think that the Speaker and the chairman of the Committee on Ways and Means did not know about this special deal is absurd.

I am about to offer once again a concurrent resolution which would take out that provision. The Speaker of this Chamber has publicly stated that he supports taking it out. I have asked the gentleman from Texas [Mr. ARMEY]. I have followed the rules to this Chamber to get unanimous consent.

Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to take up Concurrent Resolution 55, which would take out the tax provision provided for Mr. Murdoch.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under the Chair's guidelines, the gentleman is not recognized for that purpose. The gentleman's time as expired.

NOTICE OF INTENT TO OFFER RESOLUTION REGARDING CON-STITUTIONALITY OF TARGETED TAX BENEFIT

Mr. DEUTSCH. Mr. Speaker, under the rule IX, I rise to serve notice that I intend to offer the following resolution and read it into the RECORD.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman is recognized.

Mr. DEUTSCH. Resolution: To preserve the constitutional role of the House of Representatives to originate revenue measures.

Whereas, rule IX of the Rules of the House of Representatives provides that questions of privileges shall arise whenever the rights of the House collectively are affected;

Whereas, under the precedents, customs, and traditions of the House, pursuant to rule IX, a question of privilege has arisen in cases involving the constitutional prerogatives of the House;

Whereas section 7 of article 1 of the Constitution require that revenue measures originate in the House of Representatives; and

Whereas the conference report on the bill, H.R. 831, contained a targeted tax benefit which was not contained in the bill as passed by the House of Representatives and which was not contained in the amendment of the Senate; Now, therefore be it

Resolved, that the Comptroller General of the United States shall prepare and transmit, within 7 days after the date of the adoption of this resolution, a report to the House of Representatives containing the opinion of the Comptroller General on whether the addition of a targeted tax benefit by the conferees of the conference report on the bill, H.R. 831 (A bill to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to permanently extend the deduction for the health insurance costs of self-employed individuals, to repeal the provision permitting nonrecognition of gain on sales and exchanges effectuating policies of the Federal Communications Commission, and for other purposes) violates the requirement of the U.S. Constitution that all revenue

measures originate in the House of Representatives.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman's notice will appear in the RECORD.

TAX CUTS AND DEFICIT REDUCTION FOR THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT

(Mr. MARTINI asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. MARTINI. Mr. Speaker, in sitting here this morning and listening to this debate, it reminds me of a debate less than 2 years ago in my home State of New Jersey. At that time, when the then-newly elected Governor Whitman spoke about tax cuts and cutting spending at the same time, then, as now, the same naysayers rose and complained and said it could not be done.

I am pleased to report today, Mr. Speaker, that less than 2 years into her term, she has accomplished two-thirds of her tax cut, with sufficient deficit reduction, and what we have witnessed in New Jersey is an increase in revenues, jobs, and a healthy economy.

I am confident that with the passage of today's bill and rule, we will accomplish the same things here for the Federal Government, and with the linkage and language that exists today in this tax bill, the linkage which assures that we will have sufficient deficit reduction with tax relief, I am even more confident that we can accomplish that goal.

PROVIDING FOR CONSIDERATION OF H.R. 1215, CONTRACT WITH AMERICA TAX RELIEF ACT OF 1995

Mr. SOLOMON. Mr. Speaker, by direction of the Committee on Rules, I call up House Resolution 128, and ask for its immediate consideration.

The Clerk read the resolution, as follows:

H. RES. 128

Resolved. That at any time after the adoption of this resolution the Speaker may, pursuant to clause 1(b) of rule XXIII, declare the House resolved into the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union for consideration of the bill (H.R. 1215) to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to strengthen the American family and create jobs. The first reading of the bill shall be dispensed with. All points of order against consideration of the bill are waived. General debate shall be confined to the bill and the amendment in the nature of a substitute made in order as original text and shall not exceed four hours, with two hours equally divided and controlled by the chairman and ranking minority member of the Committee on Ways and Means and two hours equally divided among and controlled by the chairman and ranking minority members of the Committee on the Budget and the Committee on Commerce. After general debate the bill shall be considered for amendment under the five-minute rule. It shall be in order to consider as an original bill for the purpose of amendment under the five-minute rule an amendment in the nature of a substitute

consisting of the text of H.R. 1327, modified by the amendment printed in part 1 of the report of the Committee on rules accompanying this resolution. That amendment in the nature of a substitute shall be considered as read. All points of order against that amendment in the nature of a substitute are waived. No amendment to that amendment in the nature of a substitute shall be in order except the further amendment in the nature of a substitute printed in part 2 of the report, which may be offered only by Representative Gephardt of Missouri or his designee, shall be considered as read, shall be debatable for one hour equally divided and controlled by the proponent and an opponent, and shall not be subject to amendment. All points of order against the further amendment in the nature of a substitute are waived. At the conclusion of consideration of the bill for amendment the Committee shall rise and report the bill to the House with such amendment as may have been adopted. Any Member may demand a separate vote in the House on any amendment adopted in the Committee of the Whole to the bill or to the amendment in the nature of a substitute made in order as original text. The previous question shall be considered as ordered on the bill and any amendment thereto to final passage without intervening motion except one motion to recommit with or without instructions.

□ 1300

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. GOODLATTE). The gentleman from New York [Mr. SOLOMON] is recognized for 1 hour.

Mr. SOLOMON. Mr. Speaker, for the purpose of debate only, I yield the customary 30 minutes to the gentleman from Massachusetts [Mr. MOAKLEY], pending which I yield myself such time as I may consume. During consideration of this resolution, all time yielded is for the purpose of debate only.

(Mr. SOLOMON asked and was given permission to revise and extend his remarks, and include extraneous material.)

Mr. SOLOMON. Mr. Speaker, the resolution before us is a rule providing for the consideration of the bill H.R. 1215, which is the Contract With America Tax Relief Act of 1995. The bill is appropriately entitled the Tax Fairness and Deficit Reduction Act of 1995 because it combines the tax relief provisions of H.R. 1215 with various spending reductions from other committees, both to offset the cost of the tax cuts and to begin us on a downward glide path toward a balanced budget. Have we not waited forever for this?

The rule provides for a Democrat substitute printed in part 2 of the Rules Committee report if offered by the gentleman from Missouri [Mr. GEPHARDT], the minority leader.

Finally, the rule provides for 1 motion to recommit with or without instructions.

Mr. Speaker, this rule represents the final major procedural hurdle to fulfilling our Contract With America and, oh, what an exciting, successful run this 100-day contract period has been. Did you ever think it would get here?

The bill this rule makes in order is certainly an appropriate closing to that contract. It addresses both the need to give tax relief to the American people and debt relief to future generations by locking us into a downward glide path toward a balanced budget by fiscal year 2002.

Mr. Speaker, I do not think I have to prove my credentials as a deficit hawk to anyone in this body. I am the only Member of this House, in the last 20 years, to actually offer a balanced budget with specifics. But, Mr. Speaker, like many of my colleagues, I have expressed concerns about enacting tax cuts without first making the necessary spending cuts to produce a balanced budget. That is very, very important to me. I would not be standing here today in support of this rule and bill if I did not think that this bill as modified by the adoption of the language that we are putting into this rule right now-which we will be voting on in a few minutes—locks us into that commitment. It does that. Make no mistake about it, a vote on this rule is a vote to balance the budget, and you better remember that.

To those on the other side who claim that this is some kind of a fig leaf, I would just urge you to first read the legislation. The Upton-Castle-Martini-Solomon amendment prohibits the tax cuts from taking effect until we first adopt a budget resolution that projects a balanced budget by the year 2002. It then—and this is the critical point—requires that pursuant to that budget resolution a reconciliation bill must be enacted into law that keeps that commitment with real spending cuts. And that is enacted into law. This is not some budget resolution that the Committee on Rules can waive the Budget Act for. If spending cuts are not done, those tax cuts do not become law. It is just as simple and as real as that.

Mr. Speaker, if we deviate, then further policy options for putting us back on track will be a part of the subsequent budget resolution and those in turn will be translated into real spending cuts in the reconciliation bill to follow, on which each and every one of us are going to be forced to vote on, on the floor of this House.

That is no fig leaf. Perhaps we should not have Members, running for higher office, running around here saying it is. Mr. Speaker, anyone who calls this a fig leaf does not know the difference between a fig leaf and a sledgehammer. Well, I do, believe you me. Reconciliation is a sledgehammer. If you have ever been here to vote on one, you ought to know, because you are going to be responsible to the voters back home whichever way you vote. It makes a real impact and it gets real results. You all, that are on this bigspender list I have here, always complain about it.

Mr. Speaker, over 40 amendments were filed with the Committee on Rules. Many of those amendments were good amendments that I could individually support. But we cannot rewrite the Internal Revenue Code on the floor of this House. We did not do it under a Democrat House, and we will not do it under a Republican House. Not only do such amendments affect other provisions in that code in ways we cannot always anticipate, but taken together they can also produce vast new revenue drains on the Treasury that we just cannot afford given our current deficit situation. You all know how serious that is.

I urge Members on both sides of the aisle to remain true to our past, our bipartisan practice of modified closed rules when we are dealing with tax and

reconciliation bills. Put aside your additional individual wish lists. I have done it for now, and I want you to look at the big picture. This rule and this bill takes the fiscally responsible approach of paying for the tax cuts and putting us on that downward glide path toward a balanced budget which is so terribly, terribly important to the future generations of this country.

I urge every Member to vote "yes" on the rule and to vote "yes" on this bill. The American people want it.

Mr. Speaker, I include the following for the RECORD:

CORRECTION OF VOTES IN COMMITTEE REPORT

The Rules Committee's report, House Report 104-100 on H. Res. 128, the rule for the consideration of H.R. 1215, the "Contract With America Tax Relief Act of 1995," contains an erroneously reported rollcall vote uto a typographical error during the printing process. The vote was correctly reported in the original report filed with the Clerk.

Below is a correct version of that vote as contained in the Rules Committee report as filed with the House. The amendment number referred to in the motion is to an amendment filed with the Rules Committee—a summary of which are contained following the listing of votes in the committee report.

RULES COMMITTEE ROLLCALL NO. 122

Date: April 4, 1995.

Measure: Rule for the consideration of H.R. 1215, Contract With America Tax Relief Act. Motion By: Mr. Moakley.

Summary of Motion: Allow a division of the question and a separate vote on Titles II and V (H.R. 1215), the senior citizen equity provisions.

Results: Rejected, 4 to 9.

Vote by Member: Quillen—Nay; Dreier—Nay; Goss—Nay; Linder—Nay; Pryce—Nay; Diaz-Balart—Nay; McInnis—Nay; Waldholtz—Nay; Moakley—Yea; Beilenson—Yea; Frost—Yea; Hall—Yea; Solomon—Nay.

THE AMENDMENT PROCESS UNDER SPECIAL RULES REPORTED BY THE RULES COMMITTEE, 1 103D CONGRESS VERSUS 104TH CONGRESS

[As of April 4, 1995]

Pula tina	103d Co	ongress	104th Congress			
Rule type -		Percent of total	Number of rules	Percent of total		
Open/Modified-open 2	46	44	21	75		
Modified Closed 3	49	47	7	25		
Closed 4	9	9	0	0		
Totals:	104	100	28	100		

¹This table applies only to rules which provide for the original consideration of bills, joint resolutions or budget resolutions and which provide for an amendment process. It does not apply to special rules which only waive points of order against appropriations bills which are already privileged and are considered under an open amendment process under House rules.

²An open rule is one under which any Member may offer a germane amendment under the five-minute rule. A modified open rule is one under which any Member may offer a germane amendment under the five-minute rule subject only to an overall time limit on the amendment process and/or a requirement that the amendment be preprinted in the Congressional Record.

³A modified closed rule is one under which he Rules Committee limits the amendments that may be offered only to those amendments designated in the special rule or the Rules Committee report to accompany it, or which preclude amendments to a particular portion of a bill, even though the rest of the bill may be completely open to amendment.

SPECIAL RULES REPORTED BY THE RULES COMMITTEE, 104TH CONGRESS

[As of April 4, 1995]

H. Res. No. (Date rept.)	Rule type	Bill No.	Subject	Disposition of rule
H. Res. 38 (1/18/95)			Unfunded Mandate Reform	A: 350-71 (1/19/95).
H. Res. 44 (1/24/95)	MC	H. Con. Res. 17 H.J. Res. 1	Social Security	A: 255–172 (1/25/95).
H. Res. 51 (1/31/95)	0	H.R. 101	Land Transfer, Taos Pueblo Indians	A: voice vote (2/1/95).
H. Res. 52 (1/31/95)	0	H.R. 400	Land Exchange, Arctic Nat'l. Park and Preserve	A: voice vote (2/1/95).
H. Res. 53 (1/31/95)			Land Conveyance, Butte County, Calif	A: voice vote (2/1/95).
H. Res. 55 (2/1/95)			Line Item Veto	A: voice vote (2/2/95).
H. Res. 60 (2/6/95)	0	H.R. 665	Victim Restitution	A: voice vote (2/7/95).
H. Res. 61 (2/6/95)	0	H.R. 666	Exclusionary Rule Reform	A: voice vote (2/7/95).
H. Res. 63 (2/8/95)	MO	H.R. 667	Violent Criminal Incarceration	A: voice vote (2/9/95).
H. Res. 69 (2/9/95)	0	H.R. 668	Criminal Alien Deportation	A: voice vote (2/10/95).
H. Res. 79 (2/10/95)	MO	H.R. 728		A: voice vote (2/10/95).
H. Res. 83 (2/13/95)	MO	H.R. 7	National Security Revitalization	PQ: 229-100; A: 227-127 (2/15/95).
H. Res. 88 (2/16/95)	MC	H.R. 831		PQ: 230-191; A: 229-188 (2/21/95).
H. Res. 91 (2/21/95)	0	H.R. 830		A: voice vote (2/22/95).
H. Res. 92 (2/21/95)	MC	H.R. 889	Defense Supplemental	A: 282-144 (2/22/95).
H. Res. 93 (2/22/95)	MO	H.R. 450	Regulatory Transition Act	A: 252-175 (2/23/95).
H. Res. 96 (2/24/95)				A: 253-165 (2/27/95).
H. Res. 100 (2/27/95)	0	H.R. 926	Regulatory Reform and Relief Act	A: voice vote (2/28/95).

⁴A closed rule is one under which no amendments may be offered (other than amendments recommended by the committee in reporting the bill).

CONGRESSIONAL RECORD—HOUSE

SPECIAL RULES REPORTED BY THE RULES COMMITTEE, 104TH CONGRESS—Continued
[As of April 4, 1995]

H. Res. No. (Date rept.)	Rule type	Bill No.	Subject	Disposition of rule
H. Res. 101 (2/28/95) H. Res. 104 (3/3/95) H. Res. 103 (3/3/95)	MO MO MO	H.R. 925 H.R. 988 H.R. 1058	Private Property Protection Act Attorney Accountability Act Securities Litigation Reform	A: 271–151 (3/1/95). A: voice vote (3/6/95).
H. Res. 105 (3/6/95) H. Res. 108 (3/6/95) H. Res. 109 (3/8/95)	MO Debate MC		Product Liability Reform	A: 257–155 (3/7/95). A: voice vote (3/8/95). PO: 234–191 A: 247–181 (3/9/95).
H. Res. 115 (3/14/95) H. Res. 116 (3/15/95) H. Res. 117 (3/16/95)	MO MC Debate	H.R. 1158 H.J. Res. 73 H.R. 4	Term Limits Const. Amdt	A: 242–190 (3/15/95). A: voice vote (3/28/95). A: voice vote (3/21/95).
H. Res. 119 (3/21/95) H. Res. 125 (4/3/95) H. Res. 126 (4/3/95) H. Res. 128 (4/4/95)	MC	H.R. 1271	Family Privacy Protection Act Older Persons Housing Act	A: 217–211 (3/22/95).

Codes: O-open rule; MO-modified open rule; MC-modified closed rule; C-closed rule; A-adoption vote; PQ-previous question vote. Source: Notices of Action Taken, Committee on Rules, 104th Congress.

NATIONAL TAXPAYERS UNION—BIG SPENDER OF 1993

Alabama: Rep. Tom Bevill, Rep. Robert E. Cramer, Rep. Earl F. Hilliard.

Arizona: Rep. Karan English, Rep. Ed Pastor.

Arkansas: Sen. Dale Bumpers, Sen. David Pryor, Rep. Ray Thornton.

California: Sen. Barbara Boxer, Sen. Dianne Feinstein, Rep. Xavier Becerra, Rep. Howard L. Berman, Rep. George E. Brown, Rep. Ronald V. Dellums, Rep. Julian C. Dixon, Rep. Don Edwards, Rep. Anna G. Eshoo, Rep. Sam Farr, Rep. Vic Fazio, Rep. Bob Filner, Rep. Dan Hamburg, Rep. Jane Harman, Rep. Tom Lantos, Rep. Matthew G. Martinez, Rep. Robert T. Matsui, Rep. George Miller, Rep. Norman Y. Mineta, Rep. Nancy Pelosi, Rep. Lucille Roybal-Allard, Rep. Pete Stark, Rep. Esteban E. Torres, Rep. Walter R. Tucker, Rep. Maxine Waters, Rep. Henry A. Waxman, Rep. Lynn Woolsey.

Colorado: Sen. Ben Nighthorse Campbell, Rep. David E. Skaggs. Connecticut: Sen. Christopher J. Dodd,

Rep. Rosa DeLauro, Rep. Sam Gejdenson,

Rep. Barbara B. Kennelly.

Delaware: Sen. Joseph R. Biden Jr.

Florida: Sen. Bob Graham, Rep. Jim Bacchus, Rep. Corrine Brown, Rep. Peter Deutsch, Rep. Sam M. Gibbons, Rep. Alcee L. Hastings, Rep. Harry A. Johnston, Rep. Carrie P. Meek, Rep. Pete Peterson, Rep. Karen L. Thurmon.

Georgia: Rep. Sanford D. Bishop, Rep. George Darden, Rep. John Lewis, Rep. Cynthia A. McKinney.

Hawaii: Sen. Daniel K. Akaka, Sen. Daniel K. Inouye, Rep. Neil Abercrombie, Rep. Patsy T. Mink.

Illinois: Sen. Carol Moseley-Braun, Sen. Paul Simon, Rep. Cardiss Collins, Rep. Richard J. Durbin, Rep. Lane Evans, Rep. Luis V. Gutierrez, Rep. Mel Reynolds, Rep. Dan Rostenkowski, Rep. Bobby L. Rush, Rep. George E. Sangmeister, Rep. Sidney R. Yates.

Indiana: Rep. Frank McCloskey, Rep. Peter J. Visclosky. Iowa: Sen. Tom Harkin, Rep. Neal Smith. Kansas: Rep. Dan Glickman.

Kentucky: Sen. Wendell H. Ford, Rep. Romano L. Mazzoli.

Louisiana: Sen. John B. Breaux, Sen. J. Bennett Johnston, Rep. Cleo Fields, Rep. William J. Jefferson.

Maine: Sen. George J. Mitchell, Rep. Thomas H. Andrews.

Maryland: Sen. Barbara A. Mikulski, Sen. Paul S. Sarbanes, Rep. Benjamin L. Cardin, Rep. Steny H. Hoyer, Rep. Kweisi Mfume, Rep. Albert R. Wynn.

Massachusetts: Sen. Edward M. Kennedy, Sen. John Kerry, Rep. Barney Frank, Rep. Joseph P. Kennedy, Rep. Edward J. Markey, Rep. Joe Moakley, Rep. Richard E. Neal, Rep. John W. Olver, Rep. Gerry E. Studds.

Michigan: Sen. Carl Levin, Sen. Donald W. Riegle Jr., Rep. David E. Bonior, Rep. Bob Carr, Rep. Barbara-Rose Collins, Rep. John Conyers, Rep. John D. Dingell, Rep. William D. Ford, Rep. Dale E. Kildee, Rep. Sander M. Levin.

Minnesota: Sen. Paul Wellstone, Rep. James L. Oberstar, Rep. Martin Olav Sabo, Rep. Bruce F. Vento.

Mississippi: Rep. G.V. Montgomery, Rep. Bennie Thompson, Rep. Jamie L. Whitten.

Missouri: Rep. William L. Clay, Rep. Richard A. Gephardt, Rep. Ike Skelton, Rep. Harold L. Volkmer, Rep. Alan Wheat.

Montana: Sen. $\hat{\text{Max}}$ Baucus, Rep. Pat Williams.

Nevada: Sen. Harry Reid, Rep. James Bilbray.

New Jersey: Rep. Robert Menendez, Rep. Donald M. Payne, Rep. Robert G. Torricelli. New Mexico: Rep. Bill Richardson.

New York: Sen. Daniel Patrick Moynihan, Rep. Gary L. Ackerman, Rep. Eliot L. Engel, Rep. Floyd H. Flake, Rep. Maurice D. Hinchey, Rep. George J. Hochbrueckner, Rep. Nita M. Lowey, Rep. Thomas J. Manton, Rep. Michael R. McNulty, Rep. Jerrold Nadler, Rep. Major R. Owens, Rep. Charles B. Rangel, Rep. Charles E. Schumer, Rep. Jose E. Serrano, Rep. Louise M. Slaughter, Rep. Edolphus Towns, Rep. Nydia M. Velazquez.

North Carolina: Rep. Evan Clayton, Rep. W.G. Hefner, Rep. Stephen L. Neal, Rep. David Price, Rep. Charlie Rose, Rep. Melvin Watt.

Ohio: Sen. John Glenn, Sen. Howard M. Metzenbaum, Rep. Douglas Applegate, Rep. Sherrod Brown, Rep. Tony P. Hall, Rep. Tom Sawyer, Rep. Louis Stokes, Rep. Ted Strickland.

Oklahoma: Rep. Mike Synar.

Oregon: Rep. Elizabeth Furse, Rep. Mike Kopetski, Rep. Ron Wyden.

Pennsylvania: Sen. Harris Wofford, Rep. Lucien E. Blackwell, Rep. Robert A. Borski, Rep. William J. Coyne, Rep. Thomas M. Foglietta, Rep. Paul E. Kanjorski, Rep. John P. Murtha.

Rhode Island: Sen. Claiborne Pell, Rep. Jack Reed

South Carolina: Sen. Ernest F. Hollings, Rep. James E. Clyburn, Rep. Butler Derrick, Rep. John M. Spratt.

South Dakota: Sen. Tom Daschle.

Tennessee: Sen. Harlan Mathews, Sen. Jim Sasser, Rep. Harold E. Ford.

Texas: Rep. Jack Brooks, Rep. John Bryant, Rep. Jim Chapman, Rep. Ronald D. Coleman, Rep. E. de la Garza, Rep. Martin Frost, Rep. Henry B. Gonzalez, Rep. Gene Green, Rep. Eddie Bernice Johnson, Rep. Solomon P. Ortiz, Rep. J.J. Pickle, Rep. Frank Tejeda, Rep. Craig Washington, Rep. Charles Wilson.

Vermont: Sen. Patrick J. Leahy, Rep. Bernard Sanders.

Virginia: Rep. Rick Boucher, Rep. Leslie L. Byrne, Rep. James P. Moran, Rep. Robert C. Scott.

Washington: Sen. Patty Murray, Rep. Norm Dicks, Rep. Mike Kreidler, Rep. Jim McDermott, Rep. Al Swift, Rep. Jolene Unsoeld.

West Virginia: Sen. Robert C. Byrd, Sen. John D. Rockefeller IV, Rep. Alan B. Mollohan, Rep. Nick J. Rahall, Rep. Bob Wise.

Wisconsin: Rep. Gerald D. Kleczka, Rep. David R. Obey.

NATIONAL TAXPAYERS UNION

Name	PA	Sta	Dist	Grade	Percent score	Rank	Percent attend.	381839 All Avg. Support 382510 Support	830962 0.45922 Eligibility 832963 Eligibility	LDR	Budg- et	Approp.	Region
Long J (IN)	D	IN	4	D	42	219	100.00	845	2028				2
Cox J (IL)	D	ΪĹ	16	D	42	220	100.00	843	2028				2
Stallings R (ID)	D	ID	2	D	41	221	90.53	761	1836				1
Johnston H (FL)	D	FL	14	D	41	222	98.47	826	1997				3
Mazzoli R (KY)	D	KY	3	D	41	223	100.00	838	2028	d			3
Larocco L (ID)	D	ID	1	D	41	224	99.51	831	2018				1
Visclosky P (IN)	D	IN	1	D	41	225	100.00	832	2028			1	2
Lloyd M (TN)	D	TN	3	D	41	226	97.83	810	1984				3
Lancaster H (NC)	D	NC	3	D	41	227	99.90	823	2026				3
Huckaby J (LA)	D	LA	5	D	41	228	86.09	709	1746		1		3
Peterson C (MN)	D	MN	7	D	40	229	99.46	811	2017				2
Andrews M (TX)	D	TX	25	D	40	230	99.95	815	2027				3
Schroeder P (CO)	D	CO	1	D	40	231	99.61	802	2020	d			1
Thomas L (GA)	D	GA	1	D	39	232	83.04	665	1684			1	3
Brewster B (OK)	D	OK	3	D	39	233	99.85	799	2025				3
Cramer B (AL)	D	AL	5	D	39	234	99.56	795	2019				3
Hoagland P (NE)	D	NE	2	D	39	235	99.75	796	2023				2
Beilenson A (CA)	D	CA	23	D	39	236	92.55	737	1877		1		1
Derrick B (SC)	D	SC	3	D	39	237	99.80	790	2024	d			3

NATIONAL TAXPAYERS UNION—Continued

Pickett D (W)	1	3 3 4 4 4 4 4 3 3 3 3 2 2 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 3 3 3 2 2 3 3 4 4 4 4 4
McMillen T (MD)	1	1 3 3 2 1 2 4 4 2 2 3 3 3 2 3 3 2 3 4 4 4 2 4 4 4 4
Gordon's (TN)	1	1 3 3 2 1 2 4 4 2 2 3 3 3 2 3 3 2 3 4 4 4 2 4 4 4 4
Browder G (AL)	1	3 2 1 2 4 2 1 2 2 4 3 3 3 3 3 2 3 3 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4
Pease D (OH)	1	2 1 2 2 1 2 2 4 3 3 2 3 3 2 3 3 4 4 2 2 4 4 2 2 4 4 2 2 3 3 3 4 4 4 3 4 3
Bruce (I)	1	4 2 1 1 2 2 2 4 3 3 3 3 2 2 3 3 4 4 2 4 4
Defazio P (OR)	1	1 2 2 4 3 2 3 3 2 3 3 2 3 4 4 2 4 4 4 2 3 2 3
Dehrison T (SD)		2 4 3 2 3 3 2 3 2 3 4 4 2
Bryant J (TX)	1	3 2 3 3 2 3 2 3 4 2 4
Perkins E (CA)	1	3 3 2 3 2 3 4 2 4
Vento B (MN) D MN 4 D 35 261 99.61 700 2020 Espy M (MS) D MS 2 D 34 262 96.99 676 1967 1 Eckarl D (OH) D OH 11 D 34 263 96.60 668 1959 d Costello J (IL) D IL 21 D 34 264 94.23 650 1911 Murphy A (PA) D PA 22 F 33 266 93.74 633 1901 Hall T (OH) D OH 3 F 33 267 99.21 667 2012 Andrews R (NI) D NI 1 F 33 268 97.68 653 1981 Halt T (OH) D GA 2 F 33 269 62.72 419 1272 Volkmer B (MO) D GA 2 F 33 269<	1	3 2 3 4 2 4
Costello J (IL)	1	3 4 2 4
Half T(OH) D OH 3 F 33 267 99.21 667 2012 Andrews R (NU) D NU 1 F 33 268 97.68 653 1981 Batcher C (GA) D GA 2 F 33 269 62.72 419 1272 Volkmer H (MO) D MO 9 F 33 270 98.96 660 2007 Price D (NC) D NC 4 F 33 271 99.70 664 2022 McCloskey F (NN) D NR 8 F 33 272 98.67 656 2001 Stark P (CA) D CA 9 F 33 273 98.67 656 2001 Stark P (CA) D CA 9 F 33 273 98.67 656 1201 Stark P (CA) D CA 9 F 33 273 92.66 612 1871 Schumer C (NY) D NY 10 F 32 274 95.51 627 1937 Aucoin L (OR) D OR 1 F 32 274 95.51 627 1937 Aucoin L (OR) D NY 10 F 32 275 86.19 565 1748 Peterson P (FL) D F L 2 F 32 276 97.14 634 1970 Russon M (IL) D IL 3 F 32 277 74.36 485 1508 d	1	4
Hatcher C (GA)	1	
Price D (NC) D NC 4 F 33 271 99.70 664 2022 McCloskey F (IN) D IN 8 F 33 271 99.70 664 2022 Stark P (CA) D CA 9 F 33 273 92.26 612 1871 Schumer C (NY) D NY 10 F 32 274 95.51 627 1937 Aucoin L (DR) D OR 1 F 32 275 86.19 565 1748 Peterson P (FL) D FL 2 F 32 276 97.14 634 1970 Russo M (IL) D IL 3 F 32 277 74.36 485 1508 d	1	3 2
Stark P (CA) D CA 9 F 33 273 92.26 612 1871 Schumer C (NY) D NY 10 F 32 274 95.51 627 1937 Aucoin L (OR) D OR 1 F 32 275 86.19 565 1748 Peterson P (FL) D FL 2 F 32 276 97.14 634 1970 Russo M (IL) D IL 3 F 32 277 74.36 485 1508 d		3 2
Aucoin L (DR) D OR 1 F 32 275 86.19 565 1748 Peterson P (FL) D FL 2 F 32 276 97.14 634 1970 Russo M (IL) D IL 3 F 32 277 74.36 485 1508 d		1 4
	1	1
Applegate (OH)		2
Synar M (0K) D 0K 2 F 31 279 98.42 626 1996 d Wilson C (TX) D TX 2 F 31 280 95.61 608 1939	1	3
Wyden R (OR) D OR 3 F 31 281 99.31 631 2014 Pickle J (TX) D TX 10 F 31 282 97.78 621 1983 Olin J (VA) D VA 6 F 31 283 88.56 561 1796		3
UIII J (VA)		1 4
Jones B (GA) D GA 4 F 31 286 78.16 491 1585 Lipinski W (IL) D IL 5 F 31 287 86.93 545 1763		3 2
Durbin R (IL) D IL 20 F 31 288 99.90 626 2026 1 Oberstar J (MN) D MN 8 F 31 289 99.95 626 2027 1		2
McDermott J (WA)		1
Slaughter L (NY) D NY 30 F 31 292 99.16 614 2011 1 Conyers J (MI) D MI 1 F 30 293 83.43 514 1692		4
Yates S (L) D IL 9 F 30 294 85.31 525 1730 Kostmayer P (PA) D PA 8 F 30 295 99.80 614 2024 d Ford H (TN) D TN 9 F 30 296 84.27 518 1709		2 4 3
Ford H (TN) D TN 9 F 30 296 84.27 518 1709 Gejdenson S (CT) D CT 2 F 30 297 99.56 611 2019 d Andrews T (ME) D ME 1 F 30 298 98.67 603 2001		4
Hayes C (IL)		2
Chapman J (TX) D TX 1 F 30 301 98.62 590 2000 Sawyer T (OH) D OH 14 F 29 302 99.90 597 2026	1	3
Hamilton L (IN) D IN 9 F 29 303 100.00 597 2028 Levin S (MI) D MI 17 F 29 304 99.90 596 2026		2
Leighan E (OH) D OH 19 F 29 305 88.66 528 1798 Richardson B (NM) D NM 3 F 29 306 96.89 576 1965		1
Kennelly B (CT) D CT 1 F 29 307 99.65 590 2021 d Frank B (MA) D MA 4 F 29 308 99.01 583 2008 1 Mfume K (MD) D MD 7 F 29 309 98.62 579 2000		4 4 4
Mfume K (MD) D MD 7 F 29 309 98.62 579 2000 Clay W. (MO) D MO 1 F 29 310 97.63 573 1980 SABO M (MN) D MN 5 F 29 311 99.21 581 2012 d 1	1	2 2
OwenS M (NY) D NY 12 F 29 312 95.66 555 1940 Wise B (WV) D WV 3 F 28 313 95.41 551 1935 1		4
Tallon R (SC) D SC 6 F 28 314 90.24 521 1830		3
Skaggs D (CO) D CO 2 F 28 316 100.00 576 2028 Kildee D (MI) D MI 7 F 28 317 100.00 570 2028 1	1	1 2
Laface J (NY) D NY 32 F 28 318 96.94 552 1966		4
Gibbons S (FL) D FL 7 F 28 320 96.65 549 1960 Washington C (TX) D TX 18 F 28 321 97.73 555 1982		3
Dellums R (CA) D CA 8 F 28 322 99.31 562 2014 Weiss T (NY) D NY 17 F 28 323 99.31 562 2014 Solarz S (NY) D NY 17 F 28 323 84.52 478 1714 Solarz S (NY) D NY 13 F 28 324 81.95 461 1662		4
Solar S (NY)		4 2
Payne D (NJ)		4
Guarini F (NU) D NJ 14 F 27 329 95.07 524 1928 1 Ortiz S (TX) D TX 27 F 27 330 94.58 521 1918		4
Nowark H (NY)		4
Flake F (NY)		4
Swift A (WA) D WA 2 F 27 335 98.96 533 2007 d Lehman R (CA) D CA 18 F 26 336 87.72 471 1779 Producture (CB) D D CA 18 F 26 336 87.72 471 1779	1	1 1
Blackwell L (PA) D PA 2 F 26 337 97.24 516 1972 Markey E (MA) D MA 7 F 26 338 95.32 503 1933 D N.V 20 F 26 328 95.32 500 502 503 500 503 500 503 500 503 500 503 500 503 500 50		4 4 4
Lowey N (NY) D NY 20 F 26 339 100.00 526 2028 Rangel C (NY) D NY 16 F 26 340 98.67 516 2001 d Foglietta T (PA) D PA 1 F 26 341 89.50 467 1815		4 4 4
Foglietta (YA)		2
Edwards D (CA) D CA 10 F 26 344 97.53 505 1978		1 2
Wheat A (MO) D MO 5 F 25 346 99.65 511 2021 d Savage G (IL) D IL 2 F 25 347 82.79 423 1679		2

NATIONAL TAXPAYERS UNION—Continued

		MILIO	UNIUN—					204655	000717				
Name	PA	Sta	Dist	Grade	Percent score	Rank	Percent attend.	381839 All Avg. Support 382510 Support	830962 0.45922 Eligibility 832963 Eligibility	LDR	Budg- et	Approp.	Region
Waxman H (CA) Scheuer J (NY) Modeley J (MA) Torricell is (R) Modeley J (MA) Heal R (MA) Horis S (MD) Horis S (MCA) Horis W (NC) Horis M (MA) Heal R (MA) Heal		CAY NYA NJI WAAAAA CAX FLA OHY PA AAY DHA CAY SAY NJI PAH CAY SAY NJI PAH CAY SAY SAY SAY SAY SAY SAY SAY SAY SAY S	24		25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25	348 349 350 351 353 353 354 355 356 360 361 362 363 364 365 367 373 374 375 378 379 370 381 381 382 383 384 387 388 389 380 381 381 382 383 384 385 386 387 376 377 378 388 389 380 381 381 381 381 382 383 384 385 386 387 376 377 378 388 389 380 381 381 381 382 383 384 385 386 387 388 389 380 381 381 382 383 384 385 386 387 388 388 389 390 390 390 390 390 400 400 400 400 400 400 400 4	97.88 91.91 92.85 97.29 92.80 98.13 100.00 99.06 100.00 99.06 100.00 99.06 100.00 99.75 98.32 94.92 94.28 96.45 99.06 68.84 99.77 99.78 96.68 97.78 96.68 97.78 98.32 99.75 99	499 465 469 491 468 492 500 495 500 495 493 472 266 485 464 485 463 471 483 373 373 481 463 474 466 468 443 432 433 408 443 432 438 408 443 372 331 418 365 415 372 373 376 379 377 375 377 375 377 375 377 375 377 375 377 375 377 377	1985 1864 1883 1973 1882 2009 2028 2006 1990 2028 2006 1991 1994 1820 1991 2007 1995 1994 1820 1991 1994 1820 1991 1994 1921 1895 1899 1994 1921 191 1895 1899 1994 1921 191 1895 1899 1994 1921 191 1895 1899 191 1895 1899 191 1895 1899 191 1895 1899 191 1895 1899 191 1895 1899 191 1895 1899 191 191 191 191 191 191 191 191 19	d d d d d d d d d d d d d d d d d d d			144441444421321221323411141333324443411441333431334442112141423224343133224442112433333
Roe R (NJ)	D D	NJ OH	8 20	F F	12 12	431 432	87.23 84.02	218 198	1769 1704				4 2

[From the National Taxpayers Union, Washington, DC]

BIGGEST SPENDERS—102D CONGRESS, 1ST SESSION 1991

Alabama: Rep. Tom Bevill, Rep. Glen Browder, Rep. Bud Cramer, Rep. Claude Harris, Sen. Howell Heflin, Sen. Richard C. Shelby

Arkansas: Rep. Bill Alexander, Rep. Beryl F. Anthony, Jr., Rep. Ray Thornton. California: Rep. Glenn M. Anderson, Rep.

California: Rep. Glenn M. Anderson, Rep. Howard L. Berman, Rep. Barbara Boxer, Rep. George E. Brown, Jr., Rep. Julian C. Dixon, Rep. Calvin Dooley, Rep. Don Edwards, Rep. Vic Fazio, Rep. Tom Lantos, Rep. Richard H. Lehman, Rep. Mel Levine, Rep. Matthew G. Martinez, Rep. Robert T. Matsui, Rep. Norman Y. Mineta, Rep. Leon E. Panetta, Rep. Nancy Pelosi, Rep. Edward R. Roybal, Rep. Esteban Edward Torres, Rep. Henry A. Waxman

Colorado: Rep. Ben Nighthorse Campbell, Rep. David E. Skaggs.

Connecticut: Rep. Rosa DeLauro, Sen. Christopher Dodd, Rep. Sam Gejdenson, Rep. Barbara B. Kennelly, Sen. Joseph Lieberman.

Florida: Rep. Jim Bacchus, Rep. Dante B. Fascell, Rep. Sam M. Gibbons, Rep. William

Lehman, Rep. Douglas Peterson, Rep. Lawrence J. Smith.

Georgia: Rep. George Darden, Rep. Charles F. Hatcher, Rep. Ed Jenkins, Rep. Ben Jones, Rep. John Lewis, Rep. J. Roy Rowland, Rep. Lindsay Thomas.

Hawaii: Rep. Neil Abercrombie, Sen. Daniel Akaka, Sen. Daniel Inouye, Rep. Patsy T. Mink.

Idaho: Rep. Larry LaRocco, Rep. Richard H. Stallings.

Illinois: Rep. Frank Annunzio, Rep. John W. Cox, Jr., Rep. Dan Rostenkowski.

Indiana: Rep. Jim Jontz, Rep. Frank McCloskey.

Iowa: Rep. David R. Nagle, Rep. Neal Smith.

Kentucky: Rep. Romano L. Mazzoli, Rep. William H. Natcher, Rep. Carl C. Perkins.

Louisiana: Sen. John Breaux, Rep. William J. Jefferson.

Maryland: Rep. Benjamin L. Cardin, Rep. Steny H. Hoyer, Rep. Tom McMillen, Sen. Paul Sarbanes.

Massachusetts: Rep. Chester G. Atkins, Rep. Barney Frank, Rep. Joseph P. Kennedy II, Rep. Edward J. Markey, Rep. Nicholas Mavroules, Rep. John Joseph Moakley, Rep. Richard E. Neal, Rep. John W. Olver.

Michigan: Rep. David E. Bonior, Rep. Bob Carr, Rep. Barbara-Rose Collins, Rep. John D. Dingell, Rep. Dennis M. Hertel, Rep. Dale E. Kildee, Rep. Sander M. Levin, Rep. Bob Traxler.

Minnesota: Rep. Martin Olav Sabo, Rep. Bruce F. Vento.

Mississippi: Rep. Mike Espy, Rep. Jamie L. Whitten.

Missouri: Rep. Richard A. Gephardt, Rep. Joan Kelly Ham, Rep. Alan Wheat.

Nebraska: Rep. Peter Hoagland.

Nevada: Rep. James H. Bilbray, Sen. Richard H. Bryan, Sen. Harry Reid.

New Jersey: Rep. Bernard J. Dwyer, Rep. Robert A. Roe, Rep. Robert G. Torricelli.

New Mexico: Rep. Bill Richardson, Sen. Jeff Bingaman.

New York: Rep. Gary L. Ackerman, Rep. Sherwood L. Boehlert, Sen. Alfonse D'Amato, Rep. Thomas J. Downey, Rep. Alfonse Eliot L. Engel, Rep. Benjamin A. Gilman, Rep. George J. Hochbrueckner, Rep. Frank Horton, Rep. John J. LaFalce, Rep. Nita M. Lowey, Rep. Thomas J. Manton, Rep. Matthew F. McHugh, Rep. Michael R. McNulty, Sen. Daniel Patrick Moynihan, Rep. Robert J. Mrazek, Rep. Charles B. Rangel, Rep. Charles E. Schumer, Rep. José E. Serrano, Rep. Louise M. Slaughter, Rep. Stephen J.

North Carolina: Rep. W.G. (Bill) Hefner, Rep. Walter B. Jones, Rep. H. Martin Lancaster, Rep. David E. Price, Rep. Charlie Rose

North Dakota: Sen. Quentin Burdick.

Ohio: Rep. Edward F. Feighan, Rep. Tony P. Hall, Rep. Mary Rose Oakar, Rep. Thomas C. Sawver.

Oklahoma: Sen. David L. Boren, Rep. Bill Brewster

Oregon: Rep. Les AuCoin, Rep. Mike Kopetski, Sen. Bob Packwood, Rep. Ron Wyden.

Pennsylvania: Rep. Robert A. Borski, Rep. William J. Coyne, Rep. Thomas M. Foglietta, Rep. Joseph M. Gaydos, Sen. Arlen Specter, Sen. Harris Wofford, Rep. Joe Kolter, Rep. Peter H. Kostmayer, Rep. John P. Murtha, Rep. Gus Yatron.

South Carolina: Rep. Butler Derrick, Rep. John M. Spratt, Jr.

Tennessee: Rep. Bob Clement, Rep. Harold E. Ford, Rep. Bart Gordon, Sen. Al Gore, Rep. Marilyn Lloyd.

Γ̂exas: Šen. Ľloyd Bentsen, Rep. Jack Brooks, Rep. John Bryant, Rep. Albert G. Bustamante, Rep. Jim Chapman, Rep. Ronald D. Coleman, Rep. E de la Garza, Rep. Chet Edwards, Rep. Martin Frost, Henry B. Gonzalez, Rep. Solomon P. Ortiz, Rep. J.J. Pickle, Rep. Charles Wilson.

Utah: Rep. Wayne Owens.

Virginia: Rep. Rick Boucher, Rep. James P. Moran, Rep. Owen B. Pickett, Sen. Charles Robb, Rep. Norman Sisisky.

Washington: Rep. Norman D. Dicks, Rep. Jim McDermott, Rep. Al Swift, Rep. Jolene Unsoeld.

West Virginia: Rep. Alan B. Mollohan, Rep. Bob Wise.

Wisconsin: Rep. Les Aspin, Rep. Gerald D. Kleczka.

[From the National Taxpayers Union, Washington, DC] BOMBS OF 1990

The number one congressional song for big

spenders in 1990 "Hey, Big Spender." Alabama: Sen. Howell T. Heflin, Sen. Richard C. Shelby, Rep. Glen Browder, Rep. Tom Bevill, Rep. Ronnie Flippo, Rep. Claude Har-

Alaska: Sen. Ted Stevens.

Arizona: Sen. Dennis DeConcini, Rep. Morris K. Udall

Arkansas: Rep. Bill Alexander, Rep. Beryl F. Anthony, Jr.

California: Sen. Alan Cranston, Rep. Douglas H. Bosco, Rep. Robert T. Matsui, Rep. Vic Fazio, Rep. Nancy Pelosi, Rep. Barbara Boxer, Rep. Don Edwards, Rep. Tom Lantos, Rep. Norman Y. Mineta, Rep. Leon E. Panetta, Rep. Richard H. Lehman, Rep. Henry A. Waxman, Rep. Edward R. Roybal, Rep. Howard L. Berman, Rep. Mel Levine, Rep. Julian C. Dixon, Rep. Augustus F. Hawkins, Rep. Matthew G. Martinez, Rep. Mervyn M. Dymally, Rep. Glenn M. Anderson, Rep. Esteban Edward Torres, Rep. George E. Brown, Jr.

Colorado: Rep. David E. Skaggs. Connecticut: Rep. Barbara B. Kennelly, Rep. Sam Gejdenson.

Florida: Sen. Bob Graham, Rep. Bill Nelson, Rep. Lawrence J. Smith, Rep. William Lehman, Rep. Dante B. Fascell.

Georgia: Sen. Wyche Fowler, Jr., Rep. Lindsay Thomas, Rep. Charles F. Hatcher, Rep. Ben Jones, Rep. John Lewis, Rep. George (Buddy) Darden, Rep. J. Roy Row-

Hawaii: Sen. Daniel K. Inouye, Sen. Daniel K. Akaka.

Illinois: Rep. Charles A. Hayes, Rep. William O. Lipinski, Rep. Cardiss Collins, Rep. Dan Rostenkowski, Rep. Sidney R. Yates, Rep. Lane Evans, Rep. Terry L. Bruce, Rep. Richard J. Durbin, Rep. Jerry F. Costello. Indiana: Rep. Peter J. Visclosky, Rep.

Frank McCloskey.

Iowa: Rep. David R. Nagle, Rep. Neal Smith.

Kentucky: Sen. Wendell H. Ford, Rep. William H. Natcher, Rep. Romano L. Mazzoli, Rep. Carl C. Perkins.

Louisiana: Sen. John B. Breaux, Sen. J. Bennett Johnston, Rep. Lindy Boggs.

Maryland: Sen. Barbara A. Mikulski, Sen. Paul Š. Sarbanes, Rep. Benjamin L. Cardin, Rep. Tom McMillen, Rep. Steny H. Hoyer.

Massachusetts: Sen. Edward M. Kennedy, Rep. Richard E. Neal, Rep. Barney Frank, Rep. Chester G. Atkins, Rep. Nicholas Mavroules, Rep. Edward J. Markey, Rep. Joseph P. Kennedy II, Rep. John Joseph Moakley, Rep. Gerry E. Studds.

Michigan: Rep. John Conyers, Jr., Rep. Howard Wolpe, Rep. Dale E. Kildee, Rep. Bob Traxler, Rep. Robert W. Davis, Rep. David E. Bonior, Rep. George W. Crockett, Jr., Rep. William D. Ford, Rep. John D. Dingell, Rep. Sander M. Levin.

Minnesota: Rep. Bruce F. Vento, Rep. Martin Olav Sabo, Rep. Gerry Sikorski, Rep. James L. Oberstar.

Mississippi: Rep. Jamie L. Whitten, Rep. Mike Espy, Rep. G.V. (Sonny) Montgomery.

Missouri: Rep. William L. (Bill) Clay, Rep. Richard A. Gephardt, Rep. Ike Skelton, Rep. Alan Wheat, Rep. Harold L. Volkmer.

Nebraska: Rep. Peter Hoagland.

Nevada: Rep. James H. Bilbray: New Jersey: Rep. Bernard J. Dwyer, Rep.

Robert A. Roe, Rep. Robert G. Torricelli, Rep. Donald Payne.

New Mexico: Sen. Jeff Bingaman, Rep. Bill Richardson.

New York: Rep. George J. Hochbrueckner, Rep. Robert J. Mrazek, Rep. Floyd H. Flake, Rep. Gary L. Ackerman, Rep. James H. Scheuer, Rep. Thomas J. Manton, Rep.

Charles E. Schumer, Rep. Edolphus Towns, Rep. Major R. Owens, Rep. Stephen J. Solarz, Rep. Charles B. Rangel, Rep. Jose E. Serrano, Rep. Eliot L. Engel, Rep. Nita M. Lowey, Rep. Bejamin A. Gilman, Rep. Michael R. McNulty, Rep. Sherwood L. Boehlert, Rep. Matthew F. McHugh, Rep. Frank Horton, Rep. Louise M. Slaughter, Rep. Henry J. Nowak.

North Carolina: Sen. Terry Sanford, Rep. Walter B. Jones, Rep. H. Martin Lancaster, Rep. David E. Price, Rep. Charlie Rose, Rep. W.G. (Bill) Hefner.

North Dakota: Sen. Quentin N. Burdick.

Ohio: Sen. John Glenn, Rep. Thomas A. Luken, Rep. Marcy Kaptur, Rep. Thomas C. Sawyer, Rep. Edward F. Feighan, Rep. Mary Rose Oakar, Rep. Louis Stokes.

Oklahoma: Sen. David L. Boren, Rep. Mike Synar, Rep. Wes Watkins.

Oregon: Rep. Les AuCoin, Rep. Ron Wyden, Rep. Peter A. DeFazio.

Pennsylvania: Rep. Thoms M. Foglietta, Rep. William H. Gray III, Rep. Robert A. Borski, Rep. Peter H. Kostmayer, Rep. John P. Murtha, Rep. William J. Coyne.

South Carolina: Rep. Butler Derrick, Rep. John M. Spratt, Jr.

South Dakota: Rep. Tim Johnson.

Tennessee: Sen. Albert Gore, Jr., Rep. Marilyn Lloyd, Rep. Bob Clement, Rep. Bart Gordon, Rep. John Tanner, Rep. Harold E.

Texas: Sen. Lloyd Bentsen, Rep. Jim Chapman, Rep. Charles Wilson, Rep. Jack Brooks, Rep. J.J. Pickle, Rep. Marvin Leath, Rep. Pete Geren, Rep. E Kika de la Garza, Rep. Ronald D. Coleman, Rep. Craig A. Washington, Rep. Henry B. Gonzalez, Rep. Albert G. Bustamante, Rep. Martin Frost, Rep. Michael A. Andrews, Rep. Solomon P. Ortiz.

Vermont: Sen. Patrick J. Leahy, Rep. James M. Jeffords.

Virginia: Rep. Norman Sisisky, Rep. Lewis F. Payne, Jr., Rep. Rick Boucher.

Washington: Sen. Brock Adams, Rep. Al Swift, Rep. Jolene Unsoeld, Rep. Norman D. Dicks Rep. Jim McDermott

West Virginia: Rep. Alan B. Mollohan, Rep. Harley O. Staggers, Jr., Rep. Bob Wise.

Wisconsin: Rep. Les Aspin, Rep. Gerald D. Kleckzka, Rep. David Obey.

VOTE TALLY MEMBER REPORT SORTED BY NET SPENDING—SENATE

[What Members of Congress voted for in the 103d Congress (Figures in millions of dollars)]

Name, party, and state	In- creases	Cuts	Net
1 Johnston, J. (D-LA)	127,123	-31.700	95.422
2 Bryan, R. (D-NV)	132,582	- 44,342	88.240
3 Bréaux, J. (D-LA)	130,572	-45.993	84,579
4 Daschle, T. (D-SD)	130,763	-46,354	84,409
5 Inouye, D. (D-HI)	130,702	-16.352	84.350
6 Moséley-Braun, C. (D-IL)	134,551	-50,324	84,229
7 Reid, H. (D-NV)	132,610	-48,449	84,161
8 Biden, J. (D–DÉ)	130,708	-46,815	83,893
9 Rockefeller, J. (D–WV)	130,488	-46,657	83,831
10 Mikulski, B. (D-MD)	128,823	-45,826	82,997
11 Akaka, D. (D-HI)	130,732	-47,884	82,848
12 Boxer, B. (D-CA)	136,389	-53,720	82,669
13 Wellstone, P. (D-MN)	135,793	-54,280	81,513
14 Riegle, D. (D-MI)	128,496	-47,037	81,459
15 Ford, W. (D–KY)	130,732	— 49,714	81,018
16 Glenn, J. (D-OH)	127,262	-46,343	80,919
17 Sarbanes, P. (D–MD)	127,332	— 47,571	79,761
18 Murray, P. (D–WA)	127,332	-48,003	79,329
19 Dodd, C. (D–CT)	126,256	-47,002	79,254
20 Feinstein, D. (D-CA)	127,521	-50,872	76,649
21 Kennedy, E. (D–MA)	127,256	– 51,079	76,177
22 Heflin, H. (D–AL)	133,490	-57,768	75,722
23 Harkin, T. (D–IA)	140,062	-64,432	75,630
24 Campbell, B. (D-CO)	127,361	- 51,818	75,543
25 Moynihan, D. (D-NY)	129,613	- 54,602	75,011
26 Mitchell, G. (D-ME)	127,308	- 52,668	74,640
27 Byrd, R. (D–WV)	128,325	- 53,869	74,456
28 Mathews, H. (D-TN)	129,125	- 56,887	72,238
29 Sasser, J. (D-TN)	132,719	- 60,681	72,038
30 Wofford, H. (D–PA)	132,613	- 61,662	70,951
31 Bradley, B. (D–NJ)	129,639	- 59,336	70,303
32 Leahy, P. (D–VT)	134,144	- 64,377 57,377	69,767
33 Bingaman, J. (D–NM)	125,602	- 56,267	69,335
34 Bumpers, D. (D–AR)	133,128	— 65,901	67,227

Cuts

Net

CONGRESSIONAL RECORD—HOUSE

VOTE TALLY MEMBER REPORT SORTED BY NET SPENDING—SENATE—Continued

[What Members of Congress voted for in the 103d Congress (Figures in millions of dollars)]

VOTE TALLY MEMBER REPORT SORTED BY NET SPENDING—HOUSE—Continued

Name

[What Members of Congress voted for in the 103d Congress (figures in millions of dollars)]

In-creases

Cuts

Net

VOTE TALLY MEMBER REPORT SORTED BY NET SPENDING—HOUSE—Continued

[What Members of Congress voted for in the 103d Congress (figures in millions of dollars)]

Name

Name, party, and state	In- creases	Cuts	Net
35 Dorgan, B. (D-ND)	132,900 127,302 127,332	- 66,454	66,446
35 Dorgan, B. (D–ND)	127,302	- 61,756	66,046
37 Kerry, J. (D–MA)	127,332	- 62,446	64,886
37 Kerry, J. (D–MA) 38 Hollings, E. (D–SC) 39 Pryor, D. (D–AR) 40 Pell, C. (D–RI) 41 Lautenberg, F. (D–NI) 42 Corrad, K. (D–ND) 43 Nunn, S. (D–GA) 44 Graham, B. (D–FL) 45 Simon, P. (D–IL) 46 Metzenbaum, H. (D–OH) 47 Baucius, M. (D–MD)	126,315	- 62,298	64,017
39 Pryor, D. (D-AR)	130,534 121,372	- 66,918 - 58,847	63,616 62,525 62,208
41 Lautenberg, F. (D-NJ)	136,633	- 74,425	62,323
42 Conrad. K. (D–ND)	131 665	- 70,587	61,078
43 Nunn, S. (D–GA)	131,665 127,354 129,093	- 69,730	57,624
44 Graham, B. (D-FL)	129,093	- 69,730 - 71,883	57,624 57,210
45 Simon, P. (D–IL)	134,777	– 82,337	52,440
46 Metzenbaum, H. (D–OH)	122,709	- 71,661	51,048
17 Baucus, M. (D–MT)	129,869	- 79,774 70,191	50,095
17 Badcuts, M. (D-WI) 18 Jeffords, J. (R-VT) 19 Feingold, R. (D-WI) 10 Robb, C. (D-VA) 11 DeConcini, D. (D-AZ) 12 Exon, J. (D-NE) 13 Kerrey, B. (D-NE)	127,492 126,993	- 79,181 - 81,812	48,311 45,121
50 Robb, C. (D–VA)	127,304	- 84,096	43,208
51 DeConcini, D. (D–AZ)	137,832	- 95,895	41,937
52 Exon, J. (D–NE)	130,612	- 89,195	41,417
53 Kerrey, B. (D-NE)	127,183	-95,574	31,609
54 Hutchison, K. (R–TX)	112,902	-84,690	28,212
54 Hutchison, K. (R–TX) 55 Lieberman, J. (D–CT) 56 Boren, D. (D–OK)	122,816	- 95,098	27,718
56 Boren, D. (D–OK)	126,528	- 100,581	25,947
57 Hatfield, M. (R-OR)	112,727	- 86,919 02,497	25,808
58 Shelby, R. (D–AL)	117,660 122,046	92,487 97,887	25,173 24,159
58 Shelby, R. (D-AL) 59 Stevens, T. (R-AK) 50 Specter, A. (R-PA) 51 Kohl, H. (D-WI) 52 Cochran, T. (R-MS) 53 Gorton, S. (R-WA) 64 Bond, C. (R-MO) 65 McCongoll, M. (D-WY)	124 538	- 100,781	23,757
61 Kohl, H. (D–WI)	124,538 124,700	- 103,945	20,755
52 Cochran, T. (R-MS)	117,697	- 101,611	16,086
63 Gorton, S. (R–WA)	117,697 119,839	- 101,611 - 108,973	10.866
54 Bond, C. (R–MO)	117.452	- 112,300	5,152
55 McConnell, M. (R–KY)	117,608 115,558	- 113,755 - 113,289	3,853 2,269
55 McConnell, M. (Ŕ–KY) 56 Lott, T. (R–MS) 57 Domenici, P. (R–NM)	115,558	- 113,289	2,269 687
50 Ronnott P (P_III)	113,763 118,656	- 113,076 - 118,998	- 342
b8 Bennett, R. (R–UT)	116,963	- 117,343	- 342 - 380
70 Hatch, O. (R–UT)	118,376	- 119,900	- 1,524
70 Hatch, O. (R–UT)	116,079	-118,112	-2,033
⁷ 2 D'Amato, A. (R–NY)	119,056	— 121,381	-2,325
73 Thurmond, S. (R–SC)	117,863	- 120,618	-2,755
74 Wallop, M. (R–WY)	96,189	- 100,419	- 4,230
75 Lugar, R. (R–IN)	115,399	- 120,289	- 4,890
76 Dole, B. (R–KS)	117,684	- 122,677	- 4,993 - 5,577
77 Pressier, E. (R–3D)	113,502 119,264	119,079 127,421	- 3,377 - 8,157
79 Murkowski, F. (R–AK)	111,051	- 120,295	- 9 244
30 Durenberger, D. (R–MN)	113,712	- 122,966	- 9,244 - 9,254
81 Coats, D. (R-IN)	111,932	- 121,410	- 9,478
16 Dule, B. (K-N.S) 77 Pressler, L. (R-SD) 78 Danforth, J. (R-MO) 79 Murkowski, F. (R-AK) 80 Durenberger, D. (R-MN) 81 Coats, D. (R-IN) 82 Packwood, B. (R-OR)	110,030	-121,330	-11,300
33 NdSSEDduill, N. (R=N3)	120,090	-133,058	-12,968
84 Chafee, J. (R-RI)	122,158	- 136,007	- 13,849
35 Warner, J. (R–VA)	104,460	- 121,462	- 17,002
30 KUIII, W. (K-DE)	95,926	- 114,511	- 18,585
37 Helms, J. (R–NC)	91,567 115,281	- 112,912	- 21,345
88 Kempthorne, D. (R–ID)	115,281	- 137,160 - 127,160	- 21,879 - 21,909
38 Kempthorne, D. (R-ID) 99 Craig, L. (R-ID) 90 McCain, J. (R-AZ) 91 Cohen, W. (R-ME)	111,698	- 137,160 - 139,708	- 28,010
91 Cohen. W. (R–ME)	116,295	- 146,117	- 29,822
92 Mack, C. (R–FL)	113,043	- 143,972	-30,929
93 Coverdell, P. (R–GA)	111,795	-142,899	-31,104
92 Mack, C. (R-FL) 93 Coverdell, P. (R-GA) 94 Simpson, A. (R-WY)	98,332	-130,480	-32,148
95 Nickles, D. (R–OK)	108,958	-142,761	-33,803
96 Grassiey, C. (R-IA)	117,692	— 152,677	- 34,985
97 Faircloth, L. (R–NC)	103,531	- 139,538	- 36,007
98 Brown, H. (R–CO)	103,040	- 140,292	- 37,252
99 Gregg, J. (R–NJ) 100 Smith, R. (R–NH)	103,600 91,214	144,296 136,976	- 40,696 - 45,762

VOTE TALLY MEMBER REPORT SORTED BY NET SPENDING—HOUSE

[What Members of Congress voted for in the 103d Congress (figures in millions of dollars)]

Name	In- creases	Cuts	Net
1 Tejeda, F. (TX)—D 2 Murtha, J. (PA)—D 3 Boshlert, S. (NY)—R 4 Conzalez, H. (TX)—D 5 Clement, B. (TX)—D 6 Chapman, J. (TX)—D 9 Fazio, V. (CA)—D 9 Dicks, N. (WA)—D 10 Darden, G. (GA)—D 11 Peterson, P. (FL)—D 12 Bevill, T. (AL)—D 13 Manton, T. (NY)—D 14 Meek, C. (FL)—D 15 Ortiz, S. (TX)—D 16 Swift, A. (WA)—D 17 Hoyer, S. (MD)—D 18 Brown, C. (FL)—D 19 DeLauro, R. (Ch)—D 20 Berman, H. (CA)—D	reases 141,363 140,545 136,912 140,382 131,474 139,177 133,297 133,288 133,263 133,263 133,263 133,263 133,2765 132,765 132,273 133,224 133,097 133,125 133,297	(47,773) (47,492) (45,270) (49,191) (43,068) (51,602) (47,577) (47,609) (47,609) (47,811) (47,881) (57,900) (47,340) (48,893) (49,213) (49,223) (49,327) (49,327)	93,590 93,053 91,642 91,191 88,406 87,575 85,720 85,669 85,452 85,452 85,452 85,452 85,156 85,102 85,452 84,383 84
22 Cramer, R. (AL)—D 3 Lancaster, H. (NC)—D 24 Roybal-Allard, L. (CA)—D 25 Smith, N. (IA)—D 26 Gephardt, R. (MO)—D 27 Hall, T. (OH)—D 28 Sawyer, T. (OH)—D 30 Gibbons, S. (FL)—D 30 Gibbons, S. (FL)—D	131,079 141,669 132,591 130,221 133,462 135,102 133,549 132,460 131,598	(47,836) (59,515) (50,597) (48,374) (51,699) (53,743) (52,280) (51,281) (50,571)	83,243 82,154 81,994 81,847 81,763 81,359 81,269 81,179 81,027

31 Glickman, D. (KS)—D	131,011	(50,128)	80,883	138 Lowey, N. (NY)—D	136,236	(80,007)	56,229
32 Price, D. (NC)—D	133.5/2	(53,450)	80,122	139 Neal, R. (MA)—D	135,123	(78,926)	56,197
33 Moran, J. (VA)—D	134,094	(54,248)	79,846	140 Fshon A (CA)—D	134,752	(79,068)	55,684
34 Richardson, B. (NM)—D		(52,617)	79,728	140 Eshoo, A. (CA)—D	131.083	(75,590)	55,493
35 Spratt, J. (SC)—D		(53,868)	79,688	142 Abercrombie, N. (HI)—D	136,002	(80,623)	55,379
36 McCloskev, F. (IN)—D	133.603	(54,139)	79,464	143 Kleczka, G. (WI)—D	136,083	(80,769)	55,314
37 Rose, C. (NC)—D	130,222	(50,862)	79,360	144 Ford, W. (MI)—D	127,978	(72,795)	55,183
38 Dixon, J. (CA)—D	135.695	(56,387)	79,308	145 Gutierrez I (II)—D	127,770	(72,618)	55,174
39 Whitten, J. (MS)—D	. 130.260	(51,373)	78,887	145 Gutierrez, L. (IL)—D 146 Hefner, W. (NC)—D	135,846	(80,675)	55,174
40 Coleman, R. (TX)—D	134,930	(56,112)	78,818	147 Huffington M (CA) D	133,040		
41 Mollohan, A. (WV)—D	. 127,593	(48,951)	78,642	147 Huffington, M. (CA)—R	94,862	(39,830)	55,032
42 Reed, J. (RI)—D		(54,455)	78,593	148 Wynn, A. (MD)—D	136,193	(81,292)	54,901
43 Thornton, R. (AR)—D	135,134	(56,709)	78,425	149 Lloyd, M. (IN)—D	128,944	(74,208)	54,736
44 Sabo, M. (MN)—D		(51,210)	78,009	150 Schumer, C. (NY)—D		(80,604)	54,623
45 Bilbray, J. (NV)—D	. 133,633	(55,667)	77,966	151 Pallone, E. (NJ)—D		(59,576)	54,116
46 Levin, S. (MI)—D	133,080	(55,338)	77,742	152 Coppersmith, S. (AZ)—D	117,093	(63,054)	54,039
47 Derrick, B. (SC)—D	129,552	(52,095)	77,457	153 Engel, E. (NY)—D		(81,675)	54,003
48 Traficant, J. (OH)—D	132,239	(54,813)	77,426	154 Hinchey, M. (NY)—D		(81,733)	53,926
49 Rogers, H. (KY)—R	129,359	(52,075)	77,284	155 Thurman, K. (FL)—D	132,997	(79,204)	53,793
50 Matsui, R. (CA)—D	134,510	(57,291)	77,219	156 McDermott, J. (WA)—D		(80,927)	53,740
51 Ackorman C (NV) D	134,510	(54,784)	77,172	157 Sharp, P. (IN)—D	131,236	(77,679)	53,557
51 Ackerman, G. (NY)—D	131,029	(54,470)	76,559	158 Schenk, L. (CA)—D	133,606	(80,147)	53,459
52 Volkmer, H. (MO)—D	. 130,804	(55,373)	75,431	159 Costello, J. (IL)—D	134,522	(81,139)	53,383
53 Skelton, I. (MO)—D	110,525	(35,608)	74,917	160 Byrne, L. (VÀ)—D	131,385	(78,014)	53,371
54 Pickett, O. (VA)—D		(54,946)	74,880	161 Kopetski, M. (OR)—D	130,335	(77,141)	53,194
55 Edwards, C. (TX)—D	133,173		74,532	162 Gilman B (NY)—R	110 441	(57,314)	53,127
56 Brooks, J. (TX)—D	133,173	(58,641) (57,848)	74,532	163 Obev. D. (WI)—D	136.075	(82,955)	53,120
57 Harman, J. (CA)—D	132,362 133,732	(60,148)	73,584	164 Menendez, R. (NJ)—D	133,872	(80,884)	52,988
58 Clyburn, J. (SC)—D	121 262	(57,945)	73,304	165 Bryant, J. (1X)—D	133,135	(80,232)	52,903
59 Mineta, N. (CA)—D	131,362		72,769	166 Slaughter, L. (NY)—D	136,055	(83,249)	52,806
60 Bentley, H. (MD)—R	112,601 130,685	(39,832) (58,569)	71,116	166 Slaughter, L. (NY)—D 167 Kanjorski, P. (PA)—D	136,145	(83,549)	52,596
61 JUHISUH, H. (FL)—D	130,000			168 Gillmor, P. (OH)—R	113,401	(60,947)	52,454
62 Stokes, L. (OH)—D	131,023	(59,011) (61,705)	72,012 71 3/1	168 Gillmor, P. (OH)—R 169 Kennedy, J. (MA)—D	135,871	(83,428)	52,443
63 Bishop, S. (GA)—D	133,046 129,656	(61,705) (58,974)	71,341 70,682	170 Covne. W. (PA)—D	136,205	(84,074)	52,131
64 Laughlin, G. (TX)—D	129,000			170 Coyne, W. (PA)—D 171 Durbin, R. (IL)—D	135,331	(83,300)	52,031
65 McNulty, M. (NY)—D	132,851	(62,223) (59,423)	70,628 70.498	172 Bacchus, J. (FL)—D	132,887	(80,920)	51,967
66 Synar, M. (OK)—D	129,921			173 Furse, E. (OR)—D		(82,816)	51,911
67 Clayton, E. (NC)—D	130,160	(59,698)	70,462	174 Edwards, D. (CA)—D	124,699	(72,855)	51,844
68 Sarpalius, B. (TX)—D	136,659	(67,164)	69,495	174 Luwalus, D. (CA)—D	136,201		51,724
69 Beilenson, A. (CA)—D	123,210	(54,085)	69,125	175 Markey, E. (MA)—D	136,243	(84,477) (84,672)	51,571
70 Olver, J. (MA)—D	136,248	(67,248)	69,000	176 Fields, C. (LA)—D	130,243		
71 Williams, P. (MI)—D	138,000	(69,030)	68,970	177 Andrews, M. (TX)—D	124,106	(72,551)	51,555
72 Morella, C. (MD)—R		(48,097)	68,757	178 Studds, G. (MA)—D	135,994	(84,675)	51,319
73 Gejdenson, S. (CT)—D	133,578	(64,972)	68,606	179 Johnson, T. (SD)—D	134,057	(82,854)	51,203
74 Conyers, J. (MI)—D	126,861	(58,795)	68,066	180 Young, D. (AK)—R		(56,885)	50,957
75 Rostenkowski, D. (IL)—D	134,763	(66,907)	67,856	181 Neal, S. (NC)—D	116,769	(65,916)	50,853
76 Hamilton, L. (IN)—D		(66,170)	67,636	182 Unsoeld, J. (WA)—D		(85,252)	50,819
77 Jefferson, W. (LA)—D	133,276	(65,803)	67,473	183 Strickland, T. (OH)—D		(85,400)	50,634
78 Torres, E. (CA)—D	133,372	(66,328)	67,044	184 Evans, L. (IL)—D		(85,511)	50,534
79 Sisisky, N. (VA)—D	117,136	(50,586)	66,550	185 Yates, S. (IL)—D	135,744	(85,592)	50,152
80 Cantwell, M. (WA)—D	133,291	(66,938)	66,353	186 Stupak, B. (MI)—D	135,875	(85,738)	50,137
81 Machtley, R. (RI)—R	117,118	(50,818)	66,300	187 Lewis, J. (GA)—D	131,820	(81,783)	50,037
82 Mfume, K. (MD)—D	. 135,916	(69,644)	66,272	188 Sanders, B. (VT)—I	128,991	(79,303)	49,688
83 Diaz-Balart, L. (FL)—R		(39,199)	66,150	189 Moakley, J. (MA)—D		(80,030)	49,552
84 Scott, R. (VA)—D	129,072	(62,932)	66,140	190 Brewster, B. (OK)—D		(59,262)	49,547
85 Maloney, C. (NY)—D	133,215	(67,248)	65,967	191 Mann, D. (OH)—D	111,590	(62,197)	49,393
86 Lipinski, W. (IL)—D	135,707	(69,875)	65,832	192 Clay, W. (MO)—D	126,983	(77,654)	49,329
87 Danner, P. (MO)—D		(70,370)	65,752	193 Vucanovich, B. (NV)—R	109,877	(60,553)	49,324
88 Hochbrueckner (NY)—D	130,549	(64,845)	65,704	194 Walsh, J. (NY)—R	132,037	(83,063)	48,974
89 Nadler, J. (NY)—D	132,948	(67,379)	65,569	195 Barlow, T. (KÝ)—D	133,075	(84,133)	48,942
90 Mazzoli, R. (KY)—D	133,475	(67,925)	65,550	196 Kaptur, M. (OH)—D	135,191	(86,679)	48,512
91 Lantos, T. (CA)—D	132,565	(67,248)	65,317	197 Andrews, T. (ME)—D	134,168	(85,669)	48,499
92 Browder, G. (AL)—D	132,765	(67,654)	65,111	198 Parker, M. (MS)—D	117,776	(69,297)	48,479
93 Klein, H. (NJ)—D	132,260	(68,715)	63,545	199 Montgomery, G. (MS)—D	122,661	(74,247)	48,414
94 Visclosky, P. (IN)—D	133,488	(70,124)	63,364	200 Payne, L. (VA)—D	126,508	(78,141)	48,367
95 Brown, G. (CA)—D	131,062	(67,969)	63,093	201 Emerson, B. (M0)—R	105,584	(57,296)	48,288
96 Waxman, H. (CA)—D	129,495	(66,453)	63,042	202 Wheat, A. (MO)—D	133,071	(84,832)	48,239
97 Reynolds, M. (IL)—D	133,322	(70,340)	62,982	203 Ros-Lehtinen, I. (FL)—R	101,273	(53,047) (78,020)	48,226
98 Kildee, D. (MI)—D	133,729	(71,150)	62,579	204 Slattery, J. (KS)—D	125,991		
99 LaFalce, J. (NY)—D	. 132,956	(70,487)	62,469		124,002		47,971
100 Fowler, T. (FL)—R 101 Blackwell, L. (PA)—D	117,511			205 Becerrá, X. (CÁ)—D	134,083	(86,337)	47,746
	122 042	(55,120)	62,391	206 Leach, J. (IA)—R	134,083 111,274	(86,337) (63,586)	47,746 47,688
	. 133,043	(70,656)	62,391 62,387	206 Leach, J. (IA)—R 207 Combest, L. (TX)—R	134,083 111,274 86,879	(86,337) (63,586) (39,267)	47,746 47,688 47,612
102 English, K. (AZ)—D	. 133,043 . 131,824	(70,656) (69,704)	62,391 62,387 62,120	206 Leach, J. (IA)—R 207 Combest, L. (TX)—R 208 McKinney, C. (GA)—D	134,083 111,274 86,879 132,747	(86,337) (63,586) (39,267) (85,370)	47,746 47,688 47,612 47,377
102 English, K. (AZ)—D 103 Spence, F. (SC)—R	133,043 131,824 103,080	(70,656) (69,704) (40,981)	62,391 62,387 62,120 62,099	206 Leach, J. (IA)—R 207 Combest, L. (TX)—R 208 McKinney, C. (GA)—D 209 Flake, F. (NY)—D	134,083 111,274 86,879 132,747 134,476	(86,337) (63,586) (39,267) (85,370) (87,420)	47,746 47,688 47,612 47,377 47,056
102 English, K. (AZ)—D 103 Spence, F. (SC)—R 104 Frost M (TX)—D	133,043 131,824 103,080 133,070	(70,656) (69,704) (40,981) (71,340)	62,391 62,387 62,120 62,099 61,730	206 Leach, J. (IA)—R 207 Combest, L. (TX)—R 208 McKinney, C. (GA)—D 209 Flake, F. (NY)—D 210 Cooper, J. (TN)—D	134,083 111,274 86,879 132,747 134,476 130,486	(86,337) (63,586) (39,267) (85,370) (87,420) (83,481)	47,746 47,688 47,612 47,377 47,056 47,005
102 English, K. (AZ)—D 103 Spence, F. (SC)—R 104 Frost, M. (TX)—D 105 Boucher, R. (VA)—D	133,043 131,824 103,080 133,070 134,942	(70,656) (69,704) (40,981) (71,340) (73,222)	62,391 62,387 62,120 62,099 61,730 61,720	206 Leach, J. (IA)—R 207 Combest, L. (TX)—R 208 McKinney, C. (GA)—D 209 Flake, F. (NY)—D 210 Cooper, J. (TN)—D 211 Rangel, C. (NY)—D	134,083 111,274 86,879 132,747 134,476 130,486 126,757	(86,337) (63,586) (39,267) (85,370) (87,420) (83,481) (79,759)	47,746 47,688 47,612 47,377 47,056 47,005 46,998
102 English, K. (AZ)—D 103 Spence, F. (SC)—R 104 Frost, M. (TX)—D 105 Boucher, R. (VA)—D 106 Dingell, J. (MI)—D	133,043 131,824 103,080 133,070 134,942 131,236	(70,656) (69,704) (40,981) (71,340) (73,222) (69,533)	62,391 62,387 62,120 62,099 61,730 61,720 61,703	206 Leach, J. (lA)—R. 207 Combest, L. (TX)—R. 208 McKinney, C. (GA)—D. 209 Flake, F. (NY)—D. 210 Cooper, J. (TN)—D. 211 Rangel, C. (NY)—D. 212 Smith, B. (OR)—R.	134,083 111,274 86,879 132,747 134,476 130,486 126,757 76,561	(86,337) (63,586) (39,267) (85,370) (87,420) (83,481) (79,759) (29,600)	47,746 47,688 47,612 47,377 47,056 47,005 46,998 46,961
102 English, K. (AZ)—D 103 Spence, F. (SC)—R 104 Frost, M. (TX)—D 105 Boucher, R. (VA)—D 106 Dingell, J. (MI)—D 107 Applegate, D. (OH)—D	133,043 131,824 103,080 133,070 134,942 131,236 129,120	(70,656) (69,704) (40,981) (71,340) (73,222) (69,533) (68,370)	62,391 62,387 62,120 62,099 61,730 61,720 61,703 60,750	206 Leach, J. (IA)—R 207 Combest, L. (TX)—R 208 McKinney, C. (GA)—D 209 Flake, F. (NY)—D 210 Cooper, J. (TN)—D 211 Rangel, C. (NY)—D 212 Smith, B. (OR)—R 213 Oberstar, J. (MN)—D	134,083 111,274 86,879 132,747 134,476 130,486 126,757 76,561 129,767	(86,337) (63,586) (39,267) (85,370) (87,420) (83,481) (79,759) (29,600) (82,993)	47,746 47,688 47,612 47,377 47,056 47,005 46,998 46,961 46,834
102 English, K. (AZ)—D 103 Spence, F. (SC)—R 104 Frost, M. (TX)—D 105 Boucher, R. (VA)—D 106 Dingell, J. (MI)—D 107 Applegate, D. (OH)—D 108 Tucker, W. (CA)—D 109 Skapps, D. (CO)—D	133,043 131,824 103,080 133,070 134,942 131,236 129,120 130,908 133,458	(70,656) (69,704) (40,981) (71,340) (73,222) (69,533) (68,370) (70,253)	62,391 62,387 62,120 62,099 61,730 61,720 61,703 60,750 60,655	206 Leach, J. (IA)—R 207 Combest, L. (TX)—R 208 McKinney, C. (GA)—D 209 Flake, F. (NY)—D 210 Cooper, J. (TN)—D 211 Rangel, C. (NY)—D 212 Smith, B. (OR)—R 213 Oberstar, J. (MN)—D 214 Vento, B. (MN)—D	134,083 111,274 86,879 132,747 134,476 130,486 126,757 76,561 129,767 131,653	(86,337) (63,586) (39,267) (85,370) (87,420) (83,481) (79,759) (29,600) (82,993) (84,926)	47,746 47,688 47,612 47,377 47,056 47,005 46,998 46,961 46,834 46,727
102 English, K. (AZ)—D 103 Spence, F. (SC)—R 104 Frost, M. (TX)—D 105 Boucher, R. (VA)—D 106 Dingell, J. (MI)—D 107 Applegate, D. (OH)—D 108 Tucker, W. (CA)—D 109 Skapps, D. (CO)—D	133,043 131,824 103,080 133,070 134,942 131,236 129,120 130,908 133,458	(70,656) (69,704) (40,981) (71,340) (73,222) (69,533) (68,370) (70,253) (72,811)	62,391 62,387 62,120 62,099 61,730 61,720 61,703 60,750 60,655 60,647	206 Leach, J. (IA)—R 207 Combest, L. (TX)—R 208 McKinney, C. (GA)—D 209 Flake, F. (NY)—D 210 Cooper, J. (TN)—D 211 Rangel, C. (NY)—D 212 Smith, B. (OR)—R 213 Oberstar, J. (MN)—D 214 Vento, B. (MN)—D	134,083 111,274 86,879 132,747 134,476 130,486 126,757 76,561 129,767 131,653	(86,337) (63,586) (39,267) (85,370) (87,420) (83,481) (79,759) (29,600) (82,993) (84,926) (85,282)	47,746 47,688 47,612 47,377 47,056 47,005 46,998 46,961 46,834 46,727 46,504
102 English, K. (AZ)—D 103 Spence, F. (SC)—R 104 Frost, M. (TX)—D 105 Boucher, R. (VA)—D 106 Dingell, J. (MI)—D 107 Applegate, D. (OH)—D 108 Tucker, W. (CA)—D 109 Skapps, D. (CO)—D	133,043 131,824 103,080 133,070 134,942 131,236 129,120 130,908 133,458	(70,656) (69,704) (40,981) (71,340) (73,222) (69,533) (68,370) (70,253) (72,811) (75,289)	62,391 62,387 62,120 62,099 61,730 61,720 61,703 60,750 60,655 60,647 60,410	206 Leach, J. (IA)—R 207 Combest, L. (TX)—R 208 McKinney, C. (GA)—D 209 Flake, F. (NY)—D 210 Cooper, J. (TN)—D 211 Rangel, C. (NY)—D 212 Smith, B. (OR)—R 213 Oberstar, J. (MN)—D 214 Vento, B. (MN)—D	134,083 111,274 86,879 132,747 134,476 130,486 126,757 76,561 129,767 131,653	(86,337) (63,586) (39,267) (85,370) (87,420) (83,481) (79,759) (29,600) (82,993) (84,926) (85,282) (87,344)	47,746 47,688 47,612 47,377 47,056 47,005 46,998 46,961 46,834 46,727 46,504 46,440
102 English, K. (AZ)—D 103 Spence, F. (SC)—R 104 Frost, M. (TX)—D 105 Boucher, R. (VA)—D 107 Applegate, D. (OH)—D 107 Applegate, D. (OH)—D 109 Skaggs, D. (CO)—D 110 Woolsey, L. (CA)—D 111 Foglietta, T. (PA)—D	133,043 131,824 103,080 133,070 134,942 131,236 129,120 130,908 133,458 135,699 133,448	(70,656) (69,704) (40,981) (71,340) (73,222) (69,533) (68,370) (70,253) (72,811) (75,289) (73,070)	62,391 62,387 62,120 62,099 61,730 61,720 61,703 60,750 60,655 60,647 60,410 60,378	206 Leach, J. (IA)—R 207 Combest, L. (TX)—R 208 McKinney, C. (GA)—D 209 Flake, F. (NY)—D 210 Cooper, J. (TN)—D 211 Rangel, C. (NY)—D 212 Smith, B. (GR)—R 213 Oberstar, J. (MN)—D 214 Vento, B. (MN)—D 215 Watt, M. (NC)—D 216 Pomeroy, E. (ND)—D 217 Pastor, E. (AZ)—D	134,083 111,274 86,879 132,747 134,476 126,757 76,561 129,767 131,653 131,786 133,784 128,259	(86,337) (63,586) (39,267) (85,370) (87,420) (83,481) (79,759) (29,600) (82,993) (84,926) (85,282) (87,344) (81,835)	47,746 47,688 47,612 47,377 47,056 47,005 46,998 46,961 46,834 46,727 46,504 46,440 46,424
102 English, K. (AZ)—D 103 Spence, F. (SC)—R 104 Frost, M. (TX)—D 105 Boucher, R. (VA)—D 107 Applegate, D. (OH)—D 108 Tucker, W. (CA)—D 110 Woolsey, L. (CA)—D 111 Foglietta, T. (PA)—D 112 Martinez, M. (CA)—D	. 133,043 . 131,824 . 103,080 . 133,070 . 134,942 . 131,236 . 129,120 . 130,908 . 133,458 . 135,699 . 133,448 . 135,563	(70,656) (69,704) (40,981) (71,340) (73,222) (69,533) (68,370) (70,253) (72,811) (75,289) (73,070) (75,388)	62,391 62,387 62,120 62,099 61,730 61,720 61,703 60,750 60,655 60,647 60,410 60,378 60,175	206 Leach, J. (IA)—R 207 Combest, L. (TX)—R 208 McKinney, C. (GA)—D 209 Flake, F. (NY)—D 210 Cooper, J. (TN)—D 211 Rangel, C. (NY)—D 212 Smith, B. (OR)—R 213 Oberstar, J. (MN)—D 214 Vento, B. (MN)—D 215 Watt, M. (NC)—D 216 Pomeroy, E. (ND)—D 217 Pastor, E. (AZ)—D 218 Tanner, J. (TN)—D	134,083 111,274 86,879 132,747 134,476 130,486 126,757 76,561 129,767 131,653 131,786 133,784 128,259 131,670	(86,337) (63,586) (39,267) (85,370) (87,420) (83,481) (79,759) (29,600) (82,993) (84,926) (85,282) (87,344) (81,835) (85,516)	47,746 47,688 47,612 47,377 47,056 47,005 46,998 46,961 46,834 46,727 46,504 46,440 46,424 46,154
102 English, K. (AZ)—D 103 Spence, F. (SC)—R 104 Frost, M. (TX)—D 105 Boucher, R. (VA)—D 107 Applegate, D. (OH)—D 107 Applegate, D. (OH)—D 109 Skaggs, D. (CO)—D 110 Woolsey, L. (CA)—D 111 Foglietta, T. (PA)—D 112 Martinez, M. (CA)—D 113 Pickle, J. (TX)—D	. 133,043 . 131,824 . 103,080 . 133,070 . 134,942 . 131,236 . 129,120 . 130,908 . 133,458 . 135,699 . 133,448 . 135,563 . 131,819	(70,656) (69,704) (40,981) (71,340) (73,222) (69,533) (68,370) (70,253) (72,811) (75,289) (73,070) (75,388) (71,968)	62,391 62,387 62,120 62,099 61,730 61,720 61,703 60,750 60,655 60,647 60,410 60,378 60,175 59,851	206 (each, J. (IA)—R 207 Combest, L. (TX)—R 208 McKinney, C. (GA)—D 209 Flake, F. (NY)—D 210 Cooper, J. (TN)—D 211 Rangel, C. (NY)—D 212 Smith, B. (OR)—R 213 Oberstar, J. (MN)—D 214 Vento, B. (MN)—D 215 Watt, M. (NC)—D 216 Pomeroy, E. (ND)—D 217 Pastor, E. (AZ)—D 218 Tanner, J. (TN)—D 219 Payne, D. (NI)—D		(86,337) (63,586) (39,267) (85,370) (87,420) (83,481) (79,759) (29,600) (82,993) (84,926) (85,282) (87,344) (81,835) (85,516) (85,294)	47,746 47,688 47,612 47,377 47,056 47,005 46,998 46,961 46,834 46,727 46,504 46,440 46,424 46,154 45,822
102 English, K. (AZ)—D 103 Spence, F. (SC)—R 104 Frost, M. (TX)—D 105 Boucher, R. (VA)—D 107 Applegate, D. (OH)—D 108 Tucker, W. (CA)—D 110 Woolsey, L. (CA)—D 111 Foglietta, T. (PA)—D 112 Martinez, M. (CA)—D 113 Pickle, J. (TX)—D 114 Filner, B. (CA)—D	133,043 131,824 103,080 133,070 134,942 131,236 129,120 130,908 133,458 135,669 133,448 135,563 131,819 130,125	(70,656) (69,704) (40,981) (71,340) (73,222) (69,533) (68,370) (70,253) (72,811) (75,289) (73,070) (75,388) (71,968) (70,313)	62,391 62,387 62,120 62,099 61,730 61,720 60,750 60,655 60,647 60,410 60,378 60,175 59,851 59,812	206 Leach, J. (IA)—R 207 Combest, L. (TX)—R 208 McKinney, C. (GA)—D 209 Flake, F. (NY)—D 210 Cooper, J. (TN)—D 211 Rangel, C. (NY)—D 212 Smith, B. (OR)—R 213 Oberstar, J. (MN)—D 214 Vento, B. (MN)—D 215 Watt, M. (NC)—D 216 Pomeroy, E. (ND)—D 217 Pastor, E. (AZ)—D 218 Tanner, J. (TN)—D 219 Payne, D. (UI)—D 220 Miller, G. (CA)—D	134,083 111,274 86,879 132,747 134,476 130,486 126,757 76,561 129,767 131,784 133,784 138,259 131,116 131,116	(86,337) (63,586) (39,267) (85,370) (87,420) (83,481) (79,759) (29,600) (82,993) (84,926) (85,282) (87,344) (81,835) (85,516) (85,294) (88,752)	47,746 47,688 47,612 47,377 47,056 47,005 46,998 46,961 46,834 46,727 46,504 46,424 46,424 45,822 45,695
102 English, K. (AZ)—D 103 Spence, F. (SC)—R 104 Frest, M. (TX)—D 105 Boucher, R. (VA)—D 107 Applegate, D. (OH)—D 107 Applegate, D. (OH)—D 109 Skaggs, D. (CO)—D 110 Woolsey, L. (CA)—D 111 Foglietta, T. (PA)—D 112 Martinez, M. (CA)—D 114 Filner, B. (CA)—D 115 Rahall, N. (WV)—D	133,043 131,824 103,080 133,070 134,942 131,236 129,120 130,908 133,458 135,699 133,448 135,563 131,819 130,704	(70,656) (69,704) (40,981) (71,340) (73,222) (69,533) (70,253) (72,811) (75,289) (73,070) (75,388) (71,968) (70,313) (70,898)	62,391 62,387 62,120 62,099 61,730 61,730 61,703 60,750 60,655 60,647 60,410 60,378 60,175 59,851 59,812	206 Leach, J. (IA)—R 207 Combest, L. (TX)—R 208 McKinney, C. (GA)—D 209 Flake, F. (NY)—D 210 Cooper, J. (TN)—D 211 Rangel, C. (NY)—D 212 Smith, B. (OR)—R 213 Oberstar, J. (MN)—D 214 Vento, B. (MN)—D 215 Watt, M. (NC)—D 216 Pomeroy, E. (ND)—D 217 Pastor, E. (AZ)—D 218 Tanner, J. (TN)—D 219 Payne, D. (UI)—D 220 Miller, G. (CA)—D	134,083 111,274 86,879 132,747 134,476 130,486 126,757 76,561 129,767 131,784 133,784 138,259 131,116 131,116	(86,337) (63,586) (39,267) (85,370) (87,420) (83,481) (79,759) (29,600) (82,993) (84,926) (85,282) (87,344) (81,835) (85,516) (85,294) (88,752) (87,191)	47,746 47,688 47,612 47,377 47,056 47,005 46,996 46,961 46,834 46,727 46,504 46,440 46,424 46,154 45,822 45,695 45,511
102 English, K. (AZ)—D 103 Spence, F. (SC)—R 104 Frost, M. (TX)—D 105 Boucher, R. (VA)—D 107 Applegate, D. (OH)—D 107 Applegate, D. (OH)—D 108 Tucker, W. (CA)—D 110 Woolsey, L. (CA)—D 111 Foglietta, T. (PA)—D 112 Martinez, M. (CA)—D 113 Pickle, J. (TX)—D 114 Filher, B. (CA)—D 115 Rahall, N. (W)—D	. 133,043 . 131,824 . 103,080 . 133,070 . 134,942 . 131,236 . 129,120 . 130,908 . 133,458 . 135,569 . 135,563 . 131,819 . 130,704 . 130,704	(70,656) (69,704) (40,981) (71,340) (73,222) (69,533) (68,370) (70,253) (72,811) (75,289) (73,070) (75,388) (71,968) (70,313) (70,898) (68,375)	62,391 62,387 62,120 62,099 61,730 61,720 61,733 60,750 60,655 60,647 60,410 60,378 60,175 59,851 59,812 59,806 59,545	206 (each, J. (IA)—R 207 Combest, L. (TX)—R 208 McKinney, C. (GA)—D 209 Flake, F. (NY)—D 210 Cooper, J. (TN)—D 211 Rangel, C. (NY)—D 212 Smith, B. (OR)—R 213 Oberstar, J. (MN)—D 214 Vento, B. (MN)—D 215 Watt, M. (NC)—D 216 Pomeroy, E. (ND)—D 217 Pastor, E. (AZ)—D 218 Tanner, J. (TN)—D 219 Payne, D. (NI)—D 220 Miller, G. (CA)—D 221 Hoagland, P. (NE)—D 221 Hoagland, P. (NE)—D	134,083 111,274 86,879 132,747 132,747 134,476 130,486 126,757 76,561 129,767 131,653 131,784 138,259 131,670 131,670 132,702 131,670	(86,337) (63,586) (39,267) (85,370) (87,420) (83,481) (79,759) (29,600) (82,993) (84,926) (85,282) (87,344) (81,835) (85,516) (85,294) (88,752) (87,191) (87,544)	47,746 47,682 47,075 47,056 47,056 47,056 47,056 46,998 46,961 46,834 46,727 46,504 46,424 46,424 45,822 45,695 45,511
102 English, K. (AZ)—D 103 Spence, F. (SC)—R 104 Frost, M. (TX)—D 105 Boucher, R. (VA)—D 107 Applegate, D. (OH)—D 108 Tucker, W. (CA)—D 110 Woolsey, L. (CA)—D 111 Foglietta, T. (PA)—D 112 Martinez, M. (CA)—D 113 Pickle, J. (TX)—D 115 Rahall, N. (WV)—D 116 Lehman, R. (CA)—D 117 Borski, R. (PA)—D		(70,656) (69,704) (40,981) (71,340) (73,222) (69,533) (68,370) (70,253) (72,811) (75,289) (73,070) (75,388) (71,968) (70,313) (70,898) (68,375) (76,251)	62,391 62,387 62,120 62,099 61,730 61,720 61,703 60,750 60,655 60,647 60,410 60,378 60,175 59,851 59,812 59,806 59,545 59,375	206 Leach, J. (IA)—R 207 Combest, L. (TX)—R 208 McKinney, C. (GA)—D 209 Flake, F. (NY)—D 210 Cooper, J. (TN)—D 211 Rangel, C. (NY)—D 212 Smith, B. (0R)—R 213 Oberstar, J. (MN)—D 214 Vento, B. (MN)—D 215 Watt, M. (NC)—D 216 Pomeroy, E. (ND)—D 217 Pastor, E. (AZ)—D 218 Tanner, J. (TN)—D 219 Payne, D. (NJ)—D 220 Miller, G. (CA)—D 221 Hoagland, P. (NE)—D 222 Johnson, D. (GA)—D 222 Johnson, D. (GA)—D		(86,337) (63,586,370) (87,420) (87,420) (79,759) (29,600) (82,993) (82,993) (82,993) (82,993) (83,7344) (85,7344) (85,7344) (87,749) (87,749)	47,746 47,682 47,612 47,377 47,055 46,998 46,961 46,823 46,727 46,504 46,504 46,424 46,182 45,695 45,511 44,311 44,217
102 English, K. (AZ)—D 103 Spence, F. (SC)—R 104 Frost, M. (TX)—D 105 Boucher, R. (VA)—D 106 Dingell, J. (MI)—D 107 Applegate, D. (OH)—D 108 Iucker, W. (CA)—D 109 Skaggs, D. (CO)—D 110 Woolsey, L. (CA)—D 111 Foglietta, T. (PA)—D 112 Martinez, M. (CA)—D 114 Filner, B. (CA)—D 115 Rahall, N. (WV)—D 116 Lehman, R. (CA)—D 117 Borski, R. (PA)—D 118 Shepherd, K. (UT)—D		(70,656) (69,704) (40,981) (71,340) (73,222) (69,533) (68,370) (70,253) (72,811) (75,289) (73,070) (75,388) (70,313) (70,313) (70,898) (68,375) (76,251) (71,552)	62,391 62,387 62,120 62,099 61,730 61,720 61,703 60,750 60,655 60,647 60,410 60,378 60,175 59,851 59,812 59,835 59,375 59,335	206 (each, J. (IA)—R 207 Combest, L. (TX)—R 208 McKinney, C. (GA)—D 209 Flake, F. (NY)—D 210 Cooper, J. (TN)—D 211 Rangel, C. (NY)—D 212 Smith, B. (OR)—R 213 Oberstar, J. (MN)—D 214 Vento, B. (MN)—D 215 Watt, M. (NC)—D 216 Pomeroy, E. (ND)—D 217 Pastor, E. (AZ)—D 218 Tanner, J. (TIV)—D 219 Payne, D. (NI)—D 221 Hoagland, P. (NE)—D 222 Iohison, D. (GA)—D 223 Rush, B. (IU)—D 224 Helden, T. (PA)—D	134,083 111,274 86,879 132,747 132,747 130,486 130,486 126,757 76,561 129,767 131,653 131,784 133,784 131,670 131,670 131,670 131,670 131,670 131,977 131,997	(86,337) (63,586,370) (87,420) (87,420) (87,420) (82,930) (84,926) (82,930) (84,926) (87,344) (81,835) (85,516) (85,751) (87,752) (87,754) (87,754) (87,752)	47,746 47,682 47,612 47,056 47,005 46,998 46,961 46,834 46,727 46,504 46,424 46,154 45,822 45,511 44,331 44,3741
102 English, K. (AZ)—D 103 Spence, F. (SC)—R 104 Frost, M. (TX)—D 105 Boucher, R. (VA)—D 107 Applegate, D. (OH)—D 107 Tapplegate, D. (OH)—D 108 Tucker, W. (CA)—D 110 Woolsey, L. (CA)—D 111 Foglietta, T. (PA)—D 112 Martinez, M. (CA)—D 113 Pickle, J. (TX)—D 115 Rahall, N. (WV)—D 116 Lehman, R. (CA)—D 117 Borski, R. (PA)—D 118 Shepherd, K. (UT)—D 119 Wilson, C. (TX)—D		(70,656) (69,704) (71,340) (71,340) (73,223) (69,533) (68,370) (72,2811) (72,281) (72,370) (73,070) (73,070) (70,313) (70,838) (70,313) (70,525) (76,525) (76,525) (71,552) (71,552) (71,552) (71,552) (71,552) (71,552) (71,552)	62,391 62,391 62,120 62,120 62,199 61,720 61,720 60,755 60,647 60,475 60,175 59,812 59,805 59,812 59,375 59,375 59,375 59,375	206 (each, J. (IA)—R 207 Combest, L. (TX)—R 208 McKinney, C. (GA)—D 209 Flake, F. (NY)—D 210 Cooper, J. (TN)—D 211 Rangel, C. (NY)—D 212 Smith, B. (OR)—R 213 Oberstar, J. (MN)—D 214 Vento, B. (MN)—D 215 Watt, M. (NC)—D 216 Pomeroy, E. (ND)—D 217 Pastor, E. (AZ)—D 218 Tanner, J. (TIV)—D 219 Payne, D. (NI)—D 221 Hoagland, P. (NE)—D 222 Iohison, D. (GA)—D 223 Rush, B. (IU)—D 224 Helden, T. (PA)—D	134,083 111,274 86,879 132,747 132,747 130,486 130,486 126,757 76,561 129,767 131,653 131,784 133,784 131,670 131,670 131,670 131,670 131,670 131,977 131,997	(86,337) (63,586) (39,267) (85,370) (83,370) (83,481) (79,750) (82,993) (84,926) (85,282) (87,344) (85,281) (85,516) (85,516) (85,780) (92,527)	47,746 47,682 47,377 47,055 46,998 46,961 46,834 46,727 46,504 46,424 46,124 46,125 45,695 45,511 44,217 43,741 43,741 43,438
102 English, K. (AZ)—D 103 Spence, F. (SC)—R 104 Frost, M. (TX)—D 105 Boucher, R. (VA)—D 107 Applegate, D. (OH)—D 107 Applegate, D. (OH)—D 109 Skaggs, D. (CO)—D 110 Woolsey, L. (CA)—D 111 Foglietta, T. (PA)—D 112 Martinez, M. (CA)—D 114 Filner, B. (CA)—D 115 Rahall, N. (WV)—D 116 Lehman, R. (CA)—D 117 Borski, R. (PA)—D 118 Shepherd, K. (UT)—D 119 Wilson, C. (TX)—D 119 Wilson, C. (TX)—D		(70,656) (69,704) (40,981) (71,340) (73,222) (69,533) (68,370) (72,811) (75,289) (73,070) (75,388) (71,968) (70,313) (70,898) (68,375) (71,552) (73,171) (71,552) (73,171) (73,572) (73	62,391 62,391 62,120 62,029 61,730 61,730 61,730 60,750 60,654 60,410 60,378 60,410 60,378 59,851 59,851 59,851 59,535 59,238 59,191 58,977	206 (each, J. (IA)—R 207 Combest, L. (TX)—R 208 McKinney, C. (GA)—D 209 Flake, F. (NY)—D 210 Cooper, J. (TN)—D 211 Rangel, C. (NY)—D 212 Smith, B. (OR)—R 213 Oberstar, J. (MN)—D 214 Vento, B. (MN)—D 215 Watt, M. (NC)—D 216 Pomeroy, E. (ND)—D 217 Pastor, E. (AZ)—D 218 Tanner, J. (TV)—D 219 Payne, D. (NI)—D 221 Hoagland, P. (NE)—D 222 Iohison, D. (GA)—D 223 Rush, B. (IU)—D 224 Helden, T. (PA)—D	134,083 111,274 86,879 132,747 132,747 130,486 130,486 126,757 76,561 129,767 131,653 131,784 133,784 131,670 131,670 131,670 131,670 131,670 131,977 131,997	(86,337) (63,586) (87,420) (83,481) (72,600) (82,93) (84,926) (85,282) (87,344) (81,835) (85,294) (88,752) (87,544) (87,544) (87,544) (87,544) (87,544) (87,544) (87,544) (87,544) (87,77,737)	47,746 47,682 47,612 47,377 47,055 46,998 46,961 46,834 46,727 46,504 46,424 45,822 45,511 44,331 44,217 43,741 43,347
102 English, K. (AZ)—D 103 Spence, F. (SC)—R 104 Frost, M. (TX)—D 105 Boucher, R. (VA)—D 107 Applegate, D. (OH)—D 107 Applegate, D. (OH)—D 109 Skaggs, D. (CO)—D 110 Woolsey, L. (CA)—D 111 Foglietta, T. (PA)—D 112 Martinez, M. (CA)—D 114 Filner, B. (CA)—D 115 Rahall, N. (WV)—D 116 Lehman, R. (CA)—D 117 Borski, R. (PA)—D 118 Shepherd, K. (UT)—D 119 Wilson, C. (TX)—D 119 Wilson, C. (TX)—D		(70,656) (69,704) (40,981) (71,340) (73,222) (69,533) (68,370) (70,253) (72,811) (75,289) (70,370) (75,388) (70,313) (70,898) (70,313) (70,898) (70,313)	62, 391 62, 381 62, 120 62, 120 61, 730 61, 720 61, 703 60, 655 60, 641 60, 378 60, 175 59, 851 59, 816 59, 545 59, 375 59, 375 59, 388 59, 191 58, 873 58, 883	206 Leach, J. (IA)—R 207 Combest, L. (TX)—R 208 McKinney, C. (GA)—D 209 Flake, F. (NY)—D 210 Cooper, J. (TN)—D 211 Rangel, C. (NY)—D 212 Smith, B. (OR)—R 213 Oberstar, J. (IM)—D 214 Vento, B. (MN)—D 215 Watt, M. (NC)—D 216 Pomeroy, E. (ND)—D 217 Pastor, E. (AZ)—D 218 Tanner, J. (TN)—D 219 Payne, D. (NI)—D 219 Hoagland, P. (NE)—D 221 Hoagland, P. (NE)—D 222 Johlier, G. (CA)—D 223 Rush, B. (IL)—D 224 Holden, T. (PA)—D 225 Kreidler, M. (WA)—D 226 Owens, M. (NY)—D 227 Lightfort J. (IA)—R		(86,337) (63,586) (85,370) (85,370) (83,481) (79,500) (82,993) (84,926) (85,282) (87,544) (88,752) (87,544) (87,780) (92,293) (92,527) (77,737)	47,746 47,682 47,377 47,055 46,998 46,961 46,834 46,727 46,440 46,424 46,424 46,424 45,822 45,651 44,331 44,217 43,741 43,438 43,437 43,134
102 English, K. (AZ)—D 103 Spence, F. (SC)—R 104 Frost, M. (TX)—D 105 Boucher, R. (VA)—D 107 Applegate, D. (OH)—D 107 Applegate, D. (OH)—D 109 Skaggs, D. (CO)—D 110 Woolsey, L. (CA)—D 111 Foglietta, T. (PA)—D 112 Martinez, M. (CA)—D 114 Filner, B. (CA)—D 115 Rahall, N. (WV)—D 116 Lehman, R. (CA)—D 117 Borski, R. (PA)—D 118 Shepherd, K. (UT)—D 119 Wilson, C. (TX)—D 119 Wilson, C. (TX)—D		(70,656) (69,704) (40,981) (71,340) (73,222) (69,533) (68,370) (72,811) (72,811) (75,289) (71,68) (71,073) (70,313) (70,313) (70,313) (70,251) (71,552) (73,071) (74,071) (74,071) (75,071) (75,071) (76,	62,391 62,387 62,120 62,099 61,730 61,720 61,730 60,750 60,655 60,647 60,410 60,375 59,881 59,886 59,585 59,375 59,389 59,389 59,389 59,389 59,389 58,897 58,897	206 Leach, J. (IA)—R 207 Combest, L. (TX)—R 208 McKinney, C. (GA)—D 209 Flake, F. (NY)—D 210 Cooper, J. (TN)—D 211 Rangel, C. (NY)—D 212 Smith, B. (OR)—R 213 Oberstar, J. (IM)—D 214 Vento, B. (MN)—D 215 Watt, M. (NC)—D 216 Pomeroy, E. (ND)—D 217 Pastor, E. (AZ)—D 218 Tanner, J. (TN)—D 219 Payne, D. (NI)—D 219 Hoagland, P. (NE)—D 221 Hoagland, P. (NE)—D 222 Johlier, G. (CA)—D 223 Rush, B. (IL)—D 224 Holden, T. (PA)—D 225 Kreidler, M. (WA)—D 226 Owens, M. (NY)—D 227 Lightfort J. (IA)—R		(86,337) (63,586) (39,267) (85,370) (87,420) (83,481) (79,600) (82,933) (84,926) (85,282) (87,344) (81,835) (86,7344) (87,544) (87,544) (87,544) (87,544) (87,544) (87,737) (92,933) (92,527) (93,527) (92,527) (9	47,746 47,682 47,672 47,075 46,998 46,961 46,834 46,727 46,504 46,424 46,154 45,825 45,511 44,217 43,741 43,347 43,347 43,347 43,347
102 English, K. (AZ)—D 103 Spence, F. (SC)—R 104 Frost, M. (TX)—D 105 Boucher, R. (VA)—D 107 Applegate, D. (OH)—D 107 Applegate, D. (OH)—D 108 Iucker, W. (CA)—D 109 Skaggs, D. (CO)—D 110 Woolsey, L. (CA)—D 111 Foglietta, T. (PA)—D 112 Martinez, M. (CA)—D 113 Pickle, J. (TX)—D 114 Filner, B. (CA)—D 115 Rahall, N. (WV)—D 116 Lehman, R. (CA)—D 117 Borski, R. (PA)—D 118 Shepherd, K. (UT)—D 119 Wilson, C. (TX)—D 120 Carr, B. (MI)—D 121 McCurdy, D. (OK)—D 122 Hastings, A. (H)—D 123 Waters, M. (CA)—D		(70,656) (69,704) (40,981) (71,340) (73,222) (69,533) (68,370) (72,2811) (75,289) (73,070) (75,1968) (70,313) (70,898) (68,375) (70,589) (70,71,552) (73,141) (71,552) (73,140) (70,988) (65,777) (69,625)	62,391 62,387 62,120 62,029 61,730 61,730 61,730 60,750 60,675 60,647 60,417 59,812 59,805 59,375 59,375 59,375 59,191 58,981 58,883 58,883 58,874	206 (each, J. (IA)—R 207 Combest, L. (TX)—R 208 McKinney, C. (GA)—D 209 Flake, F. (NY)—D 210 Cooper, J. (TN)—D 211 Rangel, C. (NY)—D 212 Smith, B. (OR)—R 213 Oberstar, J. (MN)—D 214 Vento, B. (MN)—D 215 Watt, M. (NC)—D 216 Pomeroy, E. (ND)—D 217 Pastor, E. (AZ)—D 218 Tanner, J. (TN)—D 219 Payne, D. (NI)—D 221 Hoagland, P. (NE)—D 221 Hoagland, P. (NE)—D 223 Rush, B. (IL)—D 224 Holden, T. (PA)—D 225 Kreidler, M. (WA)—D 226 Willing, G. (CA)—D 227 Lightfoot, J. (IA)—R 228 Barcia, J. (MI)—D		(86,337) (63,586) (87,420) (83,481) (79,759) (29,600) (82,993) (84,926) (85,282) (87,344) (88,752) (87,584) (88,752) (87,191) (87,191) (87,191) (92,933) (92,527) (77,562) (92,937) (92,527) (70,661)	47,746 47,688 47,612 47,377 46,705 46,998 46,961 46,824 46,727 46,504 46,424 46,152 45,695 45,511 43,741 43,741 43,438 42,857 42,587
102 English, K. (AZ)—D 103 Spence, F. (SC)—R 104 Frost, M. (TX)—D 105 Boucher, R. (VA)—D 107 Applegate, D. (OH)—D 108 Tucker, W. (CA)—D 109 Skaggs, D. (CO)—D 110 Woolsey, L. (CA)—D 111 Foglietta, T. (PA)—D 112 Martinez, M. (CA)—D 113 Pickle, J. (TX)—D 114 Filner, B. (CA)—D 115 Rahall, N. (WV)—D 116 Lehman, R. (CA)—D 117 Borski, R. (PA)—D 118 Shepherd, K. (UT)—D 119 Wilson, C. (TX)—D 120 Carr, B. (MI)—D 121 McCurdy, D. (OK)—D 122 Hastlings, A. (FL)—D 123 Waters, M. (CA)—D 124 Roemer, T. (IV)—D 125 Waters, M. (CA)—D 126 Waters, M. (CA)—D 127 Waters, M. (CA)—D 128 Waters, M. (CA)—D 129 Waters, M. (CA)—D 121 McCurdy, D. (OK)—D 121 McCurdy, D. (OK)—D 122 Waters, M. (CA)—D 123 Waters, M. (CA)—D 124 Roemer, T. (IV)—D		(70,656) (69,704) (40,981) (71,340) (73,222) (69,533) (68,370) (70,253) (72,811) (75,289) (73,070) (75,388) (71,968) (70,313) (70,878) (68,375) (75,389) (75,389) (71,552) (76,251) (71,552) (70,589) (68,777) (69,625) (57,139)	62,391 62,387 62,120 62,099 61,730 61,730 61,750 60,655 60,657 60,476 60,417 60,417 59,851 59,812 59,804 59,545 59,375 59,328 59,328 59,328 59,328 59,388 59,388 59,775 58,883 58,775	206 Leach, J. (IA)—R 207 Combest, L. (TX)—R 208 McKinney, C. (GA)—D 209 Flake, F. (NY)—D 210 Cooper, J. (TN)—D 211 Rangel, C. (NY)—D 212 Smith, B. (0R)—R 213 Oberstar, J. (NN)—D 214 Vento, B. (NN)—D 215 Watt, M. (NC)—D 216 Pomeroy, E. (ND)—D 217 Pastor, E. (AZ)—D 218 Tanner, J. (TN)—D 219 Payne, D. (NJ)—D 221 Hoagland, P. (NE)—D 222 Johnson, D. (GA)—D 223 Rush, B. (IL)—D 224 Holden, T. (PA)—D 225 Kreider, M. (WA)—D 226 Owens, M. (NY)—D 227 Lightfoot, J. (IA)—R 228 Barcia, J. (MI)—D 229 Geren, P. (TX)—D 229 Geren, P. (TX)—D		(86,337) (63,586) (39,267) (85,370) (87,470) (83,481) (79,260) (82,993) (82,993) (81,835) (83,344) (81,835) (86,734) (88,752) (87,544) (87,544) (87,544) (87,754) (92,293) (92,527) (77,737) (52,927) (77,737) (77	47,746 47,682 47,612 47,377 47,005 46,996 46,981 46,834 46,727 46,504 46,442 46,152 45,695 45,511 44,217 43,741 43,741 43,347 43,347 43,347 42,857 42,857 42,857 41,898
102 English, K. (AZ)—D 103 Spence, F. (SC)—R 104 Frost, M. (TX)—D 105 Boucher, R. (VA)—D 107 Applegate, D. (OH)—D 107 Applegate, D. (OH)—D 108 Iucker, W. (CA)—D 109 Skaggs, D. (CO)—D 110 Woolsey, L. (CA)—D 111 Foglietta, T. (PA)—D 112 Martinez, M. (CA)—D 113 Pickle, J. (TX)—D 114 Filner, B. (CA)—D 115 Rahall, N. (WV)—D 116 Lehman, R. (CA)—D 117 Borski, R. (PA)—D 118 Shepherd, K. (UT)—D 119 Wilson, C. (TX)—D 120 Carr, B. (MI)—D 121 McCurdy, D. (OK)—D 122 Hastings, A. (FL)—D 123 Waters, M. (CA)—D 124 Roemer, T. (IN)—D 125 Mink, P. (HI)—D		(70,656) (69,704) (40,981) (71,340) (73,222) (69,533) (68,370) (70,253) (72,811) (75,289) (73,070) (75,070) (75,089) (68,375) (70,898) (68,375) (70,589) (70,71,552) (73,141) (70,988) (69,625) (57,139)	62,391 62,387 62,120 62,029 61,730 61,730 61,730 60,750 60,655 60,647 60,417 59,812 59	206 (each, J. (IA)—R 207 Combest, L. (TX)—R 208 McKinney, C. (GA)—D 209 Flake, F. (NY)—D 210 Copper, J. (TN)—D 211 Rangel, C. (NY)—D 212 Smith, B. (OR)—R 213 Oberstar, J. (MN)—D 214 Vento, B. (MN)—D 215 Watt, M. (NC)—D 216 Pomeroy, E. (ND)—D 217 Pastor, E. (AZ)—D 218 Tanner, J. (TN)—D 220 Miller, G. (CA)—D 221 Hoagland, P. (NE)—D 222 Holden, T. (PA)—D 223 Kreidler, M. (WA)—D 225 Kreidler, M. (WA)—D 226 Owens, M. (NY)—D 227 Lightfoot, J. (IA)—R 228 Barcia, J. (IM)—D 229 Geren, P. (TX)—D 230 Stark, P. (CA)—D 230 Stark, P. (CA)—D 231 Collins, C. (II)—D		(86,337) (63,586) (87,420) (83,481) (72,600) (82,933) (84,926) (85,282) (85,284) (81,835) (85,516) (85,294) (88,752) (87,544) (87,780) (92,293) (92,527) (77,537) (52,927) (89,813) (92,610) (93,781) (94,611) (94,611) (86,378) (76,661) (86,378)	47,746 47,688 47,612 47,377 47,056 46,998 46,961 46,827 46,504 46,727 46,504 46,124 46,152 45,695 45,511 44,217 43,438 43,134 42,27 43,134 44,27 43,134 44,27 43,134 44,27 43,134 44,27 43,134 44,27 45,87 41,878 41,878
102 English, K. (AZ)—D 103 Spence, F. (SC)—R 104 Frost, M. (TX)—D 105 Boucher, R. (VA)—D 107 Applegate, D. (OH)—D 107 Applegate, D. (OH)—D 108 Iucker, W. (CA)—D 110 Woolsey, L. (CA)—D 111 Foglietta, T. (PA)—D 112 Martinez, M. (CA)—D 113 Pickle, J. (TX)—D 114 Filner, B. (CA)—D 115 Rahall, N. (WY)—D 116 Lehman, R. (CA)—D 117 Borski, R. (PA)—D 118 Shepherd, K. (UT)—D 119 Wilson, C. (TX)—D 120 Carr, B. (MI)—D 121 McCurdy, D. (OK)—D 122 Hastings, A. (FI)—D 123 Waters, M. (CA)—D 124 Roemer, T. (IN)—D 125 Mink, P. (HI)—D 126 Collins, B. (MI)—D		(70,656) (69,704) (40,981) (71,342) (69,533) (68,370) (70,253) (72,811) (75,388) (71,968) (73,073) (70,313) (70,898) (68,375) (76,251) (71,552) (73,141) (73,805) (70,988) (65,777) (69,625) (75,139) (75,239) (75,239)	62,391 62,387 62,120 62,029 61,730 61,730 61,730 60,750 60,655 60,647 60,417 59,851 59,851 59,851 59,375 59,375 59,375 59,385 59,191 58,975 58,883 58,834 58,775 58,775 58,775	206 (each, J. (IA)—R 207 Combest, L. (TX)—R 208 McKinney, C. (GA)—D 209 Hake, F. (NY)—D 210 Copper, J. (TN)—D 211 Rangel, C. (NY)—D 212 Smith, B. (OR)—R 213 Oberstar, J. (MN)—D 214 Vento, B. (MN)—D 215 Watt, M. (NC)—D 216 Pomeroy, E. (ND)—D 217 Pastor, E. (AZ)—D 218 Tanner, J. (TN)—D 219 Payne, D. (NI)—D 220 Miller, G. (CA)—D 221 Hoagland, P. (NE)—D 222 Johnson, D. (GA)—D 223 Rush, B. (IL)—D 224 Holden, T. (PA)—D 225 Kreidler, M. (WA)—D 226 Owens, M. (NY)—D 227 Lightfoot, J. (IA)—R 228 Barcia, J. (MI)—D 229 Geren, P. (TX)—D 230 Stark, P. (CA)—D 231 Collins, C. (II)—D 231 Collins, C. (II)—D 232 Bereuter, D. (NE)—R 232 Bereuter, D. (NE)—R		(86, 337) (63, 586) (39, 267) (85, 370) (83, 381) (79, 759) (83, 981) (79, 759) (84, 926) (85, 282) (87, 544) (88, 752) (87, 780) (92, 923) (92, 527) (77, 737) (89, 812) (70, 661) (86, 378) (75, 819) (75, 819) (75, 819)	47,746 47,688 47,612 47,377 47,005 46,996 46,961 46,834 46,504 46,424 46,154 45,822 45,695 45,511 42,17 43,134 42,17 43,134 42,17 43,134 42,857 42,587 41,898 41,7663
102 English, K. (AZ)—D 103 Spence, F. (SC)—R 104 Frost, M. (TX)—D 105 Boucher, R. (VA)—D 106 Dingell, J. (MI)—D 107 Applegate, D. (OH)—D 108 Tucker, W. (CA)—D 109 Skaggs, D. (CO)—D 110 Woolsey, L. (CA)—D 111 Moglietta, T. (PA)—D 112 Martinez, M. (CA)—D 113 Pickle, J. (TX)—D 114 Filner, B. (CA)—D 115 Rahall, N. (WY)—D 116 Lehman, R. (CA)—D 117 Borskl, R. (PA)—D 118 Shepherd, K. (UT)—D 119 Wilson, C. (TX)—D 121 McCurdy, D. (OK)—D 122 Hastings, A. (FL)—D 123 Waters, M. (CA)—D 124 Roemer, T. (IN)—D 125 Mink, P. (HI)—D 125 Mink, P. (HI)—D 126 Collins, B. (MI)—D		(70,656) (69,704) (71,340) (71,340) (73,222) (69,533) (68,370) (70,253) (72,811) (75,281) (75,281) (75,388) (68,375) (76,388) (68,375) (70,888) (68,375) (70,988) (65,777) (69,625) (57,139) (72,088) (72,108) (73,141) (73	62,391 62,387 62,120 62,099 61,730 61,720 61,730 60,750 60,655 60,647 60,410 60,375 59,812 59,806 59,55 59,375 59,328 59,328 59,328 59,328 58,775 58,834 58,775 58,812 58,560	206 (each, J. (IA)—R 207 Combest, L. (TX)—R 208 McKinney, C. (GA)—D 209 Hake, F. (NY)—D 210 Copper, J. (TN)—D 211 Rangel, C. (NY)—D 212 Smith, B. (OR)—R 213 Oberstar, J. (MN)—D 214 Vento, B. (MN)—D 215 Watt, M. (NC)—D 216 Pomeroy, E. (ND)—D 217 Pastor, E. (AZ)—D 218 Tanner, J. (TN)—D 219 Payne, D. (NI)—D 220 Miller, G. (CA)—D 221 Hoagland, P. (NE)—D 222 Johnson, D. (GA)—D 223 Rush, B. (IL)—D 224 Holden, T. (PA)—D 225 Kreidler, M. (WA)—D 226 Owens, M. (NY)—D 227 Lightfoot, J. (IA)—R 228 Barcia, J. (MI)—D 229 Geren, P. (TX)—D 230 Stark, P. (CA)—D 231 Collins, C. (II)—D 231 Collins, C. (II)—D 232 Bereuter, D. (NE)—R 232 Bereuter, D. (NE)—R		(86,337) (63,586) (87,420) (83,481) (72,600) (82,933) (84,926) (85,282) (87,344) (81,835) (85,516) (85,7544) (87,544) (87,544) (87,544) (87,544) (87,544) (87,544) (87,737) (92,293) (92,527) (92,293) (92,527) (92,527) (92,527) (92,527) (92,527) (92,527) (92,527) (92,527) (92,527) (93,544) (94,544) (97,737) (96,61) (96,378) (97,819) (96,378) (97,819) (96,378) (97,819) (97	47,746 47,688 47,612 47,377 47,056 46,998 46,961 46,834 46,834 46,424 46,154 46,424 46,154 45,822 45,651 44,331 44,331 44,331 43,438 43,134 43,134 43,134 41,808 41,603 41,603
102 English, K. (AZ)—D 103 Spence, F. (SC)—R 104 Frost, M. (TX)—D 105 Boucher, R. (VA)—D 106 Dingell, J. (MI)—D 107 Applegate, D. (OH)—D 108 Tucker, W. (CA)—D 109 Skaggs, D. (CO)—D 110 Woolsey, L. (CA)—D 111 Moglietta, T. (PA)—D 112 Martinez, M. (CA)—D 113 Pickle, J. (TX)—D 114 Filner, B. (CA)—D 115 Rahall, N. (WY)—D 116 Lehman, R. (CA)—D 117 Borskl, R. (PA)—D 118 Shepherd, K. (UT)—D 119 Wilson, C. (TX)—D 121 McCurdy, D. (OK)—D 122 Hastings, A. (FL)—D 123 Waters, M. (CA)—D 124 Roemer, T. (IN)—D 125 Mink, P. (HI)—D 125 Mink, P. (HI)—D 126 Collins, B. (MI)—D		(70,656) (69,704) (40,981) (71,340) (73,222) (69,533) (68,370) (70,253) (72,811) (75,289) (73,070) (75,389) (70,373) (76,251) (71,552) (73,341) (71,552) (73,345) (70,988) (68,375) (70,988) (65,777) (73,985) (73,985) (73,985) (74,447) (74,427)	62,391 62,387 62,120 62,029 61,730 61,720 61,730 60,750 60,655 60,647 60,417 60,417 59,812 59,801 59,812 59,805 59,545 59,375 59,375 59,375 58,874 58,775 58,775 58,775 58,775 58,775 58,775 58,775 58,775 58,775 58,775 58,775	206 (each, J. (M.)—R 207 Combest, L. (TX)—R 208 McKinney, C. (GA)—D 209 Flake, F. (NY)—D 210 Cooper, J. (TN)—D 211 Rangel, C. (NY)—D 212 Smith, B. (OR)—R 213 Oberstar, J. (MN)—D 214 Vento, B. (MN)—D 215 Watt, M. (NC)—D 216 Pomeroy, E. (ND)—D 217 Pastor, E. (AZ)—D 218 Tanner, J. (TN)—D 219 Payne, D. (NI)—D 221 Hoagland, P. (NE)—D 222 Miller, G. (CA)—D 223 Rush, B. (IL)—D 224 Holden, T. (PA)—D 225 Kreidler, M. (WA)—D 226 Weers, M. (NY)—D 227 Lightfoot, J. (IA)—R 228 Barcia, J. (MI)—D 230 Stark, P. (CA)—D 231 Collins, C. (IL)—D 231 Collins, C. (IL)—D 233 Regula, R. (OH)—R 233 Regula, R. (OH)—R 234 Roukema, M. (WI)—R		(86,337) (63,586) (87,420) (83,481) (77,759) (29,600) (82,993) (84,926) (85,282) (87,344) (88,734) (88,752) (87,754) (87	47,746 47,688 47,612 47,377 46,705 46,998 46,961 46,824 46,750 46,424 46,152 45,501 46,424 46,152 45,511 42,171 43,31 42,217 43,31 42,217 42,587 41,898 41,898 41,663 41,305
102 English, K. (AZ)—D 103 Spence, F. (SC)—R 104 Frost, M. (TX)—D 105 Boucher, R. (VA)—D 106 Dingell, J. (MI)—D 107 Applegate, D. (OH)—D 108 Tucker, W. (CA)—D 109 Skaggs, D. (CO)—D 110 Woolsey, L. (CA)—D 111 Moglietta, T. (PA)—D 112 Martinez, M. (CA)—D 113 Pickle, J. (TX)—D 114 Filner, B. (CA)—D 115 Rahall, N. (WY)—D 116 Lehman, R. (CA)—D 117 Borskl, R. (PA)—D 118 Shepherd, K. (UT)—D 119 Wilson, C. (TX)—D 121 McCurdy, D. (OK)—D 122 Hastings, A. (FL)—D 123 Waters, M. (CA)—D 124 Roemer, T. (IN)—D 125 Mink, P. (HI)—D 125 Mink, P. (HI)—D 126 Collins, B. (MI)—D		(70,656) (69,704) (40,981) (71,340) (73,222) (69,533) (68,370) (70,253) (72,811) (75,289) (73,070) (75,388) (70,988) (68,375) (70,988) (68,375) (70,988) (68,375) (70,528) (70,988) (68,375) (70,528) (70,528) (70,528) (70,528) (70,528) (70,73,141) (73,141) (73,141) (73,141) (73,141) (74,449) (74,449) (77,459)	62,391 62,387 62,120 62,029 61,730 61,720 61,750 60,655 60,657 60,476 60,417 60,417 59,851 59,812 59,804 59,328 59,328 59,328 59,328 59,328 59,328 59,328 59,328 59,328 59,328 59,328 59,328 59,545 59,545 59,545 59,555 59	206 Leach, J. (IA)—R 207 Combest, L. (TX)—R 208 McKinney, C. (GA)—D 209 Flake, F. (NY)—D 210 Cooper, J. (TN)—D 211 Rangel, C. (NY)—D 212 Smith, B. (OR)—R 213 Oberstar, J. (MN)—D 214 Vento, B. (MN)—D 215 Watt, M. (NC)—D 216 Pomeroy, E. (ND)—D 217 Pastor, E. (AZ)—D 218 Tanner, J. (TN)—D 219 Payne, D. (NI)—D 219 Payne, D. (NI)—D 221 Hoagland, P. (NE)—D 222 Johlier, G. (CA)—D 223 Rush, B. (IL)—D 224 Holden, T. (PA)—D 225 Kreidler, M. (WA)—D 226 Owens, M. (NY)—D 227 Lightfoot, J. (IA)—R 228 Barcia, J. (MI)—D 230 Stark, P. (CA)—D 231 Collins, C. (IL)—D 232 Regula, R. (OH)—R 233 Regula, R. (OH)—R 234 Roukema, M. (NI)—R		(86,337) (63,586) (39,267) (83,700) (83,481) (79,260) (84,926) (85,282) (87,344) (81,835) (86,7344) (87,344) (87,344) (87,544) (87,544) (87,544) (87,737) (52,927) (77,737) (52,927) (77,737) (52,927) (77,737) (52,927) (77,137) (52,927) (77,137) (52,927) (77,137) (52,927) (77,137) (52,927) (76,138) (75,138) (75,138) (75,138) (75,138) (75,138) (75,138)	47,746 47,688 47,612 47,075 47,005 46,998 46,961 46,834 46,727 46,504 46,424 46,424 46,424 45,822 45,651 44,331 44,331 44,331 44,331 43,134 44,136 41,760 41
102 English, K. (AZ)—D 103 Spence, F. (SC)—R 104 Frost, M. (TX)—D 105 Boucher, R. (VA)—D 106 Dingell, J. (MI)—D 107 Applegate, D. (OH)—D 108 Tucker, W. (CA)—D 109 Skaggs, D. (CO)—D 110 Woolsey, L. (CA)—D 111 Moglietta, T. (PA)—D 112 Martinez, M. (CA)—D 113 Pickle, J. (TX)—D 114 Filner, B. (CA)—D 115 Rahall, N. (WY)—D 116 Lehman, R. (CA)—D 117 Borskl, R. (PA)—D 118 Shepherd, K. (UT)—D 119 Wilson, C. (TX)—D 121 McCurdy, D. (OK)—D 122 Hastings, A. (FL)—D 123 Waters, M. (CA)—D 124 Roemer, T. (IN)—D 125 Mink, P. (HI)—D 125 Mink, P. (HI)—D 126 Collins, B. (MI)—D		(70,656) (69,704) (40,981) (71,340) (73,222) (69,533) (68,370) (70,253) (72,811) (75,289) (73,070) (73,070) (73,070) (71,968) (70,313) (70,898) (68,375) (70,589) (68,375) (70,589) (70,71,552) (73,141) (75,73,805) (70,988) (69,625) (57,139) (72,086) (74,427) (77,427) (77,427) (77,427)	62,391 62,391 62,120 62,020 62,020 61,730 61,730 61,730 60,750 60,647 60,410 60,378 60,175 59,812 59,804 59,812 59,805 59,375 59,375 59,375 59,375 58,775 58,873 58,775	206 (each, J. (IA)—R 207 Combest, L. (TX)—R 208 McKinney, C. (GA)—D 209 Flake, F. (NY)—D 210 Copper, J. (TN)—D 211 Rangel, C. (NY)—D 212 Smith, B. (OR)—R 213 Oberstar, J. (MN)—D 214 Vento, B. (MN)—D 215 Watt, M. (NC)—D 216 Pomeroy, E. (ND)—D 217 Pastor, E. (AZ)—D 218 Tanner, J. (TN)—D 219 Payne, D. (NI)—D 220 Miller, G. (CA)—D 221 Hoagland, P. (NE)—D 222 Johnson, D. (GA)—D 223 Rush, B. (IL)—D 224 Holden, T. (PA)—D 225 Kreidler, M. (WA)—D 226 Owens, M. (NY)—D 227 Lightfoot, J. (IA)—R 228 Barcia, J. (MI)—D 230 Stark, P. (CA)—D 231 Collins, C. (IL)—D 231 Collins, C. (IL)—D 232 Bereuter, D. (NE)—R 233 Regula, R. (OH)—R 234 Roukerna, M. (NI)—R 235 Hayes, J. (IA)—D 236 Rown, S. (OH)—D 237 Lightfoot, J. (NI)—R 238 Regula, R. (OH)—R		(86,337) (63,586) (87,420) (83,481) (729,600) (82,993) (84,926) (85,282) (87,344) (81,835) (85,294) (88,752) (87,544) (87,784) (87,784) (87,784) (87,784) (87,544) (87,784) (87,544) (87,785) (92,293) (92,527) (70,661) (86,378) (70,661) (7	47,746 47,688 47,612 47,377 47,075 46,998 46,961 46,827 46,504 46,524 46,504 46,424 46,152 45,651 43,331 44,217 43,438 43,347 43,134 42,857 41,898 41,760 41,663 41,305 41,305 41,305 41,303
102 English, K. (AZ)—D 103 Spence, F. (SC)—R 104 Frost, M. (TX)—D 105 Boucher, R. (VA)—D 107 Applegate, D. (OH)—D 107 Applegate, D. (OH)—D 108 Tucker, W. (CA)—D 1109 Skagys, D. (CO)—D 110 Woolsey, L. (CA)—D 111 Foglietta, T. (PA)—D 112 Martinez, M. (CA)—D 113 Pickle, J. (TX)—D 114 Filner, B. (CA)—D 115 Rahall, N. (W)—D 116 Lehman, R. (CA)—D 117 Borski, R. (PA)—D 118 Shepherd, K. (UT)—D 119 Wilson, C. (TX)—D 120 Carr, B. (MI)—D 121 McCurdy, D. (OK)—D 122 Waters, M. (CA)—D 123 Waters, M. (CA)—D 124 Rosener, T. (IN)—D 125 Mink, P. (HI)—D 126 Collins, B. (MI)—D 127 Gordon, B. (TIX)—D 128 Johnson, E. (TX)—D 129 Bohnior, D. (MI)—D 130 Hughes, W. (MI)—D 131 Pelosi, N. (CA)—D		(70,656) (69,704) (40,981) (71,340) (73,222) (69,533) (68,370) (70,253) (72,811) (75,289) (73,073) (70,313) (70,313) (70,313) (70,313) (70,314) (73,141) (73,341) (73,141) (74,141) (74,141) (75,141) (75,141) (76,141) (77	62,391 62,387 62,120 62,029 61,730 61,730 61,750 60,750 60,657 60,647 60,410 60,315 59,851 59,806 59,806 59,919 59,806 59	206 Leach, J. (IA)—R 207 Combest, L. (TX)—R 208 McKinney, C. (GA)—D 209 Flake, F. (NY)—D 210 Cooper, J. (TN)—D 211 Rangel, C. (NY)—D 212 Smith, B. (OR)—R 213 Oberstar, J. (MN)—D 214 Vento, B. (MN)—D 215 Watt, M. (NC)—D 216 Pomeroy, E. (ND)—D 217 Pastor, E. (AZ)—D 218 Tanner, J. (TN)—D 219 Payne, D. (NJ)—D 219 Payne, D. (NJ)—D 221 Hoagland, P. (NE)—D 221 Hoagland, P. (NE)—D 222 Johnson, D. (GA)—D 223 Rush, B. (IL)—D 224 Holden, T. (PA)—D 225 Kreidler, M. (WA)—D 226 Owens, M. (NY)—D 227 Lightfoot, J. (IA)—R 228 Barcia, J. (MI)—D 229 Geren, P. (TX)—D 231 Collins, C. (IL)—D 231 Collins, C. (IL)—D 232 Bereuter, D. (NE)—R 233 Regula, R. (OH)—R 234 Roukema, M. (WI)—R 235 Rayes, J. (LA)—D 236 Brown, S. (OH)—D 237 Torricelli R. (NI)—D		(86,337) (63,586) (39,267) (85,370) (87,470) (83,481) (79,260) (82,993) (82,993) (81,835) (81,835) (83,782) (81,835) (83,782) (87,544) (87	47,746 47,688 47,612 47,075 47,005 46,005 46,961 46,834 46,727 46,504 46,424 46,424 45,822 45,695 41,301 44,331 44,331 43,347 43,134 42,857 42,587 42,587 42,587 42,587 41,663 41,305 41,010 40,716 40,033 40,033 40,033 40,033
102 English, K. (AZ)—D 103 Spence, F. (SC)—R 104 Frost, M. (TX)—D 105 Boucher, R. (VA)—D 106 Dingell, J. (MI)—D 107 Applegate, D. (OH)—D 108 Tucker, W. (CA)—D 109 Skaggs, D. (CO)—D 110 Woolsey, L. (CA)—D 111 Moolsey, L. (CA)—D 111 Foglietta, T. (PA)—D 112 Martinez, M. (CA)—D 113 Pickle, J. (TX)—D 114 Filner, B. (CA)—D 115 Rahall, N. (WY)—D 116 Lehman, R. (CA)—D 117 Borski, R. (PA)—D 118 Shepherd, K. (UT)—D 119 Wilson, C. (TX)—D 121 McCurdy, D. (OK)—D 122 Hastings A. (F1)—D 123 Waters, M. (CA)—D 124 Roemer, T. (IN)—D 125 Mink, P. (HI)—D 125 Mink, P. (HI)—D 126 Collins, B. (MI)—D 127 Gordon, B. (TN)—D 128 Johnson, E. (TX)—D 130 Hughes, W. (MI)—D 131 Pelosi, N. (CA)—D 131 Pelosi, N. (CA)—D 131 Pelosi, N. (CA)—D		(70,656) (69,704) (71,340) (71,340) (73,222) (69,533) (68,370) (70,253) (72,811) (75,289) (73,070) (75,089) (68,375) (70,1898) (68,375) (70,888) (68,375) (70,888) (65,777) (69,625) (57,139) (72,088) (74,449) (77,427) (77,427) (77,427) (77,427) (77,427) (77,427) (77,427) (77,427) (77,427) (77,427) (77,427) (77,427) (77,427) (78,466) (78,466) (78,663)	62,391 62,391 62,120 62,020 61,730 61,730 61,730 60,750 60,647 60,410 60,378 60,175 59,812 59,806 59,545 59,372 88,834 59,191 58,877 58,883 58,778 58,772 58,856 58,424 57,985 57,576 57,727	206 (each, J. (M.)—R 207 Combest, L. (TX)—R 208 McKinney, C. (GA)—D 209 Flake, F. (NY)—D 210 Copper, J. (TN)—D 211 Rangel, C. (NY)—D 212 Smith, B. (OR)—R 213 Oberstar, J. (MN)—D 214 Vento, B. (MN)—D 215 Watt, M. (NC)—D 216 Pomeroy, E. (ND)—D 217 Pastor, E. (AZ)—D 218 Tanner, J. (TN)—D 219 Payne, D. (NI)—D 220 Miller, G. (CA)—D 221 Hoagland, P. (NE)—D 222 Johnson, D. (GA)—D 223 Rush, B. (IL)—D 224 Holden, T. (PA)—D 225 Kreidler, M. (WA)—D 226 Owens, M. (NY)—D 227 Lightfoot, J. (IA)—R 228 Barcia, J. (MI)—D 230 Stark, P. (CA)—D 231 Collins, C. (II)—D 232 Bereuter, D. (NE)—R 233 Regula, R. (OH)—R 234 Roukema, M. (NI)—R 235 Hayes, J. (IA)—D 237 Torricelli, R. (NI)—D 237 Torricelli, R. (NI)—D 238 Sanwa, S. (II)—D 238 Rankema, M. (NI)—R 238 Rankema, M. (NI)—R 238 Rankema, M. (NI)—R 237 Torricelli, R. (NI)—D 238 Sanmeister, G. (II)—D		(86,337) (63,586) (87,420) (83,481) (72,600) (82,933) (84,926) (85,282) (87,344) (81,835) (85,214) (87,544) (87,544) (87,544) (87,544) (87,544) (87,544) (87,544) (87,544) (87,544) (87,544) (87,544) (87,544) (87,544) (87,544) (72,293) (92,293) (92,527) (70,661) (86,378) (72,443) (74,443) (74,443) (75	47,746 47,688 47,612 47,377 47,056 46,998 46,991 46,834 46,504 46,504 46,504 46,504 46,504 46,504 46,504 46,504 46,504 46,504 46,504 46,505 41,301 43,311 44,211 43,411 44,411 44,411 44,411 44,411 44,411 44,411 44,411 44
102 English, K. (AZ)—D 103 Spence, F. (SC)—R 104 Frost, M. (TX)—D 105 Boucher, R. (VA)—D 106 Dingell, J. (M)—D 107 Applegate, D. (OH)—D 107 Applegate, D. (OH)—D 108 Iucker, W. (CA)—D 109 Skaggs, D. (CO)—D 110 Woolsey, L. (CA)—D 111 Foglietta, T. (PA)—D 112 Martinez, M. (CA)—D 113 Pickle, J. (TX)—D 114 Filner, B. (CA)—D 115 Rahall, N. (WV)—D 116 Lehman, R. (CA)—D 117 Borski, R. (PA)—D 118 Shepherd, K. (UT)—D 119 Wilson, C. (TX)—D 120 Carr, B. (MI)—D 121 McCurdy, D. (OK)—D 122 Hastings, A. (FL)—D 123 Waters, M. (CA)—D 124 Roemer, T. (IN)—D 125 Mink, P. (HI)—D 126 Collins, B. (MI)—D 127 Gordon, B. (TN)—D 128 Johnson, E. (TX)—D 129 Bonior, D. (MI)—D 130 Hughes, W. (MI)—D 131 Pelosi, N. (CA)—D 131 Pelosi, N. (CA)—D 132 Hilliard, E. (AI)—D 133 Deutsch, P. (FL)—D		(70,656) (69,704) (71,340) (73,222) (69,533) (68,370) (70,253) (72,811) (75,388) (71,968) (73,703,13) (70,313) (70,313) (70,313) (70,521) (73,141) (73,805) (74,241) (73,141) (73,141) (73,141) (73,141) (73,141) (73,141) (73,141) (73,141) (74,149) (75,139) (75,139) (75,139) (75,139) (75,139) (75,139) (75,139) (75,139) (75,139) (75,139) (75,139) (75,139) (75,139) (75,139) (76,139)	62,391 62,387 62,120 62,029 61,730 61,730 61,730 60,750 60,655 60,647 60,417 59,812 59,801 59,812 59,805 59,545 59,375 59	206 (each, J. (M.)—R 207 Combest, L. (TX)—R 208 McKinney, C. (GA)—D 209 Flake, F. (NY)—D 210 Copper, J. (TN)—D 211 Rangel, C. (NY)—D 212 Smith, B. (OR)—R 213 Oberstar, J. (MN)—D 214 Vento, B. (MN)—D 215 Watt, M. (NC)—D 216 Pomeroy, E. (ND)—D 217 Pastor, E. (AZ)—D 218 Tanner, J. (TN)—D 219 Payne, D. (NI)—D 220 Miller, G. (CA)—D 221 Hoagland, P. (NE)—D 222 Johnson, D. (GA)—D 223 Rush, B. (IL)—D 224 Holden, T. (PA)—D 225 Kreidler, M. (WA)—D 226 Owens, M. (NY)—D 227 Lightfoot, J. (IA)—R 228 Barcia, J. (MI)—D 230 Stark, P. (CA)—D 231 Collins, C. (II)—D 232 Bereuter, D. (NE)—R 233 Regula, R. (OH)—R 234 Roukema, M. (NI)—R 235 Hayes, J. (IA)—D 237 Torricelli, R. (NI)—D 237 Torricelli, R. (NI)—D 238 Sanwa, S. (II)—D 238 Rankema, M. (NI)—R 238 Rankema, M. (NI)—R 238 Rankema, M. (NI)—R 237 Torricelli, R. (NI)—D 238 Sanmeister, G. (II)—D		(86,337) (63,586) (87,420) (83,481) (72) (83,481) (72) (84,926) (85,282) (87,344) (88,7344) (88,752) (87,751) (87,751) (87,751) (87,751) (87,751) (88,752) (87,751) (86,378) (70,661) (86,378) (47,746 47,688 47,612 47,377 46,705 46,996 46,961 46,834 46,727 46,504 46,424 46,152 45,695 45,511 43,311 44,217 43,347 43,347 41,898 41,663 41,305 41,305 41,010 40,716 40,333 40,106 39,973 80,778
102 English, K. (AZ)—D 103 Spence, F. (SC)—R 104 Frost, M. (TX)—D 105 Boucher, R. (VA)—D 107 Applegate, D. (OH)—D 107 Applegate, D. (OH)—D 108 Tucker, W. (CA)—D 109 Skaggs, D. (CO)—D 110 Woolsey, L. (CA)—D 111 Foglietta, T. (PA)—D 112 Martinez, M. (CA)—D 113 Pickle, J. (TX)—D 114 Filner, B. (CA)—D 115 Rahall, N. (WV)—D 116 Lehman, R. (CA)—D 117 Borski, R. (PA)—D 118 Shepherd, K. (UT)—D 119 Wilson, C. (TX)—D 121 McCurdy, D. (OK)—D 122 Hastings, A. (FI)—D 123 Waters, M. (CA)—D 124 Roemer, T. (IN)—D 125 Mink, P. (HI)—D 126 Collins, B. (MI)—D 127 Gordon, B. (TI)—D 128 Johnson, E. (TX)—D 129 Bonior, D. (MI)—D 129 Bonior, D. (MI)—D 130 Hughes, W. (MI)—D 131 Helost, N. (CA)—D 132 Hughes, W. (MI)—D 133 Deutsch, P. (FI)—D 134 Baesler, S. (KI)—D		(70,656) (69,704) (40,981) (71,340) (73,222) (69,533) (68,370) (70,253) (72,281) (70,253) (72,819) (73,070) (75,289) (73,070) (75,388) (70,988) (68,375) (70,988) (68,375) (70,988) (68,375) (70,988) (70,988) (70,988) (70,988) (70,988) (70,523) (70,789) (70,789) (70,623) (72,086) (70,74,279) (77,4279) (77,4279) (70,623) (78,163) (70,623) (78,163)	62,391 62,387 62,120 62,029 61,730 61,730 61,750 60,655 60,657 60,470 60,417 60,417 59,812 59,804 59,328 59,328 59,328 59,328 59,328 59,328 59,328 59,328 59,328 59,328 59,545 59,328 59,545 59,545 59,545 59,545 59,545 59,545 59,778 58,834 58,778 58,878 58,778 58,789 58,789 58,789 58,789 57,596 57,597 57,217 57,1217 57,1217 57,1217 57,1217 57,1217	206 (each, J. (M.)—R 207 Combest, L. (TX)—R 208 McKinney, C. (GA)—D 209 Flake, F. (NY)—D 210 Copper, J. (TN)—D 211 Rangel, C. (NY)—D 212 Smith, B. (OR)—R 213 Oberstar, J. (MN)—D 214 Vento, B. (MN)—D 215 Watt, M. (NC)—D 216 Pomeroy, E. (ND)—D 217 Pastor, E. (AZ)—D 218 Tanner, J. (TN)—D 219 Payne, D. (NI)—D 220 Miller, G. (CA)—D 221 Hoagland, P. (NE)—D 222 Johnson, D. (GA)—D 223 Rush, B. (IL)—D 224 Holden, T. (PA)—D 225 Kreidler, M. (WA)—D 226 Owens, M. (NY)—D 227 Lightfoot, J. (IA)—R 228 Barcia, J. (MI)—D 230 Stark, P. (CA)—D 231 Collins, C. (II)—D 232 Bereuter, D. (NE)—R 233 Regula, R. (OH)—R 234 Roukema, M. (NI)—R 235 Hayes, J. (IA)—D 237 Torricelli, R. (NI)—D 237 Torricelli, R. (NI)—D 238 Sanwa, S. (II)—D 238 Rankema, M. (NI)—R 238 Rankema, M. (NI)—R 238 Rankema, M. (NI)—R 237 Torricelli, R. (NI)—D 238 Sanmeister, G. (II)—D		(86,337) (63,586) (87,420) (83,481) (72,600) (82,933) (84,926) (85,282) (87,344) (81,835) (85,282) (87,344) (81,835) (85,294) (87,544)	47,746 47,688 47,612 47,075 47,005 46,961 46,834 46,834 46,834 46,424 46,424 46,424 46,424 45,822 45,651 41,331 44,331 44,331 44,331 44,331 44,331 44,331 41,760 41,635 41,010 41
102 English, K. (AZ)—D 103 Spence, F. (SC)—R 104 Frost, M. (TX)—D 105 Boucher, R. (VA)—D 106 Dingell, J. (M)—D 107 Applegate, D. (OH)—D 107 Applegate, D. (OH)—D 108 Iucker, W. (CA)—D 109 Skaggs, D. (CO)—D 110 Woolsey, L. (CA)—D 111 Foglietta, T. (PA)—D 112 Martinez, M. (CA)—D 113 Pickle, J. (TX)—D 114 Filner, B. (CA)—D 115 Rahall, N. (WV)—D 116 Lehman, R. (CA)—D 117 Borski, R. (PA)—D 118 Shepherd, K. (UT)—D 119 Wilson, C. (TX)—D 120 Carr, B. (MI)—D 121 McCurdy, D. (OK)—D 122 Hastings, A. (FL)—D 123 Waters, M. (CA)—D 124 Roemer, T. (IN)—D 125 Mink, P. (HI)—D 126 Collins, B. (MI)—D 127 Gordon, B. (TN)—D 128 Johnson, E. (TX)—D 129 Bonior, D. (MI)—D 130 Hughes, W. (MI)—D 131 Pelosi, N. (CA)—D 132 Hilliard, E. (AL)—D 133 Deutsch, P. (FL)—D 134 Baesler, S. (KY)—D 135 Ford H. (TM)—D		(70,656) (69,704) (40,981) (71,340) (73,222) (69,533) (68,370) (70,253) (72,811) (75,289) (73,070) (71,568) (70,313) (70,71,552) (70,898) (68,375) (70,598) (68,375) (70,598) (68,375) (70,598) (69,625) (57,713) (72,698) (73,74,27) (74,449) (74,477) (77,427) (77,427) (77,427) (77,427) (77,427) (77,427) (77,427) (76,546) (78,669) (78,669) (70,623	62,391 62,391 62,120 62,020 61,730 61,730 61,730 61,750 60,655 60,647 60,417 60,417 59,812 59,805 59,375 59,375 59,375 58,874 58,775 58,874 58,775 58,712 58,560 58,556 57,477 57,217 57,142 56,56,833	206 (Leach, J. (IA)—R 207 Combest, L. (TX)—R 208 McKinney, C. (GA)—D 209 Flake, F. (NY)—D 210 Cooper, J. (TN)—D 211 Rangel, C. (NY)—D 211 Rangel, C. (NY)—D 212 Smith, B. (OR)—R 213 Oberstar, J. (MN)—D 214 Vento, B. (MN)—D 215 Watt, M. (NC)—D 216 Pomeroy, E. (ND)—D 217 Pastor, E. (AZ)—D 218 Tanner, J. (TN)—D 219 Payne, D. (NL)—D 219 Payne, D. (NL)—D 221 Hoagland, P. (NE)—D 222 Johnson, D. (GA)—D 223 Rush, B. (IL)—D 224 Holden, T. (PA)—D 225 Kreidler, M. (WA)—D 226 Owens, M. (NY)—D 227 Lightfoot, J. (IA)—R 228 Barcia, J. (MI)—D 230 Stark, P. (CA)—D 231 Collins, C. (IL)—D 233 Bergueter, D. (NE)—R 234 Roukema, M. (NL)—R 235 Hayes, J. (LA)—D 236 Brown, S. (OH)—R 237 Torricelli, R. (NL)—D 238 Sangmeister, G. (IL)—D 239 Starns, C. (FL)—D 230 Starns, C. (FL)—D 231 Torricelli, R. (NL)—D 239 Starns, C. (FL)—R 240 Serrano, J. (NY)—D		(86,337) (63,586) (87,420) (83,481) (79,29) (29,600) (82,993) (84,926) (85,282) (87,344) (81,835) (85,294) (88,752) (87,544) (87,784) (87,784) (87,784) (87,784) (87,784) (87,544) (87,784) (87,784) (87,785) (92,527) (70,661) (86,378) (72,443) (74,188) (74,	47,746 47,688 47,612 47,377 46,908 46,961 46,983 46,961 46,824 46,504 46,424 46,154 46,154 46,154 43,311 44,217 43,741 43,438 43,347 41,898 41,760 41,663 41,305 41,010 40,718 41,010 40,718 41,010 40,718 41,010 41
102 English, K. (AZ)—D 103 Spence, F. (SC)—R 104 Frest, M. (TX)—D 105 Boucher, R. (VA)—D 106 Dingell, J. (MI)—D 107 Applegate, D. (OH)—D 108 Tucker, W. (CA)—D 109 Skaggs, D. (CO)—D 110 Woolsey, L. (CA)—D 111 Foglietta, T. (PA)—D 112 Martinez, M. (CA)—D 113 Pickle, J. (TX)—D 114 Filner, B. (CA)—D 115 Rahall, N. (WY)—D 116 Lehman, R. (CA)—D 117 Borski, R. (PA)—D 118 Shepherd, K. (UT)—D 119 Wilson, C. (TX)—D 121 McCurdy, D. (OK)—D 121 McCurdy, D. (OK)—D 122 Hastings, A. (FL)—D 123 Waters, M. (CA)—D 124 Roemer, T. (IN)—D 125 Mink, P. (HI)—D 126 Collins, B. (MI)—D 127 Gordon, B. (TN)—D 128 Johnson, E. (TX)—D 130 Hughes, W. (VI)—D 131 Pelosi, N. (CA)—D 132 Hilliard, E. (AL)—D 133 Deutsch, P. (FL)—D 134 Baeseler, S. (KY)—D 135 Horling, N. (FL)—D 131 Belosi, N. (CA)—D 132 Hilliard, E. (AL)—D 133 Beaseler, S. (KY)—D 134 Baeseler, S. (KY)—D 135 Ford, H. (TN)—D		(70,656) (69,704) (40,981) (71,340) (80,370) (88,370) (70,253) (72,811) (71,5289) (73,070) (73,070) (70,313) (71,427) (71,427) (71,427) (71,427) (72,086) (74,449) (77,427) (74,449) (77,427) (74,449) (76,430) (7	62,391 62,387 62,120 62,029 61,730 61,720 61,720 60,750 60,657 60,647 60,410 60,317 59,851 59,851 59,806 58,834 58,775 59,375 58,715 58,715 58,775 57,747 57,747 57,747 57,747 57,747 57,747 57,747 57,747 57,747 57,747	206 Leach, J. (IA)—R 207 Combest, L. (TX)—R 208 McKinney, C. (GA)—D 209 Flake, F. (NY)—D 210 Cooper, J. (TN)—D 211 Rangel, C. (NY)—D 211 Rangel, C. (NY)—D 212 Smith, B. (OR)—R 213 Oberstar, J. (MN)—D 214 Vento, B. (MN)—D 215 Watt, M. (NC)—D 216 Pomeroy, E. (ND)—D 217 Pastor, E. (AZ)—D 218 Tanner, J. (TN)—D 219 Payne, D. (NI)—D 221 Hoagland, P. (NE)—D 222 Iohnson, D. (GA)—D 223 Rush, B. (IL)—D 224 Holden, T. (PA)—D 225 Kreidler, M. (WA)—D 226 Owens, M. (NY)—D 227 Lightfoot, J. (IA)—R 228 Barcia, J. (MI)—D 229 Geren, P. (TX)—D 230 Stark, P. (CA)—D 231 Collins, C. (IL)—D 232 Bereuter, D. (NE)—R 233 Regula, R. (OH)—R 234 Roukema, M. (WI)—R 235 Hayes, J. (LA)—D 236 Serour, S. (OH)—D 237 Torricelli, R. (NI)—D 238 Sangmeister, G. (IL)—D 239 Stearns, C. (FL)—R 240 Serrano, J. (NY)—D 241 Foley, T. (WA)—D 241 Foley, T. (WA)—D 241 Foley, T. (WA)—D		(86,337) (63,586) (83,481) (79,600) (83,481) (79,600) (82,993) (84,926) (85,282) (87,344) (81,835) (86,7344) (87,544) (8	47,746 47,688 47,612 47,075 47,005 46,005 46,961 46,834 46,727 46,504 46,424 46,424 46,424 45,822 45,651 44,331 44,331 44,331 44,331 44,331 43,134 43,134 43,134 43,134 43,134 43,134 41,010 41
102 English, K. (AZ)—D 103 Spence, F. (SC)—R 104 Frost, M. (TX)—D 105 Boucher, R. (VA)—D 106 Dingell, J. (M)—D 107 Applegate, D. (OH)—D 107 Applegate, D. (OH)—D 108 Iucker, W. (CA)—D 109 Skaggs, D. (CO)—D 110 Woolsey, L. (CA)—D 111 Foglietta, T. (PA)—D 112 Martinez, M. (CA)—D 113 Pickle, J. (TX)—D 114 Filner, B. (CA)—D 115 Rahall, N. (WV)—D 116 Lehman, R. (CA)—D 117 Borski, R. (PA)—D 118 Shepherd, K. (UT)—D 119 Wilson, C. (TX)—D 120 Carr, B. (MI)—D 121 McCurdy, D. (OK)—D 122 Hastings, A. (FL)—D 123 Waters, M. (CA)—D 124 Roemer, T. (IN)—D 125 Mink, P. (HI)—D 126 Collins, B. (MI)—D 127 Gordon, B. (TN)—D 128 Johnson, E. (TX)—D 129 Bonior, D. (MI)—D 130 Hughes, W. (MI)—D 131 Pelosi, N. (CA)—D 132 Hilliard, E. (AL)—D 133 Deutsch, P. (FL)—D 134 Baesler, S. (KY)—D 135 Ford H. (TM)—D		(70,656) (69,704) (40,981) (71,340) (73,222) (69,533) (68,370) (70,253) (72,811) (75,289) (73,070) (71,568) (70,313) (70,71,552) (70,898) (68,375) (70,598) (68,375) (70,598) (68,375) (70,598) (69,625) (57,713) (72,698) (73,74,27) (74,449) (74,477) (77,427) (77,427) (77,427) (77,427) (77,427) (77,427) (77,427) (76,546) (78,669) (78,669) (70,623	62,391 62,391 62,120 62,020 61,730 61,730 61,730 61,750 60,655 60,647 60,417 60,417 59,812 59,805 59,375 59,375 59,375 58,874 58,775 58,874 58,775 58,712 58,560 58,556 57,477 57,217 57,142 56,56,833	206 (Leach, J. (IA)—R 207 Combest, L. (TX)—R 208 McKinney, C. (GA)—D 209 Flake, F. (NY)—D 210 Cooper, J. (TN)—D 211 Rangel, C. (NY)—D 211 Rangel, C. (NY)—D 212 Smith, B. (OR)—R 213 Oberstar, J. (MN)—D 214 Vento, B. (MN)—D 215 Watt, M. (NC)—D 216 Pomeroy, E. (ND)—D 217 Pastor, E. (AZ)—D 218 Tanner, J. (TN)—D 219 Payne, D. (NL)—D 219 Payne, D. (NL)—D 221 Hoagland, P. (NE)—D 222 Johnson, D. (GA)—D 223 Rush, B. (IL)—D 224 Holden, T. (PA)—D 225 Kreidler, M. (WA)—D 226 Owens, M. (NY)—D 227 Lightfoot, J. (IA)—R 228 Barcia, J. (MI)—D 230 Stark, P. (CA)—D 231 Collins, C. (IL)—D 233 Bergueter, D. (NE)—R 234 Roukema, M. (NL)—R 235 Hayes, J. (LA)—D 236 Brown, S. (OH)—R 237 Torricelli, R. (NL)—D 238 Sangmeister, G. (IL)—D 239 Starns, C. (FL)—D 230 Starns, C. (FL)—D 231 Torricelli, R. (NL)—D 239 Starns, C. (FL)—R 240 Serrano, J. (NY)—D		(86,337) (63,586) (87,420) (83,481) (79,29) (29,600) (82,993) (84,926) (85,282) (87,344) (81,835) (85,294) (88,752) (87,544) (87,784) (87,784) (87,784) (87,784) (87,784) (87,544) (87,784) (87,784) (87,785) (92,527) (70,661) (86,378) (72,443) (74,188) (74,	47,746 47,688 47,612 47,377 46,908 46,961 46,983 46,961 46,824 46,504 46,424 46,154 46,154 46,154 43,311 44,217 43,741 43,438 43,347 41,898 41,760 41,663 41,305 41,010 40,718 41,010 40,718 41,010 40,718 41,010 41
102 English, K. (AZ)—D 103 Spence, F. (SC)—R 104 Frest, M. (TX)—D 105 Boucher, R. (VA)—D 106 Dingell, J. (MI)—D 107 Applegate, D. (OH)—D 108 Tucker, W. (CA)—D 109 Skaggs, D. (CO)—D 110 Woolsey, L. (CA)—D 111 Foglietta, T. (PA)—D 112 Martinez, M. (CA)—D 113 Pickle, J. (TX)—D 114 Filner, B. (CA)—D 115 Rahall, N. (WY)—D 116 Lehman, R. (CA)—D 117 Borski, R. (PA)—D 118 Shepherd, K. (UT)—D 119 Wilson, C. (TX)—D 121 McCurdy, D. (OK)—D 121 McCurdy, D. (OK)—D 122 Hastings, A. (FL)—D 123 Waters, M. (CA)—D 124 Roemer, T. (IN)—D 125 Mink, P. (HI)—D 126 Collins, B. (MI)—D 127 Gordon, B. (TN)—D 128 Johnson, E. (TX)—D 130 Hughes, W. (VI)—D 131 Pelosi, N. (CA)—D 132 Hilliard, E. (AL)—D 133 Deutsch, P. (FL)—D 134 Baeseler, S. (KY)—D 135 Horling, N. (FL)—D 131 Belosi, N. (CA)—D 132 Hilliard, E. (AL)—D 133 Beaseler, S. (KY)—D 134 Baeseler, S. (KY)—D 135 Ford, H. (TN)—D		(70,656) (69,704) (40,981) (71,340) (80,370) (88,370) (70,253) (72,811) (71,5289) (73,070) (73,070) (70,313) (71,427) (71,427) (71,427) (71,427) (72,086) (74,449) (77,427) (74,449) (77,427) (74,449) (76,430) (7	62,391 62,387 62,120 62,029 61,730 61,720 61,720 60,750 60,657 60,647 60,410 60,317 59,851 59,851 59,806 58,834 58,775 59,375 58,715 58,715 58,775 57,747 57,747 57,747 57,747 57,747 57,747 57,747 57,747 57,747 57,747	206 Leach, J. (IA)—R 207 Combest, L. (TX)—R 208 McKinney, C. (GA)—D 209 Flake, F. (NY)—D 210 Cooper, J. (TN)—D 211 Rangel, C. (NY)—D 211 Rangel, C. (NY)—D 212 Smith, B. (OR)—R 213 Oberstar, J. (MN)—D 214 Vento, B. (MN)—D 215 Watt, M. (NC)—D 216 Pomeroy, E. (ND)—D 217 Pastor, E. (AZ)—D 218 Tanner, J. (TN)—D 219 Payne, D. (NI)—D 221 Hoagland, P. (NE)—D 222 Iohnson, D. (GA)—D 223 Rush, B. (IL)—D 224 Holden, T. (PA)—D 225 Kreidler, M. (WA)—D 226 Owens, M. (NY)—D 227 Lightfoot, J. (IA)—R 228 Barcia, J. (MI)—D 229 Geren, P. (TX)—D 230 Stark, P. (CA)—D 231 Collins, C. (IL)—D 232 Bereuter, D. (NE)—R 233 Regula, R. (OH)—R 234 Roukema, M. (WI)—R 235 Hayes, J. (LA)—D 236 Serour, S. (OH)—D 237 Torricelli, R. (NI)—D 238 Sangmeister, G. (IL)—D 239 Stearns, C. (FL)—R 240 Serrano, J. (NY)—D 241 Foley, T. (WA)—D 241 Foley, T. (WA)—D 241 Foley, T. (WA)—D		(86,337) (63,586) (83,481) (79,600) (83,481) (79,600) (82,993) (84,926) (85,282) (87,344) (81,835) (86,7344) (87,544) (8	47,746 47,688 47,612 47,075 47,005 46,005 46,961 46,834 46,727 46,504 46,424 46,424 46,424 45,822 45,651 44,331 44,331 44,331 44,331 44,331 43,134 43,134 43,134 43,134 43,134 43,134 41,010 41

244 Dellums, R. (CA)—D ... 245 Wyden, R. (OR)—D ... 246 Deal, N. (GA)—D ... 247 Klink, R. (PA)—D ... 248 Torkildsen, P. (MA)—R ... 249 Green, G. (TX)—D ... 251 Skeen, J. (MM)—R ... 252 Rowland, J. (GA)—D ... 253 Cardin, B. (MD)—D ... 254 Velazquez, N. (MY)—D ... 255 Frank, B. (MA)—D ... 256 Snowe, D. (ME)—R

255 Snowe, 0. (ME)—R.
256 Snowe, 0. (ME)—R.
257 Farr, S. (CA)—D.
258 Roberts, P. (KS)—R.
259 Thompson, B. (MS)—D.
260 Barrett, B. (MS)—D.
261 McHale, P. (PA)—R.
262 Clinger, W. (PA)—R.
263 Smith, C. (MJ)—R.
264 Bateman, H. (VA)—R.
265 Lazio, R. (MY)—R.
266 Callahan, S. (AL)—R.
267 Andrews, R. (MJ)—D.
268 McDade, J. (PA)—R.
268 McDade, J. (PA)—R.
269 Jacobs, A. (M))—D.
270 Canady C. (FL)—R.
271 Washington, C. (TX)—D.
272 DeFazio, P. (OR)—D.
273 Levy, D. (MY)—R.
274 Long, J. (M))—D.
275 Heffey, J. (CO)—R.
276 King, P. (MY)—R.
277 Glichrest, T. (MD)—R.
278 Dornan, R. (CA)—R.
279 Allard, W. (CO)—R.
280 Lewis, J. (CA)—R.
281 Houghton, A. (MY)—R.
281 Houghton, A. (MY)—R.
282 Stump, B. (AZ)—R.
283 Dooley, C. (CA)—D.
284 Insiee, J. (MA)—D.
285 Hall, R. (TX)—D.
286 Fish, H. (MY)—R.
287 Kingston, J. (GA)—R.
288 Grandy, F. (M)—R.
289 McHugh, J. (MY)—R.
290 Fingerhut, E. (OH)—D.
291 Calivert, K. (CA)—R.
292 Poshard, G. (IL)—D.
293 Butte, P. (MM)—R.
294 Burton, D. (MI)—R.
295 Schroeder, P. (CO)—D.
296 Minge, D. (MM)—D.
297 Margolles-Mezv, (PA)—D.
308 Birlarkis, M. (FL)—R.
308 Young, C. (FL)—R.
309 Goodlatte, R. (M)—R.
310 Kolbe, J. (MZ)—R.
311 Meyers, J. (KS)—R.
312 Valentine, T. (MD)—R.
313 Midge, T. (PA)—R.
314 Hockstra, P. (MI)—R.
315 McCandless, A. (CA)—R.
316 Smith, L. (TX)—R.
317 Dunn, J. (WA)—R.
318 Condit, G. (CA)—R.
327 Peken, B. (MH)—R.
327 Peken, B. (MH)—R.
328 McHugh, J. (MH)—R.
329 McHugh, M. (MO)—R.
320 Archer, B. (TX)—R.
321 Peken, M. (MA)—D.
322 Mechan, M. (MA)—D.
323 Micnnis, S. (IN)—R.
324 Peken, B. (MH)—R.
325 Peken, G. (MH)—R.
326 Buyer, S. (IN)—R.

326 Buyer, S. (IN)—R
327 Zekuff, B. (NH)—R
328 Tauzin, W. (LA)—D
329 Shaw, E. (FL)—R
330 Hastert, D. (IL)—R
331 Ravenel, A. (SC)—R
332 Thomas, B. (CA)—R
333 Quinn, J. (NY)—R
334 Taylor, G. (MS)—D
335 Franks, G. (CT)—R
336 Baker, R. (LA)—R
337 Horn, S. (CA)—R
338 Talent, J. (MO)—R
340 Myers, J. (IN)—R
341 Quinderson, S. (W)—R
342 Klug, S. (W)—R
343 Quillen, J. (TN)—R
344 Mckeon, H. (CA)—R
345 Pryce, D. (OH)—R
346 Oxley, M. (OH)—R
347 Knoflenberg, J. (MI)—R
348 Klyl, J. (AZ)—R
349 Hobson, D. (OH)—R
349 Hobson, D. (OH)—R

CONGRESSIONAL RECORD—HOUSE

VOTE TALLY MEMBER REPORT SORTED BY NET SPENDING—HOUSE—Continued

[What Members of Congress voted for in the 103d Congress (figures in millions of dollars)]

174 443

126,217 118,788

136,088 119,938 117,418

129,832 112,479 109,857 133,856 127,188 124,628 123,710 109,731 89,179 111,728 98,965

135,817 104,552 119,676

106,621 101,259 83,227 118,812

109,525

114,071 94,433 98,221 112,003

97,636 134,135 74,007 94,194

117,374 70,554 76,951 107,912

113,776 69,828 130,330 134,108 103,847

115,328 87,286 102,787 95,105 113,373

101,960 133,523 117,151

81,826 117,890 116,973 117,351

90 787

98,477 102,380 117,285

86,428 111,730 131,628 98,320

106,973 94,185 112,386

74,768 99,503 105,890 111,821

108 188

94.953

82,033 111,786 134,547 59,069

117,450 135,375 72,873 100,333

79,479

112 409

116 390

98,510 96,639 97,103

93,284 109,439 87,618

92,083 88,758

107,963 86,516

75,492 81,769 107,143

38 993

38,390

38 169

38,077 37,574 36,961

36,915 36,469

36,278 36,263 36,073

36.001

34 898

34,641 33,409 33,227

32.819 32,450 32,320 31,878

31,444

30,963 30,867 30,769 30,235

30,235 29,925 29,751 29,640 29,476 29,357 29,186 29,163 29,074

27,902 27,782 27,706

25,122 24,780

24 696

24,482 24,397 24,180

23,939

22 013

21,509 21,180 21.060

20,295 20,256 19,539 19,197

17 347 16,779

16 001

15.845

15 698

15,429 15,354 15,228

14,676 14,646 14,131 13,388

13,388 13,386 12,425 12,176 12,140 11,708 11,383

11 267

10,735 10,285 10,225 9 887

9,671 9,281 9,173

9,030 8,791 8,735

8.635

8,235 8,062

8.053 6,968 5,754 5,659

5.583 5 121

(85,450) (87,274) (80,398) (97,919) (81,861) (79,844) (92,871) (75,564) (73,388)

(73,388) (97,578) (90,925) (88,555) (87,709) (73,906) (53,720) (76,771)

(64 067

(64,067, (101,176) (71,143) (86,449) (73,802) (68,809) (50,907) (86,934) (78,081)

(78.081

(83,108) (63,566) (67,452) (81,768) (67,711) (104,384) (44,367) (64,718) (88,017) (41,368) (47,788) (78,838)

(78,838) (85,066) (41,271) (102,428) (106,326) (76,141) (87,667) (59,930) (77,665) (70,325)

(70,325) (88,677) (77,478) (109,126) (92,971) (57,877) (94,438) (93,856) (94,689) (68,774)

(88,774) (76,968) (81,200) (96,225) (66,143) (91,474) (112,089) (79,123)

(88,408) (76,478) (94,847) (57,421) (82,210) (89,016) (95,042) (92,187) (81,066) (65,005) (79,108)

(66,335) (96,357) (119,193)

(43,841) (102,774) (120,729) (58,742) (86,945) (45,127) (81,664) (67,294)

(67,294) (100,269) (85,295) (85,496) (105,123) (87,775) (86,354) (86,878) (89,472)

(83,613) (100,148) (78,445)

(88,778) (83,657) (88,982) (79,847)

(83,848) (80,696) (99,910) (79,548)

(69,738) (76,110) (101,560)

VOTE TALLY MEMBER REPORT SORTED BY NET SPENDING—HOUSE—Continued—Continued

103d Congress (figures in

(66,717) (86,130) (93,254) (89,098)

(89,098) (86,258) (91,745) (90,577) (90,009) (85,686) (79,105) (93,657) (71,766) (94,297)

(92,702) (69,808) (85,360) (73,433)

(76,931) (64,193) (67,890) (79,254)

(99,656) (77,838) (84,389) (86,383) (70,275) (95,818) (88,240) (71,579) (87,819) (94,914) (92,438) (107,694)

(68,642) (85,919) (88,304) (119,172)

(71,164) (88,508)

(105,688) (108,494)

(69,783) (108,139)

108,139) (87,618) (82,590) (90,580) (85,429) (78,148) (94,142) (90,412) (98,412)

(84,560) (89,009) (87,137) (109,384)

(89,944) (83,398) (92,493)

(83 827

(83,827) (80,005) (100,181) (86,559) (89,816) (97,923) (119,653)

(112 645 (88,179) (97,554) (102,537)

(91,227) (103,701)

(88,955) (111,406)

(115,546) (110,243) (116,620)

(106,430)

4 821

4,354

3,775 3,586 2,809

2.372

1.649 1,029 472 415 18

(64) (130) (815) (1,319)

(1,369) (1,796) (1,827) (2,725)

(2.915)

(2,915) (3,070) (3,098) (3,301) (3,439) (3,580) (3,728)

3 770

(3,779) (3,779) (3,928) (3,968) (4,291) (4,399) (4,457) (5,442)

(5,442) (6,467) (7,236) (7,676) (8,202) (8,840) (9,298) (9,514) (10,056)

(10,913) (10,990)

(12,153) (13,299) (14,526) (14,895)

(15,850) (16,393) (16,754) (19,140)

(19,250) (19,828)

(20,833) (21,216) (21,631) (22,076) (22,422) (23,147) (23,740) (24,565) (25,037) (26,234) (26,246) (27,004)

(27.686

(31,260) (32,033) (32,185)

(36,962) (38,014) (39,327) (50,317)

[What Members of Congress voted for millions of	dollars)
Name	In- crease
352 Boehner, J. (OH)—R 353 Dickey, J. (AR)—R 353 Dickey, J. (AR)—R 354 Goodling, B. (PA)—R 355 Kasich, J. (OH)—r 356 Weldon, C. (PA)—R 357 Sundquist, D. (IN)—R 358 Hutchinson, T. (AR)—R 359 Wolf, F. (VA)—R 360 Castle, M. (DE)—R 361 Lewis, T. (FL)—R 362 Porter, J. (IL)—R 363 Crapo, M. (ID)—R 364 Bonilla, H. (TX)—R 365 Penny, T. (MN)—D 366 Goss, P. (FL)—R 367 Gingrich, N. (GA)—R 368 Fields, J. (TX)—R 370 Cox, C. (CA)—R 371 Manzullo, D. (IL)—R 372 Delay, T. (TX)—R 373 Taylor, C. (NC)—R 373 Taylor, C. (NC)—R 374 Schaefer, D. (CO)—R	71.80
353 Dickey, J. (AR)—R	71,80 91,15 98,16 93,91
54 Goodling, B. (PA)—R 55 Kasich, J. (OH)—r	98,16
155 Kasicii, J. (UH)—I 156 Weldon C (PA)—R	93,9
56 Weldon, C. (PÁ)—R 57 Sundquist, D. (IN)—R	91,00 96,19 94,93
58 Hutchinson, T. (AR)—R	94,93
59 Wolf, F. (VA)—R	94,06
60 Castle, M. (DE)—R 61 Lewis, T. (FL)—R	89,46 82,69
62 Porter, J. (IL)—R	96,46
63 Crapo, M. (ID)—R	96,46 74,13 95,94
64 Bon'illa, H. (TX)—R	95,94 111,14
66 Goss, P. (FL)—R	71,03
67 Gingrich, N. (GA)—R	84.28
68 Fields, J. (TX)—R	65,87
69 Stenholm, C. (TX)—D 70 Cox, C. (CA)—R	92,6
70 Cox, C. (CA)—R	69,6 84 5
71 Manzullo, D. (IL)—R72 Delay, T. (TX)—R	84,54 72,1
73 Taylor, C. (NC)—R	73,30
74 Schaefer, D. (CO)—R75 Armey, D. (TX)—R	62,39
75 Armey, D. (1X)—R	76.5
77 Schiff, S. (NM)—R	66,00 76,53 96,7
78 Baker, B. (CA)—R79 Shuster, B. (PA)—R	/4,/1
79 Snuster, B. (PA)—R 80 Mica, J. (FL)—R	81,2 83,0
80 Grams, R. (MN)—R	66.9
82 Everett, T. (AL)—R	66,9 92,3 84,6
оз billey, г. (vн)—к	84,6
84 Solomon, G. (NY)—R 85 Michel, R. (IL)—R	67,8 84,0
86 Santorum, R. (PA)—R	91,13
87 Cunningham, R. (CA)—R	91,13 88,5 103,7
388 Greenwood, J. (PA)—R	103,72
89 Inhofe, J. (OK)—R 90 Packard, R. (CA)—R	64,3! 81,52
91 Gekas, G. (PA)—R	83,8
92 Upton, F. (MI)—R	113,73
93 Johnson, S. (TX)—R	64,69
195 Barca, P. (WI)—D	81,21 98,01
396 McMillan, A. (NC)—R	100,29
97 Walker, R. (PÅ)—R	60,9
198 Johnson, N. (CT)—R	98,84
00 Moorhead, C. (CA)—R	78,10 71,53
01 Pombo, R. (CA)—R	79,60
02 Hoke, M. (OH)—R	74,43
03 Petri, T. (WI)—R	65,99 80,84
05 Collins, M. (GA)—R	75.88
06 Franks, B. (NJ)—R	75,88 83,5 68,7
07 Dreier, D. (CA)—R	68,7
109 Istook F (OK)—R	72,6°
110 Ewing, T. (IL)—R	70,38 90,3
11 Portman, R. (OH)—R	70,69
HZ KOTN, I. (WI)—R	63,5
114 Smith, N. (MI)—R	71,66 62,6
15 Paxon, B. (NY)—R	58,3
16 Hansen, J. (UT)—R	58,3 78,10 64,1
11/ Duncan, J. (IN)—K	64,13
119 Ballenger, C. (NC)—R	66,66 74,18
120 Camp, D. (MI)—Ř	95,0
121 Shays, C. (CT)—R	87,6 61,9
+22 BUNNING, J. (KY)—K	61,9
124 Ramstad, J. (MN)—R	75.5
125 Barton, J. (TX)—R	71,3 75,5 63,5
126 Zimmer, D. (NJ)—R	72,4
+27 CIANE, P. (IL)—K	56,9 79,2 68,5
129 Rohrabacher, D. (CA)—R	68.5
130 Royce, E. (CA)—R	72,2 77,2
131 Nussle, J. (IA)—R	77,2
102 Hoke, M. (OH)—R 103 Petri, T. (W))—R 104 Thomas, C. (WY)—R 105 Collins, M. (GA)—R 106 Franks, B. (W)—R 107 Dreier, D. (CA)—R 108 Inglis, B. (SC)—R 109 Istook, E. (OK)—R 111 Eving, T. (IL)—R 111 Portman, R. (OH)—R 112 Roth, T. (WI)—R 113 Herger, W. (CA)—R 114 Smith, N. (MI)—R 115 Paxon, B. (WY)—R 116 Hansen, J. (UT)—R 117 Duncan, J. (TN)—R 118 Doolittle, J. (CA)—R 119 Ballenger, C. (NC)—R 120 Camp, D. (MI)—R 121 Shays, C. (CT)—R 122 Bunning, J. (KY)—R 123 Miller, D. (FL)—R 124 Ramstad, J. (MN)—R 125 Barton, J. (TX)—R 126 Zimmer, D. (WI)—R 127 Crane, P. (IL)—R 128 Coble, H. (NC)—R 129 Rohrabacher, D. (VI)—R 129 Rohrabacher, D. (CA)—R 131 Nussle, J. (IA)—R 130 Royce, E. (CA)—R 131 Nussle, J. (IA)—R	56,1
VOTE TALLY STATE D [What Members of Congress voted for	ELEGA
millions of	dollars)
Name	In- crease
ALAB#	AMA
Heflin (D-AL)	133,49
Heflin (D–AL) Shelby (D–AL) Bachus (R–AL)	117,60 76.5
Bevill (D–AL)	76,5 133,1 132,7 83,2
Bevill (D-AL) Browder (D-AL) Calahan (R-AL) Cramer (D-AL)	132,7
Calahan (R–AL) Cramer (D–AL)	83,22 131,0

TION REPORT

103d Congress (figures in

Name	In- creases	Cuts	Net
ALABA	AMA		
Heflin (D-AL) Shelby (D-AL) Bachus (R-AL) Bevill (D-AL) Browder (D-AL) Cramer (D-AL) Cramer (D-AL) Everett (R-AL) Hilliard (D-AL)	133,490 117,660 76,529 133,163 132,765 83,227 131,079 92,379 127,840	(57,768) (92,487) (79,254) (47,841) (67,654) (50,907) (47,836) (95,818) (70,623)	75,722 25,173 (2,725) 85,324 65,111 32,320 83,243 3,439 57,217
ALAS	KA		
Murkowski (R-AK)	111,051 122,046 107,842	(120,295) (97,887) (56,885)	(9,244) 24,159 50,957

VOTE TALLY STATE DELEGATION REPORT—Continued [What Members of Congress voted for in the 103d Congress (figures in millions of dollars)]

Name	In- creases	Cuts	Net
ARIZO			
		(OE OUE)	A1 027
DeConcini (D-AZ)	137,812 111,698	(95,895) (139,708)	41,937 (28,010)
Coppersmith (D-AZ)	117,093	(63,054)	54,039
English (D–AŽ) Kolbe (R–AZ)	131,824 99,503	(69,704) (82,210)	62,120 17,293
Kyl (R–AZ)	81,769	(76,110)	5,659
Pastor (D–AZ) Stump (R–AZ)	128,259 69,828	(81,835) (41,271)	46,424 28,557
ARKAN		•	
Bumpers (D–AR)	133,128	(65,901)	67,227
Pryor (D–AR)	130,554 91,151	(66,918)	63,616
Hutchinson (R–AR)	91,151 94,931	(86,130) (90,577)	5,021 4.354
Lambert (D-AR)	134,547	(119,193)	4,354 15,354
Thornton (D–AR)	135,134	(56,709)	78,425
CALIFO			
Boxer (D-CA) Feinstein (D-CA)	140,993 132,138	(54,218) (51,370)	86,775 80,768
Baker (R-CA)	74,799	(78,815)	(4,016)
Becerra (D-CA)	137,670 128,024	(87,833) (55,597)	49,837 72,427
Berman (D-CA)	137,047	(50,800)	86,247
Brown, G (D–CA)	135,173 102,699	(65,532) (78,647)	69,641 24,052
Condit (D–CA)	115,854	(97,670)	18,184 (905)
Cunningham (R–CA)	68,959 93,751	(69,864) (102,314)	(8,563)
Dellums (D-CA)	129,203 140,550	(86,911) (57,899)	42,292
Dixon (D–CA)	135,131	(103,887)	82,651 31,244
Doolittle (R-CA)	66,041 69,447	(89,544)	(23,503) 28,005
Doolittle (R-CA) Dornan (R-CA) Dreier (R-CA)	70,191	(41,442) (84,277)	(14,086)
Edwards, D (D-CA)	129,473 139,611	(74,367) (80,580)	55,106 59,031
Farr (D-CA)	110,293	(68,790)	41,503
Fazio (D-CA)	138,101 134,813	(49,121) (71,825)	88,980 62,988
Gallegly (R–CA)	96,618	(89,958)	6,660
Hamburg (D–CA)	133,657 137,040	(76,827) (59,360)	56,830 77,680
Herger (R–CA)	72,438	(92,211)	(19,783)
Horn (R–CA) Huffington (R–CA)	114,207 95,233	(101,517) (39,892)	12,690 55,341
Hunter (R–CA)	84,581	(97,514)	(12,933)
Kim (R-CA) Lantos (D-CA)	112,267 137,512	(73,255) (68,709)	39,012 68,803
Lehman (D-CA)	132,567 108,670	(69,735) (80,057)	62,832 28,613
Martinez (D-CA) Matsui (D-CA) McCandless (R-CA)	140,397	(76,900)	63,497
Matsui (D–CA) McCandless (R–CA)	139,358 78,321	(58,752) (65,268)	80,606 13,053
WICKCOTT (IX-CA)	86,349	(80,965)	5,384
Miller, G (D–CA)	139,122 136,052	(90,264) (59,457)	48,858 76,595
Mineta (D–CA)	72,312	(82,318)	10,006
Packard (R-CA)	82,099 140,834	(86,177) (80,181)	(4,078) 60,653
Pombo (R–CA)	76,111	(90,302)	(14,191) (36,790)
Rohrabacher (R–CA) Roybal-Allard (D–CA)	68,473 137,426	(105,263) (52,109)	85,317
Nuyce (N=CA)	71,028 138,363	(109,971) (81,659)	(38,943) 36,704
Schenk (D-CA)	127,647	(87,829)	39,818
Thomas, B (R–CA)	97,174 137,196	(89,012) (67,840)	8,162 69,356
Tucker (D-CA) Waters (D-CA)	136,399	(67,466)	68,933
Waters (D-CA)	133,217 134,328	(71,137) (67,965)	62,080 66,363
Woolsey (D-CA)	140,508	(76,801)	63,707
COLOR	ADO		
Brown, H. (R-C0)	103,040	(140,292)	(37,252)
Campbell, B. (D-CO)	127,361 76,951	(51,818) (47,788)	75,543 29,163
Hefley (R–CO)	74,007	(44,367)	29,103
McInnis (R-C0) Schaefer (R-C0) Schroeder (D-C0)	72,873 62 397	(58,742) (64,193)	14,131 (1,796)
Schroeder (D-CO)	62,397 117,890	(94,.438)	23,452
Skaggs (D-C0)	133,458	(72,811)	60,647
CONNEC	TICUT		
Dodd (D-CT)	126,256 122,816	(47,002) (95,098)	79,254 27,718
Lieberman (D-CT) DeLauro (D-CT) Franks (R-CT)	133,097	(49,205)	83,892
Franks (R–CT) Geidenson (D–CT)	99,359 133,578	(89,472) (64,972)	9,887 68,606
Gejdenson (D–CT) Johnson (R–CT) Kennelly (D–CT)	98,841	(108,139)	(9,298)
Kennelly (D-CT)	133,256 87,608	(49,553) (112,645)	83,703 (25,037)
DELAW		(=1010)	(==/00/)
		(A4 01E)	გა იია
Biden (D–DE)	130,708 95,926	(46,815) (114,511)	83,893 (18,585)
Castle (R-DE)	89,461	(85,686)	3,775
FLORI	DA		
Graham, B. (D-FL)	129,093	(71,883)	57,210

Mack (R-FL)

Mack (R-FL)
Bacchus (D-FL)
Bilirakis (R-FL)
Brown (D-FL)
Canady (R-FL)
Deutsch (D-FL)

Carlady (V-L)

Data-Balart (R-FL)

Fowler (R-FL)

Gibbons (D-FL)

Goss (R-FL)

Hatting (D-FL)

Johnston (D-FL)

Lewis (R-FL)

McCollum (R-FL)

Mica (R-FL)

Miller (R-FL)

Miller (R-FL)

Miller (R-FL)

Miller (R-FL)

Peterson (D-FL)

Ros-Lehtinen (R-FL)

Shaw (R-FL)

Stearns (R-FL)

Thurman (D-FL)

Young (R-FL)

Coverdell (R-GA)
Nunn (D-GA)
Bishop (D-GA)
Collins (R-GA)
Darden (D-GA)
Deal (D-GA)
Gingrich (R-GA)
Johnson (D-GA)
Kingston (R-GA)
Lewis (D-GA)
Linder (R-GA)

Linder (R-GA)
McKinney (D-GA)
Rowland (D-GA)

Akaka (D-HI) Inouye (D-HI) Abercrombie (D-HI) Mink (D-HI)

 Craig (R-ID)

 Kempthorne (R-ID)

 Crapo (R-ID)

 LaRocco (D-ID)

Moseley-Braun (D-IL)
Simon (D-IL)
Collins (D-IL)
Costello (D-IL)
Evans (D-IL)
Evans (D-IL)
Evans (D-IL)
Evans (D-IL)
Evans (D-IL)
Evans (D-IL)
Hastert (R-IL)
Hyde (R-IL)
Lipinski (D-IL)
Manzullo (R-IL)
Michel (R-IL)
Morchel (R-IL)
Porter (R-IL)
Poshard (D-IL)
Reynolds (D-IL)
Reynolds (D-IL)
Reynolds (D-IL)
Rush (D-IL)
Sangmeister (D-IL)
Yates (D-IL)

Coats (R-IN) Lugar (R-IN) Burton (R-IN)

Buttot (K=IN)
Hamilton (D=IN)
Jacobs (D=IN)
Long (D=IN)
Long (D=IN)
McCloskey (D=IN)
Myers (R=IN)
Roemer (D=IN)
Sharn (D=IN)

Grassley (R–IA)
Harkin (D–IA)
Grandy (R–IA)
Leach (R–IA)
Libetford (D IA)

Lightfoot (R-IA)

Nussle (R-IA)

Smith (D-IA)

 Dole (R-KS)

 Kassebaum (R-KS)

 Glickman (D-KS)

 Meyers (R-KS)

 Roberts (R-KS)

Linder (R-GA)

Craig (R-ID)

75,431 9,173

76 559

50,095

(2,033) 68,970

41,417 31,609 34,898 41,663 45,511

88 240

40,696 45,762

62,208 31,878 (14,895) 21,180 57,596

63,545 52,988 54,116 45,822

41,010 5,103 33,227

69,335 687 79,728 (2,945) 36,915

(2,325) 75,011 77,172 91,642

54,003 27,661 47,056

53,127 53,926

65,704 28,710 29,476 62,469 32,450

29 925 56,229 65,967 85,156

24,780 70,628 39,431

65 569

43,347 (21,631)

10.285

46 998

52.806

(36,007) (21,345) (23,740)

70,462 (32,185) 55,171

82,154 (8,202) 50,853

80.122

VOTE TALLY STATE DELEGATION REPORT—Continued—

Continued

[What Members of Congress voted for in the 103d Congress (figures in

VOTE TALLY STATE DELEGATION REPORT—Continued [What Members of Congress voted for in the 103d Congress (figures in millions of dollars)]

113,043

132 887

111,730 133,224 94,433

135,305 105,349 117,511 131,598 71,039

130,685 82,691

86,438 132,765 83,082

71.308 133,241 101,273 97,003

89.425

111,795 127,354 133,046

84.287

83,347 132,747

109,857 HAWAII

130,732

130 702

115,251 115,281

56,922 135,331 136,045 90,244

78,104 127,792 96,879 94,185

96,466 133,523 133,322 134,763

115,399 81,826 94,089

133,806 114,071 134,135 133,603

92,448 115,914

131,236 133,488

117,692 140,062 102,787

130,221 KANSAS

117,684 120,090 131,011

INDIANA

IDAHO

GEORGIA

(143.972)

(80,920) (91,474) (49,213) (63,566) (78,163) (39,199) (55,120) (50,571) (70,567)

(70,567) (65,777) (79,123) (58,569) (79,105)

(79,105) (66,143) (47,663) (86,383) (97,554) (47,789) (53,047) (85,295) (49,647)

(79,204) (94,847)

(142,899) (69,730) (61,705) (90,412) (47,811) (80,398) (83,872) (87,544) (59,930) (81,783) (78,226) (85,370)

(47,884) (46,352)

(137,160) (137,160) (71,766) (112,089)

(50,324) (82,337) (75,819) (81,139)

(88,955) (83,300) (85,511) (109,384)

(87,618) (72,618) (85,496) (76,478)

(69,875) (85,360) (87,819) (93,657)

(93,657) (109,126) (70,340) (66,907) (87,780) (96,172) (85,592)

(121410)

(120,289) (57,887) (81,664)

(66,170) (83,108) (104,384)

(54,139) (83,657) (57,139) (77,679) (70,124)

(152,677) (64,432) (77,665) (63,586) (52,927) (116,620) (48,374)

(122,677) (133.058) (50,128) (89,016) (53,720)

(30,929) 51,967 20,256 84,011

30,867 57,142

66,150 62,391 81,027

472

58,834 19,197 72,116 3,586

(3,301)

85,452 48,226 11,708 39,778

(31,104) 57,624 71,341 (14,526) 85,452 38,390

415 44,331 27,356 50,037

5,121 47,377

82,848

84,350 55,379

(21,909) (21,879)

52,440 41,760 53,383

(32,033) 52,031 50,534 (19,140)

11,383 17,707 65,832 (815) (3,770)

2.809

67,856 44,217 39,923 50,152

(9,478) (4,890) 23,939

12.425

67,636 30,963 29,751 79,464

8,791 58,775 53,557

63.364

(34,985) 75,630 25,122

47 688

43,134 (39,327)

81.847

(4,993) (12,968) 80,883

VOTE TALLY STATE DELEGATION REPORT—Continued— Continued

[What Members of Congress voted for in the 103d Congress (figures in

	millions of dollars)]	Cuto	Mat	Nama	millions of dollars)]	Cuto
Name	creases	Cuts	Net	Name	creases	Cuts
Slattery (D-KS)	125,991	(78,020)	47,971	Skelton (D-M0) Talent (R-M0)		(55,373) (78,445)
	KENTUCKY			Volkmer (D-MO) Wheat (D-MO)		(54,470) (84,832)
Ford (D-KY) McConnell (R-KY)		(49,714) (113,755)	81,018 3,853	whicat (b-into)		(04,032)
Raesler (D-KY)	131 843	(74,887) (84,133)	56,956 48,942	Paucus (D. MT)	MONTANA 120.940	(70 774)
Barlow (D–KY) Bunning (R–KY) Mazzoli (D–KY) Rogers (R–KY)		(88,179)	26,234 65,550	Baucus (D-MT) Burns (R-MT)	116,079	(79,774) (118,112)
MazzoII (D=KY) Rogers (R=KY)		(67,925) (52,075)	77,284	Williams (D-MT)	138,000	(69,030)
	LOUISIANA				NEBRASKA	
Breaux (D-LA)		(45,993)	84,579	Exon (D–NE) Kerrey, R. (D–NE)		(89,195) (95,574)
lohnston (D–ĹA) 3aker (R–LA)	127,122	(31,700) (83,613)	95,422 9,671	Barrett (R-NE)	98,965	(64,067)
ields (D–LA)	136,243	(84,672)	51,571	Barrett (R–NE) Bereuter (R–NE) Hoagland (D–NE)		(52,443) (87,191)
Hayes (D-LA) Jefferson (D-LA)	109,938 133,276	(69,222) (65,803)	40,716 67,473	,	NEVADA	(, , ,
Livingston (R–LA) McCrery (R–LA)		(88,408) (86,945)	18,565 13,388	Bryan (D-NV)		(44,342)
Tauzin (D–LA) ′	112,409	(100,269)	12,140	Reid (D-NV)	132,610	(48,449)
	MAINE			Bilbray (D-NV) Vucanovich (R-NV)		(55,667) (60,553)
Cohen (R-ME)		(146,117)	(29,822)		NEW HAMPSHIRE	
Mitchell (D–ME) Andrews (D–ME)		(52,668) (85,669)	74,640 48,499	Gregg (R-NH)		(144,296)
Snowe (R-ME)	123,710	(87,709)	36,001	Smíth, R.C. (R–NH)	91,214	(136,976)
	MARYLAND			Swett (D-NH) Zeliff (R-NH)		(75,590) (67,294)
Mikulski (D-MD) Sarbanes (D-MD)		(45,826) (47,571)	82,997 79,761		NEW JERSEY	
Bartlett (R-MD)	90,787	(68,774)	22,013	Bradley (D-NJ)	129,639	(59,336)
Bentley (R–MD) Cardin (D–MD)	133,856	(39,832) (97,578)	72,769 36,278	Bradley (D-NJ) Lautenberg (D-NJ) Andrews (D-NJ)		(74,425) (86,934)
Gilchrest (R-MD) Hoyer (D-MD)	117,374	(88,018) (48,893)	29,357 84,329	Franks (R-NJ)	83,517	(98,412)
Mfume (D–MD)	135,916	(69,644)	66,272	Gallo (R-NJ) Hughes (D-NJ)	122,142	(81,200) (64,546)
Morella (R-MD) Nynn (D-MD)	116,854 136,193	(48,097) (81,292)	68,757 54,901	Klein (D-NJ) Menendez (D-NJ)		(68,715) (80,884)
, , ,	MASSACHUSETTS	(-, , ,		Pallone (D-NJ)	113,692	(59,576)
Kennedy (D-MA)		(51,079)	76.177	Payne (D-NJ) Roukema (R-NJ)	131,116 98,215	(85,294) (57,205)
Kerry J. (D-MA)	127,332	(62,446)	64,886	Saxton (R–NJ)	96,489	(91,386) (86,449)
Blute (R-MA) Frank (D-MA)	117,151 124,628	(92,971) (88,555)	24,180 36,073	Smith (R–NJ) Torricelli (D–NJ)	133,861	(93,755)
Kennedy (D–MA)	135.871	(83,428) (84,477)	52,443 51,724	Zimmer (R–NJ)	72,441	(103,701)
Markey (D-MA) Meehan (D-MA)		(120,729)	14,646		NEW MEXICO	
Moakley (D-MA) Neal (D-MA)		(80,030) (78,926)	49,552 56,197	Bingaman (D-NM)		(56.267)
Olver (D-MÁ) Studds (D-MA)	136,248	(67,248) (84,675)	69,000 51,319	Domenici (R–NM)	113,763	(113,076)
Forkildsen (R-MA)	119,938	(81,861)	38,077	Richardson (R–NM) Schiff (R–NM)		(52,617) (99,656)
	MICHIGAN			Skeen (R–NM)	112,179	(75,564)
Levin (D-MI)		(61,256)	66,046		NEW YORK	
Riegle (D–MI) Barcia (D–MI)		(47,037) (89,812)	81,459 42,857	D'Amato (R-NY) Moynihan (D-NY)	119,056	(121,381) (54,602)
Bonior (D-MI) Camp (R-MI)	135,494	(77,509) (119,653)	57,985 (24,565)	Ackerman (D-NY)	131,936	(54,784)
Carr (D_MI)	132 782	(73,805)	58,977	Boehlert (R–NY) Engel (D–NY)	136,912 135,678	(45,270) (81,675)
Collins (D–MI)		(72,086) (58,795)	58,560 68,066	Fish (R-NY) Flake (D-NY)	115,328	(87,667) (87,420)
Dinden (D-Mi)		(69,533)	61,703	Gilman (R-NY)	110,441	(57,314)
Ford (D-MI) Hoekstra (R-MI)	96,995	(72,795) (81,066)	55,183 15,929	Hinchey (D–NY) Hochbrueck (D–NY)	130,549	(81,733) (64,845)
Kildee (D-MI) Knollenberg (R-MI)	133,729 75,492	(71,150) (69,738)	62,579 5,754	Houghton (R–NY) King (R–NÝ)	113,776	(85,066) (64,718)
Levin (D-MI)` Smith (R-MI)	133,080	(55,338) (83,827)	77,742 (21,216)	LaFalce (D-NY)	132,956	(70,487)
Stupak (D-MI)	135,875	(85,738)	50,137	Lazio (R-NY) Levy (R-NY)	97,636	(68,809) (67,711)
Upton (R–MI)	113,730	(119,172)	(5,442)	Lowey (D-NY) Maloney (D-NY)		(80,007) (67,248)
	MINNESOTA			Manton (D-NY)	133,056	(47,900)
Durenberger (R–MN) Wellstone (D–MN)		(122,966) (54,280)	(9,254) 81,513	McHugh (R–NY) McNulty (D–NY)		(70,325) (62,223)
Grams (R-MN)	66,974	(70,275)	(3,301)	Molinari (R–NY) Nadler (D–NY)	112,661	(73,230) (67,379)
Minge (D–MN) Oberstar (D–MN)	116,973 129,767	(93,856) (82,933)	23,117 46,834	Owens (D-NY)	121,084	(77,737)
Penny (D-MN) Peterson (D-MN)	111,140	(110,111) (102,774)	1,029 14,676	Paxon (R–NY) Quinn (R–NY)	58,374 96,639	(80,005) (86,354)
Ramstad (R-MN)	75,533	(102,537)	(27,004)	Rangel (D-NÝ) Schumer (D-NY)	126,757	(79,759) (80,604)
Sabo (D-MN) Vento (D-MN)		(51,210) (84,926)	78,009 46,727	Serrano (D-NY)	127.638	(87,924)
, ,	MISSISSIPPI	, , ,		Slaughter (D-NY) Solomon (R-NY)		(83,249) (71,579)
Cochran (R–MS)		(101,611)	16,086	Towns (D-NY) Velazquez (D-NY)	131,897	(75,597) (90,925)
Lott (R–MS)	115,558	(113,289)	2,269	Walsh (R-NY)		(83,063)
Montgomerý (D–MS) Parker (D–MS)	117,776	(74,247) (69,297)	48,414 48,479		NORTH CAROLINA	
Taylor (D-MS)	97,103	(86,878) (76,771)	10,225 34,957	Faircloth (R-NC)		(139,538)
	130,260	(51,373)	78,887	Helms (R-NC) Ballenger (R-NC)	91.567	(112,912) (97,923)
Thompson (D-MS)				Clayton (D-NC)	130.160	(59,698)
Thompson (D-MS)	MISSOURI					
Thompson (D-MS) Whitten (D-MS)		(112.300)	5,152	Coble (R–NC) Hefner (D–NC)		(111,406) (80,675)
Thompson (D-MS)		(112,300) (127,421) (77,654)	(8,157)	Coble (R-NC) Hefner (D-NC) Lancaster (D-NC) McMillan (R-NC)		(80,675) (59,515)
Thompson (D–MS) Whitten (D–MS) Bond (R–MO) Danforth (R–MO) Clay (D–MO) Danson (N–MO)		(127,421) (77,654) (70,370)	(8,157) 49,329 65,752	Lancaster (D-NC) McMillan (R-NC)		(80,675) (59,515) (108,494) (65,916)
Thómpson (D-MS) Whitten (D-MS) Bond (R-MO) Danforth (R-MO) Clay (D-MO)		(127,421) (77,654)	(8,157) 49,329	Lancaster (D-NC)		(80,675) (59,515) (108,494)

Name

Valentine (D-NC)

Watt (D-NC)

Conrad (D-ND) Dorgan (D-ND)

Pomeroy (D-ND)

Glenn (D-OH)

Metzenbaum (D–OH)
Applegate (D–OH)
Boehner (R–OH)

Brown (D-OH) Fingerhut (D-OH) Gillmor (R-OH) Hall (D-OH) Hobson (R-OH) Hoke (R-OH)

Mann (D-OH) Oxley (R-OH)

Portman (R-OH) Pryce (R-OH)

Regula (R-OH) Sawyer (D-OH) Stokes (D-OH) Strickland (D-OH) Traficant (D-OH) .

Boren (D-OK) Nickles (R-OK)

Brewster (D-OK)
Inhofe (R-OK)
Istook (R-OK)

McCurdy (D=OR)

Synar (D-OK)

Hatfield (R-OR)

Packwood (R-OR) DeFazio (D-OR)

Furse (D-OR) Kopetski (D-OR)

Smith (R-OR)

Wyden (D-OR)

Specter (R-PA) Wofford (D-PA)

Blackwell (D-PA)
Borski (D-PA)
Clinger (R-PA)
Coyne (D-PA)

Foglietta (D–PA)
Gekas (R–PA)
Goodling (R–PA)

Greenwood (R-PA)

McDade (R-PA)
McHale (D-PA)
Murphy (D-PA)
Murtha (D-PA)

Ridge (R–PA) Santorum (R–PA)

Shuster (R-PA) ..

Weldon (R-PA))

Chafee (D-RI) ...

Machtley (R-RI) Reed (D-RI)

Hollings (D-SC)

Thurmond (R–SC) ...
Clyburn (D–SC) ...
Derrick (D–SC) ...

Inglis (R–SC) ... Ravenel (R–SC) Spence (R–SC)

Spratt (D-SC)

Daschle (D-SD) Pressler (R-SD) Johnson (D-SD)

Mathews (D-TN)

Sasser (D-TN) ... Clement (D-TN) ... Cooper (D-TN) ...

Duncan (R-TN)

Margolies-Mezy (D-PA)

Holden (D–PA)
Kanjorski (D–PA)
Klink (D–PA)

VOTE TALLY STATE DELEGATION REPORT—Continued [What Members of Congress voted for in the 103d Congress (figures in millions of dollars)]

NORTH DAKOTA

OHIO

creases 111.821

131.786

131,665

133,784

127.262

122,709 129,120 71,804

136,089

135,102 107,143 74,439

135,191 93,919

115,493

133,549

131,023 136,034 132,239

126,528 108,958

108,809 64,351 70,383

129,921

110,030 112,003

134,727 130,335

76,561

126,217

132,613 133.043

133 448 83,847 98,168

103.726

136,034 136,145 136,088 117,351

109,325 135,817 117,285

140 515

108,188 91,135

81,291 60,943 91,001

122,158

121,372

133,048

126.315

126,315 117,863 133,732 129,552 72,616 116,390 103,080

133,556

130 763

113,502 134,057

129.125

132,719 131,474

130,486

RHODE ISLAND

SOUTH CAROLINA

SOUTH DAKOTA

TENNESSEE

OKLAHOMA

ORFGON

PENNSYI VANIA

Cuts

(85,282)

(46.343)

(71,661) (68,370) (66,717) (95,756)

(88,677) (60,947) (53,743)

(101.560)

(85,429) (86,679) (89,098) (62,197) (79,548)

(79,548) (89,944) (99,910) (74,188) (52,280) (59,011) (85,400)

(100,581) (142,761)

(59,262) (68,642) (87,137) (70,988)

(86,919) (121,330) (81,768) (82,816) (77,141) (29,600) (87,274)

(100,781)

(61,662) (70,656)

(76,251) (71,143) (84,074) (73,070)

(88,304 (93,254

(107.694

(92,293) (83,549) (97,919)

(94 689

(96,225) (47,492) (92,187) (94,914) (84,389)

(69,783) (86,258)

(136,007)

(58,847) (50,818) (54,455)

(62,298) (120,618) (60,148) (52,095) (89,009) (105,123) (40,981) (53,868)

(46 354)

(119,079) (82,854)

(56,887) (60,681) (43,068)

(78,081 (101,176

VOTE TALLY STATE DELEGATION REPORT—Continued— Continued

IWhat Members of Congress voted for in the 103d Congress (figures in

	[What Members of Congress voted for millions of		d Congress (f	igures in
Net	Name	In- creases	Cuts	Net
16,779 46,504	Ford (D-TN)	112,243	(55,410)	56,833
	Gordon (D-TN)	133,005	(74,449)	58,556
61,078	Lloyd (D-TN) Quillen (R-TN)	128,944 92,083	(74,208) (83,848)	54,736 8,235
66,446 46,440	Sundquist (R-TN) Tanner (D-TN)	96,191 131,670	(91,745) (85,516)	4,446 16,154
40,440	TEX		(00,0.0)	10,101
80,919	Gramm (R–TX)	116,963	(117,343)	(380)
51,048	Hutchison (R-TX)	112,902 124,106	(84,690) (72,551)	28,212 51,555
60,750 5,087	Andress (D-TX) Archer (R-TX)	59,069	(43,841)	15,228
40,333 24,696	Armey (R-TX) Barton (R-TX)	66,063 63,541	(67,890) (91,227)	(1,827) (27,868)
52,454 81,359	Bonilla (R-IX)	95,946 133,173	(94,297) (58,641)	1,649 74,532
5,583 (10,990)	Brooks (D–TX) Bryant (D–TX) Chapman (D–TX)	133,135 139,177	(80,232) (51,602)	52,903 87,575
48,512	Coleman (D-TX) Combest (R-TX)	134,930	(56,112)	78,818
4,821 49,393	de la Garza (D-1X)	86,879 132,460	(39,267) (51,281)	47,612 81,179 (1,319)
6,968 (19,250)	DeLay (R-TX) Edwards (D-TX)	72,114 129,825	(73,433) (54,946)	(1,319) 74,880
8,053	Edwards (D-TX) Fields (R-TX) Frost (D-TX)	65,879 133,070	(65,861) (71,340)	18 61,730
41,305 81,269	Frost (D–TX) Geren (D–TX) Consoler (D, TX)	113,248	70,661	42,587
72,012 50,634	Gonzalèz (D-TX) Green (D-TX)	140,382 117,418	(49,191) (79,844)	42,587 91,191 37,574 27,706
77,426	Hall (D-TX) Johnson, E. (D-TX)	103,817 135,851	(76,141) (77,427)	27,706 58,424
25,947	Johnson, E. (D–TX) Johnson, S. (R–TX) Laughlin (D–TX)	64,697 129,656	(71,164) (58,974)	(6,467) 70,682
(33,803) 49,547	Ortiž (D–TX) Pickle (D–TX)	132,218 131,819	(47,340) (71,968)	84,878 59,851
(4,291)	Sarpalius (D-TX) Smith (R-TX)	136,659	(67.164)	69,495
(16,754) 58,883	Stenholm (D-1X)	94,953 92,638	(79,108) (92,702)	15,845 (64)
70,498	Tejeda (D-TX)	141,363 98,221	(47,773) (67,452)	93,590 30,769
	Wilson (D-TX)	132,332	(73,141)	59,191
25,808 (11,300)	UTA	H		
30,235 51,911	Bennett (R–UT) Hatch (R–UT)	118,656 118,376	(118,998) (119,990)	(342) (1,524)
53,194 46,961	Hansen (R-UT)	78,105	(100,181)	(22,076)
38,943	Orton (D-UT) Shepherd (D-UT)	98,477 130,880	(76,968) (71,552)	21,509 59,328
	VERM	ONT		
23,757 70,951	Jeffords (R-VT) Leahy (D-VT)	127,492	(79,181)	48,311 69,767
62,387 59,375	Sanders (I–VT)	134,144 128,991	(64,377) (79,303)	49,688
33,409	VIRGI	NIA		
52,131 60,378	Robb (D-VA)	127,304	(84,096)	43,208
(4,457) 4,914	Warner (R-VA)	104,160 106,621	(121,462) (73,802)	(17,002) 32,819
(3,968) 43,741	Billey (R–VA) Boucher (D–VA)	84,660 134,942	(88,240) (73,222)	(3,580) 61,720
52,596	Byrne (D–VA)	131,385 74,768	(78,014) (57,421)	53,371 17,347
38,169 22,662	Goodlatte (R–VA)	134,094	(54,248) (78,141)	79,846
31,444 34,641	Payne (D-VA)	126,508 110,525	(35,608)	48,367 74,917
21,060 93,053	Scott (D-VA) Sisisky (D-VA)	129,072 117,136	(62,932) (50,586)	66,140 66,550
16.001	Wolf (R-VA)	94,060	(90,009)	4,051
(3,779) (3,098)	WASHIN	GTON		
(8,840) 4,743	Gorton (R–WA) Murray (D–WA)	119,839 127,332	(108,973) (48,003)	10,866 79,329
	Cantwell (D-WA)	133,291	(66,938)	66,353 85.561
(13,849)	Dicks (D–WA) Dunn (R–WA)	133,328 82,033	(47,767) (66,335)	15,698
62,525 66,300	Foley (D–WA)Inslee (D–WA)	75,302 134,108	(35,590) (106,326)	39,712 27,782
78,593	Kreidler (D-WA)	135,965 134,667	(92,527) (80,927)	43,438 53,740
	Swift (D–WA) Unsoeld (D–WA)	132,523 136,071	(48,140) (85,252)	84,383 30,819
64,017 (2,755)	WEST VI		(03,232)	30,017
73,584	Byrd (D–WV)	128,325	(53,869)	74,456
77,457 (16,393)	Rockefeller (D=WV)	130.488	(46,657)	83,831
11,267 62,099	Mollohan (D–WV) Rahall (D–WV)	127,593 130,704	(48,951) (70,898)	78,642 59,806
79,688	Wise (D-WV)	133,297	(47,577)	85,720
	WISCO			
84,409	Feingold (D–WI) Kohl (D–WI)	126,933 124,700	(81,812) (103,945)	45,121 20,755
(5,577) 51,203	Barca (D-WI) Barrett (D-WI)	98,012 129,832	(105,688) (92,871)	(7,676) 36,961
	Gunderson (R–WI) Kleczka (D–WI)	97,717	(88,982)	8,735
72,238	Klua (R-WI)	136,083 88,482	(80,769) (79,847)	55,314 8,635
72,038 88,406	Obey (D–WI) Petri (R–WI)	136,075 65,995	(82,955) (78,148)	53,120 (12,153)
47,005 (22,422)	Roth (R–WI) Sensenbrenner (R–WI)	63,570 56,113	(83,398) (106,430)	(19,828) (50,317)
(22,422)	SchSchbreihet (N=WI)	50,113	(100,430)	(30,317)

VOTE TALLY STATE DELEGATION REPORT—Continued— Continued

[What Members of Congress voted for in the 103d Congress (figures in millions of dollars)1

Name	In- creases	Cuts	Net			
WYOMING						
Simpson (R–WY)	98,332 96,189 80,843	(130,480) (100,419) (94,142)	(32,148) (4,230) (13,299)			

Mr. SOLOMON. Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. MOAKLEY. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

(Mr. MOAKLEY asked and was given permission to include extraneous material.)

Mr. MOAKLEY. Mr. Speaker, we are finally at the end of the contract.

For 100 days America's children, senior citizens, and working families have watched the Republican Congress gut their school lunches, home heating assistance, and student loans. And for what reason? To pay for tax breaks for the very rich. To continue to allow billionaires to renounce their American citizenship to avoid paying taxes.

The tax bill we are considering today illustrates very clearly the winners and losers in the Republican contract.

This bill takes money from school lunches and hands it over to the very rich in the form of tax breaks-from the mouths of babes to the pockets of billionaires.

Some people are very happy with the Republican Congress. Some people got what they wanted. They had their cake and they will eat it too. Those people are special interest lobbyists, corporations, and millionaires.

The losers were children who get meals at school, young people who need summer jobs, and families whose homes are heated with the help of the LIHEAP Program.

Mr. Speaker, I was sorry to see that Mr. Solomon's own committee, which is stacked with nine Republicans to four Democrats, refused to make in order any amendments.

Yesterday he called himself the fiercest deficit hawk up here. Still, despite the demand of 102 Members of their own party, despite Mr. SOLOMON's support, the Republican leadership refused to allow amendments to slow down tax cuts in the face of exploding deficits.

They imposed a watered down, milquetoast amendment that doesn't even qualify as a speed bump on the deficit highway.

I know if Mr. SOLOMON were calling the shots on the Rules Committee he would have made stronger amendments in order. Once we're finished with the contract I hope he gets his way.

I urge my colleagues to defeat the previous question so we can come back with an open rule, instead of this gag rule, and help someone other than the special interest lobbyists.

Mr. Speaker, I include the following for the RECORD:

FLOOR PROCEDURE IN THE 104TH CONGRESS

Bill No.	No. Title		Process used for floor consideration	Amendments in order
H.R. 1	Compliance	H. Res. 6	Closed	None.
H. Res. 6	Opening Day Rules Package		Closed; contained a closed rule on H.R. 1 within the closed rule	None.
H.R. 5	Unfunded Mandates		Restrictive; Motion adopted over Democratic objection in the Committee of the Whole to limit debate on section 4; Pre-printing gets preference.	N/A.
H.J. Res. 2		H. Res. 44	Restrictive; only certain substitutes	2R; 4D.
H. Res. 43	Committee Hearings Scheduling		Restrictive; considered in House no amendments	N/A.
H.R. 2	Line Item Veto	H. Res. 55	Open; Pre-printing gets preference	N/A.
H.R. 665	Victim Restitution Act of 1995	H. Res. 61	Open; Pre-printing gets preference	N/A.
H.R. 666	Exclusionary Rule Reform Act of 1995		Open; Pre-printing gets preference	N/A.
H.R. 667	Violent Criminal Incarceration Act of 1995	H. Res. 63	Restrictive; 10 hr. Time Cap on amendments	N/A.
H.R. 668	The Criminal Alien Deportation Improvement Act		Open; Pre-printing gets preference; Contains self-executing provision	N/A.
H.R. 728	Local Government Law Enforcement Block Grants		Restrictive; 10 hr. Time Cap on amendments; Pre-printing gets preference	N/A.
H.R. 7	National Security Revitalization Act	H. Res. 83	Restrictive; 10 hr. Time Cap on amendments; Pre-printing gets preference	N/A.
H.R. 729	Death Penalty/Habeas	N/A	Restrictive; brought up under UC with a 6 hr. time cap on amendments	N/A.
S. 2	Senate Compliance	N/A	Closed; Put on suspension calendar over Democratic objection	None.
H.R. 831	To Permanently Extend the Health Insurance Deduction for the Self-Employed.		Restrictive; makes in order only the Gibbons amendment; waives all points of order; Contains self-executing provision.	1D.
H.R. 830	The Paperwork Reduction Act	H. Res. 91	Open	N/A.
H.R. 889	Emergency Supplemental/Rescinding Certain Budget Authority	H. Res. 92	Restrictive; makes in order only the Obey substitute	1D.
H.R. 450	Regulatory Moratorium		Restrictive; 10 hr. Time Cap on amendments; Pre-printing gets preference	N/A.
H.R. 1022	Risk Assessment		Restrictive; 10 hr. Time Cap on amendments	N/A.
H.R. 926	Regulatory Flexibility		Open	N/A.
H.R. 925	Private Property Protection Act		Restrictive; 12 hr. time cap on amendments; Requires Members to pre-print their amendments in the Record prior to the bill's consideration for amendment, waives germaneness and budget act points of order as well as points of order concerning appropriating on a legisla- tive bill against the committee substitute used as base text.	1D.
H.R. 1058	·		Restrictive; 8 hr. time cap on amendments; Pre-printing gets preference; Makes in order the Wyden amendment and waives germaness against it.	1D.
H.R. 988	The Attorney Accountability Act of 1995	H. Res. 104	Restrictive; 7 hr. time cap on amendments; Pre-printing gets preference	N/A.
	Product Liability and Legal Reform Act		Restrictive; makes in order only 15 germane amendments and denies 64 germane amendments from being considered.	8D; 7R.
	Making Emergency Supplemental Appropriations and Rescissions		Restrictive; Čombines emergency H.R. 1158 & nonemergency 1159 and strikes the abortion provision; makes in order only pre-printed amendments that include offsets within the same chapter (deeper cuts in programs already cut); walves points of order against three amendments; walves cl 2 of rule XXI against the bill, cl 2, XXI and cl 7 of rule XXI against the substitute; walves cl 2 (e) of rule XXI against the amendments in the Record; 10 hr time cap on amendments. 30 minutes debate on each amendment.	N/A.
	Term Limits		Restrictive; Makes in order only 4 amendments considered under a "Queen of the Hill" procedure and denies 21 germane amendments from being considered.	1D; 3R
H.R. 4	Welfare Reform	H. Res. 119	Restrictive; Makes in order only 31 perfecting amendments and two substitutes; Denies 130 germane amendments from being considered; The substitutes are to be considered under a "Oueen of the Hill" procedure; All points of order are waived against the amendments.	5D; 26R
H.R. 1271	Family Privacy Act	H. Res. 125	Open	N/A
H.R. 660	Housing for Older Persons Act	H. Res. 126	Open	N/A

72% restrictive; 28% open. ** Restrictive rules are those which limit the number of amendments which can be offered, and include so called modified open and modified closed rules as well as completely closed rules and rules providing for consideration in the House as opposed to the Committee of the Whole. This definition of restrictive rule is taken from the Republican chart of resolutions reported from the Rules Committee in the 103rd Congress. **** Not included in this chart are three bills which should have been placed on the Suspension Calendar. H.R. 101, H.R. 400, H.R. 440.

Mr. MOAKLEY. Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. SOLOMON. Mr. Speaker, I would love to respond to the gentleman but time does not allow right now.

I yield 2 minutes to the very distinguished gentleman from Sanibel, FL [Mr. Goss], a member of the Committee on Rules.

(Mr. GOSS asked and was given permission to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. GOSS. I thank the gentleman from Glens Falls, NY [Mr. SOLOMON], the distinguished chairman, for yielding me the generous time.

Mr. Speaker, this is a very important vote that is coming up, for many reasons, just one of which is that passage of this rule is indeed going to complete our perfect record of bringing the Contract With America up for a vote just as we promised. We are keeping our promise.

This rule does allow the minority free rein to offer its alternative tax plan, such as it may be, and this rule ensures that we match the primary goal of cutting spending so we can balance the budget with the important need to reduce taxation, to curtail Uncle Sam's persistent depressing reach into Americans' pockets and wallets. The average tax filer in my State of Florida will save \$1,605 in taxes if this bill becomes law. Other States will fare similarly well. We are delivering the long overdue tax relief that is good for all America, for every American. It will create jobs by providing investment incentives, particularly for small businesses. And it will give much needed relief to our seniors by eliminating the very unfair 1993 Clinton Social Security tax and rolling back the unfair earnings test limit that saps the energies and earnings of seniors who need to work or want to work.

H.R. 1215 is a down payment on comprehensive tax reform. The first 100 days, we have done a lot. The next 265 days, we can do the rest.

I urge a "yes" vote on this rule, so we can get on with that job and do what we were elected to do last November.

Mr. MOAKLEY. Mr. Speaker, I yield 30 seconds to the gentleman from Florida [Mr. GIBBONS], the ranking member of the Committee on Ways and Means.

Mr. GIBBONS. Mr. Speaker, we should vote against the previous question, we should vote against the rule and if it passes, we should vote against this bill. It is the wrong time to be cutting taxes. We ought to be cutting the deficit. It is the wrong time, it is the wrong way to be cutting taxes, even if we should be cutting them. This is a terrible gag rule. We are going to do nothing for 3 weeks after Friday. Why can we not spend enough time talking about the impact of this bill instead of gagging us with 1 hour to all the Democrats to talk about the tax matter, a \$700 billion mistake?

Mr. SOLOMON. Mr. Speaker, I yield 5 minutes to the gentleman from Texas [Mr. ARCHER], the chairman of the Committee on Ways and Means and one of the most respected Members of this House.

Mr. ARCHER. I thank the gentleman for yielding me the time.

Mr. Speaker, in September of last year, we promised in our Contract With America that we would vote on tax relief for families and on incentives to create new jobs. We also promised to pay for these tax cuts by slowing down the growth of Federal spending, and today we fulfill that pledge. But we do more. This package nets out with a \$30 billion greater reduction in deficit than the President's budget proposal.

□ 1315

We have heard some Democrats say the taxpayers do not need or deserve tax cuts right now, and I disagree. The American family is overworked and it is overtaxed. So as promised, this bill provides a \$500 per child tax credits, marriage penalty relief, tax credits for adoption of children and for the care of elderly family members.

It also provides tax incentives for long-term care insurance and for tax-free distributions of life insurance for the terminally and chronically ill.

This bill will repeal current laws that penalize seniors. It repeals the punitive 5-percent tax on Social Security benefits imposed by President Clinton in 1993, and it gives senior citizens greater opportunity to continue to work without suffering the loss of their benefits.

Americans do not save enough. High taxes are a big reason why. So we include incentives for savings and investment. We create a new type of individual retirement account, IRA, the American Dream Savings Account, and we permit homemakers to build their own IRA's.

We provide much-needed capital gains relief to stimulate job-creating investment. Capital gains for individuals will get a 50-percent exclusion along with indexing for inflation. This will reduce the rate for lower income Americans to only $7\frac{1}{2}$ percent.

Corporations will be eligible for a 25-percent alternative capital gains rate. And people who sell their homes at a loss will finally be able to get a tax deduction for that loss.

Businesses will have incentives to invest in new plant and equipment. The punitive and onerous job stifling alternative minimum tax will be repealed and small businesses will be able to double the amount that they can expense and deduct for the purchase of new equipment.

People who work out of their homes will be able to deduct more home office expenses.

The tax burden on family retention of small businesses and farms will be reduced, because the estate tax exclusion will be increased.

Democrats complain that these tax cuts are too big, they are not fair, and they are not targeted, and they are simply wrong.

These tax cuts are not too big. The total cost of all of the cuts is equal to 2 percent of what the Federal Government will spend over the next 5 years. And this will force a further 2-percent shrinking in the size of the Federal Government as we move to a balanced budget.

I think that is what the American people want to hear. These tax cuts are fair. The biggest tax cuts go to families earning \$30,000 to \$75,000. Over the next 5 years, higher income people, that is, the top 1 to 10 percent of the income categories, will actually pay a larger share of Federal taxes than they pay under current law. These taxes go to the right beneficiaries. Seventy-five percent go to families and 25 percent to create jobs.

Of the family benefits, 75 percent of the child credit goes to families with incomes under \$75,000 and 90 percent goes to families with under \$95,000 of annual income.

This rule is the only way that we can comply with our contract pledge, which is to bring before the floor of this House a vote on these provisions. A vote against the rule will be a vote against the contract.

I urge a vote for the rule.

Mr. MOAKLEY. Mr. Speaker, I yield 2 minutes and 15 seconds to the former chairman of the Committee on the Budget, the gentleman from Minnesota [Mr. SABO].

(Mr. SABO asked and was given permission to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. SABO. Mr. Speaker, here we go again. Once more we have a major piece of legislation before us, and the Republican majority has structured a

rule to get around all kinds of serious Budget Act problems.

The reason we have a Budget Act is to help us think through legislation before we pass it. Yet this is the eighth time this year we have been asked by the new majority to ignore the Budget Act.

The tax before us is a good example of the unwise legislation the House has recently been passing. The measure actually makes the long-term deficit worse since the cost of these tax cuts grow far more quickly than the spending cuts.

By the year 2000, according to CBO, the deficit under the bill will be \$12 billion higher than it would be if we simply did nothing. Further, it contains some serious provisions that were never passed or considered by the appropriate committees. One of these provisions is a dangerous new taxpayer debt buydown plan. This proposal lets taxpayers designate a portion of their tax liability for debt reduction, thereby taking decisions about Federal spending from the people's elected representatives and handing them over to the wealthy. Essentially it says that the fundamental nature of the Federal Government should be changed from a representative democracy, one person one vote, to a plutocracy, one dollar one vote, a million dollars a million votes

Mr. Speaker, I cannot think of any more invidious scheme for us to include in a tax package. The plan has never been reviewed by the Committee on the Budget. Rather, it was just dropped into the bill by rule as a part of the Kasich substitute.

Mr. Speaker, may I also remind the House that the Speaker, now Speaker, in August 1993 said that we, if we pass the President's program, we would head into a recession.

Mr. Speaker, the facts are in. Employment is up, unemployment is down, inflation is low, growth was at 4 percent in 1994 productivity is improving, factories are operating at high rates, investment is booming. Mr. Speaker, you were wrong 2 years ago. This is a bad bill.

Mr. SOLOMON. Mr. Speaker, how much time is remaining on each side?

The SPEAKER. The gentleman from New York [Mr. SOLOMON] has 18 minutes remaining, and the gentleman from Massachusetts [Mr. MOAKLEY] has 24½ minutes remaining.

Mr. SOLOMON. Mr. Speaker, this year we have the privilege of having a very outstanding Member, a former judge from Ohio, serve on our Committee on Rules, Ms. DEBORAH PRYCE.

Mr. Speaker, I yield 2 minutes to the gentlewoman from Ohio [Ms. PRYCE].

Ms. PRYCE. Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentleman for yielding me this time.

Mr. Speaker, I rise in strong support of this rule. By adopting this resolution, we will enable the House to complete the contract's promise to strengthen families and grow the national economy by delivering real tax relief.

Today, the average family spends more on taxes than it spends on food, clothing, and shelter combined. Many families now need a second breadwinner just to support the costs of a bloated Federal Government, not to cover the costs of raising a family.

After years of struggling to move a pro-family, pro-growth tax plan through Congress, we have the opportunity today to tip the tax scales back in favor of mothers, fathers, grandparents, and children.

It reduces the tax burden on families with children, and on two-earner married couples. It creates valuable tax incentives to encourage families to adopt children, and to care for elderly relatives. And, it gives families more reason to save their hard-earned money for the future.

In my own State of Ohio, taxes will be reduced by an average of more than \$1,400 per person. That's \$1,400 more that families can spend as they see fit, on the things they need most, and not as Washington would spend it for them.

More importantly, this legislation is fiscally responsible. As we all know, the best hope for tax fairness for America's families lies in our commitment to reducing the deficit and achieving a balanced Federal budget.

Mr. Speaker, I am disappointed that the House will not have the chance to debate the Ganske amendment, but, as the distinguished chairman of the Rules Committee has pointed out, it has been customary over the years to consider tax measures under more restrictive procedures, and I will support this rule. It is a balanced and responsible rule. By allowing the Gephardt substitute and the customary motion to recommit, the rule provides the House with two clear opportunities to offer alternative tax proposals.

Finally, Mr. Speaker, only long-term expansion of our national economy, and the new jobs it will create, can make the American dream a reality for future generations. That is why it is so important that this Congress not miss this opportunity.

Mr. Speaker, we have had a very productive 93 days so far in the 104th Congress. The majority has kept its promise to the American people, and we have made rebuilding and strengthening America's families a top legislative priority.

I urge our colleagues to adopt this rule so that we can usher in a new era of growth, productivity, and financial security—for our children and future generations of Americans.

Mr. MOAKLEY. Mr. Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the gentlewoman from the District of Columbia [Ms. NORTON].

(Ms. NORTON asked and was given permission to revise and extend her remarks)

[Ms. NORTON addressed the House. Her remarks will appear hereafter in the Extensions of Remarks.]

Mr. MOAKLEY. Mr. Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the gentlewoman from Illinois [Mrs. COLLINS].

(Mrs. COLLINS of Illinois asked and was given permission to revise and extend her remarks.)

Mrs. COLLINS of Illinois. Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentleman for yielding time to me.

Mr. Speaker, I rise in opposition to the rule providing for consideration of this bill, for a variety of reasons. As the ranking member on the Government Reform and Oversight Committee, I want to point out one particular problem with the rule. It includes a provision that was never passed by any committee.

This is a provision which hikes the taxes of 2 million middle-class Americans who work for the Federal Government in order to pay for tax cuts for the wealthy. It imposes these new taxes on Federal employees by making changes in the Federal retirement system; changes which were rejected by the committee of jurisdiction—the Government Reform and Oversight Committee.

This rule places before the House legislation which no committee has ever considered. The retirement provisions in this bill were written by the chairman of the Budget Committee. In what is clearly an extraordinary departure from usual procedure, the Rules Committee has chosen to take a course of action which negates the very existence of authorizing committees. This is a very dangerous precedent to set. This is not the same situation as might occur with a reconciliation bill, where the Congress has previously voted for a budget resolution that included reconciliation instructions.

In such a case, the Congress would vote to authorize the Budget Committee to report the necessary legislation, if the authorizing committee had failed to act, and the Congress had voted that budget reductions in a particular area were justified.

This is not the case. This is bad business.

But there has been no such vote, and the Rules Committee is acting without a mandate from the House.

Continuing with the unusual, the rule makes in order a tax increase in a tax cut bill. The bill would increase the amount of payroll withholding for the average Federal employee by an additional 2.5 percent of their income. This would take \$750 more out of an employee's pocket each and every year.

Last week, when I testified before the Rules Committee with a bipartisan panel of Members who made these points, the committee's chairman, Mr. SOLOMON, and one of its most distinguished majority members, Mr. QUILLEN, agreed with us. Chairman SOLOMON said, "This is a case where we are raising taxes on some to pay for tax cuts for others, and that to me is wrong. I don't believe we ought to be doing this in this bill."

When we asked that an amendment be made in order to strike this provision, should it be included in the bill, Mr. QUILLEN asked to be made a cosponsor of any such amendment. Clearly, from their comments and those of other Members, Rules Committee members on both sides of the aisle were deeply trou-

bled by this proposal, yet the rule allows for this proposal to be considered.

For those of my colleagues who are not concerned about imposing a 2.5-percent payroll tax on Federal employees, consider the precedent this sets. I believe that if the Republican leadership can get away with this, next they will try to raise the Social Security tax paid by all other American workers. They promised no new taxes, and yet, with this bill, they have broken that promise.

For these reasons, Mr. Speaker, I strongly urge my colleagues to vote "no" on the rule. Reject this effort to bypass the jurisdiction of authorizing committees. Oppose this effort by the Republican leadership to impose a tax increase on middle-class Americans.

Mr. SOLOMON. Mr. Speaker, we continue to reserve our time.

Mr. MOAKLEY. Mr. Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the gentleman from Illinois [Mr. EVANS].

Mr. EVANS. Mr. Speaker, a few weeks ago, the House acted to reform the welfare system. We also need to ensure that an even larger welfare system—the more than \$200 billion in corporate giveaways—is reformed. Corporate taxpayers must live up to their responsibility as U.S. residents and ensure that they do not dodge their duty to pay their fair share of taxes and their obligation to help reduce the deficit.

I gave my Republican colleagues on the Rules Committee the opportunity to seek a fairer tax system by offering an amendment that curbs tax benefits given exclusively to multinational corporations and foreign investors. This amendment would have closed loopholes in the code that drain billions from our Treasury every year.

Yet, the majority again refuses to stand up to corporate interests so that we can reduce the deficit and put fairness in our tax system.

The Republican gravy train for the wealthy never seems to end. Included in this bill is a repeal of the alternative minimum tax. This tax ensures that profitable corporations do not avoid paying taxes in the United States. Many advocates of a repeal say that instead of an AMT, we need to look at individual parts of the code. But once again, the majority leaves loopholes for multinationals virtually untouched.

I urge my colleagues to oppose the rule.

□ 1330

Mr. MOAKLEY. Mr. Speaker, I yield 2 minutes to the gentleman from Maryland [Mr. HOYER].

Mr. HOYER. Mr. Speaker, the rule before us contains a brutal breach of contract with America's public servants. Markup of similar legislation, as the ranking member, the gentlewoman from Illinois [Mrs. COLLINS], has said, was rejected on March 15 because a majority did not support this provision.

The chairman of this committee who brings this bill to the floor said that 2 million Americans were getting a tax increase so that the wealthiest in America could get a tax decrease, be-

cause retirement benefits are an integral part of the retirement package that we offer to attract and retain top-quality Federal personnel. We should not make hasty, ill-considered, and not supported by a majority of the committee of jurisdiction decisions by the Committee on Rules, by the chairman's own admission, not having jurisdiction over this matter.

The chairman said it is traditional not to have amendments to tax bills. If this is a tax bill and if title IV is a tax bill, it should take three-fifths of this body to increase the taxes on 2 million Americans.

Proponents of this proposal have offered only one justification: We need to pay for the tax cut. There has been some argument about an unfunded liability, but the Congressional Research Service looked at this issue, is the unfunded liability of CRS a problem? And their answer was no, we have a system that is paid for. But everybody agrees that the Federal Employment Retirement System [FERS] is fully paid for, and it is included in this, a brutal breach of contract, my friends, in this, your last item.

Reject this rule. Reject this brutal breach of contract. Reject this ill-considered tax policy.

Mr. MOAKLEY. Mr. Speaker, I yield 2 minutes to the gentleman from Alabama [Mr. BROWDER].

Mr. BROWDER. Mr. Speaker, I appreciate the gentleman yielding time to me.

Mr. Speaker, I am not here to argue about the value of letting the American people keep as much of their money as we can. I support tax cuts.

But the proposed bill gets the process wrong. I offered a straightforward amendment that insured deficit reduction would be the first priority while fulfilling the Contract With America. My amendment would have made us get on track to balance before the tax cuts become effective and would make continued tax cuts dependent upon us staying on track.

The shame is that in making this rule, the majority opted to reject the advice of the American people. I am entering into the RECORD four quotes that show that a vote for this rule is a vote against the best advice provided to the Congress.

I urge Members to support deficit reduction and returning money to the people who earn it by opposing the rule until we get it right.

GOP leadership needs to listen to the public:

Opinion polls show public support for tax cuts is low and falling. Even Frank Luntz, the pollster who testmarketed the "Contract With America," says support has eroded in recent months. "The public currently believes that you cannot balance the budget and get a tax cut," Mr. Luntz says.—The Wall Street Journal, Monday, April 3, 1995

GOP leadership needs to listen to the experts:

Now, with all due respect to both parties, the American people don't want a tax cut. Every poll indicates they want deficits reduced.—Senator Warren Rudman, CNN Late Edition, Sunday, April 2, 1995

GOP leadership needs to listen to its supporters:

"Our members, if you ask them straight up, come down hard for deficit reduction" ahead of lower taxes, says the head of a national association that is part of the GOP lobbying coalition.—The Wall Street Journal, Friday, March 31, 1995

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{GOP}}$ leadership needs to listen to its pollsters:

Nothing tells America more about your priorities than the sequence of your actions. . . . That's why "banking" the budget savings before cutting taxes is so important. It's aligned with the national mood, which would choose "ensuring no debt for their children" (72%) over "getting a tax cut this year" (24%).—Memorandum from Frank Luntz, January 19, 1995

January 19, 1995 Mr. SOLOMON. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself 1½ minutes.

I would point out that the gentleman from Florida [Mr. GIBBONS] appeared before our Committee on Rules. I have great respect for the gentleman, as much as anybody in this body. But I made a note when he said, "I not only support a closed rule, I would support you sending this bill back to the Ways and Means Committee and telling us to get it right. That is our job. I support Chairman Archer on a closed rule."

I would just say to my good friend, the gentleman from Alabama [Mr. BROWDER], I really do have to resent his calling this a fig leaf. You know, we really are trying to work together here.

Let me just quote some language in this legislation. It says, "The concurrent resolution on the budget for fiscal year 1996, as agreed to, provides that the budget of the United States will be in balance by fiscal year 2002." That is part 1.

Part 2, "The conference report, as agreed to, on the reconciliation bill for that resolution achieves the aggregate amount of deficit reduction to effectuate the reconciliation instructions required for the years covered by that resolution necessary to so balance the budget." That is why people like myself, who have proven that we are deficit hawks year in and year out for the past 16 years, support this rule. Every Member of this body should.

Mr. Speaker, I yield 5 minutes to my good friend, the gentleman from Ohio [Mr. KASICH], and if there ever was a deficit hawk that meets my standards, it is the gentleman from Ohio [Mr. KASICH].

Mr. KASICH. Mr. Speaker, let me just say to my Republican colleagues particularly and to those Democrats who were considering casting a vote for this, this is like the end of a horse race, maybe like the Kentucky Derby, but that would not be appropriate; the last race in the Triple Crown.

What we have done is we have kept our promises. We signed a Contract With America back last fall, and we said that there were a variety of things that we were going to do. We were going to downsize the operations of this House. We were going to cut committees. We were going to cut committee staff. We were going to cut committee funding. We said we would pass the Shays Act which would say that all laws we apply to the American people ought to be applied to ourselves. We said we would pass the balanced budget amendment. We got it done. We said we were going to pass the line-item veto. We got it done.

And you know what else we said? We said we were going to come to this floor, that we were going to downsize the operation of the Federal Government as we head into the 21st century. Let me tell you, ladies and gentlemen, the American people are with us. The American people resent the fact that more of their money and more of their power and more control has been sent from where they live to this city.

What the Republicans are beginning to do is to listen to the communications of the American people, and the will of the American people is simple. What they want done is they want this Federal Government downsized. They want it reduced in scope. They want it reduced in power, and they want their money given back to them so they can begin to solve problems where they live.

They believe that, as we move into the 21st century, we need a smaller, more limited, more focused Federal Government, and they are demanding that in the course of doing that, in the course of shrinking this big Federal Government and giving them their money and power back, they can solve problems where they live, and at the same time that we are shifting power from Washington to local communities, we are also going to save the country from financial collapse.

I just commend to you the testimony of Alan Greenspan before the House Committee on the Budget when he said that if, in fact, we balance the budget, the kind of prosperity that we would experience in this country cannot even be estimated, that the power and the ingenuity and the creativity of the American people and the absolute wonderful dynamic process of our economy, our free enterprise, entrepreneurial economy that rewards every individual for hard work, will unleash a prosperity that we have not known in this country.

And what we are doing today by passing this rule and bringing this bill up for consideration is we are keeping our word. First and foremost, it is critical that the Republican Party keep its word to the American people. It is the only way to restore credibility, and when we come to the floor today, we are going to downsize this operation of the Federal Government, and we are going to give families, the building block of this Nation, it needs to be reinforced, in some cases it needs to be rebuilt, the American family is going to get some of their money back so that they can decide, individuals can

decide, how to spend money on their children, not leaving it up to bureaucrats to decide.

Second, we have a growth element. We say we want to increase the size of the funnel so that we can pour more prosperity, have more job creation in this country. We are going to help the senior citizens by lifting the earnings limit. Let them work. Do not penalize them for work if they want to work.

We are going to have an IRA program. We are going to say to the people that if you want to save instead of punishing you in this country, we are going to give you an incentive to save.

Let me just say that this is the final leg of the Republican Contract With America. But it is the first downpayment on what we will follow up with in May, and that is to take this provision that gives tax relief and has growth in it, and we are going to marry it up in May with our budget resolution.

You know what we will achieve? What we promised last fall. We are going to balance the budget. We are going to save the future of this country. We are going to give Americans tax relief in the process, and we are going to shift power from this city back to where we live.

That is what the American people want. Those that fight against it are resisting the will of the American people, and you know, the beauty of what we do today, we not only give you tax relief, but we also have more deficit reduction, \$60 billion more in deficit reduction than the entire President's budget.

And you know what, when it comes to deficit reduction and balancing the budget, you ain't seen nothing yet. We will be back in May to complete our job, to keep our word and save America and future generations.

Mr. MOAKLEY. Mr. Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the gentleman from Virginia [Mr. MORAN].

Mr. MORAN. Mr. Speaker, this is not a good tax-cut bill. In fact, there are two tax shelters within it which will make all the other tax shelters even enacted by this body pale by comparison, with regard to the abuse that they will enable people to take advantage of.

But the worst part of this, of what we are to do today, is not even the bill, it is the rule. We are going to consider legislation which was rejected by the committee of jurisdiction, and under the guise of tax fairness, and not breaking contracts, we are going to increase taxes on each Federal employee by an average of \$4,525, to provide a tax cut of about \$1,000 to the average American.

And talk about breaking contracts, when each Federal employee had to decide how to provide for the retirement security of their wives and children, we told them we would never break this retirement contract, and today we are going to break it. We are going to require them to lose retirement benefits,

and to increase their retirement contribution by 313 percent.

This day will go down in infamy if we pass this bill, and particularly if we do not reject this rule.

Mr. MOAKELY. Mr. Speaker, I yield 2½ minutes to the gentleman from Texas [Mr. STENHOLM.].

(Mr. STENHOLM asked and was given permission to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. STENHOLM. Mr. Speaker, we have come to the end of a long and exhausting 100 days to take up this final piece of the Contract With America, which I have supported 70 to 80 percent thereof

Unfortunately, though, what we have before us today is not a crown jewel but, rather, fool's gold.

You know, it was about 2 years ago at this time that we were on the floor trying to pass the rule for another high-profile, highly controversial piece of deficit-reduction legislation. As seems to be my destiny, my role leading up to that vote was to provide better assurance of true deficit reduction. We wanted to try to start to get some sort of handle on the entitlement spending which is increasingly driving our deficits.

Let me tell you about the reaction I received for my efforts when we reached the floor from this side of the aisle. I heard about skepticism, cynicism, I was lectured about meaningless guarantees which had no teeth. I was considered gullible for accepting promises of what would happen tomorrow rather than demanding the deal be closed today.

Now we come to today's vote when I hear I do not need to worry about deficit reduction in this bill. I am told the guarantee is already there. I am assured that we can have the promised land, both massive tax cuts and a balanced budge with borrowed money.

Well, the tax cut promises could not be any clearer. But just how does to-day's deficit-reduction guarantee stack up against the agreement I worked for 2 years ago, the guarantee which was deemed so inadequate, so toothless, so meaningless? Well, we had proposed laying out specific, numeric entitlement targets. If those targets were exceeded, we would have required the House Committee on the Budget to report a budget resolution which brought us back in line with spending cuts.

Now, does today's guarantee have such a requirement? No, it does not. We said that if the budget resolution or budget conference report breached the targets, the bills could not even be considered on the House floor.

□ 1345

No such prohibition in today's bill. We said, if the Congress decided to increase those targets, in other words, they chose to spend more money, a separate vote had to bring that provision into the political sunshine. No such sunshine in today's bill.

As one who has been criticized for alleged weaknesses in spending discipline proposals, which were 100 times stronger than the rule we have today, would somebody please tell me why I should accept this "trust me" language before us today? I refuse to trust anything other than an honest, enforceable guarantee that these tax cuts will not come at the expense of my children and grandchildren. I refuse to adorn myself with the jewels of political slogans and then hand to my children and grandchildren those worthless minerals passed off as gold.

Tax cuts with borrowed money is no bargain. Vote "no" on this rule and vote "no" on this bill.

Mr. MOAKLEY. Mr. Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the gentleman from Maryland [Mr. CARDIN].

Mr. CARDIN. I thank the gentleman for yielding this time to me.

Mr. Speaker, I urge my colleagues to reject this rule.

It does not comply with what the Contract With America said, that we are going to have a open debate on tax issues. There is no opportunity for us to offer an amendment. It breaks the promise that we would have specific spending cuts before us before we would be asked to vote on a tax cut.

What this bill attempts to do is to use a phony mechanism for saying that we have to pass a budget reconciliation before the tax cuts become effective. But after we do that, the tax cuts become permanent.

I hope my colleagues will read the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985, because that is what we did in 1985 with the Gramm-Rudman proposal. By the way, that bill required us to have a balanced budget by fiscal year 1991.

The tax cut in this bill is permanent. The spending cuts are 1 year, and they do not even give us anywhere near the amount of money. Let us do deficit reduction first.

Mr. MOAKLEY. Mr. Speaker, I yield 2 minutes to the gentlewoman from Maryland [Mrs. MORELLA].

Mrs. MORELLA. I thank the gentleman from Massachusetts for yielding this time to me.

Mr. Speaker, I rise to state my opposition to the rule for H.R. 1327. This rule does not permit a number of very important amendments which are critical to improving this bill. It does not permit the Roberts-Ganske amendment to direct the child tax credit to middleincome families; it does not permit the Porter amendment to require that our budget be balanced before tax cuts go into effect; and it does not permit an amendment I offered with several of my colleagues to remove the tax hike that this bill imposes upon Federal employees. A tax hike in a so-called tax reduction bill.

Title IV of H.R. 1327 would require Federal employees to pay an additional 2.5 percent toward their retirement system. An average Government worker making \$20,000 a year would have to pay an extra \$500 per year, and the employee making \$30,000 would have to pay an additional \$750. These are hefty sums for middle-class workers. Whatever happened to our contract with the Federal work force?

Title IV also would change the retirement formula to reflect the highest 5 years of salary as opposed to the present formula based on the highest 3 years. This provision would affect postal workers as well as civil service employees. Changing the retirement formula reduces the lifetime retirement benefits by 4 percent.

The General Accounting Office, just this week, issued a statement in support of the conclusions reached by the Congressional Research Service [CRS] on the status of the civil service retirement system. The report states that:

(1) the system's unfunded liability is not a problem that needs to be fixed to avoid steep increases in outlays from the Treasury or increases in the deficit and (2) the system is not insolvent nor will it become insolvent in the future.

Mr. Speaker, Federal employees have borne the brunt of deficit reduction for more than a decade. Why are we once again taxing an already overburdened work force? Why have we tucked into this tax bill provisions that were never approved by the Government Reform and Oversight Committee?

I oppose the rule, and I ask my colleagues not to support a tax bill that will harm the more than 2 million Federal workers and their families nationwide.

Mr. SOLOMON. Mr. Speaker, I yield 3 minutes to the Republican whip, the gentleman from Texas [Mr. DELAY], one of the outstanding Members of this body.

Mr. Speaker, boy, he has surely earned his medal in the last 100 days, I will tell you.

Mr. DELAY. I thank the gentleman for yielding this time to me.

I hope I do not take the 3 minutes, but I appreciate all work that the chairman of the Committee on Rules has done on this issue. I know it has been very, very hard for all the Members because this is a very big and important bill. Everyone wants a piece of it, but not everyone got what they wanted, and there are some "push me, pull you" going on on the rule. I appreciate that. But you have got to also appreciate the hugeness of this bill and what we are trying to do.

Mr. Speaker, I rise in strong support of this rule, and in strong support of the Tax Fairness and Deficit Reduction

Last November, the American people spoke loud and clear by voting in the first Republican majority in the House in 40 years.

The message voters sent was simple: Cut our taxes and cut Federal Government spending.

The new Republican majority has heard that message, and today we start to deliver on our promise.

The rule we have before us is a fair one. It gives the Democrat minority a chance to offer an alternative while keeping the integrity of the Republican majority package.

The rule also gives the American people a very clear choice.

You can vote for a Democrat package that contains no tax relief for middle class Americans. Or you can vote for the Republican package that finally begins the process of talking the tax burden of the American people.

I am reminded of the vote we had in 1993, when President Clinton and the leadership in the Congress voted in a tax increase that hit seniors, hit the middle class, and slowed economic growth.

Two hundred forty billion dollars' worth of tax increases. All we are doing is allowing people to keep \$190 billion of those taxes for themselves to spend the way they think it ought to be spent. Not one Republican voted for that tax increase.

So today I urge my colleagues on the other side of the aisle to join with us in righting the wrongs of 1993. Vote to stop taxing our seniors, vote to allow middle-class families to keep more of their money, and vote to create jobs for our workers.

We have been asked how do you balance the budget by cutting taxes? Well, we have shown you that we honor our promises with passing the Contract With America; we will also show you in May when you cut taxes, as President Kennedy and President Reagan did, revenues go up and as we cut spending and the size of this Government, the cost of government goes down and the American people allowed to hold onto their money and spend it the time way they think is important.

So I urge all my colleagues to vote for this rule, vote for job-creating, deficit-cutting, the Tax Fairness and Deficit Reduction Act.

Mr. MOAKLEY. Mr. Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the gentleman from North Dakota [Mr. POMEROY].

Mr. POMEROY. I thank the gentleman for yielding this time to me.

Mr. Speaker, I rise in strong opposition to the rule before us. The majority leadership is desperate to convince this House and the American public that this is a bill for middle-income Americans. But their very rule snuffs out an amendment offered by Democrats and Republicans alike to ensure that it goes where it ought to. The bill, the amendment I offered is a case in point. It would have established tax fairness in the deductibility of health insurance. Presently corporations can deduct 100 percent, self-employed individuals 30 percent, other individuals paying their own premium, nothing at all. The bill I introduced would have allowed an 80-percent reduction in premiums paid by individuals. This would have made coverage more affordable for their families and would have installed tax fairness. That is why my amendment was supported by the Farm Bureau, supported by the Farmers Union, supported by the National Association of the Self-employed. And we do not even get a vote. In fact, when the Committee on Rules addressed this issue, at least one said, "We don't want to open up the Tax Code on this issue." Well, they opened up the Tax Code for America's most wealthy; why will they not open up this bill for an amendment to help working Americans?

Mr. MOAKLEY. Mr. Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the gentleman from Vermont [Mr. SANDERS].

(Mr. SANDERS asked and was given permission to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. SANDERS. Mr. Speaker, more than half of the tax cuts proposed by the Republicans today for individuals will benefit families earning over \$100,000 a year, and more than a quarter of the tax cuts will go to families earning over \$200,000 a year.

The highest-earning 1 percent of families will get more in tax cuts than the 60 percent of families at the lower end of the income scale.

This is the Robin Hood proposal in reverse. We savagely cut programs for the poor and the vulnerable, and we give huge tax breaks to the rich and the powerful.

Mr. Speaker, this is bad legislation because it does not allow us to debate the tens of billions of dollars in corporate welfare that goes to rich and large corporations. It does not allow us to debate the propriety of millionaires saving huge amounts of money on mortgage interest deductions. This is bad legislation, a bad rule; let us defeat it.

Mr. Speaker, politics and much of what goes on here in Congress is really not very complicated. Everybody here understands that the majority of poor and working people don't vote and, for a variety of reasons, don't have much confidence that what happens here is relevant to their lives.

On the other hand, the wealthy and the powerful do vote, do contribute very heavily to the political parties, do have well-paid lobby-ists and lawyers working full time for their interests. And that in a nutshell is why the rich get richer, the middle class is shrinking, and the poor are becoming poorer and are facing a terrible onslaught from the leadership of this

Mr. Speaker, during the last several months some of the wealthiest people in America and representatives of the largest corporations came together to contribute \$11 million in one night to the Republican Party. Others came together for a \$50,000-a-plate fund raising dinner with NEWT GINGRICH to raise money for a rightwing television network. Corporation like Amway and Golden Rule Financial have been contributing hundreds of thousands of dollars into Republican party coffers.

And today, Mr. Speaker, is payback time. After cutting back massively on programs for low income people, on programs for children, on programs for the elderly, for students, for the homeless, for people with Aids, today is payback time for the rich and the powerful. Today, they get the return on their campaign contributions to the Republican party.

Mr. Speaker, according to the Treasury Department, more than half of the tax cuts proposed by the Republicans for individuals will benefit families earning over \$100,000 a year, and more than one quarter of the tax cuts will go to families earning over \$200,000 a year. The highest earning 1 percent of families will get more in tax cuts than the 60 percent of families at the lower of the income scale. For the very highest income people, the top 1 percent, the Republican proposal creates an average tax reduction of \$20,362, for the lowest income 20 percent taxes are reduced by all of \$36.00. The Robinhood proposal in reverse. We cut savagely programs needed by the poor and vulnerable in order to give tax breaks to the rich and the powerful.

Mr. Speaker, this is a bad bill because it does not allow us to provide rational alternatives to the tax breaks for the rich scheme that is being presented today. It does not allow us to cut the tens and tens of billions of dollars in corporate welfare that the largest corporations in America receive. It does not allow us to debate the propriety of millionaires saving large sums of money in taxes from the mortgage interest deduction on their palatial mansions. It does not allow us to remove Federal subsidies for such Federal agencies as OPIA, the Overseas Private Investment Association in which tax payers are paying to see their own jobs go to third world countries.

Mr. Speaker, we need open and vigorous debate about how we can move toward a balanced budget in a fair and progressive way—not on the backs of the weak and the vulnerable. We need fair and open debates to begin the process of eliminating the tax loopholes and the subsidies which the wealthy in large corporations receive.

Mr. SOLOMON. Mr. Speaker, I yield 2 minutes to the gentlewoman from Utah [Mrs. WALDHOLTZ] an outstanding Member and the first Freshman female Republican Member to serve on the Committee on Rules since the First World War

Mrs. WALDHOLTZ. I thank the gentleman for yielding this time to me.

Mr. Speaker, today we will have the chance to vote on a bill that will help restore tax fairness to families and senior citizens.

For too long, American families and seniors have seen their tax burden rise. Today, the average American family pays more in taxes than it spends on food, clothing and shelter combined. Some senior citizens now face a marginal tax rate of 85 percent—a rate much higher than that of other Americans

The problem is not that the Government taxes too little; the problem is that it spends too much. The American people are simply overtaxed. The Tax Fairness and Deficit Reduction Act recognizes families for what they are—the basic building block of American society. It will give them the tax relief they so desperately need and deserve, and despite allegations that this bill is for the wealthy, seventy-six percent of the tax cuts go directly to families.

The \$500 per child tax credit will help nearly one-quarter million parents in my State of Utah alone. Listening to the other side of the aisle you would

think that only wealthy people have children. But, 75 percent of the family tax credit goes to people with incomes of less than \$75,000.

Our bill recognizes the invaluable contribution homemakers make to the family by allowing nonworking spouses a full \$2,000 deductible IRA contribution instead of the current \$250, helping homemakers provide for their retirement years and recognizing the value and worth of their work at home.

Our bill also helps senior citizens. Under the Clinton tax bill our seniors were unfairly singled out for higher taxes through an increase on their Social Security. Our bill will repeal that tax increase and restore tax fairness to elderly Americans. In addition, we will help remove the penalty for seniors who choose to work in their sunset years by raising the earnings test limit—rewarding rather than punishing working seniors.

The tax money we collect is not ours, it belongs to the taxpayers. As we cut Government spending and reduce the size of the Government and balance the budget, we need to let people keep more of the money they earn. I encourage my colleagues to support this rule, and this bill.

Mr. MOAKLEY. Mr. Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the gentleman from Texas, [Mr. DOGGETT].

Mr. DOGGETT. I thank the gentleman for yielding this time to me.

Mr. Speaker, it is true the circus is in town, but not really the roar of the lion that we hear today. The significant thing is a certain mooing sound that is under way. You see, I do not believe we will ever get the budget in balance without a true bipartisan effort. I thought we were headed in that direction because I have a letter here that was signed by 105 Republican Members who said that they recognized there was a need for more money for deficit reduction and they could change their tax proposal and apply it to only 85 percent of the families in this country and provide an addition \$12 billion to \$14 billion in deficit reduction.

□ 1400

That represented a half step, and it is sure a lot better than the lockstep we have seen most of this session of Congress. But somewhere along the way that all changed. We are not going to have a chance to vote on that proposal of 105 Republican Members because somewhere along the way the Speaker said "no," and I do not know what it is that is so persuasive about him, but sometimes I get the feeling that, when these Members are around him, they are so cowed, I can almost hear them moo.

Mr. MOAKLEY. Mr. Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the gentleman from New Mexico [Mr. RICHARDSON].

(Mr. RICHARDSON asked and was given permission to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. RICHARDSON. Mr. Speaker, in this tax bill, where are the tax breaks designed to improve the lives of ordinary Americans? This bill has provisions to allow Exxon to write off expenses on capital improvements, but there are no breaks for students that try to improve themselves through higher education. The greatest threats to our Nation's economy are soaring deficits and the erosion of the middle class. Today's tax cut legislation will not remedy any of these problems. Instead it places the burden of future deficits squarely on the backs of working Americans.

Economic indicators tell us that the economy is growing at a strong, steady pace. Do we really need to stimulate it with massive tax cuts for wealthy Americans and big business?

We should take advantage of a healthy economy and follow a prudent course of deficit reduction that will solidify our financial base. Let us send a message to Americans that Congress is making honest spending cuts that pay off our debts. Tying spending cuts to budgetary gimmicks further undermines the credibility of this institution.

Mr. MOAKLEY. Mr. Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the gentleman from Rhode Island [Mr. Kennedy].

Mr. KENNEDY of Rhode Island. Mr. Speaker, I rise against the rule and the Republican tax cut for the wealthy that this rule allows, a Republican tax cut plan where, according to the Citizens for Tax Justice, more than 71 percent of total capital gains tax cut breaks goes to those who make more than \$200,000 a year.

The question is: Who is going to pay? School lunches are getting cut. Elderly are getting tossed out of senior high-rises because they are reducing the amount of subsidies for the elderly to have affordable high-rises. In addition, they have not let the students alone either. They are now going to tack on interest payments for student loans starting the day the student enters the university.

This is not progressive and far from being the middle-class tax cut that the Republicans would have us believe because it is putting the burden on the students, and who gets the break? The people who have the most money.

It figures. It is the Republicans all over again.

Mr. SOLOMON. Mr. Speaker, I yield such time as he may consume to the gentleman from New Jersey [Mr. MARTINI], another outstanding freshman Member who was helpful in writing in the language that is going to bring us to a balanced budget.

Mr. MARTINI. Mr. Speaker, as we have kept our promises these first 100 days, we have made the democratic process work. This week it will continue to work with the passage of this rule and this bill.

Mr. Speaker, as originally written I must confess that I was concerned that the tax package in the Contract With America did not place enough emphasis on deficit reduction. Mindful of that concern, a group of us, including the

gentleman from Delaware [Mr. CASTLE], the gentleman from Michigan [Mr. UPTON], the gentleman from New York [Mr. SOLOMON], and a host of others from both sides of the aisle, worked with the Republican leadership and fashioned an agreement on the issue that makes it entirely clear to the public that in passing tax relief we will not abandon our pledge to bring the deficit down to zero.

According to the new provision, the tax cuts in the bill cannot go into effect until a budget is passed, putting us on course to a balanced budget in the year 2002, and each year thereafter Congress will have to revisit our deficit reduction goals to make sure we stay on track.

Mr. Speaker, I am pleased to say that with the addition of these provisions to this original bill my concerns have been satisfied. A good bill has been made better, and the process is working again. I urge support of this rule and this bill.

Mr. MOAKLEY. Mr. Speaker, I yield 3 minutes to the gentleman from Florida [Mr. GIBBONS], the former chairman of the Committee of Ways and Means.

Mr. GIBBONS. Mr. Speaker, this is a time when we, as Members of Congress, should be deliberative and we should take our time in doing the Nation's business. This is a very, very important piece of economic legislation. It is a very, very important piece of social legislation. The Senate, when it will look at it, will call this a \$700 billion tax cut. That is because they prefer to look at it in its longer term rather than the very short term that we House Members look at it.

This is the wrong time in America's history to be cutting taxes. This is a time in America's history to be cutting the deficit. Why? Because America is at full employment today. Why? Because America is using its maximum factory capacity utilization today. Factory capacity utilization today in America is the highest it has been in 15½ years. The Federal Reserve knows it; that is the reason why they have increased interest rates 7 times in the last 14 months. Every sensible economist knows that this is the wrong time to be cutting taxes. They tell us it is only time, the right time, to cut the fiscal deficit.

This bill, when it comes up, and it is going to come up, they have twisted enough arms to get it up, is an inequitable piece of social justice. Let us take the capital gains issue. It is a huge item in all of this, and who gets it? Only 8 percent of all taxpayers ever take a capital gains, 8 percent. But in this bill one-half of the capital gains will be taken by the upper 1 percent of our income earners every years, and they will take them every year, not just one time in a lifetime like most Americans.

Let us tell the truth about the capital gains thing. Eight percent of Americans ever take a capital gain. Of

that 8 percent, more than half of it goes to those above \$200,000, and I say, "If you look at those people again, they're not just taking one or two capital gains in a lifetime. They take multiple capital gains every year." And what do they do? They are just swapping their equities around between each other. Somebody buys their bad investment if they want to get rid of it. There is no creation of additional capital. It is just a game there.

So it is bad economic justice, it is bad social justice.

Now let us take the family credit. When the Republicans first introduced this bill, they gave a family credit, to low income individuals, those below \$50,000. The gentleman from Texas [Mr. ARMEY] stood on the Capitol steps out there with the bill on September 27, 1994, and shook it into everybody's face, but this bill takes away 13 billion dollars worth of family tax credit from all those families earning less than \$50,000 a year. That is not fair, that is not just, and that is not correct.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. (Mr. GOODLATTE). The time of the gentleman from Florida [Mr. GIBBONS] has expired.

Mr. MOAKLEY. Mr. Speaker, does the gentleman from Florida want another minute?

Mr. GIBBONS. Yes.

Mr. MOAKLEY. Mr. Speaker, I yield 1 additional minute to the gentleman from Florida. I just did not want to slow the gentleman down when he got that steam going.

Mr. GIBBONS. Mr. Speaker, I appreciate it, and I say, "You know I appreciate you, Mr. SOLOMON, but you gave me an hour to ration between 204 Democrats. I've been swamped for requests for time. They would like to stay here and debate this."

I see the Speaker standing in the back back there chatting with the chairman of the Committee on the Budget, and I say, "We welcome you here, Mr. Speaker. We don't see you as much as we used to, but we're glad to have you here today. Have you gotten off the elephant out there in the circus. or are you coming in here to ride this elephant?"

Mr. Speaker, this is a lousy bill. It is the wrong time to be reducing taxes. We ought to be reducing the deficit now. We should not be cutting taxes the way we are doing it. It is reckless, it is irresponsible, it is bad policy for the American economy, it is bad policy for the American people.

Mr. MOAKLEY. Mr. Speaker, I yield 1½ minutes to the gentlewoman from New York [Mrs. LOWEY].

(Mrs. LOWEY asked and was given permission to revise and extend her remarks.

Mrs. LOWEY. Mr. Speaker, I rise in strong opposition to this closed and restrictive rule. I cannot believe that my colleagues in the majority, who claimed that they would open up this House, could come to us with a straight face and gag this Chamber with a rule that restricts us to 5 hours

of debate on a matter of such gravity for the Nation's future—\$630 billion to be exact.

While I dare say that H.R. 1215 is far from the crown jewel that it has been touted to be by some, I will be the first to admit that the bill makes several changes in the Tax Code that I think are long overdue: easing the tax burden on senior citizens, providing tax credits for expenses incurred when adopting a child or caring for an elderly parent or grandparent in your home, and indexing capital gains. I would like to support provisions such as these, but this rule doesn't allow me to do that in a fiscally responsible manner. We are told to take all or nothing, and if that is the case I will have no choice but to vote no.

Mr. Speaker, I will not vote for a bill that will enable some of our wealthiest corporations to avoid taxes altogether while giving just \$90 in tax relief for a family with an income of \$20,000, and then forces massive cuts in programs that would have a devastating impact on hardworking Americans. For the citizens I represent in New York, this bill spells higher transit fares, devastating cuts in Medicare, reduced student loans, hungrier school children, less affordable child care, and fewer police on the beat.

One of the bill's more offensive provisions is the repeal of the corporate alternative minimum tax, which was instituted in 1986 because more than half of the Nation's most profitable corporations had been able to utilize various loopholes in the Tax Code to pay no Federal income taxes, even though they were reporting huge profits. The inequity of this situation was so clear that the Reagan Administration supported establishment of a corporate AMT.

Repeal of the corporate AMT would clearly represent an inequitable shift in the tax burden. Seventy-four percent percent of the corporations who pay the corporate minimum tax have assets greater than \$250 million. Given these facts, it is not surprising that its repeal was not originally part of the Contract With America. Instead, it was added in at the 11th hour, when the American people weren't looking and special interest lobbyists were hard at work.

Let me remind my colleagues that under this rule we will not have the opportunity to vote to restore the corporate AMT; to make the Social Security tax repeal effective immediately, as it should be; to help students pay for college; and to decide if the child tax credit should be available to the families of 35 percent of our Nation's children who need it most but who would not benefit from the credit as it is currently written in this bill.

I urge a no vote on this rule.

Mr. SOLOMON. Mr. Speaker, I yield 2 minutes to the gentleman from California [Mr. DREIER], a very outstanding veteran member of the Committee on Rules.

(Mr. DREIER asked and was given permission to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. DREIER. Mr. Speaker, I rise in very strong support of this fair and balanced modified closed rule.

Now, when the gentleman from Florida [Mr. GIBBONS] came before the Committee on Rules, he requested a closed rule. We are not even going as far as the distinguished ranking minority member of the Committee on Ways and Means would like, but we do have a modified closed rule. It is a measure which is bringing to the floor an opportunity for us to do what the American people have said overwhelmingly that they want. They want us to try and reduce the size and scope of Government and allow them to keep a little bit of what they have earned.

Now, as I have been listening to the rhetoric over the past few minutes about us versus them, class warfare, I am very discouraged. I have enjoyed working for years with the gentleman from Florida [Mr. GIBBONS] on trade issues, but, when I hear him talking about the very few who will utilize the capital gains tax reduction versus those working individuals who do not or would not be able to, I cannot help but think of a column that appeared recently in the New York Post where Thomas Sowell said,

Class-warfare politics is not just fraudulent, it is a cheap play on envy and a very serious disservice to the whole country. Not only does it divide us yet another way, it threatens the very process by which all of us have benefited economically.

□ 1415

This is a balanced approach. We want to recognize that we are in this together. The American people want us to responsibly deal with deficit reduction.

This bill is a very important step on the road toward a balanced budget. Why? Because every shred of evidence is that with this capital gains tax rate reduction, we are going to see an increase in the flow of revenues to the Federal Treasury. That increase is going to help us responsibly get to a balanced budget.

I urge support of this fair and balanced modified closed rule, and urge my colleagues to join us.

Mr. SOLOMON. Mr. Speaker, I yield such time as he may consume to the gentleman from Delaware [Mr. CASTLE] a former Governor of Delaware and one of the outstanding Members of this body, who has participated in writing the balanced budget legislation.

(Mr. CASTLE asked and was given permission to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. CASTLE. Mr. Speaker, I rise in support of the rule for consideration of H.R. 1215, the Tax Fairness and Deficit Reduction Act of 1995.

The American people deserve to keep more of their hard-earned money. They recognize that the Federal Government is collecting an ever larger share of their earnings and that the money it collects is often not well spent. Americans do not mind paying their fair share of the costs for our Nation's needs—protecting our national security, looking after those who truly

need help. But the programs and operations of the Federal Government have become too big and far too inefficient. Excessive Federal spending has resulted in a national debt of \$4.8 trillion and deficits of almost \$200 billion adding to that debt every year.

Americans want relief from taxes, but what my constituents in Delaware tell me is that reducing the deficit, balancing the budget, and making the Government live within its means is what they want done first, I am happy to say that we now have language in this bill that will ensure that Congress acts to cut the deficit and balance the budget before the tax cuts can become law.

The Rules Committee has added an amendment offered by Mr. UPTON, Mr. MARTINI, and myself which states that the tax provisions in this bill cannot become law until Congress passes a budget resolution and reconciliation legislation that will result in a balanced budget by the year 2002. This provision reflects the will of our constituents: cut taxes, but not at the expense of balancing the budget.

By including this important provision in the bill we are insuring that Congress will have to face the difficult decisions to reduce Government spending. If Congress cannot make those decisions, the tax cuts will not go into effect. It is as simple as that.

The Castle-Upton-Martini amendment also adds two key requirements to force Congress and the President to continue to work toward a balanced budget.

After Congress passes the budget reconciliation legislation that places us on course to a balanced budget, in each subsequent year the budget committees and CBO must report on whether we are still on the path to balance in 2002. If we fall off course, Congress must consider ways to get back on course in that year's budget resolution. In short, Congress must take action if the deficit begins to increase.

Equally as important, this provision will require the President to join in this effort, by requiring him to submit a balanced budget each year. This year, President Clinton has chosen again to propose a budget that would result in annual deficits of \$200 billion for the next 5 years. Under this amendment, if the President chooses not to officially submit a balanced budget, he would have to offer an alternative plan that shows how the budget could be balanced. It forces the President to face the same decisions the Congress must face.

Mr. Speaker, I support tax relief for families, savings incentives for individual Americans, and investment incentives for business. But, I am adamant about the critical need to balance the budget. I support the rule because it clearly links tax cuts to deficit reduction. My colleagues and I will continue this effort on the budget resolution and the budget reconciliation bill to ensure that we stay on course to a balanced budget.

I want to thank FRED UPTON and BILL MARTINI for their efforts on this amendment. I also want to acknowledge Mr. BROWDER and Mr. ORTON for their leadership on the need for deficit reduction. Finally, I appreciate the work of JOHN KASICH and JIM NUSSLE, and the Republican leadership for working with us to make this provision part of the bill. I urge support of the rule and approval of the tax fairness and deficit reduction bill.

Mr. MOAKLEY. Mr. Speaker, I yield such time as she may consume to the

gentlewoman from Texas [Ms. JACK-SON-LEE].

(Ms. JACKSON-LEE asked and was given permission to revise and extend her remarks.)

Mg. JACKSON LEE, Mg. Speaker, J.

Mr. Speaker, I rise in strong support of the Democratic substitute to H.R. 1215. The Democratic substitute benefits primarily lowand middle-income Americans. Whereas, H.R. 1215 benefits primarily wealthy Americans with incomes above \$200,000.

The Democratic substitute sponsored by my colleague, RICHARD GEPHARDT of Missouri, ensures that 100 percent of the benefits of the tax cut will accrue to families with adjusted gross income of less than \$100,000. Moreover, it permits us to invest in human capital by allowing middle-income families to deduct up to \$10,000 in educational expenses per year.

Furthermore, the Gephardt bill encourages Americans to emphasize savings for their retirement years by expanding the number of taxpayers who would be eligible to deduct contributions to individual retirement accounts [IRA]. This is accomplished by raising the adjusted gross income level requirement from \$35,000 to \$50,000 for single taxpayers and \$60,000 to \$75,000 for couples who file joint tax returns.

The Gephardt bill also affirms our commitment to balancing the Federal budget. This bill requires certification by the Office of Management and Budget [OMB] that the Federal budget will be balanced in fiscal year 2002. H.R. 1215 fails to incorporate the requirement that deficit reduction be a priority.

Frankly, the Democratic bill promotes fairness, maintains fiscal responsibility, and strengthens American families. And finally it is a good commonsense tax bill because it invests in our people—college loans for students—part of America's future.

Mr. MOAKLEY. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, in closing I would like to urge Members to defeat the previous question. If the previous question is defeated, I intend to offer an amendment to the rule which will allow Members to vote on several amendments:

The Ganske amendment, which lowers the eligible income level for the child tax credit;

The Kennelly amendment relating to taxable income for the blind;

The Browder amendment tying the tax cuts to deficit reduction;

The Wolf amendment which strikes the tax increase on Federal workers;

The Nadler-Lowey amendment which restores the pre-1993 lower tax rate for middle-income seniors immediately rather than being phased in as the bill does.

And many others as well.

This will be the only opportunity on this bill to have votes on these issues affecting Federal workers, the blind, the middle class, deficit reduction, and the elderly. I urge Members to vote "no" on the previous question. Mr. SOLOMON. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself the balance of my time.

Mr. Speaker, I have here the U.S. Tax Code. It is the fear of every American. If we had an open rule today we would open it up, and gosh knows what would happen.

Mr. Speaker, we have heard a lot of

Mr. Speaker, we have heard a lot of complaining about the tax cuts in this bill, but I wonder if the real opposition is to the fact on something we have not heard about much here today. Is there \$100 billion in real spending cuts in this bill? That is what Members are going to be voting for.

You know, I said at the time when we opened this debate that a vote on this rule is going to be a vote for a balanced budget. Let me tell you, a vote against the rule is going to be a vote against a balanced budget.

What the people are really afraid of is the language that appears in this bill, and it says, ". . . the budget of the United States will be in balance by the fiscal year 2002." And the second part of it is something they fear even more. It writes into law "the aggregate amount of deficit reduction to effectuate the reconciliation instructions required for the years covered by that resolution necessary to so balance the budget."

That will become the law if you vote for this rule and the bill it will bring up.

Mr. Speaker, when you look at this chart, you see that President Clinton projected, when he gave us the budget a few months ago, another \$1 trillion, \$996 billion, added to the debt. What is compassionate about that, to load that kind of deficit on the American people and their children and my grand-children?

We can have a chance to do something about it right now. Vote for the previous question.

Mr. NADLER. Mr. Speaker, I rise to oppose this rule on the Tax Fairness and Deficit Reduction Act. H.R. 1215.

The Contract With America states that "within the first 100 days of the 104th Congress, we shall bring to the House Floor the following bills, each to be given full and open debate, each to be given a clear and fair vote and each to be immediately available this day for public inspection and scrutiny." With a closed rule on the tax bill, the Republicans have not provided, as they said they would, for a "full and open debate" on this crucial legislation.

I would agree that many Americans need tax relief, and that we must do all that we can to ensure fairness for our seniors and families. That is why I offered two amendments to this legislation which would have furthered these very important goals. But, unfortunately, "full and open debate" on these amendments was denied, and the Members of this House will not have the opportunity to vote on these amendments.

My colleague from New York, NITA LOWEY, and I introduced an amendment which would repeal immediately the increased tax on Social Security benefits rather than repeal it over a 5-year period, as the Republican bill does. While our amendment would have granted seniors

Schaefer

immediate tax relief, and would have been paid for by striking from the bill a repeal of the Corporate Alternative Minimum Tax—making corporations pay their fair share of taxes-it was, nonetheless, rejected by the Rules Committee. When we raised this issue of equity regarding our Nation's seniors we were hushed.

While this bill does much to provide significant and immediate tax relief for wealthy corporations, it delays tax relief and fairness for our Nation's seniors. While the Republicans state that this bill will provide fairness, this, to me, does not seem fair.

Repealing the Social Security tax increase immediately and paying for it by requiring Republicans to retain the Corporate Alternative Minimum Tax is only fair and equitable. The Alternative Minimum Tax was adopted to stop the practice of large corporations using the talents of high-priced tax lawyers to contrive ingenious loopholes that enable them to escape all taxation. To provide these huge tax giveaways to corporations and not provide immediate tax relief and fairness to our Nation's senior would be the height of unfairness and hypocrisy. It would be a moral outrage to allow or Nation's most profitable corporations to cease paying income taxes immediately, while requiring seniors to wait half a decade for tax

Mr. Speaker, after restoring fairness to seniors by repealing the Social Security tax increase immediately, our amendment would have left approximately \$7 billion for deficit reduction-almost half of the amount of appropriations this House rescinded earlier this month for this very purpose.

Our amendment would have significantly reduced the deficit, while restoring tax fairness to our Nation's seniors, but the Republican leadership would not allow this fiscally prudent amendment to be considered on the House

Our amendment would have done the right thing by making profitable corporations pay their fair share and lifting this unjustified increased tax burden off senior citizens imme-

I asked, again with no success, that the Rules Committee consider another one of my amendments. The amendment would simply index income taxes to reflect regional differences in the cost of living. These differences mean that an income which might make one well off in, say, rural Arkansas, would barely afford a middle-class lifestyle in New York or Dallas. Yet the current Tax Code, by taxing nominal, rather than regionally adjusted, incomes, treats each of these taxpayers as if their incomes were economically equivalent.

We know that this is not the case.

People living in high cost-of-living areas, like New York City, should not be penalized by the tax system. By regionally adjusting income tax brackets, we can make the tax burden on American families more fair and equitable.

Furthermore, I find it ironic that this rule waives the requirement for a three-fifths vote in order to increase taxes. The Republicans passed a rule earlier this Congress which would require that in order to increase taxes the House had to have a three-fifths vote. Now they are waiving this rule for the purposes of passing their tax bill which gives tax breaks to the wealthy. The hypocrisy here again is blatant.

Mr. Speaker, this bill is unfair and I urge my colleagues to oppose this rule.

Ms. DUNN of Washington. Mr. Speaker, I rise in strong support of this rule.

Two years ago, the liberal Democrats voted for the largest tax in history. Today, we right that wrong by allowing the American people to keep more of their hard-earned money.

The Republican Tax Relief and Deficit Reduction Act accomplishes many things for American families. One of the most symbolic and important is the provision that corrects an inequity against the American homemaker.

Mr. Speaker, the current Tax Code treats American homemakers, who are overwhelmingly women, as second class citizens.

In the eyes of the Federal Government, the work of the homemaker is not as valuable as the work of her husband.

For tax purposes, a single-income family can set aside for retirement roughly one-half what a dual-income family can. Our spousal IRA proposal allows the work-at-home spouse to save \$2,000 just like the spouse.

This rule, and the Republican tax relief bill, acknowledge the value and hard work of the millions of homemakers in America.

Support this rule, support homemakers, and support the families of America.

Mr. SOLOMON. Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question on the resolu-

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. GOODLATTE). The question is on ordering the previous question.

The question was taken; and the Speaker pro tempore announced that the ayes appeared to have it.

Mr. MOAKLEY. Mr. Speaker, I object to the vote on the ground that a quorum is not present and make the point of order that a quorum is not present.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Evidently a quorum is not present.

The Sergeant at Arms will notify absent Members.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 230, nays 203, not voting 2, as follows:

[Roll No. 289]

YEAS-230

Allard Canady English Archer Castle Ensign Chabot Everett Armey Bachus Chambliss Ewing Baker (CA) Chenoweth Fawell Fields (TX) Baker (LA) Christensen Ballenger Chrysler Flanagan Clinger Coble Foley Forbes Barr Barrett (NE) Bartlett Coburn Fowler Collins (GA) Barton Fox Franks (CT) Bass Combest Bateman Cooley Franks (N.I) Bereuter Cox Frelinghuysen Crane Funderburk Bilirakis Crapo Cremeans Gallegly Bliley Ganske Cubin Cunningham Boehlert Gekas Gilchrest Boehner Davis Deal Gillmor Bono Del.av Gilman Diaz-Balart Brownback Gingrich Dickey Doolittle Bryant (TN) Goodlatte Bunn Goodling Bunning Dornan Goss Dreier Graham Burr Burton Duncan Greenwood Gunderson Buyer Dunn Callahan Ehlers Gutknecht Calvert Ehrlich Hancock Camp Emerson Hansen

Hastings (WA) Hayworth Hefley Heineman Herger Hilleary Hobson Hoekstra Hoke Horn Hostettler Houghton Hunter Hutchinson Hyde Inglis Istook Johnson (CT) Johnson, Sam Jones Kasich Kim King Kingston Klug Knollenberg Kolbe LaHood Largent Latham LaTourette Lazio Leach Lewis (CA) Lewis (KY) Lightfoot Linder Livingston LoBiondo Longley Lucas Manzullo Martini

Barcia

Bishop

Bonior

Borski

Condit

Coyne

Cramer

Danner

Dicks

Dixon

Dooley

Durbin

Dovle

Engel

Dingell

McCollum McCrery McDade McHugh McInnis McIntosh McKeon Metcalf Meyers Mica Miller (FL) Molinari Moorhead Morella Myers Myrick Nethercutt Neumann Ney Norwood Nussle Oxley Packard Paxon Petri Pombo Porter Portman Quillen Quinn Řadanovich Ramstad Regula Riggs Roberts Rogers Rohrabacher Ros-Lehtinen Roth Royce Salmon Sanford Saxton Scarborough

Schiff Seastrand Sensenbrenner Shadegg Shaw Shays Shuster Skeen Smith (MI) Smith (NJ) Smith (TX) Smith (WA) Solomon Souder Spence Stearns Stockman Stump Talent Tate Taylor (NC) Thomas Thornberry Tiahrt Torkildsen Upton Vucanovich Waldholtz Walker Walsh Wamp Watts (OK) Weldon (FL) Weldon (PA) Weller White Whitfield Wicker Wolf Young (AK) Young (FL) Zeliff Zimmer

NAYS-203

Lofgren

Abercrombie Evans Ackerman Farr Fattah Andrews Baesler Fazio Fields (LA) Baldacci Filner Barrett (WI) Flake Foglietta Becerra Beilenson Ford Frank (MA) Bentsen Berman Frost Furse Gejdenson Gephardt Geren Boucher Cibbons Brewster Gonzalez Browder Gordon Brown (CA) Green Brown (FL) Gutierrez Brown (OH) Hall (OH) Bryant (TX) Cardin Hall (TX) Hamilton Chapman Harman Hastings (FL) Clay Clayton Hayes Clement Hefner Hilliard Clyburn Coleman Hinchey Collins (IL) Holden Collins (MI) Hover Jackson-Lee Conyers Jacobs Costello Jefferson Johnson (SD) Johnson, E. B. Johnston de la Garza Kanjorski DeFazio Kaptur Kennedy (MA) DeLauro Dellums Kennedy (RI) Deutsch Kennelly Kildee Kleczka Klink LaFalce Doggett Lantos Laughlin Levin Lewis (GA) Edwards

Lincoln

Lipinski

Lowey Luther Maloney Manton Markey Martinez Mascara Matsui McCarthy McDermott McHale McKinney McNulty Meehan Meek Menendez Mfume Miller (CA) Mineta Minge Mink Moakley Mollohan Montgomery Moran Murtha Nadler Neal Oberstan Obey Olver Ortiz Orton Owens Pallone Parker Payne (NJ) Payne (VA) Pelosi Peterson (FL) Peterson (MN) Pickett Pomerov Poshard Rahall Rangel Reed Richardson

Rivers

Roemer

CONGRESSIONAL RECORD—HOUSE

Rose Roukema Stenholm Velazquez Stokes Vento Roybal-Allard Studds Visclosky Rush Stupak Tanner Volkmer Ward Sabo Sanders Waters Tauzin Taylor (MS) Tejeda Sawyer Schroeder Watt (NC) Waxman Thompson Williams Schumer Scott Serrano Thornton Thurman Wilson Wise Sisisky Torres Woolsey Torricelli Skaggs Skelton Wyden Wynn Towns Traficant Slaughter Yates Spratt Tucker

NOT VOTING-2

Reynolds

Stark

□ 1437

Mr. DAVIS changed his vote from to "yea.

So the previous question was ordered. The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. GOODLATTE). The question is on the resolution.

The question was taken; and the Speaker pro tempore announced that the ayes appeared to have it.

RECORDED VOTE

Mr. MOAKLEY. Mr. Speaker, I demand a recorded vote.

A recorded vote was ordered.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—ayes 228, noes 204, not voting 3, as follows:

[Roll No. 290]

AYES-228 Allard Diaz-Balart Hunter Dickey Doolittle Archer Hutchinson Armey Hyde Bachus Inglis Baker (CA) Dreier Istook Baker (LA) Duncan Johnson (CT) Ballenger Johnson, Sam Barr Ehlers Jones Barrett (NE) Kasich Emerson English Bartlett Kelly Ensign Everett Barton Kim Bass King Bateman Ewing Fawell Kingston Klug Knollenberg Bevill Bilirakis Fields (TX) Bliley Flanagan Kolbe Foley Blute Largent Forbes Boehlert Latham Boehner Bonilla Fowler LaTourette Laughlin Fox Bono Franks (CT) Lazio Brownback Franks (NJ) Leach Bryant (TN) Frelinghuysen Lewis (CA) Lewis (KY) Bunn Frisa Funderburk Gallegly Bunning Lightfoot Linder Burr Burton Livingston Gekas Buyer Callahan Geren LoBiondo Gilchrest Longley Calvert Gillmor Manzullo Camp Canady Gilman Martini Gingrich Castle Goodlatte McCollum McCrery McDade Chahot Goodling Chambliss Goss Chenoweth Graham McHugh Christensen Greenwood McInnis Gutknecht McIntosh Chrysler Clinger Hancock McKeon Coble Hansen Metcalf Coburn Meyers Hastings (WA) Mica Miller (FL) Collins (GA) Combest Haves Cooley Hayworth Molinari Moorhead Cox Hefley Cramer Heineman Mvers Myrick Crane Herger Crapo Hilleary Nethercutt Cremeans Hobson Neumann Cubin Hoekstra Cunningham Norwood Hoke Hostettler Nussle Deal DeLay Oxley

Houghton

Packard Parker Scarborough Taylor (NC) Schaefer Thomas Paxon Schiff Thornberry Petri Seastrand Tiahrt Torkildsen Pombo Sensenbrenner Porter Shadegg Traficant Upton Vucanovich Portman Shaw Shays Pryce Quillen Shuster Waldholtz Quinn Radanovich Skeen Smith (MI) Walker Walsh Ramstad Smith (NJ) Wamp Watts (OK) Regula Smith (TX) Smith (WA) Weldon (FL) Riggs Roberts Solomon Weldon (PA) Rogers Rohrabacher Souder Weller White Spence Ros-Lehtinen Stearns Whitfield Wicker Young (AK) Roth Stockman Royce Stump Salmon Talent Young (FL) Zeliff Sanford Tate Tauzin Zimmer Saxton

NOES-204

Neal

Abercrombie

Gephardt Gibbons Ackerman Oberstar Andrews Gonzalez Obey Baesler Gordon Olver Baldacci Green Ortiz Barcia Gunderson Orton Barrett (WI) Gutierrez Owens Hall (OH) Pallone Becerra Beilenson Hall (TX) Pastor Hamilton Payne (N.J) Bentsen Bereuter Harman Payne (VA) Hastings (FL) Berman Pelosi Peterson (FL) Bilbray Hefner Hilliard Peterson (MN) Bishop Bonior Hinchey Pickett Holden Poshard Borski Boucher Horn Rahall Hoyer Jackson-Lee Brewster Rangel Browder Reed Brown (CA) Jacobs Richardson Jefferson Brown (FL) Rivers Brown (OH) Johnson (SD) Roemer Rose Roukema Bryant (TX) Johnson, E. B. Cardin Johnston Chapman Kanjorski Roybal-Allard Clay Rush Kaptur Kennedy (MA) Clayton Sabo Sanders Clement Kennedy (RI) Clyburn Kennelly Sawyer Schroeder Kildee Coleman Collins (IL) Kleczka Schumer Collins (MI) Klink Scott LaFalce Serrano Condit Conyers LaHood Sisisky Costello Lantos Skaggs Skelton Covne Levin Lewis (GA) Slaughter Danner Davis Lincoln Spratt de la Garza Lipinski Stark DeFazio Lofgren Stenholm Lowey Luther DeLauro Stokes Studds Dellums Maloney Deutsch Stupak Dicks Manton Tanner Dingell Markey Taylor (MS) Martinez Dixon Tejeda Doggett Dooley Thompson Mascara Matsui Thornton Doyle McCarthy Thurman Durbin McDermott Torres Torricelli Edwards McHale McKinney McNulty Towns Tucker Ehrlich Engel Meehan Velazquez Vento Visclosky Evans \mathbf{Meek} Menendez Farr Fattah Mfume Miller (CA) Volkmer Fazio Fields (LA) Ward Watt (NC) Mineta Filner Minge Waxman Flake Mink Williams Foglietta Moakley Wilson Ford Mollohan Wise Frank (MA) Montgomery Wolf Moran Frost Woolsey Morella Wyden Furse Ganske Murtha Wynn Nadler Gejdenson

NOT VOTING-3

Waters

Revnolds Pomerov

□ 1455

Mr. TAUZIN changed his vote from "no" to "aye.

So the resolution was agreed to.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

PERSONAL EXPLANATION

Mr. POMEROY. Mr. Chairman, I regret that I was not present for rollcall vote No. 290, the rule to provide for the consideration of H.R. 1215, the Contract With America Tax Relief Act of 1995. I was unavoidably detained in a meeting with Office of Management and Budget Director Alice Rivlin regarding Missouri River flood control. I spoke on the floor of the House twice against the rule and, had I been present, I would have voted "no."

PARLIAMENTARY INQUIRY

Mr. MORAN. I have a parliamentary inquiry, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. GOODLATTE). The gentleman will state his parliamentary inquiry.

Mr. MORAN. Mr. Speaker, it is my recollection that this body passed legislation earlier this term, in fact, on the first day of this session, that required that any tax increase be passed with a three-fifths vote of this body.

Since there is a tax increase to be leveled on Federal employees, in the case of the Federal Employees Retirement System, a 313 percent increase on their retirement contribution; in the case of the Civil Service Retirement System there was a 35 percent increase in their retirement contribution. This is clearly a tax increase, Mr. Speaker.

Therefore, it seems to me, to be consistent with the legislation this body previously passed, it would require a three-fifths vote. I would reserve my point of order, but I would make that parliamentary inquiry at this time.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Chair will take the gentleman's inquiry under advisement and rule on it at the appropriate time.

Mr. MORAN. Mr. Speaker, I would ask, when would be the appropriate time for a ruling on this parliamentary inquiry?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pending final passage of the legislation.

Mr. MORAN. Mr. Speaker, when would I be able to get a division of the question on that issue?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair will state that the rule relates to the vote on passage. The question becomes ripe for the House upon passage of the legislation.

Mr. MORAN. Mr. Speaker, the rule said that all points of order are waived, but yet I am making an inquiry as to whether this is consistent with previously passed legislation of this body.

□ 1500

It seems to me this then ought to enable us to call for a division as to the ruling of the Speaker. What I want to understand is when that might occur, when this body might be able to vote on that ruling.