CONGRESSIONAL RECORD—HOUSE

Pryce Radanovich

Ramstad

Regula

Roberts

Rogers

Roth

Royce

Salmon

Sanford

Saxton

Schaefer

Seastrand

Shadegg

Shaw

Shays

Shuster

Skeen

Smith (MI)

Smith (NJ)

Smith (TX)

Smith (WA)

Solomon

Souder

Spence

Stearns

Stump

Talent

Tauzin

Thomas

Tiahrt

Upton

Walker

Walsh

Weller

White

Wolf

Zeliff

Zimmer

Whitfield Wicker

Young (AK) Young (FL)

Taylor (NC)

Thornberry

Torkildsen

Vucanovich

Waldholtz

Wamp Watts (OK)

Weldon (FL)

Weldon (PA)

Tate

Stockman

Sensenbrenner

Schiff

Scarborough

Roukema

Rohrabacher

Ros-Lehtinen

Riggs

Horn

Hostettler

Roybal-Allard Rush Frank (MA) Matsui Frost McCarthy Furse McDermott Sabo Gejdenson McHale Sanders Gephardt McKinney Sawyer Geren McNulty Schroeder Gonzalez Meehan Schumer Menendez Gordon Scott Green Mfume Sisisky Miller (CA) Skaggs Skelton Gutierrez Minge Hamilton Hastings (FL) Mink Slaughter Moakley Hefner Spratt Hilliard Mollohan Stark Hinchey Montgomery Stenholm Holden Stokes Moran Hoyer Morella Studds Jackson (IL) Murtha Stupak Jackson-Lee Nadler Tanner (TX) Neal Taylor (MS) Jefferson Oberstan Tejeda Johnson (SD) Obey Thompson Johnson, E. B. Olver Thornton Johnston Ortiz Thurman Kanjorski Orton Torres Torricelli Kaptur Pallone Kennedy (MA) Pastor Towns Kennedy (RI) Payne (NJ) Traficant Payne (VA) Pelosi Kennelly Velazquez Kildee Vento Visclosky Kleczka Peterson (FL) Klink Peterson (MN) Volkmer Pickett Levin Ward Lewis (GA) Pomeroy Waters Watt (NC) Lincoln Poshard Lipinski Rahall Waxman Lofgren Rangel Wilson Lowey Reed Wise Luther Richardson Woolsey Maloney Rivers Wyden Markey Roemer Wvnn Mascara Rose Yates

NOT VOTING-26

Ackerman Filner Martinez Baker (LA) Ford Meek Gibbons Myers Barton Bryant (TX) Callahan Hall (OH) Owens Harman Quillen Calvert Jacobs Quinn Chapman LaFalce Serrano Williams Lantos Convers Edwards Manton

□ 1711

So the previous question was ordered. The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. BARRETT of Nebraska). The question is on the amendment offered by the gentlewoman from Ohio [Ms. PRYCE].

The amendment was agreed to.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the resolution, as amended.

The question was taken; and the Speaker pro tempore announced that the noes appeared to have it.

RECORDED VOTE

Ms. PRYCE. Mr. Speaker, I demand a recorded vote.

A recorded vote was ordered.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—ayes 224, noes 186, not voting 24, as follows:

[Roll No. 879]

AYES-224 Allard Bilbray Burton Archer Bilirakis Buyer Bliley Armey Camp Campbell Blute Bachus Baker (CA) Boehlert Canady Boehner Bonilla Castle Chambliss Ballenger Barr Barrett (NE) Brewster Chenoweth Bartlett Brownback Christensen Bryant (TN) Barton Chrysler Bass Clinger Bateman Bunning Coble Coburn Burr Bereuter

Combest Cooley Cox Crane Crapo Cubin Cunningham Deal DeLay Diaz-Balart Dickey Doolittle Dornan Dreier Duncan Dunn Ehlers Ehrlich Emerson English Ensign Everett Ewing Fawell Fields (TX) Flanagan Foley Forbes Fowler Fox Franks (CT) Franks (NJ) Frelinghuysen Funderburk Gallegly Gekas Gilchrest Gillmor Gilman Gingrich Goodlatte Goodling Goss Graham Greenwood Gunderson Gutknecht Hancock Hansen Hastert Hastings (WA) Haves Hayworth Hefley Heineman Herger Hilleary Hobson Hoekstra Hoke

Abercrombie

Barrett (WI)

Andrews

Baesler

Baldacci

Becerra

Bentsen

Berman

Bevill

Bishop

Bonio

Borski

Boucher

Browder

Cardin

Chabot

Clayton

Clement

Clyburn

Coleman

Condit

Coyne

Cramer

Danner

Cremeans

Costello

Collins (IL)

Collins (MI)

Clay

Brown (CA)

Brown (FL)

Brown (OH)

Bono

Beilenson

Barcia

Collins (GA)

Houghton Hunter Hutchinson Hyde Inglis Istook Johnson (CT) Johnson, Sam Jones Kasich Kelly Kim King Kingston Klug Knollenberg Kolbe LaHood Largent Latham LaTourette Laughlin Lazio Leach Lewis (CA) Lewis (KY) Lightfoot Linder Livingston Longley Lucas Manzullo Martini McCollum McCrery McDade McHugh McInnis McIntosh McKeon Metcalf Meyers Mica Miller (FL) Molinari Moorhead Myrick Nethercutt Neumann Ney Norwood Nussle Oxley Packard Parker Paxon Petri Pombo Portman

NOES-186

Davis Hastings (FL) de la Garza Hefner Hilliard DeFazio DeLauro Hinchey Dellums Holden Deutsch Hover Jackson (IL) Dicks Dingell Jackson-Lee (TX) Dixon Jefferson Doggett Dooley Johnson (SD) Johnson, E. B. Dovle Durbin Johnston Engel Kanjorski Eshoo Kaptur Evans Kennedy (MA) Farr Kennedy (RI) Fattah Kennelly Fazio Fields (LA) Kildee Kleczka Flake Klink Foglietta Frank (MA) Levin Lewis (GA) Frost Lincoln Furse Lipinski Ganske LoBiondo Gejdenson Lofgren Lowey Luther Gephardt Geren Gonzalez Maloney GordonMarkey Green Mascara Gutierrez Matsui Hall (TX) McCarthy McDermott

Hamilton

McHale McKinney McNulty Meehan Meek Menendez Mfume Miller (CA) Minge Mink Moakley Mollohan Montgomery Moran Morella Murtha Neal Oberstar Obey Olver Ortiz Orton Pallone Pastor Payne (NJ) Payne (VA) Pelosi Ackerman Baker (LA) Bryant (TX) Callahan Calvert

Peterson (FL) Studds Peterson (MN) Stupak Tanner Taylor (MS) Tejeda Thompson Thornton Thurman Richardson Torres Torricelli Towns Traficant Roybal-Allard Velazquez Vento Visclosky Volkmer Ward Waters Watt (NC) Wilson Wise Woolsey Wyden Wynn

NOT VOTING-24

Filner Manton Ford Martinez Gibbons Hall (OH) Myers Owens Harman Quillen Chapman Jacobs Quinn Convers LaFalce Serrano Edwards Lantos Williams

Pickett

Pomerov

Poshard

Rahall

Rangel Reed

Rivers

Rose

Sabo

Sanders

Sawyer

Schroeder

Schumer

Scott

Sisisky

Skaggs

Spratt

Stark

Stokes

Skelton

Slaughter

Stenholm

Roemer

□ 1728

So the resolution, as amended, was agreed to.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

REQUEST THAT COMMITTEE ON **APPROPRIATIONS** BEDIS-CHARGED FROM FURTHER CON-SIDERATION OF HOUSE JOINT RESOLUTION 131, FURTHER CON-TINUING APPROPRIATIONS, FIS-CAL YEAR 1996

Mr. OBEY. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that the Committee on Appropriations be discharged from further consideration of House Joint Resolution 131, a clean continuing resolution extending the date of the existing CR to January 26, authorizing a 2.4 percent military pay raise effective January 1, and eliminating the 6-month disparity between COLA payment dates for military and civilian retirees in fiscal 1996, and ask for its immediate consideration in the House.

The SPEAKER pro tempore BARRETT of Nebraska). Under guidelines issued consistently by successive Speakers, as recorded on page 534 of the House rules manual, the Chair is constrained not to entertain the gentleman's request until it has been cleared by the bipartisan floor and committee leadership.

NOTICE OF INTENTION TO OFFER PRIVILEGED RESOLUTION PRO-VIDING DEFICIT REDUCTION AND ACHIEVE A BALANCED BUDGET BY FISCAL YEAR 2002

Mr. TAYLOR of Mississippi. Mr. Speaker, pursuant to rule IX, I rise to give notice that I will seek recognition as a question of the privileges of the House to offer a resolution in the following form. The resolution is at the desk.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Clerk will read the resolution for the gentleman from Mississippi.

The Clerk read the resolution, as follows:

H. Res. -

Whereas clause 1 of rule IX of the Rules of the House of Representatives states that "Questions of privilege shall be, first, those affecting the rights of the House collectively":

Whereas article 1, section 9, clause 7 of the Constitution states that: "No Money shall be drawn from the Treasury, but in Consequence of Appropriations made by law;

Whereas today, December 21, 1995, marks the 81st day that this Congress has been delinquent in fulfilling its statutory responsibility of enacting a budget into law; and

Whereas by failing to enact a budget into law this body has failed to fulfill one of its most basic constitutionally mandated duties, that of appropriating the necessary funds to allow the Government to operate: Now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Committee on Rules is authorized and directed to forthwith report a resolution providing for the consideration of H.R. 2530 (a bill to provide for deficit reduction and achieve a balanced budget by fiscal year 2002).

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair advises the gentleman from Mississippi that under rule IX, a resolution offered from the floor by a Member other than the majority leader or the minority leader as a question of the privileges of the House has immediate precedence only at a time or a place designated by the Speaker in the legislative schedule within 2 legislative days, its being properly noticed. That designation will be announced at a later time. In the meantime, the form of the resolution proffered by the gentleman from Mississippi will appear in the RECORD at this point.

The Chair is not at this point making a determination as to whether the resolution constitutes a question of privilege. The determination will be made at the time designated for consideration of the resolution.

Mr. TAYLOR of Mississippi. Mr. Speaker, would the Chair be kind enough to give me some indication of how much warning that I would receive as a Member as to when this would be brought before the House?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair will give adequate notice, as has always been the case.

Mr. TAYLOR of Mississippi. Could the chair give a better definition of "adequate notice"?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Not at this time.

Mr. TAYLOR of Mississippi. I thank the Chair.

REMOVAL OF NAME OF MEMBER AS COSPONSOR OF HOUSE CON-CURRENT RESOLUTION 119

Mrs. KELLY. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to have my name

removed as cosponsor of House Concurrent Resolution 119.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentlewoman from New York?

There was no objection.

LEGISLATIVE PROGRAM

(Mr. FAZIO of California asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mr. FAZIO of California. Mr. Speaker, I rise to speak to the majority leader about the schedule.

I yield to the gentleman from Texas [Mr. ARMEY].

Mr. ARMEY. Mr. Speaker, first of all let me express my appreciation for the patience of the Members over these days leading up to the holidays. I know that it has been difficult for Members and their families, but today I am more hopeful that the end is in sight.

I am pleased to announced that today there were very productive discussions between senior White House officials and Members of the House and Senate leadership. I am also pleased to announce that starting tomorrow morning budget negotiations will begin between the congressional leadership and the President on balancing the budget.

It is our hope that these negotiations will be successful and expeditious. We believe that these negotiations, if conducted seriously, could be completed very quickly, perhaps in only a few days. It is our intention to bring to the floor as quickly as possible any agreement that balances the budget in 7 years using CBO numbers. At the same time, I do not want to keep Members in town unnecessarily. I will be announcing tomorrow a more definitive schedule for the next several days, but my expectation is to have the House in recess pending word of an agreement.

Depending on how the negotiations go tomorrow morning, the recess could be only for a day or two or it could last until Wednesday. I will recommend that the Members make plane reservations for sometime after 3 tomorrow afternoon, but understand that, if negotiations are moving quickly, we may stay to complete a balanced budget. I am sorry I cannot be more specific at this time.

Mr. Speaker, if the gentleman will continue to yield, I would like to advise our Members that we have had the last vote of the evening, but we will have important work in the morning. I will be, in a moment, asking unanimous consent for a 9 a.m. time to commence work in the morning. But if that is granted, we would be dealing with House Resolution 299, a proposal for House royalty changes, possibly the ICC conference report. If we can work out all the details related to it, it may be possible tomorrow that we may be able to take up legislation that would affect D.C. government funding and AFDC.

So we still have important work for us to do tomorrow. We hope to be able to conclude it expeditiously and get Members on their way. Again, let me remind Members, we would be in under those conditions, under recess. We would continue to work, and, as soon as something of import were available, we would give Members ample notice and then bring them back as quickly as possible to reconvene the House and complete that work.

Mr. FAZIO of California. Mr. Speaker, the other day the gentleman assured us that we would have a 24-hour notice on any return during the recess, the one we had prior. Is that still the standard that we could all be able to live with so that we could come from wherever we may be with family?

Mr. ARMEY. Mr. Speaker, I appreciate the gentleman's point. Mr. Speaker, I should say that I believe, in fact, I assured 12 hours.

Mr. FAZIO of California. Mr. Speaker, 12 hours did the gentleman say?

Mr. ARMEY. Mr. Speaker, that was the position I took before. I do understand the problems of travel. I can assure that there would be definitely a 12-hour notice before we would convene business. I will try to be as considerate as I possibly can to make sure Members from the most remote locations have an opportunity to get back.

I understand how difficult it is. I

I understand how difficult it is. I would like to be, I would like to guarantee a 24-hour. I am just not sure that I could make such a guarantee and make it stick. But I think I can say with total confidence Members would have a 12-hour notice.

Mr. FAZIO of California. The problem, of course, is going to be that Members are going to be perhaps at greater than normal distance. Their staff is unlikely to be at post here. It may be more difficult for Members to get reservations during the holiday season. All of these things complicate the ability to do a short-time turnaround, and therefore I think, more than last week, we probably will need at least 24 hours for Members to be able to be here for what could be among the most important votes of this session.

Mr. ARMEY. Mr. Speaker, I think the gentleman's point is well taken. Let me just say that I will address the issue with all the generosity and advance notice that I am able to give.

Mr. FAZIO of California. Mr. Speaker, if I could ask the gentleman about the schedule that he has outlined for tomorrow. I have been told that the State of California, that I represent, has a billion and a quarter dollars in Medicaid payments that are needed for us to be able to make our commitments to all the providers and to the people who are beneficiaries of the MediCal Program in our State.

I noticed and I think there is tremendous relief on this side of the aisle that we will be dealing with the AFDC issue that just yesterday we were told was not an issue. Is there any possibility that we could deal with the Medicaid problem in terms of meeting the requirements? At least several of our