AMENDMENT OFFERED BY MR. GEJDENSON TO THE AMENDMENT IN THE NATURE OF A SUB-STITUTE OFFERED BY MR. YOUNG OF ALASKA

Mr. GEJDENSON. Mr. Chairman, I offer an amendment to the amendment in the nature of a substitute.

The Clerk read as follows:

Amendment offered by Mr. GEJDENSON to the amendment in the nature of a substitute offered by Mr. YOUNG of Alaska: Page 2, line 21, add the following after the period: "In no event may oil be exported under this paragraph before the end of the period within which the President must make his national interest determination under this paragraph."

Mr. GEJDENSON. Mr. Chairman, with the new inclination of the gentleman from Alaska [Mr. Young] toward accepting amendments, I would hope he would read and accept this one. In the bill as it is drafted, we would have the President making a determination as to the impact of the export of this oil after the fact.

It says first we start shipping this oil and signing contracts with people in the Pacific rim. Then the President is going to take a look at it and find out if there is a problem. If there is a problem, we will already have contracts for sending this oil out there.

A number of gentlemen on the floor have indicated the administration is with them. So they are not facing a hostile administration. It seems to me unless again this is some window dressing in their language and they are not concerned with either the environment or our national security, that at minimum they would be ready to accept this amendment which simply says that, yes, as they wrote it, the President ought to do an assessment on what this change in the law would do to the United States but he ought to do that assessment before contracts are signed with people to ship this oil elsewhere. I would hope that the gentleman from Alaska [Mr. YOUNG] could support this very limited amendment to try to improve what I think is a bad bill.

Mr. YOUNG of Alaska. Mr. Chairman, I move to strike the last word.

Mr. Chairman, hope of all hopes, and wishes of all wishes, I do oppose the amendment.

The administration adamantly opposes the amendment. The administration has said they support the committee substitute. We have worked with them. It gives the President the flexibility he wants. Very frankly why should Congress mandate a bureaucratic delay? If the President, and that is what were saying, finds that this is an appropriate thing, why hold his hand for 5 months when he does not want it? That is like asking a girlfriend out on a date when she does not want to hold your hand. You are not going to get anywhere.

Let's face up to it. I suggest respectfully the amendment is very frankly not supported by anyone I know other than the gentleman from Connecticut. I urge the defeat of the amendment.

Mr. THOMAS. Mr. Chairman, I move to strike the requisite number of words.

Mr. Chairman, I do want to commend the gentleman from Connecticut on the effort that he is making with this amendment because it sounds extremely reasonable, that until the President makes his determination, we should not export any of the oil. The problem of course is, perhaps the gentleman from Connecticut has not read the amendment in the nature of a substitute offered by the gentleman from Alaska, the chairman. The gentleman from Alaska and this gentleman from California indicated that the administration supports the substitute as written. The substitute as written says that the finding that the President shall make is a negative finding; not a positive one that they should export oil but, in fact, a negative one that they should not.

The gentleman from Connecticut is now saying, notwithstanding the fact that the administration supports the legislation and that the Presidential determination is a negative one, no oil should be exported until the President makes his determination, which is, under the substitute, a finding that they should not export any oil.

I think when we come full circle, all this is, is, an attempt once again to offer an amendment for purposes that the gentleman from Connecticut well knows are not in the best interests of moving this bill forward and therefore not in the best interests of labor, energy production, or consumers in this country. I would ask that Members oppose the amendment of the gentleman from Connecticut.

The CHAIRMAN. The question is on the amendment offered by the gentleman from Connecticut [Mr. GEJDENSON] to the amendment in the nature of a substitute offered by the gentleman from Alaska [Mr. YOUNG].

The amendment to the amendment in the nature of a substitute was rejected. Mr. YOUNG of Alaska. Mr. Chairman, I move that the Committee do now rise.

The motion was agreed to.

Accordingly the Committee rose; and the Speaker pro tempore (Mr. BUNNING of Kentucky) having assumed the chair, Mr. LINDER, Chairman pro tempore of the Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union, reported that that Committee, having had under consideration the bill (H.R. 70) to permit exports of certain domestically produced crude oil, and for other purposes, had come to no resolution thereon.

### **RECESS**

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 12 of rule I, the Chair declares the House in recess until 5 p.m. today.

Accordingly (at 4 o'clock and 23 minutes p.m.), the House stood in recess until 5 p.m.

□ 1700

#### AFTER RECESS

The recess having expired, the House was called to order by the Speaker pro tempore (Mr. LINDER) at 5 o'clock and 2 minutes p.m.

# EXPORTS OF ALASKAN NORTH SLOPE OIL

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to House Resolution 197 and rule XXIII, the Chair declares the House in the Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union for the further consideration of the bill, H.R. 70.

#### □ 1704

#### IN THE COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE

Accordingly the House resolved itself into the Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union for the further consideration of the bill (H.R. 70) to permit exports of certain domestically produce crude oil, and for other purposes, with Mr. LINDER (Chairman pro tempore) in the chair.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The CHAIRMAN pro tempore. When the Committee of the Whole rose earlier today, the amendment in the nature of a substitute offered by the gentleman from Alaska [Mr. YOUNG] was pending.

# SEQUENTIAL VOTES POSTPONED IN COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE

Pursuant to the rule, proceedings will now resume on those amendments to the amendment in the nature of a substitute offered by the gentleman from Alaska [Mr. YOUNG] on which further proceedings were postponed in the following order: the amendment offered by the gentleman from Connecticut [Mr. GEJDENSON], and the amendment offered by the gentleman from California [Mr. MILLER].

The Chair will reduce to 5 minutes the time for any electronic vote after the first vote in this series, including the underlying amendment in the nature of a substitute offered by the gentleman from Alaska [Mr. YOUNG] if ordered without intervening business or debate.

AMENDMENT OFFERED BY MR. GEJDENSON

The CHAIRMAN pro tempore. The pending business is the demand for a recorded vote on the amendment offered by the gentleman from Connecticut [Mr. GEJDENSON] on which further proceedings were postponed and on which the noes prevailed by voice vote.

The Clerk will designate the amendment

The Clerk designated the amendment

The CHAIRMAN pro tempore. A recorded vote has been demanded.

A recorded vote was ordered.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—ayes 117, noes 278, answered "present" 1, not voting 38, as follows:

## [Roll No. 555]

## AYES-117

Ackerman Green Andrews Harman Baldacci Beilenson Hefner Hinchey Bentsen Bishop Holden Hoyer Bonior Borski Brown (FL) Brown (OH) Bryant (TX) Cardin Clay Clayton Clyburn Kennelly Kildee Condit Klink Conyers LaFalce Cubin Lantos DeFazio DeLauro Dellums Lofgren Lowey Luther Deutsch Maloney Dicks Dingell Manton Markey Doggett Doyle Durbin Mascara Edwards McHale Engel McNulty Eshoo Meek Evans Mfume Fields (LA) Filner Mineta Minge Flake Foglietta Mink Mollohan Frost Furse Moran Murtha Geidenson Nadler Gephardt

Oberstar Hastings (FL) Pallone Payne (NJ) Pelosi Peterson (MN) Rahall Jackson-Lee Reed Rivers Johnson (CT) Johnson (SD) Rose Roybal-Allard Johnson, E. B. Kaniorski Rush Kennedy (RI) Sanders Schroeder Schumer Scott Serrano Slaughter Lewis (GA) Smith (MI) Smith (NJ) Stark Stokes Stupak Taylor (MS) Thompson Thurman McDermott Traficant Tucker Vento Volkmer Ward Miller (CA) Waters Watt (NC)

Wilson

Woolsey

Wyden

Wvnn

Yates

Hastert

Hayes

Hefley

Herger

Hilleary

Hobson

Hoke

Horn

Hoekstra

Houghton

Hutchinson

Johnson, Sam

Kennedy (MA)

Johnston

Hunter

Inglis Istook

Jones

Kellv

Kim

King

Kingston

Kleczka

Kolbe

LaHood

Largent

Latham

Laughlin

Lewis (CA)

Lewis (KY)

Lightfoot

Lincoln

Lipinski

Livingston

LoBiondo

Longley

Manzullo

Martinez

Martini

Matsui

McCarthy

McCollum

Lucas

Linder

Lazio

Leach

Levin

LaTourette

Klug Knollenberg

Kasich

Hayworth

Heineman

Hastings (WA)

NOES-278

Cremeans

Danner

Davis

Deal

DeLay

Dickey

Dooley

Dornan

Duncan

Dreier

Dunn

Ehlers

Ehrlich

Ensign

Everett

Fattah

Fawell

Fazio

Forbes

Fowler

Fox

Frisa

Gallegly

Ganske

Gekas

Geren

Gibbons

Gilman

Gilchrest

Gonzalez

Goodlatte

Goodling

Gordon

Graham

Greenwood

Gunderson

Gutierrez

Gutknecht Hall (OH)

Hall (TX)

Hamilton

Hancock

Goss

Flanagan

Frank (MA)

Franks (CT)

Franks (NJ)

Funderburk

Frelinghuysen

Farr

Emerson

Doolittle

Cunningham

de la Garza

Diaz-Balart

Wise

Poshard McCrery Spratt McDade Stearns Pryce McHugh Quillen Stenholm McInnis Quinn Stockman McIntosh Radanovich Studds McKeon Regula Stump Richardson Meehan Talent Riggs Menendez Tanner Metcalf Roberts Tate Meyers Roemer Tauzin Mica Rogers Taylor (NC) Miller (FL) Rohrabacher Tejeda Molinari Ros-Lehtinen Thomas Montgomery Roth Thornberry Moorhead Roukema Thornton Morella Royce Tiahrt Myers Torkildsen Myrick Salmon Upton Sanford Neal Visclosky Neumann Vucanovich Ney Norwood Saxton Walker Scarborough Walsh Obey Schaefer Wamp Watts (OK) Ortiz Schiff Orton Sensenbrenner Waxman Oxley Shadegg Weldon (FL) Packard Shaw Weldon (PA) Parker Shays Weller Pastor Shuster White Paxon Sisisky Whitfield Payne (VA) Skaggs Peterson (FL) Skeen Wicker Williams Petri Skelton Wolf Pickett Smith (TX) Young (AK) Pombo Smith (WA) Young (FL) Pomeroy Solomon Porter Souder Zeliff Spence

# ANSWERED "PRESENT"-1

Zimmer

#### Abercrombie

## NOT VOTING-38

# □ 1726

The Clerk announced the following pairs:

On this vote:

Portman

Ms. McKinney for, with Mr. Bilbray against.

Mr. Rangel for, with Mr. Bono against. Ms. Kaptur for, with Mr. Hostettler

against. Mrs. Collins of Illinois for, with Mrs. Waldholtz against.

Messrs GRAHAM, SAWYER, QUIL-LEN, and COYNE changed their vote from "ave" to "no."

Messrs. PALLONE, NADLER, BENT-SEN, SMITH of New Jersey, STOKES, WARD, GENE GREEN of Texas, and OBERSTAR, and Ms. JACKSON-LEE changed their vote from "no" to "aye."

So the amendment to the amendment in the nature of a substitute was rejected.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

# PERSONAL EXPLANATION

Mr. FOLEY. Mr. Chairman, on rollcall No. 355, I was tied up in rush hour traffic and missed the vote.

Had I been present, I would have voted "nay.

The CHAIRMAN. Pursuant to the rule, the Chair announces he will reduce to a minimum of 5 minutes the

period of time within which a vote by electronic device will be taken on each amendment on which the chair has postponed proceedings.

AMENDMENT OFFERED BY MR. MILLER OF CALI-FORNIA TO THE AMENDMENT IN THE NATURE OF A SUBSTITUTE OFFERED BY MR. YOUNG OF

The CHAIRMAN. The pending business is the demand for a recorded vote on the amendment offered by the gentleman from California [Mr. MILLER] to the amendment in the nature of a substitute offered by the gentleman from Alaska [Mr. YOUNG] on which further proceedings were postponed and on which the noes prevailed by voice vote.

The Clerk will designate the amendment.

The Clerk designated the amendment.

The CHAIRMAN. A recorded vote has been demanded.

A recorded vote was ordered.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—ayes 95, noes 301, not voting 38, as follows:

# [Roll No. 556]

## AYES—95

Hinchey Abercrombie Obey Baldacci Holden Olver Barrett (WI) Johnson (CT) Pallone Beilenson Johnson (SD) Payne (NJ) Bevill Johnston Pelosi Bishop Kanjorski Rahall Kennedy (RI) Bonio Reed Borski Kennelly Rivers Clay Clyburn Kildee Roybal-Allard Kleczka Rush Conyers Klink Sabo DeFazio Lantos Sanders Lewis (GA) DeLauro Schroeder Dellums Lofgren Schumer Deutsch Lowey Scott Dingell Luther Serrano Doyle Maloney Shays Durbin Markey Slaughter Engel Mascara Stark McCarthy Stokes Evans McDermott Fattah McHale Stupak Thompson Meek Tucker Flake Metcalf Foglietta Vento Mfume Furse Miller (CA) Ward Waters Gejdenson Mineta Gephardt Mink Williams Gutierrez Mollohan Woolsey Wyden Harman Murtha Hastings (FL) Nadler Wynn Herge Oberstar

#### NOES-301

Bryant (TN) Ackerman Cooley Costello Allard Bryant (TX) Andrews Bunn Cox Archer Bunning Covne Armey Burr Cramer Bachus Burton Crane Baker (CA) Buver Crapo Ballenger Callahan Cremeans Barr Calvert Cubin Barrett (NE) Cunningham Camp Canady Bartlett Danner Barton Cardin Davis de la Garza Castle Bass Bentsen Chabot Deal Chambliss Bereuter DeLay Berman Chapman Diaz-Balart Bilirakis Chenoweth Dickey Bliley Christensen Dicks Blute Chrysler Dixon Boehlert Clayton Doggett Boehner Clinger Dooley Bonilla Coble Doolittle Boucher Coburn Coleman Dornan Dreier Brewster Collins (GA) Browder Duncan Brown (FL) Combest Dunn Condit Edwards Brownback

#### Allard Archer Armey Bachus Baker (CA) Ballenger Barr Barrett (NE) Barrett (WI) Barton Bass Bereuter Berman Bevill Bilirakis Bliley Blute Boehlert Boehner Bonilla Boucher Brewster Browder Brownback Bryant (TN) Bunn Bunning Burr Burton Buyer Callahan Calvert Camp Canady Castle Chabot Chambliss Chapman Chenoweth Christensen Chrysler Clinger Coble Coburn Coleman

Collins (GA)

Combest

Costello

Cooley

Cramer

Crane

Crapo

Cox Coyne

**Ehlers** LaHood Rogers Ehrlich Rohrabacher Largent Emerson Latham Ros-Lehtinen Ensign LaTourette Rose Everett Laughlin Roth Farr Lazio Roukema Fawell Leach Royce Levin Fazio Salmon Fields (LA) Lewis (CA) Sanford Flanagan Lewis (KY) Sawyer Foley Lightfoot Saxton Forbes Lincoln Scarborough Fowler Linder Schaefer Lipinski Fox Schiff Frank (MA) Livingston Sensenbrenner LoBiondo Franks (CT) Shadegg Franks (NJ) Longley Shaw Frelinghuysen Lucas Shuster Manton Frisa Sisisky Frost Manzullo Skaggs Funderburk Martinez Skeen Gallegly Martini Skelton Ganske Smith (MI) Gekas McCollum Smith (NJ) McCrery Geren Smith (TX) Gibbons McDade Smith (WA) Gilchrest McHugh Solomon Gilman McInnis Souder Gonzalez McIntosh Spence Goodlatte McKeon Spratt Goodling McNulty Meehan Stearns Goss Stenholm Graham Menendez Stockman Green Meyers Mica Greenwood Studds Miller (FL) Stump Gunderson Gutknecht Minge Talent Molinari Hall (OH) Tanner Hall (TX) Montgomery Tate Hamilton Moorhead Tauzin Hancock Moran Taylor (MS) Morella Hastert Taylor (NC) Hastings (WA) Myers Teieda Hayes Myrick Thomas Hayworth Neal Thornberry Hefley Neumann Thornton Ney Norwood Hefner Thurman Heineman Hilleary Ortiz Torkildsen Hobson Orton Traficant Hoekstra Oxley Upton Hoke Packard Visclosky Horn Parker Volkmer Houghton Pastor Vucanovich Paxon Hoyer Walker Payne (VA) Hunter Walsh Hutchinson Peterson (FL) Wamp Watt (NC) Hyde Peterson (MN) Petri Inglis Watts (OK) Pickett Istook Waxman Jackson-Lee Pombo Weldon (FL) Johnson, E.B. Pomerov Weldon (PA) Johnson, Sam Weller Jones Portman White Kasich Poshard Whitfield Kelly Pryce Kennedy (MA) Wicker Quillen Wilson Quinn Kim Radanovich Wise King Regula Richardson Wolf Kingston Young (AK) Klug Knollenberg Young (FL) Riggs Roberts Kolbe Zeliff LaFalce Roemer Zimmei

## NOT VOTING-38

Ewing Nethercutt Baesler Baker (LA) Fields (TX) Nussle Barcia Ford Owens Bateman Gillmor Ramstad Gordon Becerra Rangel Bilbray Hansen Reynolds Bono Hilliard Seastrand Brown (CA) Hostettler Torres Brown (OH) Jacobs Torricelli Clement Jefferson Towns Kaptur McKinney Collins (IL) Velazquez Collins (MI) English Moakley

#### □ 1735

The Clerk announced the following pairs:

## On this vote:

Ms. McKinney for, with Mr. Bilbray against.

Mrs. Collins of Illinois for, with Mr. Bono against.

Mr. MORAN changed his vote from "aye" to "no."

So the amendment to the amendment in the nature of a substitute was rejected.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

The CHAIRMAN pro tempore (Mr. LINDER). The question is on the amendment in the nature of a substitute offered by the gentleman from Alaska [Mr. YOUNG], as amended.

The amendment in the nature of a substitute, as amended, was agreed to.

The CHAIRMAN pro tempore. The question is on the committee amendment in the nature of a substitute, as amended.

The committee amendment in the nature of a substitute, as amended, was agreed to.

Mr. GILMAN. Mr. Chairman, I move to strike the last word.

(Mr. GILMAN asked and was given permission to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. GILMAN. Mr. Chairman, I rise in support of the substitute recommended by the Committee on Resources.

Mr. Chairman, I rise in support of the amendment in the nature of a substitute recommended by the Resources Committee. The legislation before us today, H.R. 70, will permit the export of Alaskan North Slope oil if carried in U.S. flag vessels. Under the terms of the bill, the President retains the authority to retract these oil exports in an emergency and would only authorize these exports with an appropriate environmental review and with a determination that the exports would not reduce the amount of oil available to the United States.

In addition, the bill preserves the ability of countries such as Israel, which have a bilateral supply agreement with the United States, to acquire oil supplies without being subject to United States-flag transportation requirements.

Enactment of this legislation will benefit our merchant marine at the same time that it will decrease our dependence on foreign oil. A 1994 report issued by the Department of Energy concluded that lifting the ban on the export of Alaskan North Slope oil would add up to \$180 million in tax revenue to the U.S. Treasury and would create up to 25,000 jobs by the turn of the century, while preserving 3,300 maritime jobs.

In response to concerns about the bill voiced by the Commission of the European Communities concerning this legislation, I have sought and received assurances from the Office of the U.S. Trade Representative that the provisions of H.R. 70 are consistent with our obligations under the World Trade Organization and the Organization of the Economic Cooperation and Development.

As part of my statement, I request the inclusion of a copy of a letter, dated July 24, I have just received from the U.S. Trade Representative, confirming that the provisions of the bill do not present any legal problem for the United States

It is my expectation that in a conference with the other body on this legislation, conferees from the International Relations Committee will closely monitor this issue and will ensure that the committee continues to exercise jurisdiction over short supply controls pursuant to the Export Administration Act.

I compliment the distinguished chairman of the Resources Committee, Mr. YOUNG, for his many years of work on this important issue and for his balanced and well-crafted bill before us today. Accordingly, I urge my colleagues to vote "yes" on H.R. 70.

U.S. Trade Representative, *Washington, DC, July 24, 1995.* Hon. Benjamin A. Gilman,

House of Representatives, Washington, DC.

DEAR CHAIRMAN GILMAN: This replies to your letter of June 14, 1995 requesting information on the implications of the cargo preference provisions of H.R. 70 on our obligations under the World Trade Organization and the OECD, and on whether those provisions violate any trade agreements. As we understand it, H.R. 70 would require that exported ANS oil be carried on vessels that are U.S.-flag and U.S.-crew, but not U.S.-build.

As to WTO violations, I can state categorically that H.R. 70, as currently drafted, does not present a legal problem. Further, we do not believe that the legislation will violate our obligations under the OECD's Code of Liberalization of Current Invisible Operations or its companion Common Principles of Shipping Policy.

Moreover, the OECD does not have a mechanism for the settlement of disputes and its associated right of retaliation. While Parties to the OECD are obligated to defend practices that are not consistent with the Codes, the OECD process does not contain a dispute mechanism with possible retaliation rights. (The OECD Shipbuilding Agreement, by contrast, does contain specific dispute settlement mechanisms, although the Agreement does not address flag or crew issues).

I would also like to address the implications of H.R. 70 on the GATS Ministerial Decision of Negotiations on Maritime Transport Services (Maritime Decision), which is the document that guides the current negotiations on maritime in the WTO. The Maritime Decision contains a political commitment by each participant not to adopt restrictive measures that would "improve its negotiating position" during the negotiations (which expire in 1996). This political commitment is generally referred to as a "peace clause." Actions inconsistent with the peace clause, or any other aspect of the Maritime Decision, cannot give rise to a dispute under the WTO, since such decisions are not legally binding obligations.

There are, of course, potential implications for violating the peace clause by adopting new restrictive measures during the course of the negotiations. These implications could include changes in the willingness of other parties to negotiate seriously to remove maritime restrictions and might lead to certain parties simply abandoning the negotiating table. But the Maritime Decision does not provide the opportunity for retaliation.

Our view is that the U.S. flag preference provisions of H.R. 70 do not measurably increase the level of preference for U.S. flag carriers and actually present opportunities for foreign flag vessels to carry more oil to the United States, in light of the potentially new market situation resulting from enactment of H.R. 70. Thus, it would be very difficult indeed for foreign parties to make a credible case that the U.S. has "improved its negotiating position" as the result of H.R. 70.

I trust this information is of assistance to you. Please do not hesitate to contact me or the staff should you need more information. Sincerely,

MICHAEL KANTOR.

The CHAIRMAN pro tempore. Under the rule, the Committee rises.

Towns

Velazquez

Manton

Manzullo

Martinez

McCarthy

McCollum

McCrery

McDade

McHugh

McInnis

McIntosh

McKeon

McNulty

Meehan

Menendez

Miller (FL)

Molinari

Mollohan

Moorhead

Moran

Morella

Murtha

Myrick

Neumann

Norwood

Neal

Ney

Ortiz

Orton

Oxley

Packard

Pallone

Parker

Pastor

Paxon

Petri

Pickett

Pombo

Pomerov

Portman

Poshard

Pryce

Quillen

Quinn

Reed

Regula

Riggs Roberts

Roemer

Rogers

Rose

Roth

Royce

Roukema

Radanovich

Richardson

Rohrabacher

Ros-Lehtinen

Payne (NJ)

Payne (VA)

Peterson (FL)

Myers

Montgomery

Meek

Meyers

Mfume

Mica

Martini

Matsui

Accordingly the Committee rose, and the Speaker pro tempore, Mr. LAHOOD, having assumed the chair, Mr. LINDER, Chairman pro tempore of the Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union, reported that that Committee, having had under consideration the bill (H.R. 70) to permit exports of certain domestically produced crude oil, and for other purposes, pursuant to House Resolution 197, he reported the bill back to the House with an amendment adopted by the Committee of the Whole.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under the rule, the previous question is ordered.

Is a separate vote demanded on the amendment to the committee amendment in the nature of a substitute adopted by the Committee of the Whole? If not, the question is on the committee amendment in the nature of a substitute, as amended.

The committee amendment in the nature of a substitute, as amended, was agreed to.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the engrossment and third reading of the bill.

The bill was ordered to be engrossed and read a third time, and was read the third time

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the passage of the bill.

The question was taken; and the Speaker pro tempore announced that the ayes appeared to have it.

### RECORDED VOTE

Mr. GEJDENSON. Mr. Speaker, I demand a recorded vote.

A recorded vote was ordered.

Buyer

Callahan

Calvert

Abercrombie

Allard

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—ayes 324, noes 77, not voting 33, as follows:

# [Roll No. 557]

## AYES-324

Doggett

Doolittle

muu	Curvere	Doontee
Andrews	Camp	Dornan
Archer	Canady	Dreier
Armey	Cardin	Duncan
Bachus	Castle	Edwards
Baker (CA)	Chabot	Ehlers
Ballenger	Chambliss	Ehrlich
Barr	Chapman	Emerson
Barrett (NE)	Chenoweth	Engel
Bartlett	Christensen	English
Barton	Chrysler	Ensign
Bass	Clayton	Everett
Beilenson	Clinger	Farr
Bentsen	Coble	Fawell
Bereuter	Coburn	Fazio
Berman	Coleman	Fields (LA)
Bevill	Collins (GA)	Flake
Bilirakis	Combest	Flanagan
Bliley	Condit	Foglietta
Blute	Cooley	Foley
Boehlert	Cox	Forbes
Boehner	Coyne	Fowler
Bonilla	Cramer	Fox
Bono	Crane	Frank (MA)
Borski	Crapo	Franks (CT)
Boucher	Cremeans	Franks (NJ)
Brewster	Cubin	Frelinghuyser
Browder	Cunningham	Frisa
Brown (FL)	Danner	Frost
Brown (OH)	Davis	Funderburk
Brownback	de la Garza	Gallegly
Bryant (TN)	Deal	Ganske
Bryant (TX)	DeLay	Gekas
Bunn	Diaz-Balart	Geren
Bunning	Dickey	Gibbons
Burton	Dixon	Gilchrest

Gilman Gonzalez Goodlatte Goodling Gordon Goss Graham Green Greenwood Gunderson Gutknecht Hall (OH) Hall (TX) Hamilton Hancock Hastert Hastings (FL) Hastings (WA) Haves Hayworth Hefley Hefner Heineman Hilleary Hobson Hoekstra Hoke Horn Houghton Hoyer Hunter Hutchinson Inglis Istook Jackson-Lee Johnson, E. B. Johnson, Sam Johnston Jones Kasich Kennedy (MA) Kennedy (RI) Kim King Kingston Klug Knollenberg Kolbe LaFalce LaHood Largent Latham LaTourette Laughlin Lazio Leach Levin Lewis (CA) Lewis (KY) Lightfoot Lincoln Linder Lipinski Livingston LoBiondo Longley Lowey

Luther

Baldacci

Becerra

Bishop

Bonior

Clyburn

Conyers

Costello

DeFazio

DeLauro

Dellums

Deutsch

Dicks

Doyle

Dunn

Durbin

Eshoo

Evans

Fattah

Filner

Furse

Gejdenson

Gephardt

Gutierrez

Dingell

Clav

Barrett (WI)

## NOES-77

Nadler Oberstar Obey Olver Pelosi Peterson (MN) Rahall Rivers Roybal-Allard Rush Sabo Sanders Slaughter Smith (WA) Stark Tate Taylor (MS) Thompson Vento Volkmer White Williams Woolsey Wyden

Yates

Sawyer Saxton Scarborough Schaefer Schiff Schroeder Schumer Scott Sensenbrenner Serrano Shadegg Shaw Shays Shuster Sisisky Skaggs Skeen Skelton Smith (MI) Smith (NJ) Smith (TX) Solomon Souder Spence Spratt Stearns Stenholm Stockman Stokes Studds Stump Stupak Talent Tanner Tauzin Taylor (NC) Tejeda Thomas Thornberry Thornton Thurman Tiahrt Torkildsen Traficant. Tucker Upton Visclosky Vucanovich Waldholtz Walker Walsh Wamp Ward Waters Watt (NC) Watts (OK) Waxman Weldon (FL) Weldon (PA) Weller Whitfield Wicker Wilson Wise Wolf Wynn

Young (AK) Young (FL) Zeliff Zimmer

#### Salmon Sanford

NOT VOTING-33 Baesler Fields (TX) Nussle Baker (LA) Ford Owens Gillmor Porter Barcia Hansen Hilliard Bateman Ramstad Bilbray Rangel Brown (CA) Reynolds Hostettler Burr Jefferson Seastrand Clement Torres Kaptur Collins (IL) McKinney Torricelli

Moakley

Nethercutt

#### □ 1754

The Clerk announced the following pairs:

On this vote:

Collins (MI)

Ewing

Mr. Burr of North Carolina for, with Mrs. Collins of Illinois against.

Mr. Hostettler for, with Ms. Kaptur against

Mr. Bilbray for, with Ms. McKinney against.

Mrs. MALONEY changed her vote from "aye" to "no."

So the bill was passed.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

#### PERSONAL EXPLANATION

Mr. CLEMENT. Mr. Chairman, due to a delay in my flight from Nashville, I was unable to cast a vote on rollcall vote 557. Had I been present I would have voted "yea" on final passage of H.R. 70.

#### **DEPARTMENT** OF TRANSPOR-TATION AND RELATED AGEN-CIES APPROPRIATIONS ACT, 1996

The CHAIRMAN. Pursuant to House Resolution 194 and rule XXIII, the Chair declares the House in the Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union for further consideration of the bill, H.R. 2002, making appropriations for the Department of Transportation and related agencies for the fiscal year ending September 30, 1996, and for other purposes, with Mr. BE-REUTER in the chair.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The CHAIRMAN. When the Committee of the Whole rose on Friday, July 21, 1995, amendment No. 10 offered by the gentleman from Michigan [Mr. SMITH] had been disposed of, and title I was open for amendment at any point.

Are there further amendments to title I?

Mr. WOLF. Mr. Chairman, I ask unanimous consent that all debate on any amendment to title I and any amendments thereto be limited to 15 minutes each, and that the time be equally divided, with the exception of any amendment offered by the gentleman from Pennsylvania [Mr. Fogli-ETTA] and the gentleman from New York [Mr. SOLOMON].

The CHAIRMAN. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Virginia?

Mr. DEFAZIO. Reserving the right to object, Mr. Chairman, there are a number of vital amendments, and particularly the one relating to the Coast Guard, where we have quite a few speakers. If we could get 10 minutes per