy
Hayworth	Porter
Hefley	Portman
Heineman	Pryce
Herger	Quillen
Hilleary	Quinn
Hobson	Radanovich
Hoekstra	Ramstad
Hoke	Regula
Horn	Richardson
Hostettler	Riggs
Houghton	Roberts
Hoyer	Roemer
Hunter	Rogers
Hutchinson	Ros-Lehtinen
Hyde	Roth
Inglis	Roukema
Istook	Royce
Jackson-Lee	Salmon
Jacobs	Sanford
Johnson (CT)	Sawyer
Johnson, Sam	Saxton
Jones	Scarborough
Kasich	Schaefer
Kelly	Schiff
Kennedy (MA)	Seastrand
Kennelly	Sensenbrenner
Kim	Shadegg
King	Shaw
Klink	Shuster
Cox	Sisisky
Knollenberg	Skeen
Kolbe	Smith (MI)
LaHood	Smith (NJ)
Largent	Smith (TX)
Latham	Smith (WA)
LaTourette	Solomon
Laughlin	Souder
Lazio	Spence
Leach	Stearns
Levin	Stenholm
Lewis (CA)	Stockman
Lewis (KY)	Stump
Lightfoot	Talent
Linder	Tate
Livingston	Tauzin
LoBiondo	Taylor (MS)
Lofgren	Taylor (NC)
Longley	Thomas
Lucas	Thornberry
Manzullo	Thornton
Markey	Tiahrt
Martini	Torkildsen
McCarthy	Trafficant
McCollum	Upton
McCrery	Vucanovich
McDade	Waldholtz
McHugh	Walker
McInnis	Walsh
McIntosh	Wamp
McKeon	Watts (OK)
Meehan	Weldon (FL)
Meek	Weldon (PA)
Menendez	Weller
Metcalf	White
Meyers	Whitfield
Mfume	Wicker
Mica	Wolf
Miller (FL)	Wynn
Molinari	Young (FL)
Mollohan	Zeliff
Montgomery	Zimmer
Moorhead	

#### NOT VOTING—9

Andrews	Moakley	Williams
Bateman	Reynolds	Yates
Filner	Thurman	Young (AK)

□ 0023

Messrs. TAUZIN, PETERSON of Florida, HASTINGS of Florida, POMEROY, MEEHAN, RICHARDSON, MFUME, GEJDENSON, HOYER, and WYNN, and Mrs. MEEK of Florida, Mrs. KENNELLY, and Ms. DELAURO changed their vote from "aye" to "no."

Mr. DIXON changed his vote from "no" to "aye."

So the amendment was rejected.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

The CHAIRMAN pro tempore (Mr. WALKER). There being no further amendments, under the rule, the Committee rises.

Accordingly the Committee rose; and the Speaker pro tempore (Mr. LAHOOD) having assumed the Chair, Mr. WALKER, Chairman of the Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union, reported that that Committee, having had under consideration the bill (H.R. 2127) making appropriations for the Departments of Labor, Health and Human Services, and Education, and related agencies, for the fiscal year ending September 30, 1996, and for other purposes, pursuant to House Resolution 208, he reported the bill back to the House with sundry amendments adopted by the Committee of the Whole.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. LAHOOD). Under the rule, the previous question is ordered.

Is a separate vote demanded on any amendment?

(Mr. FRANK of Massachusetts asked and was given permission to proceed out of order.)

#### LEGISLATIVE PROGRAM

Mr. FRANK of Massachusetts. Mr. Speaker, I have been discussing with some other Members what the schedule is. I think we are close to an agreement, which would obviate the need for the nine separate votes and reconsiderations on the amendments that were adopted in the Committee of the Whole, most of which were perfectly nice amendments.

I wonder if anyone could give me any guidance on what we are likely to be doing next, because that would have some influence on what we would be doing now. I would be glad to yield. I know we are making a lot of progress. I do not insist on everything, but I would like a little comfort level before I sit down.

Mr. LINDER. Mr. Speaker, will the gentleman yield?

Mr. FRANK of Massachusetts. I yield to the gentleman from Georgia.

Mr. LINDER. Mr. Speaker, the gentleman who can answer this is about to approach the microphone.

Mr. FRANK of Massachusetts. Mr. Speaker, for the first time I have all this time and I have nothing to say.

Can we go back on the Solomon amendment while we are waiting?

Mr. ARMEY. Mr. Speaker, who controls the time?

Mr. FRANK of Massachusetts. I do, and I would yield to the gentleman.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. LAHOOD). The gentleman from Massachusetts controls the time.

Mr. ARMEY. Mr. Speaker, would the gentleman restate his inquiry?

Mr. FRANK of Massachusetts. Before we pass the point at which separate votes cannot be demanded, I was trying to get some kind of comfort level about the chances of working out a schedule which would have us come back in first thing in the morning to do the telecommunications bill and whatever else we could finish, and I yield to the gentleman from Texas.

Mr. ARMEY. Mr. Speaker, if the gentleman will yield, believe me, I can assure the gentleman from Massachusetts [Mr. FRANK] that I understand the gentleman's need for a comfort level. We are working on a unanimous-consent request with respect to the remaining program for tonight and tomorrow, and we have negotiations under way right now. Unhappily, the gentleman's request for information comes at a time when we do not have this all in detail.

I guess, Mr. Speaker, the only thing I can tell the gentleman right now is we are working on it and we hope to have it concluded as quickly as possible.

Mr. FRANK of Massachusetts. Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentleman for that. This is, obviously, not the only bus in town, so I will give up the time here, with the understanding that we are trying hard to work this out, and if we are not able to work it out, I think we will have some difficulty.

I would relinquish the time, and I certainly have no pressing need for separate votes at this point, apparently.

□ 0030

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. LAHOOD). Is a separate vote demanded on any amendment? If not, the Chair will put them en gros.

The amendments were agreed to.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on engrossment and third reading of the bill.

The bill was ordered to be engrossed and read a third time, and was read the third time.

MOTION TO RECOMMIT OFFERED BY MR. OBEY

Mr. OBEY. Mr. Speaker, I offer a motion to recommit.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is the gentleman opposed to the bill?

Mr. OBEY. Mr. Speaker, I think that is safe to say.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Clerk will report the motion to recommit.

The Clerk read as follows:

Mr. OBEY moves to recommit the bill to the Committee on Appropriations with instructions to report it back forthwith with an amendment as follows:

On page 18, strike lines 17 through 24.

On page 20 strike out lines 15 through 22.

On page 58 strike all beginning after the word "purposes" on line 20 through page 60 line 8.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman from Wisconsin [Mr. OBEY] is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. OBEY. Mr. Speaker, I certainly will not take the 5 minutes. I simply want to say two things. First of all, I want to alert members to the fact that there will be two votes, obviously, with a motion to recommit, and then final passage.

What this recommittal motion simply does is to try to redress some of the damage that this bill does to the dignity of workers in this country. It strikes sections 103, which would block the President's authority to enforce executive orders, barring striker replacements on Federal contracts. Second, it strikes section 105, which blocks development of workplace standards related to ergonomic injuries. Third, it strikes limitations on the National Labor Relations Board authority to protect collective bargaining rights of workers, the 10(j) injunctions.

Mr. Speaker, we have already had the debates on all of these. There is no point in pursuing it. I would simply urge an "aye" vote on the motion to recommit, and I would ask for a roll-call. I would remind people there would be two votes.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Does the gentleman from Illinois [Mr. PORTER] rise in opposition?

Mr. PORTER. Mr. Speaker, I do.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman from Illinois is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. PORTER. Mr. Speaker, we began hearings on this bill on January 4. We have been through a very long process in bringing it forward, including a subcommittee markup that lasted over seven hours, three days in full committee, and we have spent 26 hours on the floor debating the bill and amendments to it.

It has been shaped through a very long process and a very fair process. There are provisions in the bill I do not agree with, as you know, but we have been through a process I believe in very deeply.

Mr. Speaker, the bill will be further shaped in this process, one that has been followed for over 200 years, a process that is designed to be highly deliberative, highly participatory, and to find exactly where the American people are on all of these issues, and that is where we will ultimately end up.

Mr. Speaker, I would ask the Members to support the work that we have engaged in, to oppose the motion to recommit, and to support the bill, and to move it forward in the legislative process.

Mr. Speaker, I yield to the gentleman from Pennsylvania [Mr. GOODLING].

Mr. GOODLING. Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentleman for yielding.

Mr. Speaker, I want to make very sure that everyone understands under

the striker replacement issue, there is only one issue in this piece of legislation. That issue is very simply, who has the responsibility under our form of government to legislate. I do not believe there is anyone in the House of Representatives, anyone in the United States, that believes it is anybody other than the Congress of the United States. It is not the executive branch, it is the Congress, and that is the issue that you are faced with in this legislation, and in this motion to recommit.

Mr. PORTER. Mr. Speaker, I yield to my colleague, the gentleman from Illinois [Mr. FAWELL].

Mr. FAWELL. Mr. Speaker, just very briefly, the other issue involves a so-called 10(j) preliminary injunction, and all that is requested in reference to the granting of such a preliminary injunction is that it be understood that it is an extraordinary remedy, and that the usual rules of equity do control, and that the NLRB would have to prove that there is the extraordinary remedy, and irreparable harm would have to be shown if the injunction is not granted. That is all that it does. I think it is a very reasonable request.

Mr. Speaker, I would ask that you vote "no" on the motion to recommit.

Mr. PORTER. Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Without objection, the previous question is ordered on the motion to recommit.

There was no objection.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion to recommit.

The question was taken; and the Speaker pro tempore announced that the noes appeared to have it.

RECORDED VOTE

Mr. OBEY. Mr. Speaker, I demand a recorded vote.

A recorded vote was ordered.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there where—ayes 188, noes 238, not voting 8, as follows:

[Roll No. 625]

AYES—188

Abercrombie	Coyne	Gilman
Ackerman	Cramer	Gonzalez
Baessler	Danner	Gordon
Baldacci	de la Garza	Green
Barcia	DeFazio	Gutierrez
Barrett (WI)	DeLauro	Hall (OH)
Becerra	Dellums	Hamilton
Beilenson	Deutsch	Harman
Bentsen	Dicks	Hastings (FL)
Berman	Dingell	Hefner
Bevill	Dixon	Hilliard
Bishop	Doggett	Hinchey
Bonior	Doyle	Holden
Borski	Durbin	Horn
Boucher	Edwards	Hoyer
Browder	Engel	Jackson-Lee
Brown (CA)	Eshoo	Jacobs
Brown (FL)	Evans	Jefferson
Brown (OH)	Farr	Johnson (SD)
Bryant (TX)	Fattah	Johnson, E.B.
Cardin	Fazio	Johnston
Clay	Fields (LA)	Kanjorski
Clayton	Flake	Kaptur
Clement	Foglietta	Kennedy (MA)
Clyburn	Ford	Kennedy (RI)
Coleman	Frank (MA)	Kennelly
Collins (IL)	Frost	Killdeer
Collins (MI)	Furse	King
Condit	Gejdenson	Klecza
Conyers	Gephardt	Klink
Costello	Gibbons	LaFalce

Lantos  
Lazio  
Levin  
Lewis (GA)  
Lipinski  
Lofgren  
Lowey  
Luther  
Maloney  
Manton  
Markley  
Martinez  
Mascara  
Matsui  
McCarthy  
McDermott  
McHale  
McHugh  
McKinney  
McNulty  
Meehan  
Meek  
Menendez  
Mfume  
Miller (CA)  
Mineta  
Minge  
Mink  
Mollohan  
Moran  
Murtha  
Nadler

Neal  
Ney  
Oberstar  
Obey  
Oliver  
Ortiz  
Orton  
Owens  
Pallone  
Pastor  
Payne (NJ)  
Pelosi  
Peterson (FL)  
Peterson (MN)  
Pomeroy  
Poshard  
Quinn  
Rahall  
Rangel  
Reed  
Richardson  
Rivers  
Roemer  
Rose  
Roybal-Allard  
Rush  
Sabo  
Sanders  
Sawyer  
Schroeder  
Schumer  
Scott

Serrano  
Skaggs  
Skelton  
Slaughter  
Smith (NJ)  
Spratt  
Stark  
Stokes  
Studds  
Stupak  
Tejeda  
Thompson  
Thornton  
Torres  
Torrice  
Towns  
Traficant  
Tucker  
Velazquez  
Vento  
Visclosky  
Volkmer  
Ward  
Waters  
Watt (NC)  
Waxman  
Wilson  
Wise  
Woolsey  
Wyden  
Wynn

Shadegg  
Shaw  
Shays  
Shuster  
Sisisky  
Skeen  
Smith (MI)  
Smith (TX)  
Smith (WA)  
Solomon  
Souder  
Spence  
Stearns  
Stenholm  
Stockman

Stump  
Talent  
Tanner  
Tate  
Tauzin  
Taylor (MS)  
Taylor (NC)  
Thomas  
Thornberry  
Tiahrt  
Torkildsen  
Upton  
Vucanovich  
Waldholtz  
Walker

Walsh  
Wamp  
Watts (OK)  
Weldon (FL)  
Weldon (PA)  
Weller  
White  
Whitfield  
Wicker  
Wolf  
Young (FL)  
Zeliff  
Zimmer

Radanovich  
Ramstad  
Regula  
Riggs  
Roberts  
Rogers  
Rohrabacher  
Ros-Lehtinen  
Roth  
Roukema  
Royce  
Salmon  
Sanford  
Saxton  
Scarborough  
Schaefer  
Schiff  
Seastrand  
Sensenbrenner

Shadegg  
Shaw  
Shays  
Shuster  
Skeen  
Smith (MI)  
Smith (NJ)  
Smith (TX)  
Smith (WA)  
Solomon  
Souder  
Spence  
Stearns  
Stockman  
Stump  
Talent  
Tate  
Tauzin  
Taylor (NC)

Thomas  
Thornberry  
Tiahrt  
Upton  
Vucanovich  
Waldholtz  
Walker  
Walsh  
Wamp  
Watts (OK)  
Weldon (FL)  
Weldon (PA)  
Weller  
White  
Whitfield  
Wicker  
Wolf  
Young (FL)  
Zeliff

## NOT VOTING—8

Andrews  
Filner  
Moakley

Reynolds  
Thurman  
Williams

Yates  
Young (AK)

## NAYS—208

Abercrombie  
Ackerman  
Baesler  
Baldacci  
Barcia  
Barrett (WI)  
Becerra  
Beilenson  
Bentsen  
Bereuter  
Berman  
Bevill  
Bilbray  
Bishop  
Blute  
Bonior  
Borski  
Boucher  
Brewster  
Browder  
Brown (CA)  
Brown (FL)  
Brown (OH)  
Bryant (TX)  
Cardin  
Castle  
Chapman  
Clay  
Clayton  
Clement  
Clyburn  
Coleman  
Collins (IL)  
Collins (MI)  
Condit  
Conyers  
Costello  
Coyne  
Cramer  
Danner  
de la Garza  
DeFazio  
DeLauro  
Dellums  
Deutsch  
Dicks  
Dingell  
Dixon  
Doggett  
Dooley  
Doyle  
Durbin  
Edwards  
Engel  
Eshoo  
Evans  
Farr  
Fattah  
Fazio  
Fields (LA)  
Flake  
Flanagan  
Foglietta  
Ford  
Frank (MA)  
Franks (CT)  
Frost  
Furse  
Gejdenson  
Gephardt

Gibbons  
Gonzalez  
Gordon  
Green  
Gunderson  
Gutierrez  
Hall (OH)  
Hamilton  
Harman  
Hastings (FL)  
Hefner  
Heineman  
Hilliard  
Hinchey  
Holden  
Horn  
Houghton  
Hoyer  
Jackson-Lee  
Jacobs  
Jefferson  
Johnson (SD)  
Johnson, E. B.  
Johnston  
Kanjorski  
Kaptur  
Kelly  
Kennedy (MA)  
Kennedy (RI)  
Kennelly  
Kildee  
Kleczka  
Klink  
LaFalce  
Lantos  
Levin  
Lewis (GA)  
Lincoln  
Lipinski  
LoBiondo  
Lofgren  
Lowey  
Luther  
Maloney  
Manton  
Markley  
Martinez  
Martini  
Mascara  
Matsui  
McCarthy  
McDermott  
McHale  
McKinney  
McNulty  
Meehan  
Meek  
Menendez  
Mfume  
Miller (CA)  
Mineta  
Minge  
Mink  
Mollohan  
Moran  
Morella  
Murtha  
Nadler  
Neal  
Ney

## NOT VOTING—8

Andrews  
Filner  
Moakley

Reynolds  
Thurman  
Williams

Yates  
Young (AK)

## □ 0112

So the bill was passed.

## NOES—238

Allard  
Archer  
Armey  
Bachus  
Baker (CA)  
Baker (LA)  
Ballenger  
Barr  
Barrett (NE)  
Bartlett  
Barton  
Bass  
Bateman  
Bereuter  
Bilbray  
Bilirakis  
Bliley  
Blute  
Boehlert  
Boehner  
Bonilla  
Bono  
Brewster  
Brownback  
Bryant (TN)  
Bunn  
Bunning  
Burr  
Burton  
Buyer  
Callahan  
Calvert  
Camp  
Canady  
Castle  
Chabot  
Chambliss  
Chapman  
Chenoweth  
Christensen  
Chrysler  
Clinger  
Coble  
Coburn  
Collins (GA)  
Combest  
Cooley  
Cox  
Crane  
Crapo  
Creameans  
Cubin  
Cunningham  
Davis  
Deal  
DeLay  
Diaz-Balart  
Dickey  
Dooley  
Doolittle  
Dornan  
Dreier  
Duncan  
Dunn  
Ehlers

Ehrlich  
Emerson  
English  
Ensign  
Everett  
Ewing  
Fawell  
Fields (TX)  
Flanagan  
Foley  
Forbes  
Fowler  
Fox  
Franks (CT)  
Franks (NJ)  
Frelinghuysen  
Frisa  
Funderburk  
Gallegly  
Ganske  
Gekas  
Geren  
Gilchrest  
Gillmor  
Goodlatte  
Goodling  
Goss  
Graham  
Greenwood  
Gunderson  
Gutknecht  
Hall (TX)  
Hancock  
Hansen  
Hastert  
Hastings (WA)  
Hays  
Hayworth  
Hefley  
Heineman  
Herger  
Hilleary  
Hobson  
Hoekstra  
Hoke  
Hostettler  
Houghton  
Hunter  
Hutchinson  
Hyde  
Ingalls  
Istook  
Johnson (CT)  
Johnson, Sam  
Jones  
Kasich  
Kelly  
Kim  
Kingston  
Klug  
Knollenberg  
Kolbe  
LaHood  
Largent  
Latham

LaTourette  
Laughlin  
Leach  
Lewis (CA)  
Lewis (KY)  
Lightfoot  
Lincoln  
Linder  
Livingston  
LoBiondo  
Longley  
Lucas  
Manzullo  
Martini  
McCollum  
McCrery  
McDade  
McInnis  
McIntosh  
McKeon  
Metcalf  
Meyers  
Mica  
Miller (FL)  
Molinar  
Montgomery  
Moorehead  
Morella  
Myers  
Myrick  
Nethercutt  
Neumann  
Norwood  
Nussle  
Oxley  
Packard  
Parker  
Paxon  
Payne (VA)  
Petri  
Pickett  
Pombo  
Porter  
Portman  
Pryce  
Quillen  
Radanovich  
Ramstad  
Regula  
Riggs  
Roberts  
Rogers  
Rohrabacher  
Ros-Lehtinen  
Roth  
Roukema  
Royce  
Salmon  
Sanford  
Saxton  
Scarborough  
Schaefer  
Schiff  
Seastrand  
Sensenbrenner

Messrs. LAZIO of New York, TEJEDA, ORTIZ, and NEY changed their vote from “no” to “aye.”

So the motion to recommit was rejected.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. LAHOOD). The question is on the passage of the bill.

Pursuant to clause 7 of rule XV, the yeas and nays are ordered.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 219, nays 208, not voting 8, as follows:

[Roll No. 626]

## YEAS—219

Allard  
Archer  
Armey  
Bachus  
Baker (CA)  
Baker (LA)  
Ballenger  
Barr  
Barrett (NE)  
Bartlett  
Barton  
Bass  
Bateman  
Bilirakis  
Bliley  
Boehlert  
Boehner  
Bonilla  
Bono  
Brownback  
Bryant (TN)  
Bunn  
Bunning  
Burr  
Burton  
Buyer  
Callahan  
Calvert  
Camp  
Canady  
Chabot  
Chambliss  
Chenoweth  
Christensen  
Chrysler  
Clinger  
Coble  
Coburn  
Collins (GA)  
Combest  
Cooley  
Cox  
Crane  
Crapo  
Creameans  
Cubin  
Cunningham  
Davis  
Deal  
DeLay  
Diaz-Balart  
Dickey  
Doolittle  
Dornan

Dreier  
Duncan  
Dunn  
Ehlers  
Ehrlich  
Emerson  
English  
Ensign  
Everett  
Ewing  
Fawell  
Fields (TX)  
Foley  
Forbes  
Fowler  
Fox  
Franks (NJ)  
Frelinghuysen  
Frisa  
Funderburk  
Galligly  
Ganske  
Gekas  
Geren  
Gilchrest  
Gillmor  
Gilman  
Gingrich  
Goodlatte  
Goodling  
Goss  
Graham  
Greenwood  
Gutknecht  
Hall (TX)  
Hancock  
Hansen  
Hastert  
Hastings (WA)  
Hayes  
Hayworth  
Hefley  
Herger  
Hilleary  
Hobson  
Hoekstra  
Hoke  
Hostettler  
Hunter  
Hutchinson  
Hyde  
Ingalls  
Istook  
Johnson (CT)

Johnson, Sam  
Jones  
Kasich  
Kim  
King  
Kingston  
Klug  
Knollenberg  
Kolbe  
LaHood  
Largent  
Latham  
LaTourette  
Laughlin  
Lazio  
Leach  
Lewis (CA)  
Lewis (KY)  
Lightfoot  
Linder  
Livingston  
Longley  
Lucas  
Manzullo  
McCollum  
McCrery  
McDade  
McHugh  
McInnis  
McIntosh  
McKeon  
Metcalf  
Meyers  
Mica  
Miller (FL)  
Molinar  
Montgomery  
Moorhead  
Myers  
Myrick  
Nethercutt  
Neumann  
Norwood  
Nussle  
Oxley  
Packard  
Parker  
Paxon  
Petri  
Pombo  
Porter  
Portman  
Pryce  
Quillen

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

**AUTHORIZING THE CLERK TO MAKE CORRECTIONS IN ENGROSSMENT OF H.R. 2127, DEPARTMENTS OF LABOR, HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES, AND EDUCATION, AND RELATED AGENCIES APPROPRIATIONS ACT, 1996**

Mr. LIVINGSTON. Mr. Speaker I ask unanimous consent that in the engrossment of H.R. 2127 the clerk be authorized to correct section numbers, punctuation, cross references, and to make other conforming changes as may be necessary to reflect the actions of the House today.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. LAHOOD). Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Louisiana?

There was no objection.

**ORDER OF BUSINESS AND PROVIDING FOR FURTHER CONSIDERATION OF H.R. 1555, COMMUNICATIONS ACT OF 1995**

Mr. ARMEY. Mr. Speaker, I should advise the members that pending the following unanimous-consent request, this could be the last vote of the night.

Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that the House convene at 8:00 a.m. today and that there be no intervening motion from the time of convening until the Pledge of Allegiance; and that further consideration of the bill H.R. 1555 in the Committee of the Whole pursuant to House Resolution 207 shall also be governed by the following order:

First, immediately after the Pledge of Allegiance, the House shall resolve into the Committee of the Whole for the further consideration of H.R. 1555 pursuant to House Resolution 207 without intervening motion;

Second, consideration in the Committee of the Whole shall proceed without intervening motion except the amendments printed in the House Report 104-223, except one motion to rise, if offered by Representative BLILEY;

Third, that any amendment adopted in the Committee of the Whole shall be deemed as having been adopted in the House; and

Fourth that Representative CONYERS shall have permission to modify amendment number 2-2.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Texas?

Mr. DINGELL. Mr. Speaker, reserving the right to object, and I do not think that I will object, but I want to make a couple of comments.

Like every other Member of this body, I have received a deluge of mail on the subject of this bill. Like the gentleman from Illinois [Mr. FLANA-

GAN] yesterday, I took the trouble to check into the behavior of those who stimulated that mail. I found, as did the gentleman from Illinois [Mr. FLANAGAN], that the stimulators of that mail had used the names of people who were unaware of the use of their names, that those who put that mail campaign together made false statements about the persons who had signed the letters, and led the people to sign the mail without any correct impression of what the content of the mail or the campaign was to be. Under the proposal tomorrow, I cannot discuss that matter at that time.

I want to make it very clear that I intend to follow up on this matter and to see to it that the miscreants who have engaged in this improper practice are exposed in proper fashion and that their behavior which demeans themselves, the legislative practices of this body and the democracy of which we are a part is properly exposed.

I will be sending them a letter on behalf of a number of my colleagues about this serious and gross misbehavior. Anyone who would like to join in signing the letter will be welcome at this desk tomorrow. I would also say that I intend to see to it that this kind of practice does not again infect the legislative process.

Mr. BLILEY. Mr. Speaker, will the gentleman yield?

Mr. DINGELL. Further reserving the right to object, I yield to the gentleman from Virginia.

Mr. BLILEY. Mr. Speaker, I want to applaud the gentleman for his statement. I intend to work closely with you, if you will have me, to see that jointly we pursue this matter to its proper conclusion. I thank the gentleman for yielding.

Mr. BARTON of Texas. Mr. Speaker, will the gentleman yield?

Mr. DINGELL. Further reserving the right to object, I yield to the gentleman from Texas.

Mr. BARTON of Texas. Mr. Speaker, as the subcommittee chairman of oversight investigations, a post the gentleman from Michigan [Mr. DINGELL] held for so many years with such distinction, if his investigations uncover something that is worthy of investigation by that subcommittee, I will be happy to work with the gentleman and the full committee chairman to fully follow up on whatever he finds out.

Mr. DINGELL. Mr. Speaker, further reserving the right to object, I can think of no Member who would do a finer job in setting right this matter. I want to thank the gentleman from Texas and also my dear friend the gentleman from Virginia.

Mr. Speaker, I withdraw my reservation of objection.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Texas?

Mr. GEPHARDT. Mr. Speaker, reserving the right to object, I will not object, but I would like to ask the majority leader if Members could be as-

sured that there would not be a vote in the morning until 8:45 a.m.

Mr. ARMEY. Mr. Speaker, if the gentleman would yield, we will convene at 8 a.m. and go immediately into consideration of the chairman's amendment. The debate on that amendment would be 30 minutes. So even a 15-minute vote could not, even under the greatest conditions of expediency, be completed until 8:45 a.m. The gentleman is correct.

Mr. GEPHARDT. I thank the gentleman.

Mr. Speaker, I withdraw my reservation of objection.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Texas?

There was no objection.

**ADMINISTRATION'S NATIONAL URBAN POLICY REPORT—MESSAGE FROM THE PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES**

The SPEAKER pro tempore laid before the House the following message from the President of the United States; which was read and, together with the accompanying papers, without objection, referred to the Committee on Banking and Financial Services:

*To the Congress of the United States:*

I transmit herewith my Administration's National Urban Policy Report, "Empowerment: A New Covenant With America's Communities," as required by 42 U.S.C. 4503(a). The Report provides a framework for empowering America's disadvantaged citizens and poor communities to build a brighter future for themselves, for their families and neighbors, and for America. The Report is organized around four principles:

First, it links families to work. It brings tax, education and training, housing, welfare, public safety, transportation, and capital access policies together to help families make the transition to self-sufficiency and independence. This linkage is critical to the transformation of our communities.

Second, it leverages private investment in our urban communities. It works with the market and the private sector to build upon the natural assets and competitive advantages of urban communities.

Third, it is locally driven. The days of made in Washington solutions, dictated by a distant Government, are gone. Instead, solutions must be locally crafted, and implemented by entrepreneurial public entities, private actors, and a growing network of community-based firms and organizations.

Fourth, it relies on traditional values—hard work, family, responsibility. The problems of so many inner-city neighborhoods—family break-up, teen pregnancy, abandonment, crime, drug use—will be solved only if individuals, families, and communities determine to help themselves.