

Ms. VELÁZQUEZ. Mr. Speaker, 2 weeks ago the Republican Party released its most extreme proposal. Republicans voted to dissolve the most successful child nutrition programs in our schools today—the school lunch program. With a 5-year, \$5 billion program cut, the GOP will raise the nutritional deficit of thousands of school age kids.

Republicans need to understand that in their callous and inhuman proposal, they will be hurting the most vulnerable of Americans—our Nation's children. Members of the GOP argue that their program will cut bureaucrats and will not endanger our children. Well I have news for them, cutting school lunches does endanger our children. How can we prepare our youth for the jobs of the 21st century when we deny them the basic requirements for a healthy body and sound mind.

Members on the other side of the aisle need to stop playing schoolyard bully. Their actions are an insult to millions of Americans and their children. I urge this body to defeat any action against the health and well-being of our Nation's kids.

REFORM FOR THE NEXT GENERATION

(Mrs. SEASTRAND asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mrs. SEASTRAND. Mr. Speaker, in trying to help the least advantaged among us, our Federal Government has instead created a culture of poverty that is destroying the next generation. It created a safety net that works as a hammock instead of a trampoline. It created reliance when we wanted self-help. And it started a cycle of dependency when we wanted charity. The clients of the welfare system have instead become its victims.

Now Congress has the opportunity to change the system. We have the obligation to reform the system. And we have the moral imperative to transform this system of dependency. While others have come to defend the welfare state, they have instead declared war on our children of the next generation because they don't recognize this era has raised the white flag over the current culture of poverty. Mr. Speaker our welfare system is normally bankrupt and only through a mixture of compassion and tough love will we be able to keep our country from declaring moral chapter 11 and defaulting on the next generation—our children.

TOP 10 REASONS FOR SUPPORT OF 1-800-BUY-AMERICAN

(Mr. TRAFICANT asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. TRAFICANT. Mr. Speaker, the top 10 reasons to cosponsor my 1-800-Buy-American bill.

No. 10, the bill pays for itself. No. 9, it passed the House last year overwhelmingly. No. 8, no government bureaucrats. No. 7, no more Ross Perot specials and graphs. No. 6, the Chinese are coming. No. 5, it beats all those 1-900 phone sex calls for your family. No. 4, the American workers demand it. No. 3, Japan hates it. No. 2, it should be a part of the Contract With America. And No. 1, David Letterman is absolutely fed up with those Chinese toast-ers.

1-800-Buy-American, H.R. 447, passed the House, the Senate did not show the wisdom. Cosponsor H.R. 447.

LIABILITY LAWSUIT SYSTEM

(Mr. TIAHRT asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. TIAHRT. Mr. Speaker, America's liability lawsuit system has imposed huge costs on the economy and our society. Even Little League baseball has not been exempt. Its liabilities insurance rates have climbed 1,000 percent in 5 years. So Americans are going to have to pay more for their children to play baseball.

I feel safe in saying that if our current liability system had existed 100 years ago, we would not be flying airplanes. And being from the air capital of the world, Wichita, KS, that is a startling thought. Americans are brave and adventurous people. We like to take risks. We have historically been willing to pay the price for progress. But all we are paying today is the cost of frivolous and predatory lawsuits brought by lawyers who in many cases are only out to protect their fees.

Mr. Speaker, \$300 billion a year. That is what our system costs Americans each year in higher prices and lost wages and in lost jobs. While we need to ensure that people with legitimate grievances have access to the justice system, we also need to make common-sense reforms.

SCHOOL LUNCH

(Mrs. SCHROEDER asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend her remarks.)

Mrs. SCHROEDER. Mr. Speaker, the war on kids just got extremer and meaner yesterday. We all know about the war on the lunch program and paper plates are coming in in the mail every day to my office saying please save it, please save it. But yesterday we saw one more step that I really could not believe.

We saw them take out of the Child Support Enforcement Act a provision saying a deadbeat parent could have their driver's license taken away. Now, I think that is amazing.

As they are taking away a child's lunch, they are not at all hesitant to leave a deadbeat parent with their driver's license. Heaven forbid.

What is a child supposed to do? The child, I guess, is supposed to pick better parents before birth. I do not think that is a good answer.

WELFARE REFORM

(Mr. JONES asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mr. JONES. Mr. Speaker, the defenders of the old order have been telling us for weeks how much they want to help the children. But their idea of helping children is expanding a welfare system that has proven to be a failure—especially for children.

Consider this recent poll result. When asked, "do you think children are generally better off today or worse off than when you were a child," 60 percent of all Americans—and 77 percent of black Americans—said children today, were worse off.

All you have to do is look around to see that the people are right. And the welfare system is a large part of the reason why.

So why do the Democrats fight so hard to save a failed system?

I think it has a lot to do with the poverty industry that has grown up around the Democratic Party.

The Democrat Party may need poverty, but America does not.

It is time to act, Mr. Speaker. It is time to change a failed system that has done irreparable harm to America's children.

□ 1115

CHILDREN AT RISK WITH CUT-BACKS ON SCHOOL LUNCH PROGRAM

(Mr. SERRANO asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. SERRANO. Mr. Speaker, let me see if I can get this right. The Republican approach is to lower taxes for the rich by taking the school lunch away from the children. The contract with America is undoing the legend of Robin Hood.

Republicans who were elected last November never told the voters that they intended to bring pain to the children of our country. The mean-spirited Republicans continue to set their sights on attacking those members of our society who are least able to fight back.

This time they have gone too far and the American people are aware of the all-out assault on children in this country. The Republicans can try to mislead the people about the Social Security cuts but they are not going to be able to hide their attacks on the school lunch program and the children in our country.

Day after day, Republicans come up with a new way to hurt helpless little

children. Are the children a special interest group Republicans want to do away with?

The voices of the American people are being heard. Do not hurt the children.

CHANGES IN THE LEGAL SYSTEM PROVIDED BY THE CONTRACT WITH AMERICA

(Mr. CHABOT asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. CHABOT. Mr. Speaker, this is a true story. A man in New York tried to commit suicide by jumping in front of a subway train? He survived, and then he sued the city for damages, and he won \$1.2 million.

This is just the type of case which tells why three-fourths of Americans say that the current liability lawsuit system is in need of major repair.

The American people are sick and tired of our culture of victimization. Murderers go free because they were supposedly abused as children. A woman spills coffee on herself, and then she collects millions of dollars in punitive damages.

Whatever happened to personal responsibility? This is no small matter. Frivolous lawsuits cost Americans \$300 billion in higher prices and in lost wages, but we are going to reform this system, while ensuring that all those with legitimate grievances will have access to the justice system. This is our Contract With America.

SCHOOL LUNCHES NOT JUST A LUXURY, SAYS MISSISSIPPI EDUCATOR

(Mr. KLINK asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. KLINK. Mr. Speaker, William Billups, the Principal at the all black Jefferson Elementary School in Jefferson County, MS, rural, poor, and 85 percent black, says that school lunch programs are not just a luxury.

Principal Billups is a Republican, and he says he likes a lot of the changes that are taking place in Washington these days, but ending the Federal School Lunch Program and block granting the money to the States is not his idea of making things better.

Billups calls it a crapshoot. Adding that you just do not know what they will do with the money.

Having watched Mississippi State politics like I have watched politics in my State of Pennsylvania, Billups knows that "They'll take a little here and take a little there. It'll be political." And he adds, we shouldn't be political about food. Maybe we should send our Republican friends back to Jefferson Elementary School in Jefferson County, MS, to learn that lesson.

PROTECT BIODIVERSITY AND ECOSYSTEMS

(Mr. GILCHREST asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. GILCHREST. Mr. Speaker, Dr. Norse, chief scientist for the Center for Marine Conservation, reminds us that biodiversity and ecosystems do such things as maintaining climate, removing pollutants from the atmosphere, building soils to sustain the agricultural industry, and protect coastlines, and these are essential, all of the things I just described, to human existence.

Just as our astronauts are absolutely dependent on expensive, engineered life support systems to sustain them in the cold void of space, what sustains the entire Earth in the cold void of space is the life-supporting functions of the world's ecosystems and biodiversity. These things provide the habitat that all species need, including humans.

Unfortunately, our responsibility for being stewards of the land often conflicts with our apparent and obvious need to produce and consume resources.

Just as we would never sell the original Constitution of the United States or the Chesapeake Bay to foreign investors for any amount of money, we should not sell our biological diversity for a percentage. We must reexamine our knowledge on these issues.

SAVE THE SCHOOL LUNCH PROGRAM; REJECT CAPITAL GAINS TAX CUT

(Mr. BROWN of Ohio asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. BROWN of Ohio. Mr. Speaker, yesterday I visited three elementary schools in my district, Hamilton and Homewood in Lorain, OH, and Franklin Elementary in Elyria. I talked with students, parents, cafeteria workers, teachers, and administrators about school lunches. The school lunch program, they told me, begun in 1946 by Harry Truman, is a Government program that works. They simply said "Don't mess with it."

Almost one in three children in the Lorain and Elyria public school systems, middle American cities, certainly qualify for some type of assistance in school lunches. That is good, sound, fundamental policy. It helps the kids, for sure. For some of them it is the most nutrition they will get in a day. Just ask some of the physicians and nurses in Lorain County whether they think the school lunch program is a good investment.

I am a budget deficit hawk, but cutting school lunches for working-class and poor kids, Mr. Speaker, simply goes too far. Republican extremists last week, though, increased military spending by \$3.2 billion, and Republican extremists announced that they

will pass a capital gains tax break for the wealthiest 1 percent of our society. Mr. Speaker, this is extremism. It should be rejected.

GOOD NEWS FOR SENIOR COMMUNITIES

(Mr. GOSS asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. GOSS. Mr. Speaker, this week we expect some long-overdue good news for seniors. The Department of Housing and Urban Development will release its new rule defining the "significant facilities and services" requirement for senior communities under the Fair Housing Act. We well remember HUD's first attempt to set such standards—a disaster that sparked vigorous and legitimate protest from seniors across the country. From what we have seen, it appears HUD learned its lesson the second time through. I thank all those who made themselves heard. It made a difference. The new rule recognizes the unique social and physical characteristics of senior communities. And it will enable existing senior-only communities to qualify for the exemption without great expense. It is about time the bureaucracy acts to alleviate the unnecessary fears and anxiety caused by the vagueness in current law. I hope the millions of Americans impacted by this proposed new rule will take a close look and let us know what they think.

UNDER "LOSER PAYS," WINNERS TREATED AS LOSERS

(Mr. GUTIERREZ asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. GUTIERREZ. Mr. Speaker, the legal bill we are debating today can be summed up in two words.

Loser pays.

Loser pays. An appropriate phrase for this Congress.

Because all across America, people who are winners are being treated like losers.

Hard-working American families who are busy meeting their mortgage and making their car payment and saving for school supplies.

Middle income Americans who are too busy trying to stretch their dollars to attend the thousand dollar a plate dinners for Republican insiders.

Loser pays.

Well, to my Republican colleagues, I guess the people who hold the champagne glasses and wear the designer dresses at their fundraisers are the winners, and the people who serve the drinks and clean up afterward are the losers.

But today we have a chance to preserve the right of Americans to be winners in court by rejecting the lobbyist sellout the majority calls "legal reform."