are suitable for use in Chinese cruise missiles.

The official said the sale would put Moscow in violation of the 1987 MTCR, which bars sales of missiles or components capable of lofting a payload of at least 1,100 pounds of a range of at least 186 miles.

The engine deal is part of broader Russian efforts to supply military hardware and technology to China, regarded as a major proliferator of weapons and technology, officials said.

The U.S.-Russia dispute over the sale comes amid fresh reports that the United States tried unsuccessfully to block an \$800 million contract between Moscow and the Iranian government to build a nuclear power plant.

Russian officials went ahead with the Iranian reactor because of the U.S. agreement with North Korea to provide that rogue nation with nuclear reactor technology, said officials who spoke on condition of anonymity

U.S. officials believe the Russian support will assist Tehran's drive for nuclear weapons, which many officials say are several years away.

"We have expressed our concerns on that issue and continue to express our concerns," White House Chief of Staff Leon Panetta said yesterday. "And, obviously, we think that ultimately there's some hope that this will not take place."

Mr. Panetta said the administration will review "our relationship" with Russia in an effort to force Moscow to "adhere to the policy that we believe in, which is, let us not give aid to terrorists in this world."

Administration officials said U.S. efforts to halt the proposed sale of Russian rocket motors to China were undermined by the sale last year of jet engines made by the Phoenix-based Garrett Co., a subsidiary of AlliedSignal.

The Garrett jet engines were sold to the Nanchang Aircraft Co., which manufactures jet trainers used by the Chinese military.

The engine sale lifted controls on the small engine technology that the CIA believes could be used in long-range Chinese cruise missiles.

China produces six types of surfacedlaunched cruise missiles, including the Silkworm, and has exported cruise missiles to Iran, Iraq, North Korea and Pakistan. It also has exported airlaunched cruise missiles to Iran

The officials did not disclose the exact type of cruise missile engine being marketed by the Russians.

The sale of jet engines by the Phoenix-based manufacturer Garrett was bitterly opposed by some CIA and Pentagon officials last year because of just the type of problem raised by efforts to head off the proposed engine sale by the Russians.

"The administration's counter-proliferation program is a total failure," one official said. "There isn't one program that has been able to stop the proliferation of weapons technology."

The Chinese are more interested in acquiring the Garrett engine production technology than the Russian engines, which are inferior to the U.S. engines.

In fact, the Chinese are now seeking to buy the technology needed to produce their own versions to produce their own versions of the Garrett turbine engines, U.S. officials said. [From the Post, Monday, Feb. 20, 1995] A RED FLAG ON TECHNOLOGY SALE TO CHINA (By Jack Anderson and Michael Binstein)

The Clinton administration is proving once again that on arms proliferation issues, profit often rules over prudence.

At a time when American officials are threatening the People's Republic of China over its unfair trade practices, human rights abuses and weapons exports, the Clinton administration is poised to allow a controversial technology sale that many believe could help the communist country upgrade its missile program.

"This [sale] would give China the technological know-how to make engines for longrange cruise missiles capable of hitting any city in Japan, Korea—all the way through India," one frustrated American official explained. "The administration knows this, but so far no one has had the political will to stand up and say no."

The proposed deal involves AlliedSignal Inc., the California-based aerospace giant. The company recently informed the government that it intends to sell China the manufacturing technology used to build its Garrett gas turbine engines. This follows on the heels of a controversial decision by the administration last year to allow the Garrett engines to be sold.

AlliedSignal officials told us the technology poses little risk because it is suited only to build aircraft engines. "We are not in a position to judge China's missile engine manufacturing capability," a company spokesman said, "However, the technology involved is specific to civil-certified [Garrett] engines, which are designed for aircraft operations."

Arms proliferation experts believe China wants the Garrett technology to establish a domestic production line for upgraded cruise missile engines. "Clearly, the Chinese could use this to make engines which are perfectly suited for that requirement," says Kenneth Timmerman, a security specialist and director of the Middle East Data Project.

Confidential government studies obtained by our associates Dean Boyd and Dale Van Atta support Timmerman's view. "Garrett engines and/or production technology would provide an array of high * * * performance capabilities to satisfy [China's] military requirements well into the next century," one document alleges. Another study indicates China could make engines capable of launching a biological warhead about 1,000 miles if it obtained these materials.

Despite the red flags, the Clinton administration last year lifted the export controls that normally cover the Garrett technology. This means AlliedSignal is free to sell its manufacturing technology without government approval—unless the administration reverses itself. So far, there's been little indication this will happen.

Iain S. Baird, the Commerce Department's deputy assistant secretary for export administration, maintains there is no legal basis to oppose the sale. He says the Garrett technology is more than 20 years old and "completely impractical" for use in cruise missiles. Baird added that AlliedSignal should be applauded for taking "the unusual step of advising" the government of the sale when it wasn't required to.

In the original engine sale, which came in the wake of the administration's 1994 decision, the engines were to be used in a military jet China was developing with Pakistan. Many American officials opposed the deal, after intelligence studies found that the Chinese recipient was involved in missile building and that the engines could form the basis for a new Chinese cruise missile.

Nevertheless, the Clinton administration approved the sale, allowing the engines to be exported as civilian goods despite their declared military end-use. Despite specific warnings from Congress, officials at the Pentagon and the Commerce Department also removed export controls from the Garrett manufacturing technology.

Allied Signal says it has sold only 33 Garrett engines to China, and the technology sale hasn't been finalized. A company spokesman added, "At this point, we don't need government approval."

Critics of the deal are outraged. "This is exactly what we said would happen a year ago," an American official said. "We warned that the Chinese would come after the technology after they got the engines, but [the administration] decontrolled it anyway. In my mind, it constitutes criminal negligence."

The anger generated by the proposed sale is not surprising considering a simulated war game played out by the Pentagon last year. In the fictitious battle scenario, which projected what China's military capability and manpower would be in 2010, China routed the U.S. Navy's 7th Fleet, due in part to a line of new precision-guided cruise missiles.

COMMUNICATION FROM THE CHAIRMAN OF THE COMMITTEE ON THE BUDGET REGARDING RE-VISED 302(a)/602(a) ALLOCATION FOR FISCAL YEARS 1995–1999

(Mr. KASICH asked and was given permission to extend his remarks at this point in the RECORD and to include extraneous matter.)

Mr. KASICH. Mr. Speaker, pursuant to section 202(c) of House Resolution 6, I am submitting for printing in the CONGRESSIONAL RECORD a revised allocation, based upon the conference report on House Congressional Resolution 218, the concurrent budget resolution for fiscal year 1995, of the appropriate levels of total outlays, new budget authority, and entitlement authority among each committee of the House of Representatives that has jurisdiction over legislation providing those amounts.

The revised allocation reflects the changes in committee jurisdiction set forth in clause 1 of rule X of the Rules of the House of Representatives for the 104th Congress. Pursuant to section 202(c) of House Resolution 6, the revised allocation shall be effective in the House as though made pursuant to sections 302(a) and 602(a) of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974.

Section 302(b) and 602(b) of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974 require the submission of an allocation as part of the joint statement accompanying a conference report on a budget resolution. The allocation provides the basis for congressional enforcement of the resolution through points of order under the Congressional Budget Act.

The allocation is as follows:

${\tt CONGRESSIONAL\ RECORD-HOUSE}$

ALLOCATIONS OF SPENDING TO HOUSE COMMITTEES PURSUANT TO SEC. 602(a) OF THE CONGRESSIONAL BUDGET ACT—FY 1995
[In millions of dollars]

		Budget authority	Outlays	Entitlement authorities
Current la	APPROPRIATIONS COMMITTEE			
050	vel (enacted law): National Defense	198	198	
150 300	International Affairs	174 2,088	174 1,932	
350 370	Agriculture	8,902 938	546 1,238	
400 500	Transportation	571 12,280	574 12,059	
550	Health	100,823	100,790	
570 600	Medicare Income Income	42,896 77,792	42,896 78,012	
650 700	Social Security	25 18,599	25 18,119	
750 800	Administration of Justice General Government	398 7,743	394 7,735	
900	Net Interest	57	57	
Su	ubtotal	273,484	264,750	
Discretiona 050	ary appropriations action (assumed legislation): National Defense	264,321	271,102	
150 250	International Affairs General Science, Space & Technology	20,936 17,300	20,954 17,153	
270	Energy	6,475	6,488	
300 350	Natural Resources & Environment Agriculture	21,358 4,421	21,238 4,500	
370 400	Commerce & Housing Credit	3,714 15,211	3,488 38,348	
450	Community & Regional Development	9,165	9,129	
500 550	Education, Training, Employment & Social Services	44,321 23,119	40,425 22,237	
570 600	Medicare	2,975 34,850	2,974 37,533	
650	Social Security		2,590	
700 750	Veterans' Benefits & Services	17,926 18,465	17,742 16,849	
800 920	General Government	13,206 (6,604)	12,951 (4,722)	
	ubtotal	511,159	540,979	
Discretiona	ary action by other committees (assumed entitlement legislation):	·		
600	Income Security Veterans' Benefits & Services	361 340	309 340	
	ibtotal	701	649	
Co	ommittee total	785,344	806,378	
	AGRICULTURE COMMITTEE			
	vel (enacted law): International Affairs	(534)	(534)	
270	Energy	13	(459)	
300 350	Natural Resources & Environment	514 8,416	519 7,308	7,924
400 450	Transportation	61 324	61 280	
600 800	Income Security General Government	270	273	1,142
900	Net Interest			57
Co	ommittee total	9,063	7,448	9,123
	NATIONAL SECURITY			
50	vel (enacted law); National Defense	12,788	12,925	
300 400	Natural Resources & Environment	3 6	2 (22)	
500	Education	4	` 3´	
600 700	Income Security	27,599 191	27,467 179	27,461 179
Co	ommittee total	40,591	40,554	27,640
	BANKING, FINANCE & URBAN AFFAIRS		<u> </u>	
	vel (enacted law): International Affairs	(479)	(1,355)	
370	Commerce & Housing Credit	2,935	(12,934)	
450 500	Community & Regional Development	2	(17) 1	
600 800	Income Security General Government	50 (28)	166 (22)	
900	Net Interest	3,108	3,108	
Co	ommittee total	5,587	(11,054)	
Comment	ECONOMIC & EDUCATIONAL OPPORTUNITIES			
500	vel (enacted law): Education, Training, Employment & Social Services	905	1,010	4,095
	Income Security	122	120	9,437
Su	ubtotal	1,026	1,130	13,532
Discretiona 600	ary action (assumed legislation): Income Security			309
	ommittee total	1,026	1,130	13,841
	COMMERCE			
	vel (enacted law): Natural Resources & Environment		(7)	
	Transportation	11	(7) 9 435	0/ 101
400	Hoolth			
400 550 600 800	Health Income Security General Government	433 14,778 8	14,407	96,484 11,196

${\tt CONGRESSIONAL\ RECORD-HOUSE}$

ALLOCATIONS OF SPENDING TO HOUSE COMMITTEES PURSUANT TO SEC. 602(a) OF THE CONGRESSIONAL BUDGET ACT—FY 1995—Continued [In millions of dollars]

15,231	14,851	107,
14,464	14,082	
	18	
	4/9	
	14,582	
	-	
37 999	(653) 36.802	3 36
44	44	
	87	
51,458	49,609	40
40	47	
2	2	
83		
104	45	
·		
167	(62)	
	572	
444	441	
5 	5 829	
2.181	1.849	
	152 244	
60	19	
	400	
2,270	2,262	
24,101	6	
16		
	1,169	
	1,169	
30	30	
1		
31	31	
4	(104)	
0		
6	(383)	
		1
	1,596	1
	1 50/	
	1,596	1
	181,302 39.095	17 8
	6,815	
	354	
	314,285	31
545,129	542,301	579
	542,301	579
	479 4 4 14,954	1479

${\tt CONGRESSIONAL\ RECORD-HOUSE}$

ALLOCATIONS OF SPENDING TO HOUSE COMMITTEES PURSUANT TO SEC. 602(a) OF THE CONGRESSIONAL BUDGET ACT—FY 1995—Continued [In millions of dollars]

		Budget authority	Outlays	Entitlement authorities
150	International Affairs	(15,261)	(15,221)	
250	General Science, Space & Technology	(30)	17	
270	Energy	(1,711)	(1,726)	
300	Natural Resources & Environment	(3,214)	(3,175)	
350	Agriculture	(8,738)	(154)	
370	Commerce & Housing Credit	(111)	(105)	
400	Transportation	(229)	(193)	
450	Community & Regional Development	(440)	(422)	
500	Education, Training, Employment & Social Services	(73)	(60)	
550	Health	(79)	(14)	
570	Medicare	(66,729)	(66,672)	
600	Income Security	(13,256)	(13,210)	
650	Social Security	(40)	(30)	
700	Veterans' Benefits & Services	(1,389)	(1,377)	
750	Administration of Justice	(1,884)	(1,896)	
800	General Government	(21,885)	(21,885)	
900	Net Interest	(70,438)	(70,438)	(55,752
920	Allowances	4	22	
950	Undistributed Offsetting Receipts	(44,700)	(44,700)	
Co	ommittee total	(263,710)	(254,762)	(55,752
Gr	and committee total	1,238,705	1,217,605	744,502

ALLOCATION OF SPENDING RESPONSIBILITY TO HOUSE COMMITTEES PURSUANT TO SECTION 602(a) OF THE CONGRESSIONAL BUDGET ACT [By fiscal year, in millions of dollars]

[By fiscal year	ar, in millions of dollars]					
	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	1995–1999
APPROPRIATIONS COMMITTEE						
Current level: Budget authority	273,484	270,468	302,357	328,114	359,693	1,534,116
Outlays	264,750	261,786	293,031	319,587	350,593	1,489,747
Generál purpose: Budget authority	506,872	509,616	511,391	519,492	531,725	2,578,646
OutlaysViolent crime:		538,706	539,951	541,050	542,001	2,700,404
Budget authority		5,000 3,936	5,500 4,904	6,500 5,639	6,500 6,225	27,787 22,987
Total: Budget authority	511,159	514,616	516,891	525,992	537,775	2,606,433
Outlays	540,979	542,642	544,855	546,689	548,226	2,723,391
Budgét authoritý		27,668 27,019	29,239 29,177	33,503 32,850	35,395 35,213	126,506 124,908
Committee total: Budget authority		812,752	848,487	887,609	932,864	4,267,055
Outlays		831,447	867,063	899,126	934,032	4,338,045
AGRICULTURE COMMITTEE Current level (enacted law):						
Budget authority Outlays		9,733 7,569	10,052 7,660	10,205 7,791	10,517 8,067	49,570 38.535
New entitlement authority		1,150	1,204	1,237	1,270	4,861
NATIONAL SECURITY COMMITTEE Current level (enacted law):						
Budget authority Outlays		42,789 42,609	45,053 44,857	47,498 47,313	50,776 50,584	226,707 225,917
BANKING, FINANCE & URBAN AFFAIRS COMMITTEE	40,334	42,007	44,037	47,313	30,304	223,717
Current level (enacted law):	5.587	3.981	3,609	3.447	3,310	19.934
Budget authority Outlays		(13,068)	(5,800)	(5,677)	(4,789)	(40,388)
Currentl level (enacted by law): ECONOMIC & EDUCATIONAL OPPORTUNITIES COMMITTEE						
Budget authority	1,026	532	351	176	97 77	2,181
Outlays		(733) 389	(44) 420	172 2,162	2,663	602 5,943
COMMERCE COMMITTEE						
Current level (enacted law): Budget authority	15,231	15,552	15,873	16,141	16,349	79,146
Outlays	14,851	15,152	15,284	15,540	15,547	76,374
Current level (enacted law):						
Budget authority Outlays	14,954 14,582	12,507 13,798	11,584 12,980	10,489 12,122	9,683 11,276	59,217 64,758
GOVERNMENT REFORM & OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE						
Current level (enacted law): Budget authority		52,669	54,455	56,350	58,402	273,334
Outlays	49,609	50,692	52,426	54,247	56,228	263,202
Current level (enacted law):						
Budget authority Outlays		103 203	102 23	103 20	104 49	516 340
RESOURCES COMMITTEE						
Current level (enacted law): Budget authority		2,245	2,167	2,094	2,112	10,799
Outlays		2,113	2,152	2,081	2,023	10,218
JUDICIARY COMMITTEE Current level (enacted law):						
Budget authority		2,180 2,140	2,284 2,224	2,404 2,343	2,528 2,467	11,666 11,436
TRANSPORTATION & INFRASTRUCTION COMMITTEE	, .		•			
Current level (enacted law): Budget authority	25,748	25,254	27,335	1,554	834	80,725
Outlays		979	981	971	636	4,736
Budget authority	2,161	2,161	2,161	28,750	29,508	64,741

CONGRESSIONAL RECORD—HOUSE

ALLOCATION OF SPENDING RESPONSIBILITY TO HOUSE COMMITTEES PURSUANT TO SECTION 602(a) OF THE CONGRESSIONAL BUDGET ACT—Continued [By fiscal year, in millions of dollars]

	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	1995–1999
Outlays Committee total: Budget authority Outlays	27,909	27,415 979	29,496 981	30,304 971	30,342 636	145,466 4,736
SCIENCE COMMITTEE						
Current level (enacted law): Budget authority Outlays		31 31	31 31	31 31	31 31	155 155
SMALL BUSINESS COMMITTEE						
Current level (Enacted Law): Budget authority Outlays		3 (313)	4 (249)	3 (185)	3 (154)	19 (1,284)
VETERANS' AFFAIRS COMMITTEE						
Current level (enacted law): Budget authority Outlays New entitlement authority		1,470 1,446 674	1,445 1,449 1,133	1,344 1,464 1,573	1,272 1,464 2,023	7,062 7,419 5,743
WAYS & MEANS COMMITTEE						
Current level (enacted law): Budget authority Outlays New entitlement authority UNASSIGNED TO COMMITTEE	545,129 542,301	588,303 585,182	628,675 625,435	671,199 667,765	719,529 715,576	3,152,835 3,136,259
Current level (enacted law): Budget Authority Outlays Total current level:		(263,466) (254,848)	(279,269) (269,872)	(295,496) (286,822)	(311,017) (302,214)	(1,412,958) (1,368,518)
Budget Authority Outlays Total discretionary action:		764,355 714,738	826,109 782,568	855,655 838,761	924,221 907,461	4,095,024 3,919,506
Budget Authority Outlays	514,021 541,627	544,445 569,661	548,291 574,032	588,245 579,539	602,679 583,439	2,797,681 2,848,298
Grand total: ' Budget Authority Outlays Total new entitlement authority		1,308,800 1,284,400 2,214	1,374,400 1,356,600 2,757	1,443,900 1,418,300 4,972	1,526,900 1,490,900 6,170	6,892,705 6,767,400 16,761

LEAVE OF ABSENCE

By unanimous consent, leave of absence was granted to:

Mr. HUNTER (at the request of Mr. ARMEY), for today, on account of family medical reasons.

Mr. RUSH (at the request of Mr. GEP-HARDT), for February 24, 27, and 28, on account of personal business.

Mr. MFUME (at the request of Mr. GEPHARDT), for today, on account of personal business.

SPECIAL ORDERS GRANTED

By unanimous consent, permission to address the House, following the legislative program and any special orders heretofore entered, was granted to:

(The following Members (at the request of Mr. TOWNS) to revise and extend their remarks and include extraneous material:)

Mr. FARR, for 5 minutes, today.

Mr. OWENS, for 5 minutes, today.

(The following Members (at the request of Mr. HAYWORTH) to revise and extend their remarks and include extraneous material:)

Mr. Kasich, for 5 minutes, today.

Mr. WHITFIELD, for 5 minutes, on February 28.

Mr. Fox of Pennsylvania, for 5 minutes, today.

EXTENSION OF REMARKS

By unanimous consent, permission to revise and extend remarks was granted

(The following Members (at the request of Mr. Towns) and to include extraneous matter:)

Mr. STARK, in two instances.

Mr. Markey.

Mr. OBEY.

Mr. MILLER of California.

Mr. Dellums.

Mr. FAZIO of California.

Mr. MANTON. Mr. FALEOMAVAEGA.

Mr. Moakley.

Ms. Kaptur.

Mr. McNulty.

Mr. Pastor.

Mr. Poshard.

The following Members (at the request of Mr. HAYWORTH) and to include extraneous matter:)

Mrs. JOHNSON of Connecticut.

Mr. ROGERS.

Mr. MOOREHEAD.

Mr. Shays.

Mr. SMITH of New Jersey.

Mr. PACKARD.

Mr. DAVIS, in two instances.

Mr. YOUNG of Alaska, in two instances

Mr. GILMAN.

ADJOURNMENT

Mr. WELDON. Mr. Speaker, I move that the House do now adjourn.

The motion was agreed to; accordingly (at 11 o'clock and 8 minutes p.m.), under its previous order, the House adjourned until tomorrow, Tuesday, February 28, 1995, at 9:30 a.m.

EXECUTIVE COMMUNICATIONS, ETC.

Under clause 2 of rule XXIV, executive communications were taken from the Speaker's table and referred as fol-

411. A letter from the Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller), transmitting a report of a violation of the Anti-Deficiency Act

which occurred in the Department of the Navy, pursuant to 31 U.S.C. 1517(b); to the Committee on Appropriations.

412. A letter from the Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller), transmitting a report of a violation of the Anti-Deficiency Act which occurred in the Department of the Air Force, pursuant to 31 U.S.C. 1517(b); to the Committee on Appropriations.

413. A letter from the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Economic Security, transmitting the BRAC 95 force structure plan for the Armed Forces, pursuant to Public Law 101-510, section 2903(a); to the Committee on National Security.

414. A letter from the Acting Secretary of State, Department of State, transmitting the listing of a commercial military export that is eligible for approval in calendar year 1995, pursuant to 22 U.S.C. 2765(a); to the Committee on International Relations.

415. A letter from the Assistant Legal Adviser for Treaty Affairs, Department of State, transmitting copies of international agreements, other than treaties, entered into by the United States, pursuant to 1 U.S.C. 112b(a); to the Committee on International Relations.

416. A letter from the Deputy Assistant Secretary for Public Affairs, Department of Defense, transmitting a report of activities under the Freedom of Information Act for calendar year 1994, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552(d); to the Committee on Government Reform and Oversight.

417. A letter from the Chairman, U.S. Merit Systems Protection Board, transmitting a report of activities under the Freedom of Information Act for calendar year 1994, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552(d); to the Committee on Government Reform and Oversight.

REPORTS OF COMMITTEES ON PUBLIC BILLS AND RESOLUTIONS

Under clause 2 of rule XIII, reports of committees were delivered to the Clerk for printing and reference to the proper calendar, as follows: