

Waxman
Williams
Wilson

Wise
Wolf
Woolsey

Wyden
Wynn

NOES—237

Allard
Andrews
Archer
Armey
Bachus
Baker (CA)
Ballenger
Barr
Barrett (NE)
Bartlett
Barton
Bass
Bateman
Bereuter
Bilbray
Bilirakis
Bliley
Blute
Boehlert
Boehner
Bono
Brewster
Brownback
Bryant (TN)
Bunn
Bunning
Burr
Burton
Buyer
Callahan
Calvert
Camp
Canady
Castle
Chabot
Chambliss
Chenoweth
Christensen
Chrysler
Clinger
Coble
Coburn
Collins (GA)
Combest
Condit
Cooley
Cox
Crane
Crapo
Cremeans
Cubin
Cunningham
Deal
DeLay
Diaz-Balart
Dickey
Doolittle
Dornan
Dreier
Duncan
Dunn
Ehlers
Ehrlich
Emerson
English
Ensign
Everett
Ewing
Fawell
Fields (TX)
Flanagan
Foley
Forbes
Fowler
Fox
Franks (CT)
Franks (NJ)
Frelinghuysen
Frisa

NOT VOTING—18

Baker (LA)
Bonilla
Chapman
de la Garza
Dicks
Foglietta

□ 1545

The Clerk announced the following pair:
On this vote:
Mr. Oberstar for, with Mr. Wicker against.

Neumann
Ney
Norwood
Nussle
Oxley
Packard
Parker
Paxon
Peterson (MN)
Petri
Pickett
Pombo
Porter
Portman
Poshard
Pryce
Quillen
Quinn
Radanovich
Ramstad
Regula
Riggs
Roberts
Rogers
Rohrabacher
Ros-Lehtinen
Roth
Roukema
Royce
Salmon
Sanford
Saxton
Scarborough
Schaefer
Schiff
Seastrand
Sensenbrenner
Shadegg
Shaw
Shays
Shuster
Skeen
Smith (MI)
Smith (NJ)
Smith (TX)
Smith (WA)
Solomon
Souder
Spence
Stearns
Stenholm
Stockman
Stump
Talent
Tate
Tauzin
Taylor (MS)
Taylor (NC)
Thomas
Thornberry
Tiahrt
Torkildsen
Upton
Vucanovich
Waldholtz
Walker
Walsh
Wamp
Waters
Watts (OK)
Weldon (FL)
Weldon (PA)
Weller
White
Whitfield
Young (AK)
Young (FL)
Zeliff
Zimmer

Harman
Johnson (CT)
Kleccka
Laughlin
Lofgren
McDade
Montgomery
Oberstar
Peterson (FL)
Spratt
Wicker
Yates

So the motion to recommit was rejected.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. RIGGS). The question is on the final passage of the bill.

The question was taken; and the Speaker pro tempore announced that the noes appeared to have it.

RECORDED VOTE

Mr. GILMAN. Mr. Speaker, I demand a recorded vote.

A recorded vote was ordered.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—ayes 222, noes 192, not voting 21, as follows:

[Roll No. 366]

AYES—222

Allard
Andrews
Archer
Armey
Bachus
Baker (CA)
Ballenger
Barr
Bartlett
Barton
Bass
Bateman
Bentsen
Bereuter
Bilbray
Bilirakis
Bliley
Blute
Boehlert
Boehner
Bono
Brewster
Brownback
Bryant (TN)
Bunn
Burr
Burton
Buyer
Callahan
Calvert
Camp
Canady
Castle
Chabot
Chambliss
Chenoweth
Christensen
Chrysler
Clinger
Coble
Coburn
Collins (GA)
Combest
Condit
Cooley
Cox
Crane
Crapo
Cremeans
Cubin
Cunningham
Davis
Deal
DeLay
Diaz-Balart
Dickey
Doolittle
Dornan
Dreier
Dunn
Ehlers
Ehrlich
Emerson
English
Ensign
Ewing
Fawell
Fields (TX)
Flanagan
Foley
Forbes
Fowler
Fox
Franks (CT)
Franks (NJ)
Frelinghuysen

Abercrombie
Ackerman
Baesler
Baldacci
Barcia
Barrett (NE)
Barrett (WI)
Becerra
Beilenson
Berman
Bevill
Bishop
Bonior
Borski
Boucher
Browder
Brown (FL)
Brown (OH)
Bryant (TX)
Bunning
Cardin
Clay
Clayton
Clement
Clyburn
Coleman
Collins (IL)
Collins (MI)
Combest
Condit
Conyers
Costello
Coyne
Cramer
Danner
DeFazio
DeLauro
Dellums
Deutsch
Dingell
Dixon
Doggett
Dooley
Doyle
Duncan
Durbin
Edwards
Engel
Eshoo
Evans
Everett
Farr
Fattah
Fazio
Fields (LA)
Filner
Flake
Foglietta
Ford
Frank (MA)
Frost
Gejdenson
Gephardt
Geren

Baker (LA)
Bonilla
Brown (CA)
Chapman
de la Garza
Dicks
Furse

NOES—192

Gibbons
Gonzalez
Gordon
Green
Greenwood
Gutierrez
Hall (OH)
Hall (TX)
Hamilton
Hancock
Hansen
Hastings (FL)
Hayes
Hefner
Herger
Hilliard
Hinchee
Holden
Hoyer
Jackson-Lee
Jacobs
Jefferson
Johnson, E. B.
Johnston
Kanjorski
Kaptur
Kennedy (MA)
Kennelly
Kildee
Klink
LaFalce
Lantos
Levin
Lewis (GA)
Lincoln
Lipinski
Lowey
Maloney
Markey
Martinez
Mascara
Matsui
McCarthy
McDermott
McHale
McNulty
Meehan
Meek
Menendez
Mfume
Miller (CA)
Mineta
Minge
Mink
Moakley
Mollohan
Moran
Morella
Murtha
Myers
Nadler
Obey
Olver
Ortiz

NOT VOTING—21

Harman
Johnson (CT)
Kleccka
Laughlin
Lofgren
McDade
McKinney
Orton
Owens
Pallone
Pastor
Payne (NJ)
Payne (VA)
Pelosi
Pickett
Pomeroy
Rahall
Rangel
Reynolds
Richardson
Rivers
Roberts
Roemer
Rogers
Rose
Roybal-Allard
Rush
Sabo
Sanders
Sawyer
Schroeder
Schumer
Scott
Sensenbrenner
Serrano
Sisisky
Skaggs
Skelton
Slaughter
Stark
Stearns
Stenholm
Stokes
Studds
Stump
Stupak
Tanner
Tauzin
Taylor (MS)
Tejeda
Thompson
Thornton
Thurman
Torres
Torricelli
Towns
Trafcant
Tucker
Velazquez
Vento
Visclosky
Volkmer
Ward
Watt (NC)
Waxman
Williams
Wilson
Wise
Woolsey
Wyden
Wynn

□ 1603

The Clerk announced the following pairs:

On this vote:
Mr. Wicker for, with Mr. Montgomery against.

Mr. Bonilla for, with Mr. Oberstar against.
Mr. TEJEDA changed his vote from "aye" to "no."

So the bill was passed.
The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

PERSONAL EXPLANATION

Ms. WATERS. Mr. Speaker, on roll-call 366, I was unavoidably detained

and unable to cast my vote. Had I been present, I would have voted "no."

PERSONAL EXPLANATION

Ms. MCKINNEY. Mr. Speaker, I was unavoidably detained on rollcall vote No. 366. Had I been present, I would have voted "no."

AUTHORIZING THE CLERK TO MAKE CORRECTIONS IN ENGROSSMENT OF H.R. 1561, AMERICAN OVERSEAS INTERESTS ACT OF 1995

Mr. GILMAN. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that in the engrossment of the bill, H.R. 1561, the Clerk be authorized to correct section numbers, cross references, punctuation, and indentation, and to make any other technical and conforming changes necessary to reflect the actions of the House.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. RIGGS). Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from New York?

There was no objection.

GENERAL LEAVE

Mr. GILMAN. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent all members may have 5 legislative days in which to revise and extend their remarks, and to include extraneous material, on H.R. 1561, the bill just adopted.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from New York?

There was no objection.

LEGISLATIVE PROGRAM

(Mrs. KENNELLY asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mrs. KENNELLY. Mr. Speaker, I ask for this time for purpose of inquiring about the schedule from the gentleman from Texas [Mr. DELAY].

Mr. DELAY. Mr. Speaker will the gentlewoman yield?

Mrs. KENNELLY. I yield to the gentleman from Texas.

Mr. DELAY. I thank the gentlewoman for yielding.

The House will not be in session on Monday, June 12.

On Tuesday the House will meet at 12 o'clock p.m. to consider H.R. 1530, the fiscal year 1996 National Defense Authorization Act, subject to a rule. Members should be advised that recorded votes may take place beginning at 12 noon on Tuesday.

Wednesday and the balance of the next week the House will meet at 10 a.m. to complete consideration of H.R. 1530.

After completion of the defense measure we plan to take up the 1996 military construction appropriations bill. It is our hope to have Members on their way home to their families and

their districts by no later than 3 p.m. on Friday.

Mrs. KENNELLY. I thank the gentleman. I yield to the gentlewoman from Colorado.

Mrs. SCHROEDER. I thank the gentlewoman for yielding. I am very concerned about what I understand the rule is going to be next week. Many of us were not able to offer very critical amendments this week to the foreign aid bill, and next week I had an amendment to the defense authorization bill that would bring the authorization bill down \$9.5 billion to the level the Pentagon asked for. It is my understanding that will not be made in order and I am very concerned about that, because I understood we were going to be allowed to at least debate fundamental differences and people of the committee, of which I am on the committee and a senior ranking member on the committee, would like to debate this fundamental deference.

So I am very concerned about whether next week we are just going to be here doing some pro forma pantomime rather than getting to the fundamental issues of the defense committee and these incredible markups that have happened.

Mr. DELAY. Mr. Speaker, will the gentlewoman yield?

Mrs. KENNELLY. I yield to the gentleman from Texas.

Mr. DELAY. I am sure the chairman of the Committee on Rules would like to speak to the rule on this bill. All I can say is that this is a very important piece of legislation. We are hoping to let many issues come to the floor under this legislation. There are a lot of Members who wanted amendments; unfortunately we could not accommodate all of them, but the chairman from the Committee on Rules can probably speak to this.

Mr. SOLOMON. Mr. Speaker, will the gentlewoman yield?

Mrs. KENNELLY. I yield to the gentleman from New York.

Mr. SOLOMON. I say to my good friend, the gentlewoman from Colorado [Mrs. SCHROEDER], I am not aware of any amendments being denied as yet. We are still in the process at this late hour of consulting with both the minority on the Committee on National Security and with the minority on the Committee on Rules as to what amendments will be made in order. The rule will be, as it has been in the past, a structured rule.

However, in our preliminary discussions with the minority on the two different committees, I believe they believe this is going to be a fair rule to all Members. Certainly we are going to try to take all of the major issues, significant issues, into consideration.

As soon as I finish this colloquy we will go up to the Committee on Rules and finish the consulting, and, hopefully, within the next hour or two pass a rule.

Mrs. SCHROEDER. Mr. Speaker, will the gentlewoman yield?

Mrs. KENNELLY. I yield to the gentlewoman from Colorado.

Mrs. SCHROEDER. I am then hoping what I am hearing is that you have not made a final decision on this. I know that the ranking member on the Committee on National Security has spoken to the Speaker, has spoken to all sorts of people. We feel this is one of the most fundamental issues there, and we thought people had come here to debate reasonable levels of expenditures. To deny our side the right to offer a very basic amendment that would bring the defense budget, the bloated defense budget, in my opinion, back down to where the Commander in Chief had it and the Pentagon had it I think would be absolutely outrageous, so I am glad to hear the Committee on Rules has not done that and that is a malicious rumor, and I certainly hope the gentleman from New York will not do that, or we are going to have to declare war or something.

Mr. FRANK of Massachusetts. Mr. Speaker, will the gentlewoman yield?

Mrs. KENNELLY. I yield to the gentleman from Massachusetts.

Mr. FRANK of Massachusetts. Mr. Speaker, I want to reinforce what the gentlewoman from Colorado said. It is inconceivable to me that the proposal on the budget made by the President would not come forward. I want to add, I have been disturbed, I had hoped we had had some progress on the rule, but I do not really believe that we have. In the first place, 3 days to do the defense bill is inadequate.

Now in fairness to the chairman of the Committee on Rules, given an inadequate amount of time there is not much he can do about that, but I would say to the leadership on the Republican side, 3 days to do the whole defense bill, which I assume includes debating the rule, which includes the general debate, and then amendments on this enormous amount of money which is in fact being increased, is clearly going to be inadequate, and we are seeing a restriction.

In particular I would like to urge and I would say to my friend, the chairman of Committee on Rules, if he is going to continue to do these rules that have a 6 hour and 8 hour, in the name of basic fairness, quorum calls should not come out of that time. If there is a debate about someone's words being taken down, it should not come out of that time. The problem now is that you give us the 6 hours and the clock does not stop. It is like a basketball game where the time outs and the fouls and everything else just run the clock, and then obviously allows people to game it, and even if they are not trying to game it, it is a problem.

So to them a rule with a hour limit if it does not exclude from that time things like quorum calls, fights over points of order, et cetera, we are clearly making a mockery of the process, and I would hope that that would not continue to happen.

Mr. SOLOMON. Mr. Speaker, will the gentlewoman yield?