services in which Mr. Jones has a tremendous financial interest favorably by deregulating rates, among other things. The clincher is Mr. GINGRICH opposed an amendment in being soft on pornography on the cable channels. Do you know that? Mr. Jones, 40 percent of his gross is involved with the pornography channel.

The American people did not vote last November for this kind of change.

BLAME GAME IS OVER

(Mr. JONES asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mr. JONES. Mr. Speaker, the Government has not balanced its books since 1969. Since then, we have had 26 years of excuses, 26 years of Washington gimmicks, and 26 years of righteous indignation about balancing the

Well, the blame game is over.

Congress has reached the end of the excuses. Our balance budget lays aside the gimmicks and the shell games. We can no longer ignore the impact of deficit spending on our children. It would be nothing less than moral irresponsibility to look our children in the eye and say to them, that they should continue to shoulder our burdens because we do not have the guts to do what is right.

Mr. Speaker, the President has two clear choices. He can choose a balanced budget and secure a brighter future for all Americans, or he can choose a dark future for our families and our children.

MR. JONES AND THE SPEAKER

(Mr. MILLER of California asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. MILLER of California. Mr. Speaker, how many more times do the Members of this House and the public have to pick up the newspaper and see a new ethical transgression by the Speaker of the House, NEWT GINGRICH? Once again, we are treated in the Wall Street Journal to NEWT GINGRICH mixing campaign contributions, private interests, and public service. We now see that Mr. Donald Jones served as an adviser to Mr. GINGRICH 2 or 3 hours a day to interpret the telecommunications bill to him while he gave Mr. GINGRICH, his PAC gave the Republican Party \$125,000, \$25,000 to the Gingrich PAC.

It turns out that, not only did Mr. Jones have a financial interest in the outcome of the telecommunications bill, he was sitting in the Speaker's office, but also when many of us were startled when the Speaker took a position against the cyberporn portions of the telecommunications bill to protect children from pornography. We now find out that Mr. Jones had a financial interest in the telecommunications company that was dealing with pornography and adult films. Mr. Jones said

he did not know. Forty percent of his income from that company came from pornography. Mr. Speaker, clean up vour office.

THE MOST INVESTIGATED ADMINISTRATION IN HISTORY

(Mr. HOKE asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mr. HOKE. Mr. Speaker, just when we thought that the poor judgment or the ethical standards of the Clinton administration could not get any worse, along comes the revelation yesterday that Energy Secretary Hazel O'Leary paid \$43,000 in taxpayers' money to compile what amounts to an enemies list. For that, the Secretary should re-

sign immediately.

Secretary O'Leary joins a long list of other Clinton administration figures who have helped make this probably the most investigated administration in history: Deputy Attorney General Webb Hubbell, Secretary of Commerce Ron Brown, Secretary of Agriculture, Mike Espy, Secretary of HUD, Henry Cisneros, Treasury official Jean Hansen, White House lawyers William Kennedy and Bernie Nussbaum and resident egghead Ira Magaziner, the Deputy Secretary of the Treasury, Roger Altman, not to mention White Whitewater. And who can forget poor Josh Steiner, the former Treasury official who testifed that he lied to his own diary. Perhaps most important and embarrassing is the unprecedented legal defense fund for a sitting President.

Yet this same administration has the nerve to lecture us about balancing the budget.

GOVERNMENT SHUTDOWN

(Mr. GENE GREEN of Texas asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. GENE GREEN of Texas. Mr. Speaker, the Republican majority want to shut down Government because they cannot pass their bill that is loaded up like a Christmas tree. They have not passed the majority of the appropriations bills, and they are over 40 days late.

So what are we going to see? Social Security claims will not be paid. Veterans' benefit checks delayed. National parks closed.

The Republican majority has told the President and the American people, it is our way or no way. Well, the voters sent the message last Tuesday and by the polls show that by 2 to 1 they are rejecting the Republican extremism.

We support a balanced budget, but not at the expense of cutting Medicare, education funds, and student loans.

LAY ASIDE GIMMICKS

(Mrs. SEASTRAND asked and was

for 1 minute and to revise and extend her remarks.)

Mrs. SEASTRAND. Mr. Speaker, our opponents like to come to the floor and say the most outrageous things about what is going on here in Congress. Over and over we hear words such extremists, zealots, and terrorists. This from the same party who constantly demand civility in public life.

Now, many on the other side know that we have to get control of the budget. Many of them have voted for a balanced budget amendment. In their heart of hearts, they know we have to balance the budget. But, unfortunately, most of our opponents lack the basic will to say "no." They would rather go on spending more and more taxpayer dollars on inefficient programs than lose the support of a particular liberal special interest group.

Mr. Speaker, it is time to lay aside the excuses and the gimmicks and all the talk about extremist and zealots. Let us balance the budget. It is the right thing to do for the American people and the future of this great Nation. Let's just do it.

MORE ON GOVERNMENT **SHUTDOWN**

(Mr. WISE asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mr. WISE. Mr. Speaker, regrettably on Tuesday I think the unthinkable may well happen. That is, first of all, the shutdown of the Federal Government. It did not have to be this way. Nor did it have to be that the Nation's economy would needlessly be endangered by a possible default on the debt ceiling.

There ought to be two bills passed here that are called clean. One that simply extends the funding for the Federal Government for another couple of weeks while negotiations on a balanced budget take place, and the second bill, a clean bill, simply permits the Government to continue borrowing to pay back bills, not future spending.

But regrettably, because extremists in this House have insisted on loading on unacceptable provisions such as an increase in Medicare part B premiums and other provisions, these will have to be vetoed. The President will really have no choice and so we have come to this crunch.

Thousands of Federal employees in West Virginia and the eastern panhandle and across the Nation will be furloughed. Millions of citizens will be inconvenienced as Government services are shut down and, worst of all, by taking them hostage, the economy of this country can be endangered.

It does not have to be this way, Mr. Speaker, nor should it be.

THE JOURNAL

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. given permission to address the House HAYWORTH). Pursuant to clause 5 of rule I, the pending business is the question de novo of the Speaker's approval of the Journal.

The question is on the Speaker's approval of the Journal of the last day's proceedings.

The question was taken; and the Speaker pro tempore announced that the ayes appeared to have it.

Mr. LaHOOD. Mr. Speaker, I object to the vote on the ground that a quorum is not present and make the point of order that a quorum is not present.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Evidently a quorum is not present.

The Sergeant at Arms will notify absent Members.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 299, nays 84, answered "present" 1, not voting 48, as follows:

[Roll No. 782] YEAS—299

Andrews Deal Houghton DeFazio Archer Hoyer Armey DeLauro Hunter Hutchinson Bachus DeLay Dellums Baesler Hyde Baker (CA) Baker (LA) Inglis Istook Deutsch Diaz-Balart Baldacci Dicks Jackson-Lee Doggett Dooley Ballenger Johnson (CT) Johnson (SD) Barr Barrett (WI) Doolittle Johnson, Sam Bartlett Dornan Jones Kanjorski Barton Doyle Bass Dreier Kasich Beilenson Duncan Kellv Kennedy (MA) Bentsen Dunn Edwards Bereuter Kennelly Ehlers Kildee Berman Ehrlich Kim Bilbray Emerson King Bilirakis Kingston Engel Bliley English Kleczka Boehlert Eshoo Klink Knollenberg Boehner Evans Bonilla Bono Fattah LaHood Brewster Fawell Lantos Browder Brown (OH) Largent Flake Foley LaTourette Laughlin Brownback Ford Bryant (TN) Fowler Lazio Bryant (TX) Fox Leach Bunn Franks (NJ) Lewis (KY) Bunning Frelinghuysen Lightfoot Burr Frisa Lincoln Funderburk Burton Linder Callahan Gallegly Lipinski Calvert Ganske Livingston Gejdenson LoBiondo Camp Canady Gekas Lofgren Castle Geren Lowev Chabot Gilchrest Lucas Chambliss Gilman Luther Gonzalez Chapman Manton Goodlatte Chenoweth Manzullo Christensen Goodling Martini Chrysler Gordon Mascara Clayton Goss Matsui Clement Graham McCarthy Clinger Green McCollum Greenwood McCrery Coble Collins (GA) Gunderson McDade Hall (TX) Collins (IL) McHale Hamilton Collins (MI) McInnis Combest Hancock McIntosh Condit Hansen McKeon Conyers Hastert McKinney Hastings (WA) McNulty Cooley Hayes Meehan Coyne Cramer Hayworth Metcalf Crapo Herger Meyers Cremeans Hobson Mica Cubin Hoekstra Miller (FL) Cunningham Hoke Minge Holden Mink Danner Horn Hostettler Moakley Davis de la Garza Molinar

Mollohan Reed Smith (TX) Regula Smith (WA) Montgomery Moorhead Rivers Solomon Roberts Souder Moran Morella Roemer Spence Spratt Murtha Rogers Stearns Rohrabacher Myers Stokes Myrick Ros-Lehtinen Stump Nethercutt Roth Talent Neumann Roukema Tate Ney Roybal-Allard Tauzin Norwood Rovce Taylor (NC) Nussle Salmon Thomas Obey Sanders Thornberry Olver Sanford Tiahrt Ortiz Sawyer Torres Oxlev Saxton Upton Packard Scarborough Vento Pallone Schaefer Vucanovich Parker Schiff Waldholtz Walker Pastor Schumer Walsh Seastrand Paxon Ward Payne (VA) Sensenbrenner Watts (OK) Pelosi Serrano Weldon (FL) Shadegg Petri White Pomeroy Shaw Wicker Porter Shavs Wyden Portman Sisisky Wynn Pryce Skeen Young (FL) Zeliff Skelton Quinn Rahall Slaughter Ramstad Smith (MI)

NAYS-84

Smith (NJ)

Rangel

| Abercrombie | Gillmor | Payne (NJ) |
|-------------|----------------|-------------|
| Ackerman | Gutierrez | Pombo |
| Allard | Gutknecht | Poshard |
| Barcia | Hall (OH) | Rush |
| Becerra | Hastings (FL) | Sabo |
| Bishop | Hefley | Schroeder |
| Bonior | Hefner | Scott |
| Brown (CA) | Heineman | Skaggs |
| Brown (FL) | Hilleary | Stenholm |
| Clay | Hilliard | Stockman |
| Clyburn | Hinchey | Stupak |
| Coburn | Jacobs | Tanner |
| Coleman | Jefferson | Taylor (MS) |
| Costello | Johnson, E. B. | Thompson |
| Crane | Kennedy (RI) | Thurman |
| Durbin | Latham | Torkildsen |
| Ensign | Levin | Traficant |
| Everett | Lewis (GA) | Velazquez |
| Fazio | Longley | Visclosky |
| Filner | Maloney | Wamp |
| Flanagan | Markey | Waters |
| Foglietta | McDermott | Watt (NC) |
| Forbes | Meek | Whitfield |
| Franks (CT) | Menendez | Wise |
| Frost | Miller (CA) | Wolf |
| Furse | Neal | Woolsey |
| Gephardt | Oberstar | Yates |
| Gibbons | Orton | Zimmer |

ANSWERED "PRESENT"—1

NOT VOTING 49

| NOT VOTING—40 | | | |
|---------------|---------------|-------------|--|
| Barrett (NE) | Kaptur | Rose | |
| Bateman | Klug | Shuster | |
| Blute | LaFalce | Stark | |
| Borski | Lewis (CA) | Studds | |
| Boucher | Martinez | Tejeda | |
| Buyer | McHugh | Thornton | |
| Cardin | Mfume | Torricelli | |
| Cox | Nadler | Towns | |
| Dickey | Owens | Tucker | |
| Dingell | Peterson (FL) | Volkmer | |
| Dixon | Peterson (MN) | Waxman | |
| Farr | Pickett | Weldon (PA) | |
| Fields (LA) | Quillen | Weller | |
| Fields (TX) | Radanovich | Williams | |
| Frank (MA) | Richardson | Wilson | |
| Johnston | Riggs | Young (AK) | |
| | | | |

□ 0952

Mr. WAMP changed his vote from "yea" to "nay."

So the journal was approved.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

LEGISLATIVE PROGRAM

(Mr. FAZIO of California asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. FAZIO of California. Mr. Speaker, I would be more than happy to yield to my colleague, the gentleman from California [Mr. DREIER], if he could indicate to our colleagues what we are about to engage in today. Members are seeking leadership.

Mr. DREIER. If the gentleman will yield, I am happy to inform my friend that we are about to embark on debate on the rule for the continuing resolution, and we hope that we can move quickly through that, have a vote, and then proceed with the continuing resolution. Then we will proceed with the rule on the debt-ceiling increase, move through that quickly, and then have a vote

Mr. FAZIO of California. Does the gentleman assume these efforts will be to send directly to the President the product of the Senate, or are we going to conference on these matters?

Mr. DREIER. I am not in a position to answer that, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. FAZIO of California. Is there anyone on the gentleman's side who could tell us? We just simply, for purposes of Members' schedules, wanted to know whether we were going to try to send to the President the product of today's efforts, or whether we are simply going back to conference on these matters.

Mr. DREIER. To whom would my friend like to yield?

Mr. FAZIO of California. I would be more than happy to yield.

Mr. DREIER. To whom would he like to yield?

Mr. FAZIO of California. I would be more than happy to yield to anyone who could tell us.

The gentleman from Oklahoma [Mr. ISTOOK] perhaps?

Mr. DREIER. I do not know if he is on the floor. That was the schedule we have right now. I know there are Members anxious to get home for this very important local day. If we could proceed with consideration of this rule, we will get started.

Mr. FAZIO of California. We will be more than happy to proceed.

Mr. DREIER. If the gentleman will yield further, I have just been informed here that we are going to be doing the rules back to back, and then we will take up the continuing resolution on the debt ceiling, following consideration of both.

Mr. FAZIO of California. Would it be possible for the leadership to inform us after the two rules are dealt with as to what the intention of the majority is?

Mr. DREIER. We will look forward to the gentleman's inquiry at that time.

Mr. FAZIO of California. We look forward to the gentleman's response.