

[Roll No. 139]

AYES—203

Abercrombie	Hall (OH)	Parker
Ackerman	Hamilton	Pastor
Baesler	Harman	Payne (NJ)
Baldacci	Hastings (FL)	Payne (VA)
Barcia	Hayes	Pelosi
Barrett (WI)	Hefner	Peterson (FL)
Beilenson	Hilliard	Peterson (MN)
Bentsen	Hinches	Petri
Berman	Holden	Pickett
Bevill	Hoyer	Pomeroy
Bishop	Jackson-Lee	Porter
Bonior	Jacobs	Poshard
Borski	Jefferson	Rahall
Boucher	Johnson (SD)	Rangel
Brewster	Johnson, E. B.	Reed
Brown (CA)	Johnston	Reynolds
Brown (FL)	Kanjorski	Richardson
Brown (OH)	Kantur	Rivers
Bryant (TX)	Kennedy (MA)	Roemer
Cardin	Kennedy (RI)	Rose
Chapman	Kennelly	Roybal-Allard
Clayton	Kildee	Rush
Clement	Klecza	Sabo
Clyburn	Klink	Sanders
Coleman	Klug	Sawyer
Collins (MI)	LaFalce	Schroeder
Condit	Laughlin	Schumer
Conyers	Leach	Scott
Costello	Levin	Serrano
Coyne	Lincoln	Shays
Danner	Lipinski	Sisisky
de la Garza	Lofgren	Skaggs
Deal	Lowey	Skelton
DeFazio	Luther	Slaughter
DeLauro	Maloney	Spratt
Dellums	Manton	Stark
Deutsch	Markey	Stenholm
Dicks	Martinez	Stokes
Dingell	Mascara	Studds
Dixon	Matsui	Stupak
Doggett	McCarthy	Tanner
Dooley	McDermott	Tauzin
Doyle	McHale	Taylor (MS)
Durbin	McKinney	Tejeda
Edwards	McNulty	Thompson
Engel	Meehan	Thornton
Eshoo	Meek	Thurman
Evans	Menendez	Torres
Farr	Mfume	Torricelli
Fattah	Miller (CA)	Towns
Fazio	Mineta	Traficant
Fields (LA)	Minge	Tucker
Filner	Mink	Upton
Flake	Moakley	Velazquez
Foglietta	Mollohan	Vento
Ford	Montgomery	Visclosky
Frank (MA)	Moran	Volkmer
Franks (NJ)	Morella	Ward
Frost	Murtha	Waters
Furse	Nadler	Watt (NC)
Gejdenson	Neal	Waxman
Gephardt	Oberstar	Williams
Geren	Obey	Wilson
Gibbons	Olver	Wise
Gonzalez	Ortiz	Woolsey
Gordon	Orton	Wyden
Green	Owens	Wynn
Gutierrez	Pallone	

NOES—225

Allard	Bunn	Cubin
Andrews	Bunning	Cunningham
Archer	Burr	Davis
Armey	Burton	DeLay
Bachus	Buyer	Diaz-Balart
Baker (CA)	Callahan	Dickey
Baker (LA)	Calvert	Doolittle
Ballenger	Camp	Dornan
Barr	Canady	Dreier
Barrett (NE)	Castle	Duncan
Bartlett	Chabot	Dunn
Barton	Chambliss	Ehlers
Bass	Chenoweth	Ehrlich
Bateman	Christensen	Emerson
Bereuter	Chrysler	English
Bilbray	Clinger	Ensign
Bilirakis	Coble	Everett
Bliley	Coburn	Ewing
Blute	Collins (GA)	Fawell
Boehlert	Combest	Fields (TX)
Boehner	Cooley	Flanagan
Bonilla	Cox	Foley
Bono	Cramer	Forbes
Browder	Crane	Fowler
Brownback	Crapo	Fox
Bryant (TN)	Creameans	Franks (CT)

Frelinghuysen	Latham	Roth
Frisa	LaTourette	Roukema
Funderburk	Lazio	Royce
Galleghy	Lewis (CA)	Salmon
Ganske	Lewis (KY)	Sanford
Gekas	Lightfoot	Saxton
Gilchrest	Linder	Scarborough
Gillmor	Livingston	Schaefer
Gilman	LoBiondo	Schiff
Goodlatte	Longley	Seastrand
Goodling	Lucas	Sensenbrenner
Goss	Manzullo	Shadegg
Graham	Martini	Shaw
Greenwood	McCollum	Shuster
Gunderson	McCrery	Skeen
Gutknecht	McDade	Smith (MI)
Hall (TX)	McHugh	Smith (NJ)
Hancock	McInnis	Smith (TX)
Hansen	McIntosh	Smith (WA)
Hastert	McKeon	Solomon
Hastings (WA)	Metcalfe	Souder
Hayworth	Meyers	Spence
Hefley	Mica	Stearns
Heineman	Miller (FL)	Stockman
Herger	Molinari	Stump
Hilleary	Moorhead	Talent
Hobson	Myers	Tate
Hoekstra	Myrick	Taylor (NC)
Hoke	Nethercutt	Thomas
Horn	Neumann	Thornberry
Hostettler	Ney	Tiahrt
Houghton	Norwood	Torkildsen
Hunter	Nussle	Vucanovich
Hutchinson	Oxley	Waldholtz
Hyde	Packard	Walker
Inglis	Paxon	Walsh
Istook	Pombo	Wamp
Johnson (CT)	Portman	Watts (OK)
Johnson, Sam	Pryce	Weldon (FL)
Jones	Quillen	Weldon (PA)
Kasich	Quinn	Weller
Kelly	Radanovich	White
Kim	Ramstad	Whitfield
King	Regula	Wicker
Kingston	Riggs	Wolf
Knollenberg	Roberts	Young (AK)
Kolbe	Rogers	Young (FL)
LaHood	Rohrabacher	Zeliff
Largent	Ros-Lehtinen	Zimmer

NOT VOTING—6

Becerra	Collins (IL)	Lewis (GA)
Clay	Lantos	Yates

□ 2256

Mr. BROWDER changed his vote from "aye" to "no."

So the amendment, as amended, offered as a substitute for the amendment, as amended, was rejected.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

The CHAIRMAN. The question is on the amendment offered by the gentleman from Missouri [Mr. SKELTON], as amended.

The amendment, as amended, was agreed to.

The CHAIRMAN. Are there other amendments to the bill?

□ 2300

The CHAIRMAN. Will the Members please clear the aisles and take their conversations out of the Chamber.

Will Members on this side please clear the aisles and take your conversations out of the Chamber.

For what purpose does the gentleman from South Carolina [Mr. SPENCE], chairman of the committee, seek recognition?

Mr. SPENCE. Mr. Chairman, we are waiting to see if we have another amendment right now.

The CHAIRMAN. Will Members please clear the aisles.

PARLIAMENTARY INQUIRY

Mr. FRANK of Massachusetts. I have a parliamentary inquiry, Mr. Chairman.

The CHAIRMAN. The gentleman will state his inquiry.

Mr. FRANK of Massachusetts. Is all this dead time coming out of the 10 hours for which we have to debate this important issue?

The CHAIRMAN. As a matter of fact it is, and that is why the Chair is trying to get order.

For what purpose does the gentleman from California rise?

Ms. LOFGREN. Mr. Chairman, I have an amendment at the desk.

The CHAIRMAN. For what purpose does the gentleman from South Carolina rise?

Mr. SPENCE. Mr. Chairman, I move that the Committee do now rise.

The motion was agreed to.

Accordingly, the Committee rose; and the Speaker pro tempore (Mr. SENBRENNER) having assumed the chair, Mr. LINDER, Chairman of the Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union, reported that that Committee, having had under consideration the bill (H.R. 7) to revitalize the national security of the United States, had come to no resolution thereon.

REQUEST FOR CONSIDERATION OF ADDITIONAL AMENDMENTS TO H.R. 7, NATIONAL SECURITY REVITALIZATION ACT

Mr. GILMAN. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that during the further consideration of H.R. 7 in the Committee of the Whole: subject to the 10-hour overall consideration limit in the rule, the following amendments be considered in the following order, with these amendments and all amendments thereto debatable for the time specified, equally divided and controlled by the proponent and a Member opposed:

Title III: Hefley No. 5 for 10 minutes; Harman amendment No. 1 or Menendez amendment No. 2 for 20 minutes;

Title IV: Leach amendment No. 32 for 20 minutes;

Title V: amendments No. 13, 21, 24, 30, or 33, or a germane modification of one of those amendments for 45 minutes;

Johnson amendment No. 31 for 5 minutes;

Title VI: Durbin amendment No. 22 or Gilman amendment No. 23 for 10 minutes;

Bateman amendment No. 8 for 5 minutes;

amendment No. 20, 28, or 43 for 45 minutes.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from New York?

Mr. TRAFICANT. Mr. Chairman, reserving the right to object, I have an amendment that was not mentioned by the gentleman and I want to ensure that my amendment has the right to be offered.

Mr. GILMAN. Mr. Speaker, if the gentleman will yield, would he specify his amendment.

Mr. TRAFICANT. It is to peacekeeping and it in fact deals with the ceiling that is placed in the language; the 25-percent ceiling in the Traficant amendment deals with that. I want an opportunity to have that be included in the amendments to be offered, with a time period reserved for that.

Mr. GILMAN. How much time will the gentleman require?

Mr. TRAFICANT. Whatever time the gentleman deems necessary would be fine with the gentleman from Ohio.

Mr. GILMAN. We will grant the gentleman 5 minutes on his proposed amendment, at the end of all of the other consideration.

Mr. TRAFICANT. Mr. Chairman, that is fine with this gentleman, and I withdraw my reservation of objection.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from New York?

Mr. SKELTON. Mr. Speaker, reserving the right to object, I also will not object, but I did not hear the amendment which we have discussed which I intend to offer on the list.

Mr. GILMAN. Mr. Speaker, if the gentleman will yield, the order of amendments was cleared by the gentleman's leadership on his side of the aisle.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from New York?

Mr. ENGLE. Mr. Speaker, reserving the right to object, I am wondering if I could ask this of this chairman: I have an amendment which was brought up at the committee in chapter 4. I did not hear it read off. I wonder if, at the end of debate after all the other amendments have been read, there will be time for others to submit amendments.

Mr. GILMAN. If the gentleman will yield, Mr. Speaker, in response to the gentleman's inquiry, again the order of amendments was cleared by the leadership on the gentleman's side of the aisle. I suggest the gentleman take that up with his leadership.

Mr. ENGEL. Will there be time? With amendments that the gentleman mentioned, will there be time at the end of those amendments for other amendments to be submitted?

Mr. GILMAN. The order of amendments that were read consumes all of the remaining time.

As a further response to the gentleman, the remaining time is all consumed by the order of amendments. However, if there is any remaining time, we will be pleased to consider the gentleman's request tomorrow.

Mr. ENGEL. Mr. Speaker, with all due respect, I object.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Objection is heard.

HOUR OF MEETING ON TOMORROW, THURSDAY, FEBRUARY 16, 1995

Mr. GILMAN. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that when the House adjourn today, it adjourn to meet at 9 a.m. on Thursday, February 16.

The Speaker pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from New York?

Mr. MFUME. Reserving the right to object, Mr. Speaker, in an effort to try to make sure that we operate with some sense of comity, I direct this inquiry to the gentleman from New York [Mr. GILMAN].

There was one Member on our side of the aisle, the gentlewoman from California, who had wanted to offer an amendment in the title that has just been concluded and was not allowed to do that while she was on the floor seeking recognition. In an effort to try to move us off the impasse that we are on, would the gentleman from New York be open to this: as I understand it, the rule calls for the allowing of this amendment since amendments can be offered at any time, as I understand it, regardless of section?

Mr. GILMAN. If the gentleman would yield, Mr. Speaker, I believe that the gentlewoman was offering an amendment to title III. We have not arrived at that title yet.

Mr. MFUME. I am going to ask the gentlewoman now if it was title III or not. I thought it was the current title. It is my understanding, I say to the gentleman from New York [Mr. GILMAN], that it was title II that she was offering the amendment for.

Mr. GILMAN. The gentlewoman can offer her amendment at any time that she desires providing there is time remaining.

Mr. MFUME. Could the gentleman also by unanimous consent perhaps, as he seeks his request that was previously not agreed to, indicate her amendment as part of those amendments that will be considered?

Mr. GILMAN. We are going to have to revisit the schedule since there was an objection to the schedule.

Mr. MFUME. I do not know if the gentleman who raised the objection is still objecting or not.

Mr. ENGEL. Mr. Speaker, if the gentleman will yield, I am still objecting. I would like to know that I can get some definite time for my amendment.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from New York?

There was no objection.

□ 2310

PARLIAMENTARY INQUIRY

Mr. BERMAN. Mr. Speaker, I have a parliamentary inquiry.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. SEN-SENRENNER). The gentleman will state his parliamentary inquiry.

Mr. BERMAN. Mr. Speaker, would it be appropriate for the gentleman from New York to reoffer his unanimous-consent request at this particular time?

Mr. GILMAN. I will be pleased to.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair will entertain a request from the gentleman from New York.

REQUEST FOR CONSIDERATION OF ADDITIONAL AMENDMENTS TO H.R. 7, NATIONAL SECURITY REVITALIZATION ACT

Mr. GILMAN. Mr. Speaker, I will be pleased to reoffer the unanimous-consent request with regard to the order of amendments and the time allocation.

Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that my previous unanimous-consent request be agreed to.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from New York?

Mr. BONIOR. Mr. Speaker, I reserve the right to object.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair would ask the gentleman from New York [Mr. GILMAN] if the request is just as it was stated the last time, with the modification involving the proposed amendment of the gentleman from Ohio [Mr. TRAFICANT].

Mr. GILMAN. Yes, to include the request of the gentleman from Ohio.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. It is the same request with that modification?

Mr. GILMAN. Yes, with that modification.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from New York?

Mr. BONIOR. Reserving the right to object, Mr. Speaker, under my reservation I would ask the gentleman from New York [Mr. GILMAN] if we could accommodate the gentleman from New York [Mr. ENGEL] with a 5-minute request as we have accommodated the gentleman from Ohio [Mr. TRAFICANT].

Mr. GILMAN. Mr. Speaker, will the gentleman yield?

Mr. BONIOR. I yield to the gentleman from New York.

Mr. GILMAN. Mr. Speaker, I will be pleased to modify the request allocating 5 minutes to the gentleman from New York, with the understanding that it will be deducted from the title VI amendment, No. 28 or 43.

Mr. BERMAN. Mr. Speaker, will the gentleman yield?

Mr. BONIOR. Under my reservation of objection, Mr. Speaker, I yield to the gentleman from California.

Mr. BERMAN. Mr. Speaker, under the reservation of objection, if I might, I just want to make sure I understand.

We have a bipartisan agreement on the remaining time in the 10 hours. It has been modified to provide an additional 10 minutes, 5 minutes to discuss—divided equally to discuss—

Mr. GILMAN. Mr. Speaker, if the gentleman would yield, no, it is not an additional 10 minutes. We will have to