

Barrett (WI)	Fields (TX)	Lewis (GA)	Rose	Smith (WA)	Vento
Bartlett	Filner	Lewis (KY)	Roth	Solomon	Visclosky
Barton	Flanagan	Lightfoot	Roukema	Souder	Volkmer
Bass	Foglietta	Lincoln	Roybal-Allard	Spence	Vucanovich
Bateman	Foley	Linder	Royce	Spratt	Waldholtz
Beilenson	Forbes	Lipinski	Rush	Stark	Walker
Bentsen	Ford	Livingston	Sabo	Stearns	Walsh
Bereuter	Fowler	LoBiondo	Salmon	Stenholm	Wamp
Berman	Fox	Lofgren	Sanders	Stockman	Ward
Bevill	Frank (MA)	Longley	Sanford	Stokes	Watt (NC)
Bilbray	Franks (CT)	Lowey	Sawyer	Studds	Watts (OK)
Bilirakis	Franks (NJ)	Lucas	Saxton	Stupak	Waxman
Bishop	Frelinghuysen	Luther	Schaefer	Talent	Weldon (FL)
Blute	Frisa	Manton	Schiff	Tanner	Weldon (PA)
Boehlert	Frost	Manzullo	Schroeder	Tate	Weller
Boehner	Funderburk	Markey	Schumer	Tauzin	White
Bonilla	Furse	Martinez	Scott	Taylor (MS)	Whitfield
Bonior	Ganske	Martini	Seastrand	Taylor (NC)	Wicker
Bono	Gejdenson	Mascara	Sensenbrenner	Tejeda	Williams
Borski	Gekas	Matsui	Serrano	Thomas	Wilson
Boucher	Gephardt	McCarthy	Shadegg	Thompson	Wise
Brewster	Geren	McCollum	Shaw	Thornberry	Wolf
Browder	Gibbons	McCrery	Shays	Thornton	Woolsey
Brown (CA)	Gilchrest	McDade	Shuster	Tiahrt	Wyden
Brown (FL)	Gillmor	McHale	Sisisky	Torkildsen	Wynn
Brown (OH)	Gilman	McHugh	Skaggs	Torres	Yates
Brownback	Gonzalez	McInnis	Skeen	Torricelli	Young (AK)
Bryant (TN)	Goodlatte	McIntosh	Skelton	Towns	Young (FL)
Bryant (TX)	Goodling	McKeon	Slaughter	Traficant	Zeliff
Bunn	Gordon	McKinney	Smith (MI)	Tucker	Zimmer
Bunning	Goss	McNulty	Smith (NJ)	Upton	
Burr	Graham	Meehan	Smith (TX)	Velazquez	
Burton	Green	Meek			
Buyer	Greenwood	Menendez			
Callahan	Gunderson	Metcalf	Coble	Ewing	Stump
Calvert	Gutierrez	Meyers	Cooley	Hutchinson	
Camp	Gutknecht	Mfume	Dickey	Scarborough	
Canady	Hall (TX)	Mica			
Cardin	Hamilton	Miller (CA)			
Castle	Hansen	Miller (FL)			
Chabot	Harman	Mineta	Becerra	Farr	Maloney
Chambliss	Hastert	Minge	Bliley	Flake	McDermott
Chapman	Hastings (FL)	Mink	Coburn	Gallagher	Neal
Chenoweth	Hastings (WA)	Moakley	Collins (MI)	Hall (OH)	Rangel
Christensen	Hayes	Molinar	Cubin	Hancock	Thurman
Chrysler	Hayworth	Mollohan	Dooley	Lantos	Waters
Clay	Hefley	Montgomery			
Clayton	Hefner	Moorhead			
Clement	Heineman	Moran			
Clinger	Herger	Morella			
Clyburn	Hilleary	Murtha			
Coleman	Hilliard	Myers			
Collins (GA)	Hinchey	Myrick			
Collins (IL)	Hobson	Nadler			
Combest	Hoekstra	Nethercutt			
Condit	Hoke	Neumann			
Conyers	Holden	Ney			
Costello	Horn	Norwood			
Cox	Hostettler	Nussle			
Coyne	Houghton	Oberstar			
Cramer	Hoyer	Obey			
Crane	Hunter	Olver			
Crapo	Hyde	Ortiz			
Creameans	Inglis	Orton			
Cunningham	Istook	Owens			
Danner	Jackson-Lee	Oxley			
Davis	Jacobs	Packard			
de la Garza	Jefferson	Pallone			
Deal	Johnson (CT)	Parker			
DeFazio	Johnson (SD)	Pastor			
DeLauro	Johnson, E. B.	Paxon			
DeLay	Johnson, Sam	Payne (NJ)			
Dellums	Johnston	Payne (VA)			
Deutsch	Jones	Pelosi			
Diaz-Balart	Kanjorski	Peterson (FL)			
Dicks	Kaptur	Peterson (MN)			
Dingell	Kasich	Petri			
Dixon	Kelly	Pickett			
Doggett	Kennedy (MA)	Pombo			
Doolittle	Kennedy (RI)	Pomeroy			
Dornan	Kennelly	Porter			
Doyle	Kildee	Portman			
Dreier	Kim	Poshard			
Duncan	King	Pryce			
Dunn	Kingston	Quillen			
Durbin	Klecza	Quinn			
Edwards	Klink	Radanovich			
Ehlers	Klug	Rahall			
Ehrlich	Knollenberg	Ramstad			
Emerson	Kolbe	Reed			
Engel	LaFalce	Regula			
English	LaHood	Reynolds			
Ensign	Largent	Richardson			
Eshoo	Latham	Riggs			
Evans	LaTourette	Rivers			
Everett	Laughlin	Roberts			
Fattah	Lazio	Roemer			
Fawell	Leach	Rogers			
Fazio	Levin	Rohrabacher			
Fields (LA)	Lewis (CA)	Ros-Lehtinen			

## NAYS—7

## NOT VOTING—18

□ 1804

Mr. HASTERT changed his vote from "nay" to "yea."

So (two-thirds having voted in favor thereof) the rules were suspended and the bill was passed.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

## PERSONAL EXPLANATION

Miss COLLINS of Michigan. Mr. Speaker, due to an illness requiring hospitalization, I was unavoidably detained in Detroit today while the House was in session. Had I been present, I would have voted "aye" on passage of H.R. 531, "aye" on passage of H.R. 694, "aye" on passage of H.R. 562, "aye" on passage of H.R. 536, and "aye" on passage of H.R. 517.

## REQUEST FOR PERMISSION FOR SUNDRY COMMITTEES AND THEIR SUBCOMMITTEES TO SIT TOMORROW DURING THE 5-MINUTE RULE

Mr. GOODLING. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that the following committees and their subcommittees be permitted to sit tomorrow while the House is meeting in the Committee of the Whole House under the 5-minute rule: Committee on Banking and Financial Services; Committee on Commerce; Committee on Economic and Educational Opportunities; Committee on Government Reform and Oversight; Committee on House Oversight; Committee on International Relations;

Committee on the Judiciary; Committee on National Security, and Committee on Resources.

Mr. Speaker, it is my understanding that the minority has been consulted, and that there is no objection to these requests.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. SHAYS). Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Pennsylvania?

Mr. WATT of North Carolina. Mr. Speaker, Reserving the right to object, I am advised by the leadership that they have approved these committees meeting during legislative business, and so I withdraw my reservation of the right to object.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Pennsylvania?

Mr. HOYER. Mr. Speaker, reserving the right to object, it is my understanding that this includes the Government Reform Committee?

Mr. GOODLING. If the gentleman will yield, it is the Committee on Government Reform and Oversight.

Mr. HOYER. Reserving the right to object, Mr. Speaker, let me make a comment.

I understand what the leadership has done. But I want to say that there is no doubt in my mind that were the roles reversed there would be an objection.

What the Government Reform Committee, and my good friend, the gentleman from Florida [Mr. MICA], and the gentleman from Pennsylvania [Mr. CLINGER] intend to do is, having had a hearing last Monday, 8 days ago, they intend to propose effectively increasing the taxes on 30,000 to 40,000 Federal employees by 12 percent by taking 2½ points off the pretax income of Federal employees as an additional contribution on their pension system. Now, that is with 8 days' notice and hearing.

Now, I hope the committee tomorrow does not take that action. I hope they give both Federal employees and the public an opportunity to look at that.

But the reason I reserve my right to object is I worked very hard with the Bush administration and OMB under President Bush for the purposes of trying to come up with an equitable system. The Hudson Institute, a conservative think-tank out of the Midwest, in 1987 gave to the Bush administration and to this Congress a recommendation that we not touch the pension plan, not touch the pension plan until such time as we had fully effected a locality-pay adjustment. The Bush administration signed legislation in 1990 to effect that over a 9-year period.

We have done 1 year of that. Notwithstanding that, we are asked for a unanimous-consent request so the committee tomorrow, after having a hearing last Monday on this issue, move ahead to make a drastic change in Federal employee's pensions.

Now, very frankly, they are going to include congressional pensions. If we cannot protect ourselves, that is tough, in my opinion. I do not care about that, I tell you, Mr. Speaker. The fact is we ought not be doing this with this short notice to Federal employees and, in effect, giving them a 12-percent, 10-percent to 12-percent, tax increase.

I reserve my right to object to make that point. Now, apparently the leadership on this side has agreed not to object, and, therefore, Mr. Speaker, I am not going to object, but I vigorously object to the actions that are being proposed to be taken tomorrow, and I will oppose those tomorrow. I will oppose them on the floor, and I will oppose them anywhere I can confront them. I hope to be joined by some of my friends on that side of the aisle.

Mr. MORAN. Mr. Speaker, will the gentleman yield?

Mr. HOYER. Further reserving the right to object, I yield to the gentleman from Virginia.

Mr. MORAN. Mr. Speaker, I thank my friend from Maryland. I know that he recalls that when action of this magnitude was taken in 1986, it was the result of 2 years of bipartisan effort to study the Federal retirement system, and they came up with a plan that fixed the Federal retirement system and, in fact, we are now taking in \$62.2 billion a year and paying out \$36 billion.

What is being attempted tomorrow is not an attempt to fix the retirement system. It is an attempt to accumulate \$12 billion in cuts in order to finance a tax cut for other Members on the backs of Federal employees who, in effect, would have to pay an increase, 12-percent increase, in their tax.

Mr. GOODLING. Mr. Speaker, I withdraw my request.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman from Pennsylvania [Mr. GOODLING] withdraws his request.

#### TECHNICAL AMENDMENT TO THE ELEMENTARY AND SECONDARY EDUCATION ACT OF 1965 RELATING TO INDIAN EDUCATION

Mr. GOODLING. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to take from the Speaker's table the Senate bill (S. 377) to amend a provision of part A of title IX of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965, relating to Indian education, to provide a technical amendment, and for other purposes, and ask for its immediate consideration in the House.

The Clerk read the title of the Senate bill.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Pennsylvania?

Mr. CLAY. Mr. Speaker, reserving the right to object, I do not intend to object, but I yield to the gentleman from Pennsylvania so he may explain his unanimous-consent request.

Mr. GOODLING. Mr. Speaker, I have asked unanimous consent to bring to the floor for consideration S. 377, legislation providing for a technical amendment to the Indian title of the Improving America's Schools Act. This legislation passed the Senate on a voice vote on February 16, 1995.

This bill, S. 377, would correct a drafting error to section 9112(a)(1)(A) of the Elementary and Secondary Edu-

cation Act relating to Indian education.

The intent of the House and Senate conferees was to require that a school would be eligible for an Indian Education Act formula grant if it had 20 eligible students or 25 percent of its student population eligible for the program. The provision was inadvertently drafted to replace the word "or" with "and".

The Department of Education is currently drafting regulations to implement the new provisions of the Indian Education Act. Unless this technical amendment is enacted by Congress, the existing language will result in disqualification of many schools serving American Indians and Alaska Natives, and I urge my colleagues to pass S. 377.

Mr. CLAY. Mr. Speaker, further reserving the right to object, I rise in support of the unanimous-consent request and in support of this technical correction.

Mr. Speaker, I withdraw my reservation of objection.

Mr. HOYER. Mr. Speaker, reserving the right to object, I object to being summarily sat down by the withdrawal. There are all sorts of things you can object to, I say to my good friend, the chairman of the committee, and he is my good friend.

I ask, under my reservation, does the gentleman intend to renew, and I would ask for a notice and the comity if you are going to renew the motion; you are not going to renew it because I happen to walk off the floor. We are not going to play that way, ladies and gentlemen.

Mr. GOODLING. If the gentleman will yield, that is not my responsibility to bring that to the floor nor is it my responsibility to remove it, nor is it my responsibility to bring it back again.

Mr. HOYER. Further reserving the right to object, I say to my good friend, he notices I was not looking at him at the time I said that.

Mr. GOODLING. I was merely going to say the gentlewoman from Maryland [Mrs. MORELLA] seconds whatever it was you were saying in your reservation.

Mr. HOYER. I am sure the gentlewoman from Maryland [Mrs. MORELLA] would join me and the gentleman from Virginia [Mr. DAVIS] would join me and others would join me as well.

□ 1815

Mr. GOODLING. It was the gentleman's leadership that had signed off. That is why it was given to me to present.

Mr. HOYER. I understand that. So we will have some further discussions before it is moved ahead?

Mr. GOODLING. The gentleman is correct.

Mr. HOYER. Will my friend give me the assurance that this unanimous-consent request will not be renewed until such time as I have signed off on it?

Mr. GOODLING. If your leadership comes to us, I suppose we can give you some assurance.

Mr. HOYER. I take it that is a no.

Mr. GOODLING. I am not in a position to respond to the gentleman's request.

Mr. HOYER. Mr. Speaker, I withdraw my reservation of objection.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. SHAYS). Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Pennsylvania?

There was no objection.

The Clerk read the Senate bill, as follows:

S. 377

*Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,*

#### SECTION 1. TECHNICAL AMENDMENT.

Section 9112(a)(1)(A) of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965 (as added by section 101 of the Improving America's Schools Act of 1994 (Public Law 103-382)) is amended by striking "and" and inserting "or".

The Senate bill was ordered to be read a third time, was read the third time, and passed, and a motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

#### GENERAL LEAVE

Mr. GOODLING. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members may have 5 legislative days within which to revise and extend their remarks on S. 377, the Senate bill just passed.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Pennsylvania?

There was no objection.

#### SENSE OF CONGRESS REGARDING FEDERAL DISASTER RELIEF

Mr. EMERSON. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to take from the Speaker's table the concurrent resolution (H. Con. Res. 39) expressing the sense of the Congress regarding Federal disaster relief, and ask for its immediate consideration in the House.

The Clerk read the title of the concurrent resolution.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Missouri?

Mr. MINETA. Mr. Speaker, reserving the right to object, I would like to yield to the gentleman from Missouri to explain the request that is now before us.

Mr. EMERSON. Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentleman for yielding.

Mr. Speaker, as Members know, we will soon consider fiscal year 1995 budget rescissions to pay for \$5.36 billion in emergency supplemental appropriations for last year's Northridge, CA, earthquake. Combined with the \$8.6 billion we appropriated last year, the cost to the Federal Government alone from this tragic disaster will be almost \$14 billion. It has now been reported as of yesterday that an additional \$2 billion in damages have occurred, with that number growing daily.