

than giving money to organizations that act as cheerleaders.

I was in Beijing, Mr. Speaker, when First Lady Hillary Rodham Clinton gave an excellent speech on forced abortion. Unfortunately, she did not mention China, but everybody knew about whom she was talking.

We need to see the words matched with deeds. Unfortunately, rhetoric and condemnations are not enough. This kind of language, similar to what we had in effect during the Reagan and Bush years, will send a clear, unmistakable message that coercion has no place in family planning programs.

The other program or policy is the Mexico City policy, which simply seeks to erect a wall of separation between abortion and family planning. Again, the other side has suggested this is antifamily planning. Not true.

In effect since 1984, unfortunately repealed by Mr. Clinton, this program and policy sent money to groups, including International Planned Parenthood Federation affiliates who would sign on the dotted line that they would not promote abortion as a method of family planning.

If we are serious that these children who are killed by abortion have worth and are priceless and have value, it seems to me that we should be giving money only to those organizations that are truly committed to family planning and not those that have an agenda of promoting abortion globally as well as in this country.

Mr. Speaker, let me say finally, the gentleman from Alabama [Mr. CALLAHAN] has done an excellent job in crafting, as chairman of this subcommittee, language that is a compromise. We have given in on some points. The language before us, I think, should pass muster in the Senate, and we hope that the President—maybe not the first time, but sometime in the near future—will sign this into law, because it is right. Children have value.

Family planning is not reduced by a dime. By this language, it is conditioned only to those that promote family planning and not those that promote abortion.

Mr. CALLAHAN. Mr. Speaker, will the gentleman yield?

Mr. SMITH of New Jersey. I yield to the gentleman from Alabama.

Mr. CALLAHAN. Mr. Speaker, I urge the House to vote for this amendment, and would announce on behalf of the leadership that this will be the last vote of the evening.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. COMBEST). Pursuant to the rule, the previous question is ordered.

The question is on the motion offered by the gentleman from Alabama [Mr. CALLAHAN].

The question was taken; and the Speaker pro tempore announced that the ayes appeared to have it.

RECORDED VOTE

Mr. SMITH of New Jersey. Mr. Speaker, I demand a recorded vote.

A recorded vote was ordered.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—ayes 232, noes 187, not voting 13, as follows:

[Roll No. 753]

AYES—232

Allard	Gallegly	Neumann
Archer	Ganske	Ney
Arney	Geren	Norwood
Bachus	Gillmor	Nussle
Baker (CA)	Goodlatte	Oberstar
Baker (LA)	Goodling	Ortiz
Ballenger	Goss	Orton
Barcia	Graham	Oxley
Barr	Gunderson	Packard
Barrett (NE)	Gutknecht	Parker
Bartlett	Hall (OH)	Paxon
Barton	Hall (TX)	Peterson (MN)
Bateman	Hancock	Petri
Bereuter	Hansen	Pombo
Bevill	Hastert	Portman
Bilirakis	Hastings (WA)	Poshard
Bliley	Hayes	Quillen
Blute	Hayworth	Quinn
Boehner	Hefley	Radanovich
Bonilla	Heineman	Rahall
Bonior	Herger	Regula
Bono	Hillery	Riggs
Brewster	Hoekstra	Roberts
Browder	Hoke	Roemer
Brownback	Holden	Rogers
Bryant (TN)	Hostettler	Rohrabacher
Bunn	Hunter	Roth
Bunning	Hutchinson	Royce
Burr	Hyde	Salmon
Burton	Inglis	Sanford
Buyer	Istook	Saxton
Callahan	Jacobs	Scarborough
Calvert	Johnson, Sam	Schaefer
Camp	Jones	Schaefer
Canady	Kanjorski	Seastrand
Chabot	Kasich	Sensenbrenner
Chambliss	Kildee	Shadegg
Chenoweth	Kim	Shaw
Christensen	King	Shuster
Chrysler	Kingston	Skeen
Clinger	Klecza	Skelton
Coble	Klink	Smith (MI)
Coburn	Knollenberg	Smith (NJ)
Collins (GA)	LaFalce	Smith (TX)
Combest	LaHood	Smith (WA)
Cooley	Largent	Solomon
Costello	Latham	Souder
Cox	LaTourette	Spence
Crane	Laughlin	Stearns
Crapo	Lewis (CA)	Stenholm
Creameans	Lewis (KY)	Stockman
Cubin	Lightfoot	Stump
Cunningham	Linder	Stupak
Danner	Lipinski	Talent
de la Garza	Livingston	Tanner
Deal	LoBiondo	Tate
DeLay	Longley	Tauzin
Diaz-Balart	Lucas	Taylor (MS)
Dickey	Manton	Taylor (NC)
Doolittle	Manzullo	Tejeda
Dornan	Mascara	Thornberry
Doyle	McColum	Tiahrt
Dreier	McCrery	Volkmer
Duncan	McDade	Vucanovich
Ehlers	McHugh	Waldholtz
Emerson	McInnis	Walker
English	McIntosh	Walsh
Ensign	McKeon	Wamp
Everett	McNulty	Watts (OK)
Ewing	Metcalf	Weldon (FL)
Fields (TX)	Mica	Weller
Flanagan	Miller (FL)	Whitfield
Foley	Molinari	Wicker
Forbes	Montgomery	Wolf
Fowler	Moorhead	Young (AK)
Fox	Myers	Young (FL)
Frisa	Myrick	Zeliff
Funderburk	Nethercutt	

NOES—187

Abercrombie	Bishop	Clement
Ackerman	Boehlert	Clyburn
Andrews	Boucher	Collins (IL)
Baesler	Brown (CA)	Collins (MI)
Baldacci	Brown (FL)	Condit
Barrett (WI)	Brown (OH)	Conyers
Bass	Bryant (TX)	Coyne
Becerra	Cardin	Cramer
Beilenson	Castle	DeFazio
Bentsen	Chapman	DeLauro
Berman	Clay	Dellums
Bilbray	Clayton	Deutsch

Dicks	Kaptur	Reed
Dingell	Kelly	Richardson
Dixon	Kennedy (MA)	Rivers
Doggett	Kennedy (RI)	Rose
Dooley	Kennelly	Roukema
Dunn	Klug	Royal-Allard
Durbin	Kolbe	Rush
Edwards	Lantos	Sabo
Ehrlich	Lazio	Sanders
Engel	Leach	Sawyer
Eshoo	Levin	Schiff
Evans	Lewis (GA)	Schroeder
Farr	Lincoln	Schumer
Fattah	Lofgren	Scott
Fawell	Lowey	Serrano
Fazio	Luther	Shays
Filner	Maloney	Shisisky
Flake	Markey	Skaggs
Foglietta	Martinez	Slaughter
Ford	Martini	Spratt
Frank (MA)	Matsui	Stark
Franks (CT)	McCarthy	Stokes
Franks (NJ)	McDermott	Studds
Frelinghuysen	McHale	Thomas
Frost	McKinney	Thompson
Furse	Meehan	Thornton
Gejdenson	Meek	Thurman
Gibbons	Menendez	Torkildsen
Gilchrest	Meyers	Torres
Gilman	Mfume	Torricelli
Gonzalez	Miller (CA)	Towns
Gordon	Minge	Traficant
Green	Mink	Upton
Greenwood	Moran	Velazquez
Gutierrez	Morella	Vento
Hamilton	Nadler	Visclosky
Harman	Neal	Ward
Hastings (FL)	Obey	Waters
Hefner	Olver	Watt (NC)
Hilliard	Owens	Waxman
Hinchee	Pallone	White
Hobson	Pastor	Williams
Horn	Payne (NJ)	Wilson
Houghton	Payne (VA)	Wise
Hoyer	Pelosi	Woolsey
Jackson-Lee	Peterson (FL)	Wyden
Jefferson	Pickett	Wynn
Johnson (CT)	Porter	Yates
Johnson (SD)	Pryce	Zimmer
Johnson, E. B.	Ramstad	
Johnston	Rangel	

NOT VOTING—13

Borski	Gephardt	Ros-Lehtinen
Coleman	Moakley	Tucker
Davis	Mollohan	Weldon (PA)
Fields (LA)	Murtha	
Gekas	Pomero	

□ 1818

So the motion was agreed to.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

CONFERENCE REPORT ON H.R. 1977, DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR AND RELATED AGENCIES APPROPRIATIONS ACT, 1996

Mr. REGULA submitted the following conference report and statement on the bill (H.R. 1977) making appropriations for the Department of the Interior and related agencies for the fiscal year ending September 30, 1996, and for other purposes:

CONFERENCE REPORT (H. REPT. 104-300)

The committee of conference on the disagreeing votes of the two Houses on the amendments of the Senate to the bill (H.R. 1977) "making appropriations for the Department of the Interior and related agencies, for the fiscal year ending September 30, 1996, and for other purposes," having met, after full and free conference, have agreed to recommend and do recommend to their respective Houses as follows:

That the Senate recede from its amendments numbered 4, 21, 24, 26, 40, 54, 57, 67, 77,

83, 85, 94, 99, 100, 105, 107, 111, 117, 118, 123, 136, 138, 147, 148, 155, 163, 166, 169, 171, 172, and 173.

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendments of the Senate numbered 10, 11, 13, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 28, 31, 32, 34, 36, 38, 45, 46, 48, 50, 51, 52, 56, 59, 61, 62, 66, 71, 72, 73, 74, 75, 76, 78, 80, 81, 82, 86, 87, 88, 93, 96, 97, 102, 103, 106, 109, 113, 121, 124, 126, 127, 128, 129, 130, 131, 133, 134, 137, 139, 140, 141, 142, 143, 144, 145, 149, 150, 157, 159, 160, 161, 162, and agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 1:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 1, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the matter stricken and inserted by said amendment insert the following: , *and assessment of mineral potential of public lands pursuant to P.L. 96-487 (16 U.S.C. 3150 (a)), \$568,062,000*; and the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 2:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 2, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

Restore the matter stricken by said amendment, amended as follows: After the first comma in said amendment insert: *of which \$2,000,000 shall be available for assessment of the mineral potential of public lands in Alaska pursuant to section 1010 of P.L. 96-487 (16 U.S.C. 3150), and*; and the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 3:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 3, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the sum proposed by said amendment insert: *\$568,062,000*; and the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 5:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 5, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the sum proposed by said amendment insert: *\$3,115,000*; and the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 6:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 6, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the sum proposed by said amendment insert: *\$101,500,000*; and the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 7:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 7, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the sum proposed by said amendment insert: *\$12,800,000*; and the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 8:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 8, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the sum proposed by said amendment insert: *\$93,379,000*; and the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 9:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 9, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the matter stricken and inserted by said amendment insert the following: *\$497,943,000, to remain available for obligation until September 30, 1997.*; and the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 12:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 12, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the sum proposed by said amendment insert: *\$37,655,000*; and the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 14:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 14, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the sum proposed by said amendment insert: *\$36,900,000*; and the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 22:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 22, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the matter proposed by said amendment insert: *: Provided further, That the Director of the Fish and Wildlife Service may charge reasonable fees for expenses to the Federal Government for providing training by the National Education and Training Center: Provided further, That all training fees collected shall be available to the Director, until expended, without further appropriation, to be used for the costs of training and education provided by the National Education and Training Center;* and the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 23:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 23, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

Retain the matter proposed by said amendment amended as follows: Following "Public Law 88-567," insert: *if for any reason the Secretary disapproves for use in 1996 or does not finally approve for use in 1996 any pesticide or chemical which was approved for use in 1995 or had been requested for use in 1996 by the submission of a pesticide use proposal as of September 19, 1995.*

And the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 25:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 25, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the sum proposed by said amendment insert: *\$1,083,151,000*; and the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 27:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 27, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the sum proposed by said amendment insert: *\$37,649,000*; and the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 29:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 29, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the sum proposed by said amendment insert: *\$36,212,000*; and the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 30:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 30, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the sum proposed by said amendment insert: *\$143,225,000*; and the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 31:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 31, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the sum stricken and inserted by said amendment insert the following: *\$4,500,000 of the funds provided herein;* and the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 33:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 33, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the sum proposed by said amendment insert: *\$49,100,000*; and the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 35:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 35, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the matter proposed by said amendment insert: *: Provided, That any funds made available for the purpose of acquisition of the Elwha and Glines dams shall be used solely for acquisition, and shall not be expended until the full purchase amount has been appropriated by the Congress;* and the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 37:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 37, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the matter proposed by said amendment insert: *None of the funds in this Act may be spent by the National Park Service for activities taken in direct response to the United Nations Biodiversity Convention.*

And the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 39:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 39, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the matter proposed by said amendment insert: *The National Park Service shall, within existing funds, conduct a Feasibility Study for a northern access route into Denali National Park and Preserve in Alaska, to be completed within one year of the enactment of this Act and submitted to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations and to the Senate Committee on Energy and (Natural Resources and the House Committee on Resources. The Feasibility Study shall ensure that resource impacts from any plan to create such access route are evaluated with accurate information and according to a process that takes into consideration park values, visitor needs, a full range of alternatives, the viewpoints of all interested parties, including the tourism industry and the State of Alaska, and potential needs for compliance with the National Environmental Policy Act. The Study shall also address the time required for development of alternatives and identify all associated costs.*

*This Feasibility Study shall be conducted solely by the National Park Service planning personnel permanently assigned to National Park Service offices located in the State of Alaska in consultation with the State of Alaska Department of Transportation.*

And the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 41:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 41, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the matter proposed by said amendment insert the following: *and to conduct inquiries into the economic conditions affecting mining and materials processing industries (30 U.S.C. 3, 21a, and 1603; 50 U.S.C. 98g (1) and related purposes as authorized by law and to publish and disseminate data; \$73,503,000*; and the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 42:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 42, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

Restore the matter stricken by said amendment amended to read as follows: *and of which \$137,000,000 for resource research and the operations of Cooperative Research Units shall remain available until September 30, 1997, and of which \$16,000,000 shall remain available until expended for conducting inquiries into the*

economic conditions affecting mining and materials processing industries; and the Senate agree to the same.

Amended numbered 43:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 43, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

Restore the matter stricken by said amendment amended to read as follows:

*: Provided further, That funds available here- in for resource research may be used for the purchase of not to exceed 61 passenger motor ve- hicles, of which 55 are for replacement only: Provided further, That none of the funds avail- able under this head for resource research shall be used to conduct new surveys on private prop- erty, including new aerial surveys for the des- igation of habitat under the Endangered Spe- cies Act, except when it is made known to the Federal official having authority to obligate or expend such funds that the survey or research has been requested and authorized in writing by the property owner or the owner's authorized representative: Provided further, That none of the funds provided herein for resource research may be used to administer a volunteer program when it is made known the Federal official hav- ing authority to obligate or it is made known to the Federal official having authority to obligate or expend such funds that the volunteers are not properly trained or that information gath- ered by the volunteers is not carefully verified: Provided further, That no later than April 1, 1996, the Director of the United States Geologi- cal Survey shall issue agency guidelines for re- source research that ensure that scientific and technical peer review is utilized as fully as pos- sible in selection of projects for funding and en- sure the validity and reliability of research and data collection on Federal lands: Provided fur- ther, That no funds available for resource re- search may be used for any activity that was not authorized prior to the establishment of the National Biological Survey: Provided further, That once every five years the National Acad- emy of Sciences shall review and report on the resource research activities of the Survey: Pro- vided further, That if specific authorizing legis- lation is enacted during or before the start of fiscal year 1996, the resource research compo- nent of the Survey should comply with the pro- visions of that legislation: Provided further, That unobligated and unexpended balances in the National Biological Survey, Research, in- ventories and surveys account at the end of fis- cal year 1995, shall be merged with and made a part of the United States Geological Survey, Surveys, investigations, and research account and shall remain available for obligation until September 30, 1996: Provided further, That the authority granted to the United States Bureau of Mines to conduct mineral surveys and to de- termine mineral values by section 603 of Public Law 94-579 is hereby transferred to, and vested in, the Director of the United States Geological Survey; and the Senate agree to the same.*

Amendment numbered 44:

That the House recede from its disagree- ment to the amendment of the Senate num- bered 44, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the sum proposed by said amend- ment insert: *\$182,994,000*; and the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment Numbered 47:

That the House recede from its disagree- ment to the amendment of the Senate num- bered 47, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the matter stricken and inserted by said amendment insert the following:

*For expenses necessary for, and incidental to, the closure of the United States Bureau of Mines, \$64,000,000 to remain available until ex- pended, of which not to exceed \$5,000,000 may be used for the completion and/or transfer of cer- tain ongoing projects within the United States*

*Bureau of Mines, such projects to be identified by the Secretary of the Interior within 90 days of enactment of this Act: Provided, That there hereby are transferred to, and vested in, the Secretary of Energy: (1) the functions pertain- ing to the promotion of health and safety in mines and the mineral industry through re- search vested by law in the Secretary of the In- terior or the United States Bureau of Mines and performed in fiscal year 1995 by the United States Bureau of Mines at its Pittsburgh Re- search Center in Pennsylvania, and at its Spo- kane Research Center in Washington; (2) the functions pertaining to the conduct of inquiries, technological investigations and research con- cerning the extraction, processing, use and dis- posal of mineral substances vested by law in the Secretary of the Interior or the United States Bureau of Mines and performed in fiscal year 1995 by the United States Bureau of Mines under the minerals and materials science pro- grams at its Pittsburgh Research Center in Pennsylvania, and at its Albany Research Cen- ter in Oregon; and (3) the functions pertaining to mineral reclamation industries and the devel- opment of methods for the disposal, control, pre- vention, and reclamation of mineral waste prod- ucts vested by law in the Secretary of the In- terior or the United States Bureau of Mines and performed in fiscal year 1995 by the United States Bureau of Mines at its Pittsburgh Re- search Center in Pennsylvania: Provided fur- ther, That, if any of the same functions were performed in fiscal year 1995 at locations other than those listed above, such functions shall not be transferred to the Secretary of Energy from those other locations: Provided further, That the Director of the Office of Management and Budget, in consultation with the Secretary of Energy and the Secretary of the Interior, is au- thorized to make such determinations as may be necessary with regard to the transfer of func- tions which relate to or are used by the Depart- ment of the Interior, or component thereof af- fected by this transfer of functions, and to make such dispositions of personnel, facilities, assets, liabilities, contracts, property, records, and un- expended balances of appropriations, authoriza- tions, allocations, and other funds held, used, arising from, available to or to be made avail- able in connection with, the functions trans- ferred herein as are deemed necessary to accom- plish the purposes of this transfer: Provided fur- ther, That all reductions in personnel com- plements resulting from the provisions of this Act shall, as to the functions transferred to the Secretary of Energy, be done by the Secretary of the Interior as though these transfers had not taken place but had been required of the De- partment of the Interior by all other provisions of this Act before the transfers of function be- came effective: Provided further, That the trans- fers of function to the Secretary of Energy shall become effective on the date specified by the Di- rector of the Office of Management and Budget, but in no event later than 90 days after enact- ment into law of this Act: Provided further, That the reference to "function" includes, but is not limited to, any duty, obligation, power, authority, responsibility, right, privilege, and activity, or the plural thereof, as the case may be; and the Senate agree to the same.*

Amendment numbered 49:

That the House recede from its disagree- ment to the amendment of the Senate num- bered 49, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the sum proposed by said amend- ment insert: *\$173,887,000*; and the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 53:

The the House recede from its disagree- ment to the amendment of the Senate num- bered 53, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the matter stricken and inserted by said amendment insert the following: *\$1,359,434,000*; and the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 55:

That the House recede from its disagree- ment to the amendment of the Senate num- bered 55, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the matter stricken and inserted by said amendment insert the following: *\$100,255,000 shall be for welfare assistance grants and not to exceed \$104,626,000*; and the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 58:

That the House recede from its disagree- ment to the amendment of the Senate num- bered 58, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the sum proposed by said amend- ment insert: *\$68,209,000*; and the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 60:

That the House recede from its disagree- ment to the amendment of the Senate num- bered 60, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the sum proposed by said amend- ment insert: *\$71,854,000*; and the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 63:

That the House recede from its disagree- ment to the amendment of the Senate num- bered 63, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

Retain the matter proposed by said amend- ment amended as follows: Before "*: Provided further*" in said amendment, insert: *, to be- come effective on July 1, 1997*; and the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 64:

That the House recede from its disagree- ment to the amendment of the Senate num- bered 64, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the sum proposed by said amend- ment insert: *\$100,833,000*; and the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 65:

That the House recede from its disagree- ment to the amendment of the Senate num- bered 65, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the sum proposed by said amend- ment insert: *\$80,645,000*; and the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 68:

That the House recede from its disagree- ment to the amendment of the Senate num- bered 68, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

Retain the matter proposed by said amend- ment amended as follows:

In lieu of the sum named in said amend- ment insert: *\$500,000*; and the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 69:

That the House recede from its disagree- ment to the amendment of the Senate num- bered 69, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

Retain the matter proposed by said amend- ment, amended as follows:

In lieu of the first sum named in said amendment insert: *\$4,500,000*.

In lieu of the second sum named in said amendment insert: *\$35,914,000*.

In lieu of the third sum named in said amendment insert: *\$500,000*; and the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 70:

That the House recede from its disagree- ment to the amendment of the Senate num- bered 70, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the matter stricken and inserted by said amendment insert the following: *\$65,188,000, of which (1) \$61,661,000 shall be available until expended for technical assist- ance, including maintenance assistance, disas- ter assistance, insular management controls,*

and brown tree snake control and research; and the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 79:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 79, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

Retain the matter proposed by said amendment amended as follows:

In lieu of "October 1, 1995" named in said amendment insert: *March 1, 1996*; and the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 84:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 84, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

Restore the matter stricken by said amendment, amended to read as follows:

*SEC. 108. Prior to the transfer of Presidio properties to the Presidio Trust, when authorized, the Secretary may not obligate in any calendar month more than 1/2 of the fiscal year 1996 appropriation for operation of the Presidio: Provided, That this section shall expire on December 31, 1995.*

And the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 89:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 89, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the matter proposed by said amendment insert:

*SEC. 118. Section 4(b) of Public Law 94-241 (90 Stat. 263) as added by section 10 of Public Law 99-396 is amended by deleting "until Congress otherwise provides by law." and inserting in lieu thereof: "except that, for fiscal years 1996 through 2002, payments to the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands pursuant to the multi-year funding agreements contemplated under the Covenant shall be \$11,000,000 annually, subject to an equal local match and all other requirements set forth in the Agreement of the Special Representatives on Future Federal Financial Assistance of the Northern Mariana Islands, executed on December 17, 1992 between the special representative of the President of the United States and special representatives of the Governor of the Northern Mariana Islands with any additional amounts otherwise made available under this section in any fiscal year and not required to meet the schedule of payments in this subsection to be provided as set forth in subsection (c) until Congress otherwise provides by law.*

*"(c) The additional amounts referred to in subsection (b) shall be made available to the Secretary for obligation as follows:*

*"(1) for fiscal years 1996 through 2001, \$4,580,000 annually for capital infrastructure projects as Impact Aid for Guam under section 104(c)(6) of Public Law 99-239;*

*"(2) for fiscal year 1996, \$7,700,000 shall be provided for capital infrastructure projects in American Samoa; \$4,420,000 for resettlement of Rongelap Atoll; and*

*"(3) for fiscal years 1997 and thereafter, all such amounts shall be available solely for capital infrastructure projects in Guam, the Virgin Islands, American Samoa, the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands, the Republic of Palau, the Federated States of Micronesia and the Republic of the Marshall Islands: Provided, That, in fiscal year 1997, \$3,000,000 of such amounts shall be made available to the College of the Northern Marianas and beginning in fiscal year 1997, and in each year thereafter, not to exceed \$3,000,000 may be allocated, as provided in appropriations Acts, to the Secretary of the Interior for use by Federal agencies or the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands to address immigration, labor, and law enforcement issues in the Northern Mariana Islands. The specific projects to be funded in American Samoa shall be set forth in a five-year plan for infrastructure assistance developed by the Secretary of the Interior in consultation*

*with the American Samoa Government and updated annually and submitted to the Congress concurrent with the budget justifications for the Department of the Interior. In developing budget recommendations for capital infrastructure funding, the Secretary shall indicate the highest priority projects, consider the extent to which particular projects are part of an overall master plan, whether such project has been reviewed by the Corps of Engineers and any recommendations made as a result of such review, the extent to which a set-aside for maintenance would enhance the life of the project, the degree to which a local cost-share requirement would be consistent with local economic and fiscal capabilities, and may propose an incremental set-aside, not to exceed \$2,000,000 per year, to remain available without fiscal year limitation, as an emergency fund in the event of natural or other disasters to supplement other assistance in the repair, replacement, or hardening of essential facilities: Provided further, That the cumulative amount set aside for such emergency fund may not exceed \$10,000,000 at any time.*

*"(d) Within the amounts allocated for infrastructure pursuant to this section, and subject to the specific allocations made in subsection (c), additional contributions may be made, as set forth in appropriations Acts, to assist in the resettlement of Rongelap Atoll: Provided, That the total of all contributions from any Federal source after enactment of this Act may not exceed \$32,000,000 and shall be contingent upon an agreement, satisfactory to the President, that such contributions are a full and final settlement of all obligations of the United States to assist in the resettlement of Rongelap Atoll and that such funds will be expended solely on resettlement activities and will be properly audited and accounted for. In order to provide such contributions in a timely manner, each Federal agency providing assistance or services, or conducting activities, in the Republic of the Marshall Islands, is authorized to make funds available through the Secretary of the Interior, to assist in the resettlement of Rongelap. Nothing in this subsection shall be construed to limit the provision of ex gratia assistance pursuant to section 105(c)(2) of the Compact of Free Association Act of 1985 (Public Law 99-239, 99 Stat. 1770, 1792) including for individuals choosing not to resettle at Rongelap, except that no such assistance for such individuals may be provided until the Secretary notifies the Congress that the full amount of all funds necessary for resettlement at Rongelap has been provided."*

And the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 90:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 90, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the sum proposed by said amendment insert: *\$178,000,000*; and the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 91:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 91, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the matter stricken and inserted by said amendment insert the following: *\$136,794,000, to remain available until expended, as authorized by law*; and the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 92:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 92, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the sum proposed by said amendment insert: *\$1,256,253,000*; and the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 95:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 95, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the sum proposed by said amendment insert: *\$163,500,000*; and the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 98:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 98, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the sum proposed by said amendment insert: *\$41,200,000*; and the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 101:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 101, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

Retain the matter proposed by said amendment amended as follows: Following "Forest Service," in said amendment insert: *other than the relocation of the Regional Office for Region 5 of the Forest Service from San Francisco to excess military property at Mare Island, Vallejo, California*; and the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 104:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 104, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the matter proposed by said amendment insert: *Any funds available to the Forest Service may be used for retrofitting Mare Island facilities to accommodate the relocation: Provided, That funds for the move must come from funds otherwise available to Region 5: Provided further, That any funds to be provided for such purposes shall only be available upon approval of the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations.*

And the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 108:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 108, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the matter proposed by said amendment insert:

*Notwithstanding any other provision of law, for the duration of fiscal year 1996 none of the funds provided in this or any other appropriations Act may be used in the Tongass National Forest except to implement the Preferred Alternative P in the Tongass Land and Resource Management Plan and Final Environmental Impact Statement (dated October 1992) as selected in the Record of Decision Review Draft #3-2/93 (hereinafter referred to as "Alternative P") which shall be deemed sufficient to satisfy all requirements of applicable law: Provided, That the Forest Service may amend the plan during fiscal year 1996 only to the extent necessary to accommodate commercial tourism if an agreement is signed between the Forest Service and the Alaska Visitors' Association: Provided further, That the Secretary shall continue the current Tongass land management planning process, and may replace or modify Alternative P with the selected alternative of a revised Tongass Land Management Plan ("TLMP") which shall, to the maximum extent practicable, contain at least the number of acres of suitable, available timber lands and suitable scheduled timber lands identified in Alternative P: Provided further, That if the Forest Service fails to complete work on a revised TLMP during fiscal year 1996, Alternative P shall remain in effect until such time as a revised plan is completed in accordance with this section and is in effect: Provided further, That hereinafter, notwithstanding any other provision of law, any timber sale or offering that was prepared for acceptance, or was awarded to a purchaser after December 31, 1988, which has been the subject of an Environmental Impact Statement under the National Environmental Policy Act ("NEPA") and a review under section 810 of the Alaska*

National Interest Lands Conservation Act ("ANILCA"), and was subsequently offered or awarded to a different timber purchaser or offeree shall not be subject to additional analysis under NEPA or ANILCA through any action of the Federal Government or by order of any court of law if the Forest Service determines in a Supplemental Evaluation that no such analysis is necessary: Provided further, That section 502 of P.L. 104-19 shall be deemed permanent law.

And the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 110:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 110, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the sum stricken and inserted by said amendment insert: *and for promoting health and safety in mines and the mineral industry through research (30 U.S.C. 3, 861(b), and 951(a)), for conducting inquiries, technological investigations and research concerning the extraction, processing, use, and disposal of mineral substances without objectionable social and environmental costs (30 U.S.C. 3, 1602, and 1603), and for the development of methods for the disposal, control, prevention, and reclamation of waste products in the mining, minerals, metal, and mineral reclamation industries (30 U.S.C. 3 and 21a), \$417,169,000; and the Senate agree to the same.*

Amendment numbered 112:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 112, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the sum proposed by said amendment insert: *\$148,786,000; and the Senate agree to the same.*

Amendment numbered 114:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 114, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the sum proposed by said amendment insert: *\$553,293,000; and the Senate agree to the same.*

Amendment numbered 115:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 115, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the sum proposed by said amendment insert: *\$140,696,000; and the Senate agree to the same.*

Amendment numbered 116:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 116, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the sum proposed by said amendment insert: *\$114,196,000; and the Senate agree to the same.*

Amendment numbered 119:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 119, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the sum proposed by said amendment insert: *\$72,266,000; and the Senate agree to the same.*

Amendment numbered 120:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 120, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the sum proposed by said amendment insert: *\$1,722,842,000; and the Senate agree to the same.*

Amendment numbered 122:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 122, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the sum proposed by said amendment insert: *\$238,958,000; and the Senate agree to the same.*

Amendment numbered 125:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 125, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the sum proposed by said amendment insert: *\$308,188,000; and the Senate agree to the same.*

Amendment numbered 132:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 132, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the sum proposed by said amendment insert: *\$6,442,000; and the Senate agree to the same.*

Amendment numbered 135:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 135, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the sum proposed by said amendment insert: *\$5,840,000; and the Senate agree to the same.*

Amendment numbered 146:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 146, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the matter proposed by said amendment insert:

#### PUBLIC DEVELOPMENT

*Funds made available under this heading in prior years shall be available for operating and administrative expenses and for the orderly closure of the Corporation, as well as operating and administrative expenses for the functions transferred to the General Services Administration.*

And the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 151:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 151, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

Restore the matter stricken by said amendment, amended as follows:

In lieu of Subsection (g) insert the following:

*(g) Section 3(b) of the Pennsylvania Avenue Development Corporation Act of 1972 (40 U.S.C. 872(b)) is amended as follows:*

*"(b) The Corporation shall be dissolved on or before April 1, 1996. Upon dissolution, assets, obligations, indebtedness, and all unobligated and unexpended balances of the Corporation shall be transferred in accordance with the Department of the Interior and Related Agencies Appropriations Act, 1996."*

And the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 152:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 152, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the matter stricken and inserted by said amendment insert the following:

*SEC. 314. (a) Except as provided in subsection (b), no part of any appropriation contained in this Act or any other Act shall be obligated or expended for the operation or implementation of the Interior Columbia Basin Ecosystem Management Project (hereinafter "Project").*

*(b)(1) From the funds appropriated to the Forest Service and Bureau of Land Management: a sum of \$4,000,000 is made available for the Executive Steering Committee of the Project to publish, and submit to the Committees on Agriculture, Nutrition, and Forestry, Appropriations, and Energy and Natural Resources of the Senate and Committees on Agriculture, Appropriations, and Resources of the House of Representatives, by April 30, 1996, an assessment on the National Forest System lands and lands administered by the Bureau of Land Management (hereinafter "Federal lands") within the area encompassed by the Project. The assessment shall be accompanied by draft Environmental*

*Impact Statements that are not decisional and not subject to judicial review, contain a range of alternatives, without the identification of a preferred alternative or management recommendations, and provide a methodology for conducting any cumulative effects analysis required by section 102(2)(C) of the National Environmental Policy Act (42 U.S.C. 4332(2)) in the preparation of each amendment to a resource management plan pursuant to subsection (c)(2). The Executive Steering Committee shall release the required draft Environmental Impact Statements for a ninety day public comment period. A summary of the public comments received must accompany these documents upon its submission to Congress.*

*(2) The assessment required by paragraph (1) shall contain the scientific information collected and analysis undertaken by the Project on landscape dynamics and forest and rangeland health conditions and the implications of such dynamics and conditions for forest and rangeland management, specifically the management of forest and rangeland vegetation structure, composition, density and related social and economic effects.*

*(3) The assessment and draft Environmental Impact Statements required by paragraph (1) shall not: contain any material other than that required in paragraphs (1) and (2); be the subject of consultation or conferencing pursuant to section 7 of the Endangered Species Act of 1973 (16 U.S.C. 1536); or be accompanied by any record of decision or documentation pursuant to section 102(2) of the National Environmental Policy Act, except as specified in paragraph (1).*

*(c)(1) From the funds appropriated to the Forest Service and the Bureau of Land Management, each Forest Supervisor of the Forest Service and District Manager of the Bureau of Land Management with responsibility for a national forest or unit of land administered by the Bureau of Land Management (hereinafter "forest") within the area encompassed by the Project shall—*

*(A) review the resource management plan (hereinafter "plan") for such forest, the scientific information and analysis in the report prepared pursuant to subsection (b) which are applicable to such plan, and any policy which is applicable to such plan upon the date of enactment of this section (whether or not such policy has been added to such plan by amendment), including any which is, or is intended to be, of limited duration, and which the Project addresses; and*

*(B) based on such review, develop a modification of such policy, or an alternative policy which serves the basic purpose of such policy, to meet the specific conditions of such forest.*

*(2) For each plan reviewed pursuant to paragraph (1), the Forest Supervisor or District Manager concerned shall prepare and adopt an amendment which: contains the modified or alternative policy developed pursuant to paragraph (1)(B); is directed solely to and affects only such plan; and addresses the specific conditions of the forest to which the plan applies and the relationship of the modified or alternative policy to such conditions. The Forest Supervisor or District Manager concerned shall consult at a minimum, with the Governor of the State, and the Commissioners of the county or counties, and affected tribal governments in which the forest to which the plan applies is situated during the review of the plan required by paragraph (1) and the preparation of an amendment to the plan required by this paragraph.*

*(3) To the maximum extent practicable, each amendment prepared pursuant to paragraph (2) shall establish site-specific standards in lieu of imposing general standards applicable to multiple sites. Any amendment which would result in any major change in land use allocations within the plan or would reduce the likelihood of achievement of the goals and objectives of the*

plan (prior to any previous amendment incorporating in the plan any policy referred to in paragraph (1)(A)) shall be deemed a significant change, pursuant to section 6(f)(4) of the Forest and Rangeland Renewable Resources Planning Act of 1974 (16 U.S.C. 1604(f)(4)) or section 202 of the Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1976 (43 U.S.C. 1712), requiring a significant plan amendment or equivalent.

(4) Each amendment prepared pursuant to paragraph (2) shall comply with any applicable requirements of section 102(2) of the National Environmental Policy Act, except that any cumulative effects analysis conducted in accordance with the methodology provided pursuant to subsection (b)(1) shall be deemed to meet any requirement of such Act for such analysis and the scoping conducted by the Project prior to the date of enactment of this section shall substitute for any scoping otherwise required by such Act for such amendment, unless at the sole discretion of the Forest Supervisor or District manager additional scoping is deemed necessary.

(5) The review of each plan required by paragraph (1) shall be conducted, and the preparation and decision to approve an amendment to each plan pursuant to paragraph (2) shall be made, by the Forest Supervisor or District Manager, as the case may be, solely on: the basis of the review conducted pursuant to paragraph (1)(A), any consultation or conferencing pursuant to section 7 of the Endangered Species Act of 1973 required by paragraph (6), any documentation required by section 102(2) of the National Environmental Policy Act, and any applicable guidance or other policy issued prior to the date of enactment of this Act.

(6)(A) Any policy adopted in an amendment prepared pursuant to paragraph (2) which is a modification of or alternative to a policy referred to in paragraph (1)(A) and upon which consultation or conferencing has occurred pursuant to section 7 of the Endangered Species Act of 1973, shall not again be subject to the consultation or conferencing provisions of such section 7.

(B) If required by such section 7, and not subject to subparagraph (A), the Forest Supervisor or District Manager concerned shall consult or conference separately on each amendment prepared pursuant to paragraph (2).

(C) No Further consultation, other than the consultation specified in subparagraph (B), shall be undertaken on the amendments prepared pursuant to paragraph (2), on any project or activity which is consistent with an applicable amendment, on any policy referred to in paragraph (1)(A), or on any portion of any plan related to such policy or the species to which such policy applies.

(7) Each amendment prepared pursuant to paragraph (2) shall be adopted on or before July 31, 1996: Provided, That any amendment deemed a significant plan amendment, or equivalent, pursuant to paragraph (3) shall be adopted on or before December 31, 1996.

(8) No policy referred to in paragraph (1)(A), or any provision of a plan or other planning document incorporating such policy, shall be effective in any forest subject to the Project on or after December 31, 1996, or after an amendment to the plan which applies to such forest is adopted pursuant to the provisions of this subsection, whichever occurs first.

(9) On the signing of a record of decision or equivalent document making an amendment for the Clearwater National Forest pursuant to paragraph (2), the requirement for revision referred to in the Stipulation of Dismissal dated September 13, 1993, applicable to the Clearwater National Forest is deemed to be satisfied, and the interim management direction provision contained in the Stipulation of Dismissal shall be of no further effect with respect to the Clearwater National Forest.

(d) The documents prepared under the authority of this section shall not be applied or used to regulate non-Federal lands.

And the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 153:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 153, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the matter stricken and inserted by said amendment insert the following:

**SEC. 315. RECREATIONAL FEE DEMONSTRATION PROGRAM**

(a) The Secretary of the Interior (acting through the Bureau of Land Management, the National Park Service and the United States Fish and Wildlife Service) and the Secretary of Agriculture (acting through the Forest Service) shall each implement a fee program to demonstrate the feasibility of user-generated cost recovery for the operation and maintenance of recreation areas or sites and habitat enhancement projects on Federal lands.

(b) In carrying out the pilot program established pursuant to this section, the appropriate Secretary shall select from areas under the jurisdiction of each of the four agencies referred to in subsection (a) no fewer than 10, but as many as 50, areas, sites or projects for fee demonstration. For each such demonstration, the Secretary, notwithstanding any other provision of law—

(1) shall charge and collect fees for admission to the area or for the use of outdoor recreation sites, facilities, visitor centers, equipment, and services by individuals and groups, or any combination thereof;

(2) shall establish fees under this section based upon a variety of cost recovery and fair market valuation methods to provide a broad basis for feasibility testing;

(3) may contract, including provisions for reasonable commissions, with any public or private entity to provide visitor services, including reservations and information, and may accept services of volunteers to collect fees charged pursuant to paragraph (1);

(4) may encourage private investment and partnerships to enhance the delivery of quality customer services and resource enhancement, and provide appropriate recognition to such partners or investors; and

(5) may assess a fine of not more than \$100 for any violation of the authority to collect fees for admission to the area or for the use of outdoor recreation sites, facilities, visitor centers, equipment, and services.

(c)(1) Amounts collected at each fee demonstration area, site or project shall be distributed as follows:

(A) Of the amount in excess of 104% of the amount collected in fiscal year 1995, and thereafter annually adjusted upward by 4%, eighty percent to a special account in the Treasury for use without further appropriation, by the agency which administers the site, to remain available for expenditures in accordance with paragraph (2)(A).

(B) Of the amount in excess of 104% of the amount collected in fiscal year 1995, and thereafter annually adjusted upward by 4%, twenty percent to a special account in the Treasury for use without further appropriation, by the agency which administers the site, to remain available for expenditure in accordance with paragraph (2)(B).

(C) For agencies other than the Fish and Wildlife Service, up to 15% of current year collections of each agency, but not greater than fee collection costs for that fiscal year, to remain available for expenditure without further appropriation in accordance with paragraph (2)(C).

(D) For agencies other than the Fish and Wildlife Service, the balance to the special account established pursuant to sub-paragraph (A) of section 4(i)(1) of the Land and Water Conservation Fund Act, as amended.

(E) For the Fish and Wildlife Service, the balance shall be distributed in accordance with section 201(c) of the Emergency Wetlands Resources Act.

(2)(A) Expenditures from site specific special funds shall be for further activities of the area,

site or project from which funds are collected, and shall be accounted for separately.

(B) Expenditures from agency specific special funds shall be for use on an agency-wide basis and shall be accounted for separately.

(C) Expenditures from the fee collection support fund shall be used to cover fee collection costs in accordance with section 4(i)(1)(B) of the Land and Water Conservation fund Act, as amended: provided, that funds unexpended and unobligated at the end of the fiscal year shall not be deposited into the special account established pursuant to section 4 (i) (1) (A) of said Act and shall remain available for expenditure without further appropriation.

(3) in order to increase the quality of the visitor experience at public recreational areas and enhance the protection of resources, amounts available for expenditure under this section may only be used for the area, site or project concerned, for backlogged repair and maintenance projects (including projects relating to health and safety) and for interpretation, signage, habitat or facility enhancement, resource preservation, annual operation (including fee collection), maintenance, and law enforcement relating to public use. The agencywide accounts may be used for the same purposes set forth in the preceding sentence, but for areas, sites or projects selected at the discretion of the respective agency head.

(d)(1) Amounts collected under this section shall not be taken into account for the purposes of the Act of May 23, 1908 and the Act of March 1, 1911 (16 U.S.C. 500), the Act of March 4, 1913 (16 U.S.C. 501), the Act of July 22, 1937 (7 U.S.C. 1012), the Act of August 8, 1937 and the Act of May 24, 1939 (43 U.S.C. 1181f et seq.), the Act of June 14, 1926 (43 U.S.C. 869-4), chapter 69 of title 31, United States Code, section 401 of the Act of June 15, 1935 (16 U.S.C. 715s), the Land and Water Conservation Fund Act of 1965 (16 U.S.C. 460l), and any other provision of law relating to revenue allocation.

(2) Fees charged pursuant to this section shall be in lieu of fees charged under any other provision of law.

(e) The Secretary of the Interior and the Secretary of Agriculture shall carry out this section without promulgating regulations.

(f) The authority to collect fees under this section shall commence on October 1, 1995, and end on September 30, 1998. Funds in accounts established shall remain available through September 30, 2001.

and the Senate Agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 154:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 154, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

Restore the matter stricken by said amendment, amended to read as follows:

SEC. 316. Section 2001 (a)(2) of Public Law 104-19 is amended as follows: Strike "September 30, 1997" and insert in lieu thereof "December 31, 1996".

And the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 156:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 156, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

Restore the matter stricken by said amendment, amended to read as follows:

**SEC. 319. GREAT BASIN NATIONAL PARK.**

Section 3 of the Great Basin National Park Act of 1986 (16 U.S.C. 410mm-1) is amended—

(1) in the first sentence of subsection (e) by striking "shall" and inserting "may"; and

(2) in subsection (f)—

(A) by striking "At the request" and inserting the following:

"(1) EXCHANGES.—At the request";

(B) by striking "grazing permits" and inserting "grazing permits and grazing leases"; and

(C) by adding after "Federal lands." the following:

"(2) ACQUISITION BY DONATION.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary may acquire by donation valid existing permits and grazing leases authorizing grazing on land in the park.

(B) TERMINATION.—The Secretary shall terminate a grazing permit or grazing lease acquired under subparagraph (A) so as to end grazing previously authorized by the permit or lease."

And the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 158:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 158, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the matter stricken and inserted by said amendment insert the following:

SEC. 322. (a) None of the funds appropriated or otherwise made available pursuant to this Act shall be obligated or expended to accept or process applications for a patent for any mining or mill site claim located under the general mining laws unless (1) legislation to carry out reconciliation instructions pursuant to a concurrent resolution on the budget for fiscal year 1996 is enacted into law and such legislation contains, at a minimum, provisions relating to the patenting of and payment of royalties on such claims, or (2) an agreement is approved by the House and Senate in an identical form on other legislation containing provisions relating to the patenting of, payment or royalties on, and reclamation of such claims.

(b) The provisions of subsection (a) shall not apply if the Secretary of the Interior determines that, for the claim concerned: (1) a patent application was filed with the Secretary on or before September 30, 1994, and (2) all requirements established under Sections 2325 and 2326 of the Revised Statutes (30 U.S.C. 29 and 30) for vein or lode claims and Sections 2329, 2330, 2331, and 2333 of the Revised Statutes (30 U.S.C. 35, 36, and 37) for placer claims, and Section 2337 of the Revised Statutes (30 U.S.C. 42) for mill site claims, as the case may be, were fully complied with by the applicant by that date.

(c) PROCESSING SCHEDULE.—For those applications for patents pursuant to subsection (b) which were filed with the Secretary of the Interior prior to September 30, 1994, the Secretary of the Interior shall—

(1) Within three months of the enactment of this Act, file with the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations and the Committee on Resources of the House of Representatives and the Committee on Energy and Natural Resources of the United States Senate a plan which details how the Department of the Interior will take final action on at least 90 percent of such applications within three years of the enactment of this Act and file reports annually thereafter with the same committees detailing actions taken by the Department of the Interior to carry out such plan; and

(2) Take such actions as may be necessary to carry out such plan.

(d) MINERAL EXAMINATIONS.—In order to process patent applications more expeditiously, the Secretary of the Interior shall require an applicant that has submitted an application subject to subsection (b) to fund the retention by the Bureau of Land Management of a qualified third-party contractor to conduct a mineral examination of the mining claims or mill sites contained in the patent application. The Bureau of Land Management shall have the sole responsibility to choose and pay the third-party contractor.

And the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 164:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 164, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the section number named in said amendment, insert: 328; and the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 165:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 165, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the section number named in said amendment, insert: 329; and the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 167:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 167, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the first section number named in said amendment, insert: 330; and the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 168:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 168, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the matter proposed by said amendment insert:

SEC. 331. (a) PURPOSES OF NATIONAL ENDOWMENT FOR THE ARTS.—Section 2 of the National Foundation on the Arts and the Humanities Act of 1965, as amended (20 U.S.C. 951), sets out findings and purposes for which the National Endowment for the Arts was established, among which are—

(1) "The arts and humanities belong to all the people of the United States";

(2) "The arts and humanities reflect the high place accorded by the American people . . . to the fostering of mutual respect for the diverse beliefs and values of all persons and groups";

(3) "Public funding of the arts and humanities is subject to the conditions that traditionally govern the use of public money [and] such funding should contribute to public support and confidence in the use of taxpayer funds"; and

(4) "Public funds provided by the Federal Government must ultimately serve public purposes the Congress defines".

(b) ADDITIONAL CONGRESSIONAL FINDINGS.—Congress further finds and declares that the use of scarce funds, which have been taken from all taxpayers of the United States, to promote, disseminate, sponsor, or produce any material or performance that—

(1) denigrates the religious objects or religious beliefs of the adherents of a particular religion, or

(2) depicts or describes, in a patently offensive way, sexual or excretory activities or organs is contrary to the express purposes of the National Foundation on the Arts and the Humanities Act of 1965, as amended.

(c) PROHIBITION ON FUNDING THAT IS NOT CONSISTENT WITH THE PURPOSES OF THE ACT.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, none of the scarce funds which have been taken from all taxpayers of the United States and made available under this Act to the National Endowment for the Arts may be used to promote, disseminate, sponsor, or produce any material or performance that—

(1) denigrates the religious objects or religious beliefs of the adherents of a particular religion, or

(2) depicts or describes, in a patently offensive way, sexual or excretory activities or organs, and this prohibition shall be strictly applied without regard to the content or viewpoint of the material or performance.

(d) SECTION NOT TO AFFECT OTHER WORKS.—Nothing in this section shall be construed to affect in any way the freedom of any artist or performer to create any material or performance using funds which have not been made available under this Act to the National Endowment for the Arts.

And the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 170:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 170, and agree to the same with an amendment as follows:

In lieu of the matter proposed by said amendment insert:

SEC. 332. For purposes related to the closure of the Bureau of Mines, funds made available to the United States Geological Survey, the United States Bureau of Mines, and the Bureau of Land Management shall be available for transfer, with the approval of the Secretary of the Interior, among the following accounts: United States Geological Survey, Surveys, investigations, and research: Bureau of Mines, Mines and minerals; and Bureau of Land Management, Management of lands and resources. The Secretary of Energy shall reimburse the Secretary of the Interior, in an amount to be determined by the Director of the Office of Management and budget, for the expenses of the transferred functions between October 1, 1995 and the effective date of the transfers of function. Such transfers shall be subject to the reprogramming guidelines of the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations.

And the Senate agree to the same.

RALPH REGULA,  
JOSEPH M. MCDADE,  
JIM KOLBE,  
JOE SKEEN,  
BARBARA F. VUCANOVICH,  
CHARLES H. TAYLOR,  
GEORGE R. NETHERCUTT,  
Jr.,  
JIM BUNN,  
BOB LIVINGSTON,

Managers on the Part of the House.

SLADE GORTON,  
TED STEVENS,  
THAD COCHRAN,  
MARK O. HATFIELD,  
CONRAD BURNS,  
ROBERT F. BENNETT,  
CONNIE MACK,  
ROBERT C. BYRD,  
J. BENNETT JOHNSTON,  
PATRICK J. LEAHY, (Except  
amendments 136, 138, 168,  
and 169)  
FRITZ HOLLINGS,  
HARRY REID,

Managers on the Part of the Senate.

#### JOINT EXPLANATORY STATEMENT OF THE COMMITTEE OF CONFERENCE

The managers on the part of the House and the Senate at the conference on the disagreeing votes of the two Houses on the amendments of the Senate to the bill (H.R. 1977), making appropriations for the Department of the Interior and related agencies for the fiscal year ending September 30, 1996, and for other purposes, submit the following joint statement to the House and the Senate in explanation of the effect of the action agreed upon by the managers and recommended in the accompanying conference report.

The conference agreement on H.R. 1977 incorporates some of the provisions of both the House and the Senate versions of the bill. Report language and allocations set forth in either House Report 104-173 or Senate Report 104-125 which are not changed by the conference are approved by the committee of conference. The statement of the managers, while repeating some report language for emphasis, does not negate the language referenced above unless expressly provided herein.

The managers have included funding in each of the land acquisition accounts that is not earmarked by individual projects. The managers direct the Department of the Interior and the Forest Service to develop a proposed distribution of project funding for review and approval by the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations. In developing the proposed distributions, the agencies are encouraged to give consideration to a broader array of projects than was proposed in the fiscal year 1996 budget, including but

not limited to, projects for which capability statements have been prepared.

TITLE I—DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT

MANAGEMENT OF LANDS AND RESOURCES

Amendment No. 1: Appropriates \$568,062,000 for management of lands and resources instead of \$570,017,000 as proposed by the House and \$563,936,000 as proposed by the Senate. The amendment also adds language to transfer responsibility for mineral assessments in Alaska from the Bureau of Mines.

The net decrease below the House consists of decreases of \$1,500,000 for wild horse and burro management, \$500,000 for threatened and endangered species, \$1,000,000 for recreation wilderness management, \$448,000 for recreation resources management, \$50,000 for coal management, \$50,000 for other mineral resources, \$554,000 for land and realty management, \$4,000,000 for ALMRS, \$500,000 for administrative support, and \$834,000 for bureau-wide fixed costs; and increases of \$4,981,000 for Alaska conveyance, \$500,000 for information systems operations and \$2,000,000 for mineral assessments in Alaska formerly funded under the Bureau of Mines.

Amendment No. 2: Restores House provision stricken by the Senate which provides \$599,999 for the management of the East Mojave National Scenic Area. The Senate had no similar provision. The amendment also adds language earmarking \$2,000,000 for mineral assessments in Alaska.

Amendment No. 3: Restates the final appropriation amount for management of lands and resources as \$568,062,000 instead of \$570,017,000 as proposed by the House and \$563,936,000 as proposed by the Senate.

WILDLAND FIRE MANAGEMENT

Amendment No. 4: Appropriates \$235,924,000 for wildland fire management as proposed by the House instead of \$240,159,000 as proposed by the Senate.

CONSTRUCTION AND ACCESS

Amendment No. 5: Appropriates \$3,115,000 for construction and access instead of \$2,515,000 as proposed by the House and \$2,615,000 as proposed by the Senate.

The managers agree to the following distribution of funds:

Sourdough Campground, AK .....	584,000
Byington Campground, ID .	290,000
West Aravaipa Ranger Station, AZ .....	200,000
Railroad Flat Campground, CA .....	218,000
Penitente Canyon, CO .....	220,000
James Kipp Campground, MT .....	345,000
Datil Well Rec Site reconstruction, NM .....	41,000
Encampment River Rec Area, WY .....	60,000
Indian Creek Accessibility Rehab, NV .....	57,000
El Camino Real Int'l Heritage Ctr., NM-A&E .....	500,000
Flagstaff Hill, OR .....	600,000
Total .....	3,115,000

The managers urge BLM and the non-Federal partners to consider during the A&E phase of the El Camino Real International Heritage Center project the fact that future construction funds are likely to be severely constrained.

PAYMENTS IN LIEU OF TAXES

Amendment No. 6: Appropriates \$101,500,000 for payments in lieu of taxes instead of \$111,409,000 as proposed by the House and \$100,000,000 as proposed by the Senate.

LAND ACQUISITION

Amendment No. 7: Appropriates \$12,800,000 for land acquisition instead of \$8,500,000 as

proposed by the House and \$10,550,000 as proposed by the Senate. The \$12,800,000 includes \$3,250,000 for acquisition management, \$1,000,000 for emergency and inholding purchases, and \$8,550,000 for land purchases.

Funds provided under this account for land purchases are subject to the guidelines identified at the front of this statement.

OREGON AND CALIFORNIA GRANT LANDS

Amendment No. 8: Appropriates \$93,379,000 for Oregon and California grant lands instead of \$91,387,000 as proposed by the House and \$95,364,000 as proposed by the Senate.

The net increase above the House consists of a reduction of \$900,000 for resources management, and increases of \$1,115,000 for facilities maintenance, and \$1,777,000 for Jobs-in-the-Woods.

The managers are concerned about the many programs in the President's Forest Plan designed to provide assistance to timber dependent communities in the Pacific Northwest. The managers are disturbed by the inability of the agencies involved to provide a detailed accounting of funds appropriated in previous fiscal years in the President's Forest Plan for the unemployed timber worker programs.

The managers expect the Secretary of the Interior and the Secretary of Agriculture to prepare a detailed accounting and report of the funds appropriated in fiscal year 1995 for the President's Forest plan. The report shall include a careful accounting of appropriated funding, including: funds appropriated for timber production; administrative expenses, including the number of Federal employees employed to administer the various aspects of the President's plan; funds appropriated for the various jobs programs under the President's plan, including but not limited to the Jobs in the Woods program; the number of individuals employed by these programs; and the average length of employment in the various jobs. The managers expect the Secretaries to submit the report to the Committees no later than March 31, 1996.

UNITED STATES FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE RESOURCE MANAGEMENT

Amendment No. 9: Appropriates \$497,943,000 for resource management instead of \$497,150,000 as proposed by the House and \$501,478,000 as proposed by the Senate.

The net increase above the House consists of increases of \$3,800,000 for cooperative conservation agreements, \$750,000 for listing, \$2,237,000 for habitat conservation, \$1,502,000 for migratory bird management, \$600,000 for hatchery operations and maintenance, \$800,000 for fish and wildlife management, \$478,000 for the National Education and Training Center, and \$885,000 for vehicle and aircraft purchase; and reductions of \$500,000 for recovery, \$230,000 for environmental contaminants, \$6,542,000 for refuge operations and maintenance, and \$2,987,000 for servicewide administrative support.

The conference agreement includes \$3,800,000 for cooperative conservation agreements with private landowners to institute effective management measures that make listing unnecessary. The managers intend that these funds also be used to implement the 4(d) rule which is intended to ease endangered species land use restrictions on small landowners. The managers agree that none of the funding for cooperative conservation agreements or listing be used in any way to conduct activities which would directly support listing of species or designating critical habitat.

The managers have included \$750,000 under the listing program to be used only for delisting and downlisting of threatened and endangered species in order to ease land use restrictions on private and public lands.

The conference agreement includes a reduction of \$200,000 from the gray wolf re-

introduction program. The managers expect the Service to continue the cooperative agreement with the Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service to provide assistance to ranchers experiencing livestock losses to wolves.

The managers agree with the Senate position regarding the continued operation of Federal fish hatcheries. However, the funding provided for hatcheries in total is below last year's level, so reductions will be necessary. The managers encourage those non-Federal parties that have expressed an interest in participating in hatchery transfers to continue to pursue this option, and the Service should provide the transitional assistance for such efforts as was contemplated in the budget. Within the funds restored for hatchery operations and maintenance, \$500,000 is provided only for maintenance of those hatcheries transferred during fiscal year 1996.

The managers reiterate, however, the need for the working group proposed by the Senate to identify, by March 1, 1996, savings from the fisheries program that equal or surpass the savings associated with the hatchery transfers or closures proposed in the budget. Outyear funding for fisheries and other programs cannot be assured at a time of declining budgets, and future transfer proposals might not involve transitional assistance. The managers expect that there will be significantly fewer Federal fish hatcheries by the end of fiscal year 1997.

The National Fish and Wildlife Foundation is funded at a level of \$4,000,000. The House recommended that no funds be provided for this purpose in the future. The Senate took no position regarding outyear funding for the Foundation.

The managers direct the Department to reinstate its 1992 policy, modified to reflect public comments received, regarding permit terms and conditions for hunting and fishing guides in Alaska providing permit terms of 5 years with one renewal period of 5 years, transferability under prescribed conditions, and a right of survivorship. At such time as the new policy is implemented, existing permits should be reissued consistent with this policy. The managers note that the existing policy limiting terms to one year makes it impossible to obtain financing for guiding operations while the limit on transferability and survivorship prevent long-time family businesses from continuing upon the death or illness of the permit holder.

The managers recognize the Fish and Wildlife Service's fisheries mitigation responsibilities pursuant to existing law and expect the working group to take into account such responsibilities.

Amendment No. 10: Extends availability of \$11,557,000 for Lower Snake River compensation plan facilities until expended as proposed by the Senate, instead of limiting the availability to September 30, 1997 as proposed by the House.

Amendment No. 11: includes language proposed by the Senate which prohibits listing additional species as threatened or endangered and prohibits designating critical habitat during fiscal year 1996 or until a reauthorization is enacted. The House had no similar provision.

CONSTRUCTION

Amendment No. 12: Appropriates \$37,655,000 for construction instead of \$26,355,000 as proposed by the House and \$38,775,000 as proposed by the Senate.

The managers agree to the following distribution of funds:

Bear River Migratory Bird Refuge, UT, flood repair .	\$1,000,000
------------------------------------------------------	-------------

Bosque del Apache NWR, NM, repair .....	1,820,000
Hawaii captive propagation facility, HI .....	1,000,000
Mississippi refuges, bridge repair and equipment .....	1,120,000
National Education Training Center, WV, construction .....	24,000,000
Quivira NWR, KS, water management .....	760,000
Russian River, AK, rehab ..	400,000
Southeast Louisiana refuges, rehab .....	1,000,000
Wichita Mountains NWR, OK, Grama Lake and Comanche Dams, repair .....	700,000
Dam safety, servicewide inspections .....	460,000
Bridge safety, servicewide inspections .....	395,000
Emergency projects—servicewide .....	1,000,000
Construction management—servicewide .....	4,000,000
<b>Total .....</b>	<b>37,655,000</b>

The managers expect the Department to include the remaining funding necessary to complete the construction of the National Education and Training Center in the fiscal year 1997 budget.

**NATURAL RESOURCE DAMAGE ASSESSMENT**

Amendment No. 13: Appropriates \$4,000,000 for the natural resource damage assessment fund as proposed by the Senate instead of \$6,019,000 as proposed by the House.

The reductions below the House consist of \$1,597,000 for damage assessments and \$422,000 for program management.

**LAND ACQUISITION**

Amendment No. 14: Appropriates \$36,900,000 for land acquisition instead of \$14,100,000 as proposed by the House and \$32,031,000 as proposed by the Senate. The \$36,900,000 includes \$8,000,000 for acquisition management, \$1,000,000 for emergency and hardship purchases, \$1,000,000 for inholding purchases, \$1,000,000 for land exchanges, and \$25,900,000 for refuge land purchases.

Funds provided under this account for land purchases are subject to the guidelines identified at the front of this statement.

**NORTH AMERICAN WETLANDS CONSERVATION FUND**

Amendment No. 15: Appropriates \$6,750,000 for the North American Wetlands Conservation Fund as proposed by the Senate instead of \$4,500,000 as proposed by the House.

The increase above the House includes \$2,230,000 for habitat management and \$20,000 for administration.

The House recommended that no funds be provided for this purpose in the future. The Senate took no position regarding outyear funding for this program.

**WILDLIFE CONSERVATION AND APPRECIATION FUND**

Amendment No. 16: Appropriates \$800,000 for the Wildlife Conservation and Appreciation Fund as proposed by the Senate instead of \$998,000 as proposed by the House.

Amendment No. 17: Deletes matching requirements proposed by the House and stricken by the Senate. The matching requirements of the Partnerships for Wildlife Act will continue to apply, and do not need to be stated in the appropriations act.

**ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISIONS**

Amendment No. 18: Provides authority to purchase 113 motor vehicles as proposed by the Senate instead of 54 passenger vehicles as proposed by the House.

Amendment No. 19: Deletes House prohibition on purchasing police vehicles. The Senate had no similar provision.

Amendment No. 20: Includes Senate provision that the Fish and Wildlife Service may accept donated aircraft. The House had no similar provision.

Amendment No. 21: Includes House provision prohibiting the Fish and Wildlife Service from delaying the issuance of a wetlands permit for the City of Lake Jackson, TX. The Senate had no similar provision.

Amendment No. 22: Modifies Senate provision on the distribution of refuge entrance fees by substituting language which allows the Fish and Wildlife Service to charge reasonable fees for expenses associated with the conduct of training programs at the National Education and Training Center. Any fees collected for this purpose will be used to cover costs associated with the operation of this facility. The House had no similar provision.

Amendment No. 23: Modifies Senate provision regarding use of pesticides on farmland within wildlife refuges in the Klamath Basin. The amendment is based, in part, upon the Service's representation that it has already approved or anticipates approval of certain materials that are needed for farming during this fiscal year and that it will consider other materials for 1996 and subsequent years. If these approvals do not occur or are withdrawn, the Senate language will prevail and growers will be subject to the same restrictions as growers on private lands. Allowing the pesticide use proposal process to remain in effect for the next fiscal year will enable growers and the Federal government to work constructively toward an agreeable process.

**NATURAL RESOURCES SCIENCE AGENCY RESEARCH, INVENTORIES AND SURVEYS**

Amendment No. 24: Deletes Senate language providing \$145,965,000 for a natural resources science agency and providing guidance on the operation of that agency. This agency would have replaced the National Biological Service. The House had no similar provision. The managers have agreed to eliminate the National Biological Service and to fund natural resources research as part of the U.S. Geological Survey as proposed by the House. This item is discussed in more detail under amendment Nos. 42 and 43.

**NATIONAL PARK SERVICE**

**OPERATION OF THE NATIONAL PARK SYSTEM**

Amendment No. 25: Appropriates \$1,083,151,000 for operation of the National park system instead of \$1,088,249,000 as proposed by the House and \$1,092,265,000 as proposed by the Senate. The reduction from the Senate level reflects the transfer of the equipment replacement account back to the construction account.

In keeping with the demands placed on other Interior bureaus, the managers have not funded uncontrollable costs and expect these costs to be absorbed through reductions to levels of review and management. Efficiencies should also be sought by exploring opportunities that exist and have been outlined in GAO reports to co-locate and combine functions, systems, programs, activities or field locations with other Federal land management agencies.

The managers are concerned about the costs associated with the current reorganization effort and strongly urge the NPS to limit expenditures for task forces, work groups and employee details and special assistants. The managers request that a report be submitted by February 1, 1996, detailing a budget history of past costs and future estimated costs associated with the reorganization.

The managers expect a report within 45 days of enactment of this Act identifying NPS' preliminary allocations for fiscal year 1996. This report will serve as the baseline for any reprogrammings in fiscal year 1996.

In considering these allocations, the managers expect that none of the programmatic increases requested in the budget are to be considered except those necessary to meet specific park operating needs. This includes new and expanded programs. Any new initiative such as those related to training, reorganization or national service should be addressed through the reprogramming process.

The managers expect that the National Park Service will use these operating funds for core park programs.

The managers expect that the principle goal of the reorganization plan, which is to relocate staff from central and regional offices to the parks, will greatly alleviate the pressures placed on parks by increased visitation.

The managers have agreed to the House position regarding the termination of the Pennsylvania Avenue Development Corporation and the transfer of certain specific activities to other agencies including the National Park Service. This item is discussed in greater detail in amendment Number 151 in Title III.

Amendment No. 26: Restores House language stricken by the Senate regarding the availability of funds at the Mojave National Preserve.

**NATIONAL RECREATION AND PRESERVATION**

Amendment No. 27: Appropriates \$37,649,000 for National recreation and preservation instead of \$35,725,000 as proposed by the House and \$38,094,000 as proposed by the Senate.

The reduction of \$445,000 in Statutory and Contractual Aid from the Senate amount reflects the elimination of \$23,000 for the Maine Acadian Cultural Preservation Commission and a reduction of \$422,000 for the Native Hawaiian Culture and Arts program.

Amendment No. 28: Earmarks \$236,000 for the William O. Douglas Outdoor Education Center as proposed by the Senate instead of \$248,000 as proposed by the House.

As discussed under amendment No. 155, no funds are provided for the Mississippi River Corridor Heritage Commission. Within funds provided, the National Park Service shall publish the final report and enter into no other activities related to this corridor. The funds included in the Senate bill for the Commission have been transferred to the rivers and trails program.

**HISTORIC PRESERVATION**

Amendment No. 29: Appropriates \$36,212,000 for the Historic Preservation Fund instead of \$37,934,000 as proposed by the House and \$38,312,000 as proposed by the Senate.

The managers have provided \$32,712,000 for State grants and \$3,500,000 for the National Trust for Historic Preservation.

The managers agree to a three year period of transition for the National Trust for Historic Preservation to replace Federal funds with private funding.

**CONSTRUCTION**

Amendment No. 30: Appropriates \$143,225,000 for construction instead of \$114,868,000 as proposed by the House and \$116,480,000 as proposed by the Senate.

The managers agree to the following distribution of funds:

Andersonville National Historic Site, GA (prisoner of war museum) .....	\$2,800,000
Assateague National Seashore, MD (erosion control) .....	300,000
Blackstone River Valley National Heritage Corridor MA/RI (interpretive project) .....	300,000
Blue Ridge Parkway, Hemphill Knob, NC (administration building) ....	1,030,000

Cane River Creole National Historic Park, LA (preservation and stabilization) .....	4,000,000	Salem Maritime National Historic Site, MA (vessel exhibit) .....	2,200,000
Chickasaw National Recreation Area, OK (campground rehabilitation) ....	1,624,000	Saratoga National Historical Park, NY (monument rehabilitation) .....	2,000,000
Chamizal National Monument, TX (rehabilitation)	300,000	Sequoia National Park, CA (replace Giant Sequoia facilities) .....	3,700,000
Crater Lake National Park, OR (dormitories construction) .....	10,000,000	Southwestern Pennsylvania Commission (various projects) .....	2,000,000
Cuyahoga National Recreation Area, OH (site and structure rehabilitation)	2,500,000	Stones River National Battlefield, TN (stabilization) .....	200,000
Delaware Water Gap National Recreation Area, PA (trails rehabilitation)	1,050,000	Thomas Stone Historic Site, MD (rehabilitation)	250,000
Everglades National Park, FL (water delivery system modification) .....	4,500,000	Western Trails Center, IA .	3,000,000
Fort Necessity National Battlefield, PA (rehabilitation) .....	265,000	Wrangell-St. Elias National Park and Preserve, AK (Kennicott Mine site safety and rehabilitation) .....	1,500,000
Fort Smith National Historic Site, AR (rehabilitation) .....	500,000	Yosemite National Park, CA (El Portal maintenance facilities) .....	9,650,000
Gateway National Recreation Area, NY (Jacob Riis Park rehabilitation)	1,595,000	Zion National Park, UT (transportation system facilities) .....	5,200,000
General Grant National Memorial, NY (rehabilitation) .....	1,000,000	Subtotal, line item construction .....	90,162,000
Gettysburg National Military Park, PA (water and sewer lines) .....	2,550,000	Emergency, unscheduled, housing .....	13,973,000
Glacier National Park, MT (rehabilitate chalets) ....	328,000	Planning .....	17,000,000
Grand Canyon National Park, AZ: Transportation .....	1,000,000	Equipment replacement ....	14,365,000
Gulf Islands National Seashore, MS (erosion control) .....	600,000	General management plans	6,600,000
Harpers Ferry National Historical Park, WV (utilities and phone lines) .....	455,000	Special resource studies ....	825,000
Hot Springs NP, AR (stabilization/Lead Point) ....	500,000	Strategic planning office ...	300,000
James A. Garfield National Historic Site, OH (rehabilitation/development) ..	3,600,000	Total .....	\$143,225,000
Jean Lafitte National Park and Preserve, LA (complete repairs) .....	2,100,000	The bill provides \$1,000,000 for transportation related activities at Grand Canyon National Park. These funds are to be made available for transportation projects that the Superintendent of the Grand Canyon Park has identified as high priority. Therefore, it is the intent of the managers that these moneys be used for any transportation related expenditure, including the design of new transportation facilities and the purchase of new buses.	
Klondike Gold Rush National Historical Park, AK (restore Skagway historic district) .....	850,000	The managers encourage the National Park Service to proceed expeditiously with the necessary work at Cane River Creole NHP, LA.	
Lackawanna Valley, PA (technical assistance) .....	400,000	The region which comprises the 1.4 million acre East Mojave Desert is embraced by a unique blend of human uses (past and present) and nationally significant natural features. The managers are concerned that National Park Service management of the area has not adequately ensured the continuation of human uses which give the region its character, in balance with protection for the area's scenic and environmental qualities. The managers do not want their action to be construed as repealing portions of the California Desert Protection Act (P.L. 103-433).	
Lake Chelan National Recreation Area, WA (planning and design for repair of Company Creek Road) .....	280,000	The managers believe that it is essential to not only protect the area's unique resources but also preserve its multiple use values, both natural and human, in cooperation with Federal agencies, State agencies and local governments. Recent jurisdictional conflicts involving State wildlife agencies and the National Park Service have jeopardized vital wildlife recovery efforts in this region.	
Little River Canyon National Park, AL (health and safety) .....	460,000	The National Park Service is directed to develop a comprehensive, long-term management plan for the area which incorporates traditional uses and recognizes budgetary constraints. The National Park Service may use up to \$100,000 within available funds for these planning activities. The National Park Service is directed to present its manage-	
Mount Rainier National Park, WA (replace employee dormitory) .....	6,050,000	ment plan to both the House and Senate appropriations and authorizing Committees for final approval prior to any reprogramming of funds so that the Mojave provision will not have to be continued in Fiscal Year 1997.	
Natchez Trace Parkway, MS .....	3,000,000	Amendment No. 31: Earmarks \$4,500,000 for the Everglades as proposed by the Senate instead of \$6,000,000 as proposed by the House.	
National Capital Parks—Central, DC (Lincoln/Jefferson memorials rehabilitation) .....	4,000,000	Amendment No. 32: Retains the Senate provision indicating Historic Preservation funds may be available until expended to stabilize buildings associated with the Kennicott, Alaska copper mine. The House had no similar provision.	
New River Gorge National River, WV (trails, visitor access and hazardous materials) .....	625,000	LAND ACQUISITION	
President's Park, DC: Replace White House electrical system .....	1,000,000	Amendment No. 33: Appropriates \$49,100,000 for land acquisition instead of \$14,300,000 as proposed by the House and \$45,187,000 as proposed by the Senate. The \$49,100,000 includes \$7,200,000 for acquisition management, \$3,000,000 for emergency and hardship purchases, \$3,000,000 for inholding purchases, \$1,500,000 for State grant administration, and \$34,400,000 for other land purchases.	
Sagamore Hill National Historic Site, NY (water and sewer lines) .....	800,000	Amendment No. 34: Deletes the earmark inserted by the House and stricken by the Senate for Federal assistance to the State of Florida. Authority exists for the Department to use land acquisition funds for a grant to the State of Florida if approved pursuant to the procedures identified for land acquisition in fiscal year 1996.	

Amendment No. 35: Modifies language proposed by the Senate which requires that funds which may be made available for the acquisition of the Elwha and Glines dams shall be used solely for acquisition, and shall not be expended until the full purchase amount has been appropriated by the Congress. The House had no similar provision. Consistent with the direction for the land acquisition accounts, no specific earmark is provided for this project. Under the procedures identified for land acquisition, however, funds could be made available for the Elwha and Glines dams.

The Elwha Act, P.L. 102-495, authorizes the purchase of the Elwha and Glines dams by the Secretary of the Interior at a total purchase price of \$29,500,000. Recognizing the serious funding constraints under which the Committees are operating, bill language has been included which authorizes funding to be provided over a period of years, as necessary, in order to acquire the dams. The bill language specifies that the appropriated funds may only be used for acquisition. Appropriated funds cannot be expended until the total purchase price of \$29,500,000 is appropriated.

Under the Elwha Act, the Secretary is authorized to study the benefits of the removal of both dams, and to assess the costs of such a removal to restore fish runs in the Elwha River. The managers continue to be disturbed greatly by the early projections from the Administration of costs that range from \$80-\$300 million for dam removal. Due to the lack of available funds, the managers strongly discourage the Administration and those parties supporting dam removal from continuing to support such a policy. Instead, the managers encourage interested parties to pursue other, less costly alternatives to achieve fish restoration. The managers urge parties interested in the Elwha Act to work to find, within the next year, a more fiscally responsible and achievable solution to fishery restoration in lieu of dam removal. If no conclusion can be reached on this issue, the appropriations committees, working with the authorizing committees, will be forced to work to find a legislative solution to the problem.

The managers have included \$1,500,000 for administration of the state grant program.

These funds are provided only to close down ongoing projects. No funds are provided for new grants and the managers intend that no funds will be provided in the future.

#### ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISIONS

Amendment No. 36: Retains Senate language regarding an agreement for the redevelopment of the southern end of Ellis Island and providing for Congressional review. Identical language has been included in previous interior appropriations bills.

Amendment No. 37: Modifies language proposed by the Senate to clarify that funds may not be used by the National Park Service for activities taken in direct response to the United Nations Biodiversity Convention. The House had no similar provision.

Amendment No. 38: Retains language proposed by the Senate allowing the American Battlefield Protection Program to enter into cooperative agreements of various types with other entities. The House had no similar provision.

Amendment No. 39: Modifies Senate language regarding a feasibility study for a northern access route into Denali National Park and Preserve in Alaska. The modification is to require that the study also be submitted to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations.

Amendment No. 40: Deletes Senate language regarding the Stampede Creek Mine at Denali National Park in Alaska. The House had no similar provision.

If requested by the University of Alaska at Fairbanks, the National Park Service shall enter into negotiations regarding a memorandum of understanding for continued use of the Stampede Creek mine property. The Park Service should report to the relevant Congressional committees by May 1, 1996 on an assessment of damages resulting from the April 30, 1987 explosion. The repair or replacement should be to the same condition as existed on April 30, 1987. If the University of Alaska at Fairbanks seeks to replace the facilities, the Park Service should consider working with the Army to assist in any compensation to which the University of Alaska at Fairbanks may be eligible since the Army assisted the National Park Service with the explosives work conducted at Stampede Creek on April 30, 1987.

#### UNITED STATES GEOLOGICAL SURVEY

##### SURVEYS, INVESTIGATIONS, AND RESEARCH

Amendment No. 41: Appropriates \$730,503,000 for surveys, investigations and research instead of \$686,944,000 as proposed by the House and \$577,503,000 as proposed by the Senate. The amendment also provides authority for minerals information activities formerly conducted in the Bureau of Mines.

Changes to the amount proposed by the House include increases of \$24,112,000 for natural resources research, \$16,000,000 for minerals information activities transferred from the Bureau of Mines and \$4,000,000 for university earthquake research grants, and decreases in Federal water resources investigations of \$176,000 for data collection and analysis and \$100,000 for hydrology of critical aquifers and a decrease of \$277,000 in the National mapping program for cartographic and geographic research.

The managers have provided \$4,000,000 for university research in the earthquakes program. If there is a compelling need for additional funds in this program in fiscal year 1996 and an acceptable funding offset can be justified, the USGS should notify the Committees following the existing reprogramming guidelines. The Committees will consider any such request on its merits.

The managers understand that the USGS is constrained from releasing certain information under interagency agreement No. AGP00473.94 with the Bureau of Indian Af-

fairs absent the approval of the BIA. This issue is discussed in more detail in the BIA section of this statement.

The managers have agreed to fund a competitive program for the water resources research institutes with at least a 2 to 1 funding match from non-Federal sources. The managers expect that this approach likely will lead to the closure of some of the institutes. The managers recommend that in fiscal year 1996 a modest base grant of \$20,000 per participating institute be provided with the balance of the funding for the program to be competitively awarded based on National program priorities established by the USGS. The need for continuing a small base grant beyond fiscal year 1996 should be carefully examined by the USGS in the context of its fiscal year 1997 budget priorities. The managers do not object to competitions being regionally-based if that approach is determined by the USGS to be the most productive, from the standpoint of meeting the most compelling information needs, and the most cost effective. If a regional approach is selected, the managers suggest that the USGS regions be consolidated so that there are no more than 4 or 5 large regional areas. The competition should not be structured to ensure that every participating institute in a region gets a competitive award. The USGS should report to the Committees in the fiscal year 1997 budget submission on how the competition is to be structured and should report in subsequent budget submissions on the distribution of competitively awarded grants by institute.

Amendment No. 42: Earmarks \$137,000,000 for natural resources research and cooperative research units instead of \$112,888,000 as proposed by the House. The Senate recommended funding this research under a separate account and at a level of \$145,965,000 as discussed in amendment No. 24. The amendment also earmarks \$16,000,000 for minerals information activities transferred from the Bureau of Mines, mines and minerals account (see amendment No. 47).

The managers agree that natural resources research in the Department of the Interior should be organized in a manner that ensures that it is independent from regulatory control and scientifically excellent. The managers intend the merger of these research activities into the USGS to be permanent. The USGS is directed to plan and manage the restructuring and downsizing of the former National Biological Service. Retrenchments required to remain within the reduced level of appropriations for the former NBS are to occur predominately in administrative, managerial and other headquarters support functions of that organization so as to maintain, to the maximum extent possible, scientific and technical capabilities.

The managers expect the agency to work closely with the land management agencies to identify priority science needs of concern to the Department's land managers on the ground. The managers are concerned that natural resource research be linked closely to management issues. In addition, attention should be provided to information related to wildlife resources entrusted to the stewardship of the Department; fisheries, including restoration of depleted stocks; fish propagation and riverine studies; aquatic resources; nonindigenous nuisances that affect aquatic ecosystems; impacts and epidemiology of disease on fish and wildlife populations; chemical drug registration for aquatic species; and effective transfer of information to natural resources managers.

During fiscal year 1996, funds appropriated for the functions of the former NBS shall remain a separate entity, titled "natural resources research", within the USGS. Upon completion of the necessary downsizing, and

no later than nine months after enactment of this legislation, the managers direct the USGS to provide the Committees with a final plan for the permanent consolidation and integration of natural resources research functions into the USGS. As of October 1, 1996, employees of the former NBS shall be subject to the same administrative guidelines and practices followed by the USGS including peer review of research and investigations, maintenance of objectivity and impartiality, and ethics requirements regarding financial disclosure and divestiture. The managers expect that the USGS budget request for fiscal year 1997 will require amendment subsequent to its submission to reflect appropriately this consolidation. To reiterate, this merger is intended to be permanent and should be implemented fully by October 1, 1996.

During fiscal year 1996 the Department and the USGS are prohibited from reprogramming funds from other USGS programs and activities for any program or activity within the Department for natural resources research activities.

The managers also have agreed to provide \$16,000,000 for minerals information activities, transferred from the Bureau of Mines. The funding represents a reduction from the fiscal year 1995 level and may require significant downsizing and restructuring of the program. The USGS should oversee the refocusing of the program. Until such downsizing is completed, the program should remain a separate and distinct budget and organizational entity within the USGS. To the extent job vacancies occur in the transferred program in fiscal year 1996, they should be filled with Bureau of Mines employees subject to termination or reduction-in-force. The managers understand that the existing USGS mineral resources survey activity is undergoing a restructuring and downsizing and expect that effort and the required downsizing of the minerals information program to proceed independently. When both downsizing efforts are completed, a single, refocused minerals program should be created which combines the minerals information activities transferred from the Bureau of Mines with other USGS mineral resources work.

Amendment No. 43: Modifies language inserted by the House and stricken by the Senate providing guidance on the conduct of natural resources research. The change to the House position expands the prohibition on the use of funds for new surveys on private property to include new aerial surveys for the designation of habitat under the Endangered Species Act unless authorized in writing by the property owner. With respect to natural resources research activities, the managers agree that funds may not be used for new surveys on private property without the written consent of the land owner, that volunteers are to be properly trained and that volunteer-collected data are to be verified carefully. The amendment also transfers authority from the Bureau of Mines to the Director of the USGS to conduct mineral surveys, consistent with the funding for that purpose earmarked under amendment No. 42.

#### MINERALS MANAGEMENT SERVICE

##### ROYALTY AND OFFSHORE MINERALS MANAGEMENT

Amendment No. 44: Appropriates \$182,994,000 for royalty and offshore minerals management instead of \$186,556,000 as proposed by the House and \$182,169,000 as proposed by the Senate. Changes to the amount proposed by the House include decreases in information management of \$151,000 for the absorption of fixed cost increases and \$3,000,000 which is offset by the authority to

use additional receipts as provided in amendment Nos. 45 and 46; and decreases in general administration of \$306,000 for administrative operations and \$105,000 for general support services.

The managers agree that the independent review of the royalty management program which was recommended by the House should not be conducted until the disposition of the hardrock minerals program is legislatively resolved. Accordingly, no funds are earmarked for this effort in fiscal year 1996.

Amendment No. 45: Provides for the use of \$15,400,000 in increased receipts for the technical information management system as proposed by the Senate instead of \$12,400,000 as proposed by the House.

Amendment No. 46: Permits the use of additional receipts for Outer Continental Shelf program activities in addition to the technical information management system as proposed by the Senate. The House had no similar provision.

BUREAU OF MINES  
MINES AND MINERALS

Amendment No. 47: Appropriates \$64,000,000 for mines and minerals instead of \$87,000,000 as proposed by the House and \$128,007,000 as proposed by the Senate. The conference agreement provides for the transfer of health and safety research to the Department of Energy (see amendment No. 110). The \$64,000,000 provided for mines and minerals is to be used for the orderly closure of the Bureau of Mines.

The managers expect that the health and safety functions in Pittsburgh, PA and Spokane, WA will be continued under the Department of Energy as will the materials partnerships programs in Albany, OR. The U.S. Geological Survey will assume responsibility for the minerals information program in Denver, CO and Washington, DC. The Bureau of Land Management will assume responsibility for mineral assessments in Alaska. The managers do not object to a limited number of administrative support personnel being maintained in these locations. All other functions of the Bureau of Mines will be terminated and all other Bureau locations will be closed. The funds provided under this head should be sufficient to provide termination costs and to provide for environmental cleanup costs and for the required oversight and closeout of contracts. The managers understand that some contracts will require oversight through a logical completion point to ensure that the Federal investment is not lost. One example is the construction associated with the Casa Grande in situ copper leaching program. The managers expect that there will be few such cases and expect the Secretary to notify the Committees of the rationale for continuing specific contracts, not transferred to DOE, BLM or USGS, beyond the closure of the Bureau. The managers expect the Secretary to proceed apace with the termination of the Bureau using the funds provided herein.

OFFICE OF SURFACE MINING RECLAMATION AND ENFORCEMENT REGULATION AND TECHNOLOGY

Amendment No. 48: Appropriates \$95,970,000 for regulation and technology as proposed by the Senate instead of \$93,251,000 as proposed by the House.

ABANDONED MINE RECLAMATION FUND

Amendment No. 49: Appropriates \$173,887,000 for the abandoned mine reclamation fund instead of \$176,327,000 as proposed by the House and \$170,441,000 as proposed by the Senate.

The net decrease below the House consists of reductions of \$500,000 for donations, \$2,000,000 for reclamation program operations, and \$93,000 for administrative support; and increases of \$13,000 for executive direction and \$140,000 for general services.

Amendment No. 50: Deletes House earmark of \$5,000,000 for the Appalachian Clean Streams Initiative. The Senate had no similar provision.

Amendment No. 51: Deletes House provision that allowed the use of donations for the Appalachian Clean Streams Initiative. The Senate had no similar provision.

Amendment No. 52: Includes Senate provision which allows States to use part of their reclamation grants as a funding match to treat and abate acid mine drainage, consistent with the Surface Mining Control and Reclamation Act (SMCRA). The House had no similar provision.

BUREAU OF INDIAN AFFAIRS  
OPERATION OF INDIAN PROGRAMS

Amendment No. 53: Appropriates \$1,359,434,000 for the Operation of Indian Programs instead of \$1,509,628,000 as proposed by the House and \$1,261,234,000 as proposed by the Senate. Changes to the amount proposed by the House from Tribal Priority Allocations include decreases of \$1,500,000 for contract support, \$4,000,000 for small and needy tribes, and a general reduction of \$117,136,000.

Changes from Other Recurring Programs include: increases of \$1,109,000 for ISEP formula funds, \$1,000,000 for student transportation, and \$73,000 for Lake Roosevelt; and decreases of \$1,109,000 for ISEP adjustments, \$1,000,000 for early childhood development, and \$1,186,000 for community development—facilities O&M; and a transfer of \$3,047,000 from trust services to the Office of Special Trustee for American Indians.

Changes from Nonrecurring Programs include: increases of \$400,000 for Self Determination grants, \$1,500,000 for community economic development grants, \$250,000 for technical assistance, and \$1,500,000 for water rights negotiations; and decreases of \$442,000 for attorney fees and \$125,000 for resources management for absorption of pay costs.

Changes from Central Office Operations include: a decrease of \$126,000 for the substance abuse coordination office, a decrease of \$2,000,000 for education program management, a \$12,477,000 transfer from trust services to the Office of Special Trustee for American Indians, a transfer of \$447,000 from general administration to the Office of Special Trustee for American Indians, and a general reduction of \$14,400,000.

Changes from Area Office Operations include a transfer of \$2,367,000 from trust services to the Office of Special Trustee for American Indians and a general reduction of \$14,447,000.

Changes from Special Programs and Pooled Overhead include: increases of \$1,337,000 for special higher education scholarships, \$962,000 for the Indian Arts and Crafts Board, \$1,780,000 for intra-governmental billings, and \$57,000 for direct rentals; and decreases of \$866,000 for the Indian Child Welfare Act, \$1,500,000 for employee displacement costs, \$141,000 for personnel consolidation, \$664,000 for GSA rentals, \$1,666,000 for human resources development, and a \$23,000 general reduction.

Amendment No. 54: Deletes Senate earmark of \$962,000 for the Indian Arts and Crafts Board. The House had no similar provision. The managers agree that within Special Programs/Pooled Overhead, \$962,000 is earmarked for the Indian Arts and Crafts Board. In light of declining budgets, future funding for this program should be provided through non-Federal sources.

Amendment No. 55: Earmarks \$104,626,000 for contract support costs as proposed by the Senate instead of \$106,126,000 as proposed by the House and adds language earmarking \$100,255,000 for welfare assistance.

Amendment No. 56: Earmarks up to \$5,000,000 for the Indian Self-Determination fund as proposed by the Senate instead of \$5,000,000 as proposed by the House.

Amendment No. 57: Earmarks \$330,711,000 for school operations costs as proposed by the House instead of \$330,991,000 as proposed by the Senate.

Amendment No. 58: Earmarks \$68,209,000 for higher education scholarships, adult vocational training, and assistance to public schools instead of \$67,138,000 as proposed by the House and \$69,477,000 as proposed by the Senate.

Amendment No. 59: Retains a statutory reference to the Johnson O'Malley Act as proposed by the Senate. The House had no similar provision.

Amendment No. 60: Earmarks \$71,854,000 for housing improvement, road maintenance, attorney fees, litigation support, self-governance grants, the Indian Self-Determination Fund, and the Navajo-Hopi settlement program instead of \$74,814,000 as proposed by the House and \$62,328,000 as proposed by the Senate.

Amendment No. 61: Deletes a reference to trust fund management as proposed by the Senate. Responsibility for trust fund management has been transferred to the Office of Special Trustee for American Indians.

Amendment No. 62: Deletes reference to the statute of limitations language, as proposed by the Senate. This language is included in the Office of Special Trustee for American Indians (Amendment No. 80).

Amendment No. 63: Retains Senate language on the use of up to \$8,000,000 in unobligated balances for employee severance, relocation, and related expenses and inserts new language regarding the effective date when schools can adjust salary schedules. The House had no similar provision.

The managers agree that:

Under Other Recurring Programs \$409,000 is earmarked for Alaska legal services and salmon studies.

Not more than \$297,000 shall be available for a grant to the Close Up Foundation.

Amounts specifically earmarked within the bill for Tribal Priority Allocations are subject to the general reduction identified for Tribal Priority Allocations. The managers expect the Bureau to allocate the general reduction in a manner that will not jeopardize funding provided from the Highway Trust Fund for road maintenance. In addition, the general reduction should not be applied to the \$750,000 allocated for the Financial Management Improvement Team and for small and needy tribes. BIA should ensure that compacting and non-compacting tribes are treated consistently, except for compacting tribes who meet the criteria for small and needy tribes.

BIA should provide consistent treatment in allocating funds for small and needy tribes and new tribes. Allocations should be based on recommendations of the Joint Reorganization Task Force.

No funds are provided for the school statistics initiative. If the BIA wishes to pursue this initiative, the Committees will consider a reprogramming request.

Several steps must be completed before schools can adjust salary schedules. For this reason, bill language is included that will provide this authority beginning with the 1997-98 school year. The managers expect that within 30 days after enactment of this Act BIA should provide the Committees with a plan and time schedule advising how BIA will adjust salary schedules by the 1997-98 school year. The managers expect BIA to ensure that all necessary steps are taken to facilitate changes in salary rates for any schools desiring to use non-DOD pay rates.

\$16,338,000 from the Operation of Indian Programs should be transferred to the Office of Special Trustee for American Indians (see Amendment No. 80).

The managers have agreed to a reduction of \$2,000,000 for education program management in the Central Office Operations program. No reduction has been included for area and agency technical support in Other Recurring Programs. The managers expect the Bureau to review education program management at all levels to ensure that resources are properly allocated within the funding provided. If the Bureau wishes to re-allocate the funds for these accounts, a reprogramming request should be submitted to the Committees.

The managers expect the Bureau of Indian Affairs to direct the U.S. Geological Survey to provide for the public release of all interpretations of data and reports (draft and final) completed under interagency agreement number AGP00473.94 and all related amendments immediately upon completion of the water studies. Within 15 days of enactment of this Act the BIA shall report to the Committees its decision as to whether or not it will direct the USGS to provide for the public release of the information. If the BIA does not allow for the public release of the information, the BIA should immediately cancel the interagency agreement with the USGS.

The managers have not agreed to the Senate amendment regarding a prohibition of the use of funds for travel and training expenses for the BIA. However, the BIA is expected to follow the guidance detailed in the discussion of Amendment No. 163.

#### CONSTRUCTION

Amendment No. 64: Appropriates \$100,833,000 for construction instead of \$98,033,000 as proposed by the House and \$107,333,000 as proposed by the Senate. Changes to the amount proposed by the House include increases of \$4,500,000 for the Chief Leschi School, and \$2,500,000 for the fire protection program, and decreases of \$3,700,000 for the Navajo irrigation project and \$500,000 for engineering and supervision.

The managers agree that the Chief Leschi School complex project will be phased in over a two-year period.

The managers agree that funding provided for construction projects should include the entire cost of a given project, which eliminates the need for a separate appropriation for contract support.

#### INDIAN LAND AND WATER CLAIM SETTLEMENTS AND MISCELLANEOUS PAYMENTS TO INDIANS

Amendment No. 65: Appropriates \$80,645,000 for Indian land and water claim settlements and miscellaneous payments to Indians instead of \$75,145,000 as proposed by the House and \$82,745,000 as proposed by the Senate.

Amendment No. 66: Earmarks \$78,600,000 for land and water claim settlements as proposed by the Senate instead of \$73,100,000 as proposed by the House. Changes to the amount proposed by the House include an increase of \$5,500,000 for the Ute Indian settlement.

Amendment No. 67: Earmarks \$1,000,000 for trust fund deficiencies as proposed by the House instead of \$3,100,000 as proposed by the Senate.

#### TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE OF INDIAN ENTERPRISES

Amendment No. 68: Appropriates \$500,000 for technical assistance instead of \$900,000 as proposed by the Senate and no funds as proposed by the House.

#### INDIAN GUARANTEED LOAN PROGRAM ACCOUNT

Amendment No. 69: Appropriates \$5,000,000 for guaranteed loans instead of \$7,700,000 as proposed by the Senate and no funds as proposed by the House.

The managers agree that \$4,500,000 is for the cost of guaranteed loans and \$500,000 is for administrative expenses.

#### TERRITORIAL AND INTERNATIONAL AFFAIRS ASSISTANCE TO TERRITORIES

Amendment No. 70: Appropriates \$65,188,000 for Assistance to Territories instead of \$52,405,000 as proposed by the House and \$68,188,000 as proposed by the Senate. The changes to the amount proposed by the House include an increase of \$13,827,000 for territorial assistance and a decrease of \$1,044,000 for American Samoa operations grants. The amount provided for territorial assistance includes increases over the House of \$5,650,000 for technical assistance, \$2,400,000 for maintenance assistance, \$1,500,000 for management controls, and \$750,000 for disaster assistance.

Amendment No. 71: Earmarks \$3,527,000 for the Office of Insular Affairs as proposed by the Senate instead of no funds as proposed by the House. The managers agree that the Office of Territorial and International Affairs is abolished along with the Office of the Assistant for Territorial and International Affairs. The funding provided is for staff to carry out the Secretary's mandated responsibilities and is to be located under the Assistant Secretary for Policy, Management and Budget. This action is consistent with the reorganization already approved by the Appropriations Committees.

Amendment No. 72: Retains Senate language directing the use of funds for technical assistance, maintenance assistance and disaster assistance.

#### COMPACT OF FREE ASSOCIATION

Amendment No. 73: Deletes House proposed language and funding for impact aid to Guam as proposed by the Senate.

The managers agree that Guam should be compensated for the impact caused by immigration from the freely associated states as authorized under the Compact of Free Association. Funding for compact impact shall be provided by a re-allocation of existing mandatory grant funds as discussed under Amendment No. 89.

#### DEPARTMENTAL OFFICES

#### DEPARTMENTAL MANAGEMENT

#### SALARIES AND EXPENSES

Amendment Nos. 74 and 75: The managers agree to the Senate language which changes the account name from Office of the Secretary to Departmental Management.

Amendment No. 76: Appropriates \$57,796,000 for departmental management as proposed by the Senate instead of \$53,919,000 as proposed by the House. A redistribution has been made which includes reductions of \$296,000 to the Secretary's immediate office and \$51,000 to Congressional Affairs. These funds have been transferred to Central Services.

The managers agree that these accounts have been restrained over recent years and that coordination of the Department's programs, particularly during the ongoing downsizing and restructuring process, is critical to ensure the overall effectiveness of the Department's programs. However, the managers feel that it is important to restrain these offices at the 1995 level considering that most of the Department's programs have sustained reductions, or face elimination, and all are being directed to absorb their uncontrollable expenses. The managers also recognize the need to have flexibility in the Departmental Offices to manage within reduced funding levels and with the displacements and uncertainties caused by reductions-in-force. Therefore, the managers agree that the Department may reprogram funds without limitation among the program elements within the four activities. However, any reprogramming among the four activities must follow the normal reprogramming guidelines.

The managers strongly support language included in the House Report which encourages each agency to reduce levels of review and management in order to cover the costs associated with pay raises and inflation. The Department should carefully review and eliminate excessive or duplicated positions associated with Congressional an Public Affairs offices.

Amendment No. 77: Deletes Senate language which prohibits the use of official reception funds prior to the filing of the Charter for the Western Water Policy Review Commission. The House had no similar provision.

#### CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT

Amendment No. 78: Appropriates \$500,000 as proposed by the Senate instead of no funding as proposed by the House.

The managers agree to retain the core policy function from the Office of Construction Management in the Office of Policy, Management and Budget. The balance of the programs are transferred to BIA construction.

#### NATIONAL INDIAN GAMING COMMISSION

Amendment No. 79: Modifies language inserted by the Senate requiring a report detailing information on Indian tribes or tribal organizations with gaming operations. The modification changes the date the report is due to March 1, 1996. The House had no similar provision.

#### OFFICE OF SPECIAL TRUSTEE FOR AMERICAN INDIANS

#### FEDERAL TRUST PROGRAMS

Amendment No. 80: Appropriates \$16,338,000 for Federal trust programs in the Office of Special Trustee for American Indians and establishes this new account as proposed by the Senate. The House had no similar provision.

The managers agree to the following transfers from the Operations of Indian Programs account within the Bureau of Indian Affairs as proposed by the Senate: \$3,047,000 from Other Recurring Programs for financial trust services; \$2,367,000 from Area Office Operations for financial trust services; and \$10,924,000 from Central Office Operations, including \$10,447,000 for the Office of Trust Funds Management.

The managers concur with the need for establishing the office as articulated in the Senate report. The managers believe that the Special Trustee will be effective in implementing reforms in the Bureau of Indian Affairs only to the extent that the Trustee has authority over the human and financial resources supporting trust programs. Lacking such authority, the Trustee cannot be held accountable and the likely result will be simply one more office pointing out the shortcomings of the Bureau of Indian Affairs.

Furthermore, under the current financial constraints facing the Committees and the various downsizing activities taking place in the Department, it is essential that the Committees have a clear understanding of the organizational structure supporting trust programs and an assurance that the significant general reductions proposed to be taken against the Bureau of Indian Affairs do not impair the Secretary's ability to manage trust assets. The managers are aware that there may be additional activities that could be transferred to the Office and encourage the Special Trustee, the Department, the Bureau of Indian Affairs, the tribes, and the Office of Management and Budget to work closely with the appropriations and authorizing committees to identify the activities and related resources to be transferred.

Any increase in funding or staffing for the Office of Special Trustee should be considered within the context of the fiscal year

1997 budget request and with consideration for funding constraints and the downsizing occurring throughout the Department, particularly within the Bureau of Indian Affairs.

The managers have recommended funding in a simplified budget structure to allow the Special Trustee some flexibility in establishing the office and the budget structure. Prior to submission of the fiscal year 1997 budget request, the managers expect the Special Trustee to work with the Committees to establish an appropriate budget structure for the Office.

The managers expect the Special Trustee to provide by December 1, 1996 a detailed operating plan for financial trust services for fiscal year 1996. The plan should detail what specific activities relating to the reconciliation effort will be undertaken, both directly by the Office of Special Trustee and by its contractors. The plan should detail what products will be provided to the tribes and the Congress and when such products will be submitted. The plan should include staffing for financial trust services, including the number of vacant positions and when the positions are expected to be filled.

Within the funds provided, support should be provided to the Intertribal Monitoring Association (ITMA). The managers expect ITMA to provide the Special Trustee with any information that is provided to the Appropriations or authorizing committees. If the Office of the Special Trustee plans to continue funding ITMA in fiscal year 1997, the managers expect the Special Trustee to identify the funds to be available for ITMA in the fiscal year 1997 budget request.

To the extent possible, the managers expect that administrative support services will continue to be provided by the Bureau of Indian Affairs during fiscal year 1996. To the extent that resources exist within the Office of Special Trustee for budgeting or other administrative services, these activities should be provided by the Office of Special Trustee, rather than through the Bureau of Indian Affairs. The managers have not included any funds for overhead costs, such as GSA rent, postage, FTS-2000, PAY/PERS, or workers' compensation. These costs should be paid from the Operation of Indian Programs account during fiscal year 1996. The fiscal year 1997 budget should include appropriate overhead amounts in the Office of the Special Trustee.

#### ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISIONS

Amendment No. 81: Retains language inserted by the Senate changing the name of "Office of the Secretary" to "Departmental Management".

#### DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

##### GENERAL PROVISIONS

Amendment No. 82: Deletes an unnecessary comma as proposed by the Senate.

Amendment No. 83: Retains the House language stricken by the Senate granting the Secretary of the Interior authority to transfer land acquisition funds between the Bureau of Land Management, the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and the National Park Service.

Amendment No. 84: Modifies language proposed by the House and stricken by the Senate regarding the expenditure of funds for the Presidio. The managers are aware of legislation which may be enacted regarding the future management of the Presidio in California and have provided a funding limitation in order for the Congress to consider legislation this fall. In light of declining budgets, the managers recognize the need for an alternative approach for the Presidio that does not require additional appropriations from the Interior bill. Because the authorizing legislation may be enacted early in fiscal

year 1996, the managers have included language which restricts how much funding can be obligated on a monthly basis for the first quarter of the fiscal year. However, if legislation is not enacted, the managers also recognize the need for National Park Service to be able to fulfill its management and resource protection responsibilities at the Presidio. Thus, the obligation limitation would be lifted on December 31, 1995.

Because of concerns about sufficient resources remaining available to address the requirements of any authorization regarding the Presidio Trust, the managers expect the National Park Service to notify the relevant House and Senate appropriations and authorizing committees before awarding any major contracts after December 31, 1995, and prior to the establishment of the Presidio Trust once it is authorized.

Amendment No. 85: Restores language proposed by the House and stricken by the Senate repealing provisions of the Oil Pollution Act of 1990 with respect to Outer Continental Shelf leases offshore North Carolina. The repeal of this statute is not intended to excuse the United States from the liabilities, if any, it has incurred to date nor to otherwise affect pending litigation.

Amendment No. 86: Retains language proposed by the Senate limiting the allocation of self-governance funds to Indian tribes in the State of Washington if a tribe adversely impacts rights of nontribal owners of land within the tribe's reservation. The House had no similar provision.

Amendment No. 87: Retains language proposed by the Senate which requires the Department of the Interior to issue a specific schedule for the completion of the Lake Cushman Land Exchange Act within 30 days of enactment and to complete the exchange by September 30, 1996. The House had no similar provision.

Amendment No. 88: Retains Senate language authorizing the National Park Service to expend funds for maintenance and repair of the Company Creek Road in Lake Chelan National Recreation Area and providing that, unless specifically authorized, no funds may be used for improving private property. The House had no similar provision.

Amendment No. 89: Revises language proposed by the Senate to reallocate mandatory grant payments of \$27,720,000 to the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands (CNMI).

The managers agree that for fiscal years 1996 through 2002 the CNMI shall receive \$11,000,000 annually. This is consistent with total funding, matching requirements, and terms negotiated and set forth in the agreement executed on December 17, 1992, between the special representative of the President of the United States and the special representatives of the Governor of the Northern Mariana Islands.

The managers agree that Guam shall receive impact aid of \$4,580,000 in fiscal year 1996. This funding level shall continue through fiscal year 2001, as authorized by the Compact of Free Association. The managers agree that these grant funds must be used for infrastructure needs, as determined by the Government of Guam.

The managers agree that \$7,700,000 shall be allocated for capital improvement grants to American Samoa in fiscal year 1996 and that higher levels of funding may be required in future years to fund the highest priority projects identified in a master plan. The managers have agreed to language directing the Secretary to develop such a master plan in conjunction with the Government of American Samoa. The plan is to be reviewed by the Army Corps of Engineers before it is submitted to the Congress and is to be updated annually as part of the budget justification.

The managers understand that renovation of hospital facilities in American Samoa has been identified as one of the more critical and high priority needs. The Secretary of the Interior and the American Samoa Government are reminded that Congress required the creation of a hospital authority as a condition to Federal funding of health care facilities. The managers expect the existing hospital authority in American Samoa to be supported by the American Samoa Government so that it continues the purpose of improving the quality and management of health care.

The managers agree that \$4,420,000 shall be allocated in fiscal year 1996 for resettlement of Rongelap Atoll. Language has been included that total additional contributions, including funding provided in this bill, may not exceed \$32,000,000 and are contingent on an agreement that such contributions are a full and final settlement of all obligations of the United States to assist in the resettlement of Rongelap.

The managers have deleted language provisions proposed by the Senate which would legislate on several matters including minimum wage, immigration, and local employment in the Northern Mariana Islands.

The managers agree that the Secretary of the Interior should continue to submit an annual "State of the Islands" report. This report has been submitted for the past four years in accordance with Committee directives and is a valuable source of information for the Congress.

#### TITLE II—RELATED AGENCIES

##### DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

##### FOREST SERVICE

##### FOREST RESEARCH

Amendment No. 90: Appropriates \$178,000,000 for forest research instead of \$182,000,000 as proposed by the House and \$177,000,000 as proposed by the Senate.

For forestry research, the managers reaffirm support for the consolidation of budget line items, to provide the agency additional flexibility with restructuring, and to allow efficiencies and cost savings as require to meet funding reductions. The managers agree that no forest and range experiment station, research program, or research project should be held harmless from decreases that would impose disproportionate reductions to other research activities. The agency should maintain its focus on core research activities including forestry research that support initiatives relating both to public and private forest lands, and cooperative research efforts involving the universities as well as the private sector, directed at forest management, resource utilization and productivity. The managers urge the Forest Service to avoid location closures where research is not conducted elsewhere, and to consolidate programs that are spread over multiple locations. The managers are particularly concerned that silvicultural and hardwood utilization research continue given the large number of public and private forests which rely on this research.

In addition, the managers note the growing importance of data and other information collected through the Forest Inventory Analysis (FIA) program and the resulting statewide forest inventories. The analysis and collection of information directed at forest health conditions on public and private forest lands has become especially important in recent years.

The managers have included \$300,000 for landscape management research at the University of Washington, \$479,000 for Cook County Ecosystem project, and \$200,000 for

research at the Olympic Natural Resources Center in Forks, WA.

STATE AND PRIVATE FORESTRY

Amendment No. 91: Appropriates \$136,794,000 for State and private forestry as proposed by the Senate but deletes Senate earmarks for cooperative lands fire management and the stewardship incentives program. The House provided \$129,551,000 for State and private forestry.

The net increase above the House includes increases of \$4,500,000 for the stewardship incentives program, \$3,000,000 for forest legacy program, and \$5,500,000 for economic action programs; and reductions of \$2,000,000 from forest health management, \$621,000 from cooperative lands fire management, \$1,636,000 for forest stewardship and \$1,500,000 for urban and community forestry.

The managers agree to the following distribution of funds within economic action programs:

Forest products conservation and recovery .....	\$1,000,000
Economic recovery .....	5,000,000
Rural development .....	4,800,000
Wood in transportation .....	1,200,000
Columbia River Gorge, economic grants to counties .....	2,500,000

The managers agree that \$2,880,000 within rural development be allocated to the Northeast and Midwest, and that no funds are provided for economic diversification studies.

INTERNATIONAL FORESTRY

The managers agree that up to \$4,000,000 for Forest Service funds may be utilized for purposes previously funded through the International Forestry appropriation. Domestic activities requiring international contacts will continue to be funded, as in the past, by the appropriate domestic benefiting program. The managers reiterate their expectations that the Service curtail foreign travel expenditures in light of budget constraints.

Operations formerly funded by International Forestry or other appropriations, other than research activities, of the International Institute of Tropical Forestry, Puerto Rico and the Institute of Pacific Islands Forestry, Hawaii may continue to be funded as appropriate. As with other programs, it may be necessary to reduce funding for these institutes due to budget constraints. Research activities will be funded from the Forest Research appropriation.

The managers also expect the Forest Service to examine the best means to provide leadership in international forestry activities and meet essential representation and liaison responsibilities with foreign governments and international organizations, and agree that the Forest Service should not maintain a separate deputy chief for international forestry.

NATIONAL FOREST SYSTEM

Amendment No. 92 Appropriates \$1,256,253,000 for the national forest system instead of \$1,266,688,000 as proposed by the House and \$1,247,543,000 as proposed by the Senate.

The net decrease below the House consists of reductions of \$5,750,000 for recreation management, \$1,750,000 for wilderness management, \$435,000 for heritage resources, \$1,750,000 for wildlife habitat management, \$1,000,000 for inland fish habitat management, \$1,750,000 for threatened and endangered species habitat management; and increases of \$1,000,000 for road maintenance, and \$1,000,000 for facility maintenance.

The managers expect the land agencies to begin to rebuild and restore the public timber programs on national forests and BLM lands. With the modest increase in funding provided, the Forest Service is expected to

produce 2.6 billion board feet of green sales. With enactment of the new salvage initiative (P.L. 104-19) in response to the emergency forest health situation, the agencies are expected to proceed aggressively to expedite the implementation of existing programmed salvage volumes, with the expectation that the Forest Service will produce an additional increment of 1.5 BBF over the expected sale program for fiscal year 1996. The managers expect a total fiscal year 1996 Forest Service sale accomplishment level off 5.6 BBF, and note that this is nearly half the level authorized for sale just five years ago. The Forest Service is to report timber sale accomplishments on the basis of net sawtimber sold and awarded to purchasers, and on the volume offered. Those regions of the country which sell products other than sawtimber should continue to report accomplishments in the same manner as used in the forest plans. The reports are to provide information on both green and salvage sales.

The managers encourage the Forest Service to use up to \$350,000 to commission a third party field review of the environmental impacts and the economic efficiency of the emergency forest salvage program mandated by section 2001 of P.L. 104-19. The managers believe that funding such a review can be appropriately undertaken through the timber salvage sale fund.

The managers note the difference between the House and Senate reports pertaining to tree measurement and timber scaling. The managers also note that House Report 103-551 specifically allow Forest Service managers to use scaling when selling salvage sales or thinnings. The managers expect the Forest Service to use fully the flexibility authorized in House Report 103-551 for rapidly deteriorating timber, and to use sample weight scaling for the sale of low value thinnings. Further, the managers direct the Forest Service to undertake a study to identify: (1) which measurement method is more cost efficient; (2) to assess what percent of timber theft cases involve scaling irregularities and whether tree measurement discourages timber theft; (3) which measurement method is more efficient when environmental modifications are needed after a sale has been awarded; and (4) assess the agency's ability to perform cruising required under tree measurement. The study will measure Forest Service performance based on Forest Service Handbook cruise standards, including identifying how often uncertified employees are involved in cruise efforts. The Forest Service shall contract with an established independent contractor skilled in both cruising and scaling and report back to the Committees no later than March 1, 1996.

The conference agreement includes \$400,000 for the development of a plan for preserving and managing the former Joliet Arsenal property as a National tallgrass prairie. The managers are aware of legislation to establish the Midewin National Tallgrass Prairie and urge the Forest Service to take such steps as are necessary, including a reprogramming, to begin implementing the legislation when enacted. The managers also urge the Forest Service to seek full funding for the Midewin National Tallgrass Prairie as part of its fiscal year 1997 budget request.

The managers are concerned about the many programs in the President's Forest Plan designed to provide assistance to timber dependent communities in the Pacific Northwest. The managers are disturbed by the inability of the agencies involved to provide a detailed accounting of funds appropriated in previous fiscal years for the unemployed timber worker programs in the President's Forest Plan.

The managers expect the Secretary of the Interior and the Secretary of Agriculture to

prepare a detailed accounting and report of the funds appropriated in fiscal year 1995 for the President's Forest plan. The report shall include a careful accounting of appropriated funding, including: funds appropriated for timber production; administrative expenses, including the number of Federal employees employed to administer the various aspects of the President's plan; funds appropriated for the various jobs programs allowed for under the President's plan, including but not limited to the Jobs in the Woods program; the number of individuals employed by these programs; and the average length of each job. The managers expect the Secretaries to submit the report to the Committees no later than March 31, 1996.

The managers are concerned that the Forest Service reallocates funding pursuant to reprogramming requests before they are transmitted to Congress. The managers direct the Forest Service to adhere to the reprogramming guidelines, and not reallocate funds until the Appropriations Committees have had an opportunity to review these proposals.

The managers believe that additional opportunities exist for contracting Forest Service activities, and encourage expanding the use of contractors wherever possible.

WILDLIFE FIRE MANAGEMENT

Amendment No. 93: Changes the account title to Wildland Fire Management as proposed by the Senate, instead of Fire Protection and Emergency Suppression as proposed by the House.

Amendment No. 94: Appropriates \$385,485,000 for wildland fire management as proposed by the House instead of \$381,485,000 as proposed by the Senate.

CONSTRUCTION

Amendment No. 95: Appropriates \$163,500,000 for construction, instead of \$120,000,000 as proposed by the House and \$186,888,000 as proposed by the Senate.

The increase above the House includes \$23,500,000 for facilities, \$5,000,000 for road construction, and \$15,000,000 for trail construction. Within the total for facilities, the conference agreement includes \$36,000,000 for recreation, \$10,000,000 for FA&O, and \$2,500,000 for research.

The managers agree to the following earmarks within recreation construction:

Allegheny NF, rehabilitation .....	\$150,000
Bead Lake, WA, boating access .....	60,000
Bead Lake, WA, roads .....	176,000
Columbia River Gorge Discovery Center, OR, completion .....	2,500,000
Cradle of Forestry, NC, utilities ..	500,000
Daniel Boone NF, KY, rehabilitation .....	660,000
Gum Springs Recreation Area, LA, rehabilitation phase II .....	400,000
Johnston Ridge Observatory, WA	500,000
Johnston Ridge Observatory, WA, roads .....	550,000
Lewis and Clark Interpretive Center, MT, completion .....	2,700,000
Multnomah Falls, OR, sewer system .....	190,000
Northern Great Lakes Visitor Center, WI .....	1,965,000
Seneca Rocks, WV visitor center, completion .....	1,400,000
Timberline Lodge, OR, water system improvements and new reservoir .....	750,000
Winding Stair Mountain National Recreation and Wilderness Area, OK, improvements .....	682,000

The managers agree that for the Northern Great Lakes Visitor Center, WI, funding is provided with the understanding that the project cost is to be matched 50% by the State of Wisconsin.

The conference agreement includes \$95,000,000 for roads to be allocated as follows: \$57,000,000 for timber roads, \$26,000,000 for recreation roads, and \$12,000,000 for general purpose roads.

The managers remain interested in Forest Service plans for restoring Grey Towers, and are concerned about the cost of the project. The managers expect the Forest Service to continue the implementation of the master plan for Grey Towers and to explore additional partnerships that can help cost-share required restoration work. The Forest Service should work with the Committees to provide a better understanding of the needs of Grey Towers and explore ways to reduce the cost to the Federal government.

The managers concur in the reprogramming request currently pending for Johnston Ridge Observatory and Timberline Lodge sewer system.

Amendment No. 96: Earmarks \$2,500,000 and unobligated project balances for a grant to the "Non-Profit Citizens for the Columbia Gorge Discovery Center," and authorizes the conveyance of certain land, as proposed by the Senate. The House included no similar provision.

Amendment No. 97: Includes Senate provision which authorizes funds appropriated in 1991 for a new research facility at the University of Missouri, Columbia, to be available as a grant for construction of the facility, and provides that the Forest Service shall receive free space in the building. The House had no similar provision.

#### LAND ACQUISITION

Amendment No. 98: Appropriates \$41,200,000 instead of \$14,600,000 as proposed by the House and \$41,167,000 as proposed by the Senate. The \$41,200,000 includes \$7,500,000 for acquisition management, \$2,000,000 for emergency and inholding purchases, \$1,000,000 for wilderness protection, \$1,725,000 for cash equalization of land exchanges, and \$28,975,000 for land purchase.

Amendment No. 99: Strikes Senate earmark for Mt. Jumbo.

Amendment No. 100: Strikes Senate earmark for Kane Experimental Forest.

The managers expect that any movement of acquisition funds from one project to another regardless of circumstances must follow normal reprogramming guidelines. The managers have deleted all references to specific earmarkings included in the Senate report.

The managers continue to encourage strongly the use of land exchanges as a way in which to protect important recreational or environmentally significant lands, in lieu of the Federal Government acquiring lands. The managers believe that land exchanges represent a more cost-effective way in which to do business and encourage the Forest Service to give high priority to those exchanges either nearing completion, or where land management decisions are made particularly difficult due to checkerboard ownership.

The managers are concerned about the long history of problems associated with the implementation of land acquisition provisions in the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Act. To date, nearly \$40 million has been spent on land acquisitions in the Gorge, and the Forest Service estimates that nearly \$20-\$30 million in remaining land is left to be acquired. The Gorge Act authorizes land exchanges in the area, and while several exchanges have been completed, a substantial number of acres remain to be acquired to fulfill the purposes of the Scenic Act. The managers strongly support the use of land exchanges versus land acquisitions. The managers understand that the Forest Service has the existing statutory authority to conduct land exchanges in the Scenic Area, including tripartite land-for-timber exchanges.

The managers encourage the Forest Service to enter into land exchanges, including tripartite land exchanges, with willing land owners in the Gorge to diminish the need for future acquisitions.

#### ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISIONS, FOREST SERVICE

Amendment No. 101: Retains Senate provision which prohibits any reorganization without the consent of the appropriations and authorizing committees and adds a provision exempting the relocation of the Region 5 regional offices from the requirement to obtain the consent of the authorizing and appropriations committees. The House had no similar provision.

The managers are concerned that the Forest Service is being required to move the Regional Office in Atlanta, Georgia from its present location to a new Federal Center in downtown Atlanta at greatly increased costs. At the same time, accessibility for both the public and employees will be made more difficult. Requiring the Forest Service to absorb increased costs for no increase in effectiveness or efficiency is not acceptable. The managers agree that any relocation of the Atlanta office can occur only pursuant to the bill language restrictions which require the advance approval of the authorizing and appropriations committees. This will allow the committees the opportunity to examine closely the costs and benefits of any such proposal, and require the Administration to justify fully any additional expenditures.

Amendment No. 102: Includes Senate provision which adds the Committee on Energy and Natural Resources to the list of committees which must approve reorganizations pursuant to amendment No. 101. The House had no similar provision.

Amendment No. 103: Includes the Senate provision which adds the Committee on Resources to the list of committees which must approve reorganizations pursuant to amendment No. 101. The House had no similar provision.

Amendment No. 104: Modifies Senate provision by deleting the prohibition on changes to the appropriations structure without advance approval of the Appropriations Committees, and substituting language allowing the relocation of the Region 5 regional office to Mare Island in Vallejo, CA, subject to the existing reprogramming guidelines. The House had no similar provision.

The conference agreement includes bill language which provides authority to finance costs associated with the relocation of the Region 5 regional office to excess military property at Mare Island Naval Shipyard at Vallejo, CA, from any Forest Service account. However, the managers expect a reprogramming request which justifies the relocation and identifies the source of funds to be used before funds are reallocated for this purpose. The allocation of other regions are not to be reduced in order to finance the move.

Amendment No. 105: Retains House language stricken by the Senate providing that 80 percent of the funds for the "Jobs in the Woods" program for National Forest land in the State of Washington be granted to the State Department of Fish and Wildlife. The Senate had no similar provision.

Amendment No. 106: Deletes House provision relating to songbirds on the Shawnee NF. The Senate had no similar provision.

Amendment No. 107: Deletes Senate provision which prohibits revision or implementation of a new Tongass Land Management Plan. The House had no similar provision.

Amendment No. 108: Modifies Senate provision requiring implementation of the Tongass Land Management Plan, Alternative P, during fiscal year 1996, and allows continuation of the current Tongass Na-

tional Forest land management planning process which may replace or modify Alternative P. Language is also included relating to offering certain timber sales in Alaska, and making permanent section 502 of Public Law 104-19 relating to habitat conservation areas in the Tongass National Forest. The House had no similar provision.

The managers appreciate the critical need to resolve land and resource management issues relating to the Tongass National forest in Southeast Alaska and further recognize that, to date, the Congress has provided sufficient guidance and funding for the Forest Service to develop a workable land management plan. Therefore, the Forest Service is directed to implement the preferred alternative identified in the Final Environmental Impact Statement dated October 1992 and its companion Record of Decision draft dated February 1993. The Forest Service may amend that plan to include a signed agreement between the Forest Service and the Alaska Visitors' Association, and is directed otherwise to proceed with timber sales and other plan features in accordance with this plan. The current plan revision process may continue, provided that any proposed revisions shall, to the maximum extent possible, contain no fewer acres of suitable timber lands than in the plan selected by this bill and any revision shall not take effect during fiscal year 1996.

Amendment No. 109: Includes Senate provision which prohibits applying paint to rocks or rock colorization. The House included no similar provision.

#### DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

##### FOSSIL ENERGY RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT

Amendment No. 110: Appropriates \$417,169,000 for fossil energy research and development instead of \$379,524,000 as proposed by the House and \$376,181,000 as proposed by the Senate. The amendment also provides for the transfer of authority for health and safety research in mines and the mineral industry from the Bureau of Mines (see amendment No. 47). Changes to the amount proposed by the House for coal research include an increase of \$2,000,000 for Kalina cycle testing and decreases of \$1,500,000 in coal preparation research, \$1,650,000 for HRI proof of concept testing and \$1,000,000 for bench scale research in the direct liquefaction program, \$1,000,000 for in house research in the high efficiency integrated gasification combined cycle program, \$500,000 for filters testing and evaluation in the high efficiency pressurized fluidized bed program, and \$300,000 for international program support and \$1,000,000 for university coal research in advanced research and technology development. Changes to the amount proposed by the House for oil technology research include increases of \$1,500,000 for a data repository, \$250,000 for the gypsy field project and \$250,000 for the northern midcontinent digital petroleum atlas in exploration and supporting research, and decreases of \$1,000,000 for the National laboratory/industry partnership and \$1,000,000 for extraction in exploration and supporting research, \$2,000,000 for the heavy oil/unconsolidated Gulf Coast project in the recovery field demonstrations program, and \$1,100,000 as a general reduction to the processing research and downstream operations program. Changes to the amount proposed by the House for natural gas research include decreases of \$440,000 for conversion of natural gases to liquid fuels, \$130,000 for the international gas technology information center and \$30,000 for low quality gas upgrading in the utilization program and \$1,000,000 for the advanced concepts/tubular solid oxide fuel

cell program. Other changes to the House recommended level include increases of \$40,000,000 for health and safety research (\$35 million) and materials partnerships (\$5 million) which are being transferred from the Bureau of Mines \$6,295,000 for cooperative research and development and \$5,000,000 for program direction at the energy technology centers and a decrease of \$4,000,000 for environmental restoration.

The funds provided for cooperative research and development include \$295,000 for technical and program management support and \$3,000,000 each for the Western Research Institute and the University of North Dakota Energy and Environmental Research Center. Within the funds provided for WRI and UNDEERC, the managers agree that a percentage comparable to the fiscal year 1995 rate may be used for the base research program, and the balance is to be used for the jointly sponsored research program.

The managers have included an increase of \$5,000,000 for program direction, which is \$1,000,000 less than recommended in the Senate bill. The managers expect the Department to allocate these funds commensurate with the program distributions in this bill. The various program and support functions of the field locations should continue to be funded out of the same line-items as in fiscal year 1995.

The managers are aware of proposals regarding the future field office structure of the fossil energy program. The managers take no position on the specifics of the various aspects of the strategic realignment initiative at this time as many of the details are not yet available. The managers expect the Department to comply fully with the reprogramming guidelines before proceeding with implementation of any reorganization or relocation. The managers are concerned about the basis for estimated savings, personnel impacts, budget changes, transition plans, and how any proposed integration will address market requirements and utilization.

In any proposal to privatize the National Institute for Petroleum and Energy Research (NIPER), the Department should seek competitively a non-Federal entity to acquire NIPER and to make such investments and changes as may be necessary to enable the private entity to perform high-value research and development services and compete with other organizations for private and public sector work. In the interim, to the extent the program level for oil technology allows, the Department is encouraged to maintain as much of the program at NIPER as possible.

With respect to the functions of the Bureau of Mines which have been transferred to the Department of Energy, the managers expect the Department to continue to identify the resources being allocated for these purposes and not to subsume these functions into other budget line-items within the fossil energy account. The Secretary should maintain the transferred functions and personnel at their current locations. In fiscal year 1996, any staffing reductions required to accommodate the funding level provided for health and safety research should be taken from within this activity and should not affect any other elements of the fossil energy research and development organization. Likewise, any additional or vacant positions which are required for the health and safety research function should be filled with Bureau of Mines employees who are subject to termination or reduction-in-force. The managers strongly encourage the Administration, and particularly the Office of Management and Budget, to work toward consolidating these health and safety functions in the same agency with either the Mine Safety

and Health Administration or the National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health.

The managers do not object to the use of up to \$18,000,000 in clean coal technology program funds for administration of the clean coal program. The managers are concerned that a clean coal project was recently changed without addressing Congressional concerns that were raised before and during the application review period. The managers expect the Secretary, to the extent possible, to ensure that the sulfur dioxide facility which was approved as part of the NOXSO clean coal project is constructed so as to begin operation when the elemental sulfur is available from the NOXSO process. The managers also expect the Department to report to the legislative committees of jurisdiction as well as the Appropriations Committees in the House and Senate on the rationale for approving the construction of a sulfur dioxide plant as part of the NOXSO project. As the remaining projects in the clean coal program proceed, the Department should focus on technologies that relate directly to the objectives of the program.

Amendment No. 111: Deletes language inserted by the Senate requiring that any new project start be substantially cost-shared with a private entity. The House had no similar provision. The managers expect the Department to make every effort to increase the percentage of non-Federal cost-sharing in its research and development projects.

#### NAVAL PETROLEUM AND OIL SHALE RESERVES

Amendment No. 112: Appropriates \$148,786,000 for the Naval petroleum and oil shale reserves instead of \$151,028,000 as proposed by the House and \$136,028,000 as proposed by the Senate.

Amendment No. 113: Repeals the restriction on conducting studies with respect to the sale of the Naval petroleum and oil shale reserves as proposed by the Senate. The House had no similar provision.

#### ENERGY CONSERVATION

Amendment No. 114: Appropriates \$553,293,000 for energy conservation instead of \$556,371,000 as proposed by the House and \$576,976,000 as proposed by the Senate. Changes to the amount proposed by the House for the buildings program include increases of \$150,000 for the foam insulation project in the building envelope program, \$100,000 for lighting and appliance collaboratives in commercial buildings in the building equipment program and \$1,140,000 for energy efficiency standards for Federal buildings in the codes and standards program, and decreases of \$400,000 for residential buildings/building America, \$3,000 for residential energy efficiency/climate change action plan, and \$1,500,000 for partnership America/climate change action plan in building systems; \$150,000 as a general reduction to materials and structures in building envelope; \$450,000 as a general reduction to lighting and \$100,000 for appliance technology introduction partnerships/climate change action plan in building equipment; and \$3,060,000 as a general reduction to the codes and standards program, consistent with the moratorium on issuing new standards (see amendment No. 157).

Changes to the amount proposed by the House for the industry program include an increase of \$3,000,000 in industrial wastes to maintain the NICE3 program at the fiscal year 1995 level and decreases of \$300,000 for combustion in the municipal solid waste program, \$1,000,000 as a general reduction to the metals initiative in the materials and metals processing program with the expectation that none of the reduction is to be applied to the electrochemical dezincing project, \$200,000 as a general reduction for alternative

feedstocks and \$700,000 as a general reduction for process development in the other process efficiency program, and \$2,000,000 for environmental technology partnerships in implementation and deployment.

Changes to the amount proposed by the House for the transportation program include increases of \$990,000 for metal matrix composites in vehicle systems materials; \$200,000 for turbine engine technologies, \$200,000 for the ceramic turbine engine demonstration project, \$4,500,000 for automotive piston technologies, and \$612,000 for combustion and emissions research and development in heat engine technologies; and \$16,228,000 for on-board hydrogen proton exchange membrane fuel cells and \$2,900,000 for fuel cell research and development in electric and hybrid propulsion development. Decreases from the House include \$1,200,000 for fuel cells/battery materials and \$500,000 as a general reduction in materials technology; \$1,000,000 as a general reduction in vehicle systems materials; \$6,462,000 as a general reduction to light duty engine technologies in the heat engine technologies program; and \$500,000 for battery development, \$1,000,000 to terminate the phosphoric acid fuel cell bus program and \$15,528,000 as a general reduction for fuel cell development in the electric and hybrid propulsion development program.

Changes to the amount proposed by the House for the technical and financial assistance program include an increase of \$3,250,000 for the weatherization assistance program and a decrease of \$295,000 for the inventions and innovations program.

The managers have agreed to the Senate bill language restricting the issuance of new or amended standards in the codes and standards program (see amendment Nos. 156 and 157).

The managers agree that:

1. The Department should aggressively pursue increased cost sharing;
2. Projects that prove to be uneconomical or fail to produce desired results should be terminated;
3. The fiscal year 1997 budget should continue the trend of program downsizing with the focus on completing existing commitments;
4. Ongoing programs should not be grouped under the umbrella of large initiatives and described as new programs in the budget;
5. There should be no new program starts without compelling justification and identified funding offsets;
6. the home energy rating system pilot program should be continued with the existing pilot States; within the funds available for HERS, the managers expect the department to work with Mississippi and other non-pilot program States on the States' home energy rating system;
7. There is no objection to continuing the student vehicle competition in the transportation program at the current year funding level;
8. The Department should work with the States to determine what other programs should be included in a block grant type program along with the consolidated State energy conservation program/institutional conservation program;
9. There is no objection to continuing the interagency agreement with the Department of Housing and Urban Development for public assisted housing and other low-income initiatives to the extent that HUD reimburses the Department for this work;
10. The Office of Industrial Technologies may procure capital equipment using operating funds, subject to the existing reprogramming guidelines;
11. The Department should work with the Office of Management and Budget and the General Services Administration to ensure

that agencies fund energy efficiency improvements in Federal buildings;

12. The Department should increase private sector investment through energy savings performance contracts in the Federal energy management program and should develop mechanisms to be reimbursed for these efforts;

13. The Department should submit a new five year program plan for the transportation program in light of current funding constraints; and

14. There are no specific restrictions on the number of contacts to be let for the long term battery development effort or activities within the electric and hybrid vehicle program. Given the level of funding provided, the Department should examine carefully its options in these areas in close coordination with its industry cooperators.

Amendment No. 115: Earmarks \$140,696,00 for State energy grant programs instead of \$148,946,000 as proposed by the House and \$168,946,000 as proposed by the Senate.

Amendment No. 116: Earmarks \$114,196,000 for the weatherization assistance program instead of \$110,946,000 as proposed by the House and \$137,446,000 as proposed by the Senate.

Amendment No. 117: Earmarks \$26,500,000 for the State energy conservation program as proposed by the House instead of \$31,500,000 as proposed by the Senate.

#### ECONOMIC REGULATION

Amendment No. 118: Appropriates \$6,297,000 for economic regulation as proposed by the House instead of \$8,038,000 as proposed by the Senate.

The managers agree that the Office of Hearings and Appeals should receive reimbursement for work other than petroleum overcharge cases and related activities as recommended by the House.

#### ENERGY INFORMATION ADMINISTRATION

Amendment No. 119: Appropriates \$72,266,000 for the Energy Information Administration instead of \$79,766,000 as proposed by the House and \$64,766,000 as proposed by the Senate. The managers expect the reduction to be applied largely to EIA's forecasting efforts.

#### DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES INDIAN HEALTH SERVICE INDIAN HEALTH SERVICES

Amendment No. 120: Appropriates \$1,722,842,000 for Indian health services instead of \$1,725,792,000 as proposed by the House and \$1,815,373,000 as proposed by the Senate. Changes to the amount proposed by the House include increases of \$1,500,000 for collections and billings, \$750,000 for epidemiology centers, \$200,000 for the Indians into Psychology program, and decreases of \$2,000,000 for Indian health professionals, \$3,000,000 for tribal management, and a \$400,000 transfer from hospitals and clinics to facilities and environmental health support.

Amendment No. 121: Earmarks \$350,564,000 for contract medical care as proposed by the Senate instead of \$351,258,000 as proposed by the House.

The managers agree that the Indian Self Determination Fund is to be used only for new and expanded contracts and that this fund may be used for self-governance compacts only to the extent that a compact assumes new or additional responsibilities that had been performed by the IHS.

The managers agree that the fetal alcohol syndrome project at the University of Washington should be funded at the fiscal year 1995 level.

The managers are concerned about the adequacy of health care services available to the Utah Navajo population, and urge IHS to work with the local health care community

to ensure that the health care needs of the Utah Navajos are being met. IHS should carefully consider those needs in designing a replacement facility for the Montezuma Creek health center.

#### INDIAN HEALTH FACILITIES

Amendment No. 122: Appropriates \$238,958,000 for Indian health facilities instead of \$236,975,000 as proposed by the House and \$151,227,000 as proposed by the Senate. Changes to the amount proposed by the House include increases of \$750,000 for the Alaska medical center, \$1,000,000 for modular dental units, \$500,000 for injury prevention, \$400,000 for a base transfer from hospitals and clinics, and a decrease of \$667,000 for the Fort Yuma, AZ project.

The managers agree to delay any reprogramming of funds from the Winnebago and Omaha Tribes' health care facility. However, given current budget constraints, if issues relative to the siting and design of the facility cannot be resolved, the managers will consider reprogramming these funds to other high priority IHS projects during fiscal year 1996.

The Talihina, OK hospital is ranked sixth on the IHS health facilities priority list for inpatient facilities. The Choctaw Nation had developed a financing plan for a replacement facility. The Choctaw Nation proposes various funding sources to support its project for a community based hospital. The managers direct IHS to work with the Choctaw Nation to identify resources necessary to staff, equip, and operate the newly constructed facility. The managers will consider these operational needs in the content of current budget constraints.

The managers have not agreed to provisions in the Senate bill requiring the IHS to prepare reports on the distribution of Indian Health Service professionals and on HIV-AIDs prevention needs among Indian tribes. While the managers agree that closer examination of these topics may be warranted, the resources necessary to conduct adequate studies are not available at this time.

#### DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

##### OFFICE OF ELEMENTARY AND SECONDARY EDUCATION

##### INDIAN EDUCATION

Amendment No. 123: Appropriates \$52,500,000 as proposed by the House instead of \$54,660,000 as proposed by the Senate.

The managers agree that no funding is provided for the National Advisory Council on Indian Education.

##### OTHER RELATED AGENCIES

##### OFFICE OF NAVAJO AND HOPI INDIAN RELOCATION

##### SALARIES AND EXPENSES

Amendment No. 124: Appropriates \$20,345,000 for the Office of Navajo and Hopi Indian Relocation as proposed by the Senate instead of \$21,345,000 as proposed by the House.

##### SMITHSONIAN INSTITUTION

##### SALARIES AND EXPENSES

Amendment No. 125: Appropriates \$308,188,000 for Salaries and Expenses instead of \$309,471,000 as proposed by the House and \$307,988,000 as proposed by the Senate.

The \$200,000 increase is provided for the Center for folklife programs specifically for the 1996 Festival of American Folklife featuring the State of Iowa. This amount is provided in addition to the \$400,000 base funding. The State of Iowa will contribute \$250,000 toward this effort.

Amendment No. 126: Earmarks \$30,472,000 as proposed by the Senate instead of \$32,000,000 proposed by the House for the instrumentation program, collections acquisition and various other programs.

#### CONSTRUCTION AND IMPROVEMENTS, NATIONAL ZOOLOGICAL PARK

Amendment No. 127: Appropriate \$3,250,000 for zoo construction as proposed by the Senate instead of \$3,000,000 as proposed by the House. The increase is limited to repairs and rehabilitation and is not to be used for new exhibits or expansions.

##### REPAIR AND RESTORATION OF BUILDINGS

Amendment No. 128: Appropriates \$33,954,000 for repair and restoration of buildings as proposed by the Senate instead of \$24,954,000 as proposed by the House.

##### CONSTRUCTION

Amendment No. 129: Appropriates \$27,700,000 for Construction as proposed by the Senate instead of \$12,950,000 as proposed by the House. The managers agree that \$15,000,000 is included for the National Museum of the American Indian Cultural Resource Center; \$8,700,000 is included to complete the construction and equipping of the Natural History East Court Building and \$3,000,000 is for minor construction, alterations and modifications.

The managers are providing \$1,000,000 to be used to complete a proposed master plan and initiate detailed planning and design to allow for the development of a proposed financial plan for the proposed extension at Dulles Airport for the Air and Space Museum. The managers expect that the financial plan shall specify, in detail, the phasing of the project and commitments by the Commonwealth of Virginia and the Smithsonian toward construction and operation of the facility.

The managers agree that no Federal funds, beyond the costs of planning and design, will be available for the construction phase of this project.

The managers have provided \$15,000,000 for the continued construction of the National Museum of the American Indian Cultural Resource Center in Suitland, Maryland. This amount will bring the Federal contribution to date for this project to \$40,900,000. The managers have agreed that no additional Federal funds will be appropriated for this project.

The managers also strongly encourage the Smithsonian to develop alternative cost scenarios for the proposed National Museum of the American Indian Mall Museum including downsizing of the building and decreasing the amount of Federal funding.

Amendment No. 130: The managers agree to concur with the Senate amendment which strikes the House provision permitting a single procurement for construction of the American Indian Cultural Resources Center. The managers understand that authority provided previously for such purposes is sufficient.

##### NATIONAL GALLERY OF ART

##### SALARIES AND EXPENSES

Amendment No. 131: Appropriates \$51,844,000 for salaries and expenses as proposed by the Senate instead of \$51,315,000 as proposed by the House.

##### REPAIR, RESTORATION AND RENOVATION OF BUILDINGS

Amendment No. 132: Appropriates \$6,442,000 for repair, restoration and renovation of buildings instead of \$5,500,000 as proposed by the House and \$7,385,000 as proposed by the Senate.

##### JOHN F. KENNEDY CENTER FOR THE PERFORMING ARTS

##### OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE

Amendment No. 133: Appropriates \$10,323,000 for operations and maintenance as proposed by the Senate, instead of \$9,800,000 as proposed by the House.

Amendment No. 134: Includes Senate provision which amends 40 U.S.C. 193n to provide the Kennedy Center with the same police authority as the Smithsonian Institution and the National Gallery of Art. The House had no similar provision.

WOODROW WILSON INTERNATIONAL CENTER FOR SCHOLARS  
SALARIES AND EXPENSES

Amendment No. 135: Appropriates \$5,840,000 for the Woodrow Wilson International Center for Scholars instead of \$5,840,100 as proposed by the House and \$6,537,000 as proposed by the Senate.

The managers continue to have serious concerns about the total costs associated with the proposed move to the Federal Triangle building. Until such time as both the House and Senate Appropriations Committees' concerns are satisfactorily addressed, no funds may be used for this purpose.

NATIONAL FOUNDATION ON THE ARTS AND THE HUMANITIES

NATIONAL ENDOWMENT FOR THE ARTS  
GRANTS AND ADMINISTRATION

Amendment No. 136: Appropriates \$82,259,000 for grants and administration as proposed by the House instead of \$88,765,000 as proposed by the Senate.

Amendment No. 137: Deletes House language making NEA funding contingent upon passage of a House reauthorization bill. The Senate had no similar provision.

The managers on the part of the House continue to support termination of NEA within two years, and do not support funding beyond FY 1997. The managers on the part of the Senate take strong exception to the House position, and support continued funding for NEA. The managers expect this issue to be resolved by the legislative committees in the House and Senate.

MATCHING GRANTS

Amendment No. 138: Appropriates \$17,235,000 for matching grants as proposed by the House instead of \$21,235,000 as proposed by the Senate.

Amendment No. 139: Deletes House language making funding for NEA contingent upon passage of a House reauthorization bill.

NATIONAL ENDOWMENT FOR THE HUMANITIES  
GRANTS AND ADMINISTRATION

Amendment No. 140: Appropriates \$94,000,000 for grants and administration as proposed by the Senate instead of \$82,469,000 as proposed by the House.

The managers on the part of the House continue to support a phase out of NEH within three years, and do not support funding beyond FY 1998. The managers on the part of the Senate take strong exception to the House position, and support continued funding for NEH. The managers expect this issue to be resolved by the legislative committees in the House and Senate.

MATCHING GRANTS

Amendment No. 141: Appropriates \$16,000,000 for matching grants as proposed by the Senate instead of \$17,025,000 as proposed by the House.

Amendment No. 142: Earmarks \$10,000,000 for challenge grants as proposed by the Senate instead of \$9,180,000 as proposed by the House.

ADVISORY COUNCIL ON HISTORIC PRESERVATION

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

Amendment No. 143: Appropriates \$2,500,000 for salaries and expenses as proposed by the Senate instead of \$3,063,000 as proposed by the House.

While the Advisory Council works closely with Federal agencies and departments, the

National Park Service and State historic preservation officers, it does not have responsibility for designating historic properties, providing financial assistance, overriding other federal agencies' decisions, or controlling actions taken by property owners.

The managers encourage those Federal agencies and departments which benefit from the Advisory Council's expert advice to assist in covering these costs. The managers are concerned that some Advisory Council activities may duplicate those conducted by other preservation agencies. Therefore, the managers direct the Advisory Council to evaluate ways to recover the costs of assisting Federal agencies and departments through reimbursable agreements and to examine its program activities to identify ways to eliminate any duplication with other agencies. The Advisory Council shall report its findings to the Congress by March 31, 1996.

FRANKLIN DELANO ROOSEVELT MEMORIAL COMMISSION

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

Amendment No. 144: Appropriates \$147,000 as proposed by the Senate instead of \$48,000 as proposed by the House.

PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

Amendment No. 145: Appropriates no funds as proposed by the Senate instead of \$2,000,000 as proposed by the House.

PUBLIC DEVELOPMENT

Amendment No. 146: Modifies language proposed by the Senate allowing the use of prior year funding for operating and administrative expenses. The modification allows the use of prior year funding for shutdown costs in addition to operating costs. In addition, prior year funds may be used to fund activities associated with the functions transferred to the General Services Administration. The House had no similar provision.

The managers agree that not more than \$3,000,000 in prior year funds can be used for operating, administrative expenses, and shutdown costs for the Pennsylvania Avenue Development Corporation. The managers direct that the orderly shutdown of the Corporation be accomplished within six months from the date of enactment of this Act. No staff should be maintained beyond April 1, 1996. The managers agree that Pennsylvania Avenue Development Corporation staff associated with the Federal Triangle project should be transferred to the General Services Administration, and provision for the transfer has been included in the Treasury-Postal Services Appropriations bill.

UNITED STATES HOLOCAUST MEMORIAL COUNCIL

HOLOCAUST MEMORIAL COUNCIL

Amendment No. 147: Appropriates \$28,707,000 for the Holocaust Memorial Council as proposed by the House instead of \$26,609,000 as proposed by the Senate.

Amendment No. 148: Restores language proposed by the House and stricken by the Senate providing that \$1,264,000 for the Museum's exhibition program shall remain available until expended.

TITLE III—GENERAL PROVISIONS

Amendment No. 149: Retains Senate provision making a technical correction to Public Law 103-413.

Amendment No. 150: Includes Senate provision that any funds used for the Americorps program are subject to the reprogramming guidelines, and can only be used if the Americorps program is funded in the VA-HUD and Independent Agencies fiscal year

1996 appropriations bill. The House prohibited the use of any funds for the Americorps program.

Since the Northwest Service Academy (NWSA) is funded through fiscal year 1996, the managers agree that the agencies are not prohibited from granting the NWSA a special use permit, from using the NWSA to accomplish projects on agency-managed lands or in furtherance of the agencies' missions, or from paying the NWSA a reasonable fee-for-service for projects.

Amendment No. 151: Modifies House language stricken by the Senate transferring certain responsibilities from the Pennsylvania Avenue Development Corporation to the General Services Administration, National Capital Planning Commission, and the National Park Service. The modification transfers all unobligated and unexpended balances to the General Services Administration. The Senate had no similar provision.

Amendment No. 152: Modifies House and Senate provisions relating to the Interior Columbia River Basin ecoregion management project (the Project). The House and Senate contained different language on the subject, but both versions were clear in their position that the Project has grown too large, and too costly to sustain in a time of shrinking budgets. In addition, the massive nature of the undertaking, and the broad geographic scope of the decisions to be made as part of a single project has raised concerns about potential vulnerability to litigation and court injunctions with a regionwide impact. The language included in the conference report reflects a compromise between the two versions.

Subsection (b) appropriated \$4,000,000 for the completion of an assessment on the National forest system lands and lands administered by the BLM within the area encompassed by the Project, and to publish two draft Environmental Impact Statements on the Project. The Forest Service and BLM should rely heavily on the eastside forest ecosystem health assessment in the development of the assessment and DEIS's, in particular, volume II and IV provide a significant amount of the direction necessary for the development of an ecosystem management plan. This document has already been peer reviewed and widely distributed to the public. Therefore, the collaborative efforts by many scientists can be recognized.

The two separate DEIS's would cover the project region of eastern Washington and Oregon, and the project region of Montana and Idaho, and other affected States. The language also directs project officials to submit the assessment and two DEIS's to the appropriate House and Senate committees for their review. The DEIS's are not decisional and not subject to judicial review. The managers have included this language based upon concern that the publication of DEIS's of this magnitude would present the opportunity for an injunction that would shut down all multiple use activities in the region.

The assessment shall contain a range of alternatives without the identification of a preferred alternative or management recommendation. The assessment will also provide a methodology for conducting any cumulative effects analysis required by section 102(2) of NEPA, in the preparation of each amendment to a resource management plan.

The assessment shall also include the scientific information and analysis conducted by the Project on forest and rangeland health conditions, among other considerations, and the implications of the management of these conditions. Further, the assessment and DEIS's shall not be subject to consultation or conferencing under section 7

of the Endangered Species Act, nor be accompanied by any record of decision required under NEPA.

Subsection (c) states the objective of the managers that the district manager of the Bureau of Land Management or the forest supervisor of the Forest Service use the DEIS's as an information base for the development of individual plan amendments to their respective forest plan. The managers believe that the local officials will do the best job in preparing plan amendments that will achieve the greatest degree of balance between multiple use activities and environmental protection.

Upon the date of enactment, the land managers are required to review their resource management plan for their forest, together with a review of the assessment and DEIS's, and based on that review, develop or modify the policies laid out in the DEIS or assessment to meet the specific conditions of their forest.

Based upon this review, subsection (c)(2) directs the forest supervisor or district manager to prepare and adopt an amendment to meet the conditions of the individual forest. In an effort to increase the local participation in the plan amendment process, the district manager or forest supervisor is directed to consult with the governor, and affected county commissioners and tribal governments in the affected area.

Plan amendments should be site specific, in lieu of imposing general standards applicable to multiple sites. If an amendment would result in a major change in land use allocations within the forest plan, such an amendment shall be deemed a significant change, and therefore requiring a significant plan amendment or equivalent.

Subsection (c)(5) strictly limits the basis for individual plan amendments in a fashion that the managers intend to be exclusive.

Language has been included to stop duplication of environmental requirements. Subsection (c)(6)(A) states that any policy adopted in an amendment that modifies, or is an alternative policy, to the general policies laid out in the DEIS's and assessment document that has already undergone consultation or conferencing under section 7 of the ESA, shall not again be subject to such provisions. If a policy has not undergone consultation or conferencing under section 7 of the ESA, or if an amendment addresses other matters, however, then that amendment shall be subject to section 7.

Amendments which modify or are an alternative policy are required to be adopted before July 31, 1996. An amendment that is deemed significant, shall be adopted on or before December 31, 1996. The policies of the Project shall no longer be in effect on a forest on or after December 31, 1996, or after an amendment to the plan that applies to that forest is adopted, whichever comes first.

The managers have included language specific to the Clearwater National Forest, as it relates to the provisions of this section. The managers have also included language to clarify that the documents prepared under this section shall not apply to, or be used to regulate non-Federal lands.

Amendment No. 153: Includes a modified version of provisions included by both the House and Senate relating to a recreational fee demonstration program. This pilot program provides for testing a variety of fee collection methods designed to improve our public lands by allowing 80 percent of fees generated to stay with the parks, forests, refuges and public lands where the fees are collected. There is a tremendous backlog of operational and maintenance needs that have gone unmet, while at the same time visits by the American public continue to rise. The public is better served and more willing to pay reasonable user fees if they

are assured that the fees are being used to manage and enhance the sites where the fees are collected.

Most of the provisions of the Senate amendment are incorporated into the amendment agreed to by the managers, which provides for the following:

(1) The maximum number of demonstration sites per agency is extended from 30 to 50.

(2) The time period for the demonstration is extended from one year to three years and these funds remain available for three years after the demonstration period ends.

(3) Agencies may impose a fine of up to \$100 for violation of the authority to collect fees established by this program.

(4) The more simplified accounting procedures proposed by the Senate are adopted, such that fewer Treasury accounts need to be established than proposed by the House.

(5) In those cases where demonstrations had fee collections in place before this provision, fees above the amounts collected in 1995 (plus 4% annually) are to be used for the benefit of the collection site or on an agency-wide basis. The other fees collected will be treated like they are at non-demonstration sites, except funds withheld to cover fee collection costs for agencies other than the Fish and Wildlife Service will remain available beyond the fiscal year in which they are collected.

(6) For those Fish and Wildlife Service demonstrations where fees were collected in fiscal year 1995, the fees collected, up to the 1995 level (plus 4% annually), are disbursed as they were in 1995.

(7) The agencies have been provided more latitude in selecting demonstration sites, areas or projects. These demonstrations may include an entire administrative unit, such as a national park or national wildlife refuge where division into smaller units would be difficult to administer or where fee collections would adversely affect visitor use patterns.

(8) The Secretaries are directed to select and design the demonstration projects in a manner which will provide optimum opportunities to evaluate the broad spectrum of resource conditions and recreational opportunities on Federal lands, including facility, interpretation, and fish and wildlife habitat enhancement projects that enhance the visitor experience.

(9) Vendors may charge a reasonable markup or commission to cover their costs and provide a profit.

(10) Each Secretary shall provide the Congress a brief report describing the selected sites and fee recovery methods to be used by March 31, 1996, and a report which evaluates the pilot demonstrations, including recommendations for further legislation, by March 31, 1999. The reports to Congress are to include a discussion of the different sites selected and how they represent the geographical and programmatic spectrum of recreational sites and habitats managed by the agencies. The diversity of fee collection methods and fair market valuation methods should also be explained.

(11) In order to maximize funding for start-up costs, agencies are encouraged to use existing authority in developing innovative implementation strategies, including cooperative efforts between agencies and local governments.

(12) Although the managers have not included the Senate amendment language regarding geographical discrimination on fees, the managers agree that entrance, tourism, and recreational fees should reflect the circumstances and conditions of the various States and regions of the country. In setting fees, consideration should be given to fees charged on comparable sites in other parts of the region or country. The four agencies are

encouraged to cooperate fully in providing additional data on tourism, recreational use, or rates which may be required by Congress in addressing the fee issue.

(13) The managers request that the General Accounting Office conduct a study and report to the Appropriations Committees by July 31, 1996 on the methodology and progress made by the Secretaries to implement this section.

Amendment No. 154: Deletes House language relating to salvage timber sales in the Pacific Northwest, and substitutes language which makes a technical correction to the emergency salvage timber program, Sec. 2001(a)(2) of Public Law 104-19 that changes the ending date of the emergency period to December 31, 1996. This correction is necessary to conform to the expiration date in Sec. 2001(j). The Senate included no similar provision.

Amendment No. 155: Retains the House language stricken by the Senate prohibiting the use of funds for the Mississippi River Corridor Heritage Commission.

Amendment No. 156: Deletes House language stricken by the Senate placing a moratorium on the issuance of new or amended standards and reducing the codes and standards program in the Department of Energy by \$12,799,000 and inserts language regarding grazing at Great Basin National Park. The codes and standards issue is discussed under the energy conservation portion of this statement.

Amendment No. 157: Deletes language proposed by the House and stricken by the Senate and retains Senate alternative language providing for a one-year moratorium on new or amended standards by the Department of Energy. This issue is discussed under the energy conservation portion of this statement.

Amendment No. 158: Modifies House mining patent moratorium that was stricken and replaced by the Senate with fair market value legislation for mining patents. The conference agreement continues the existing moratorium on the issuance of mining patents that was contained in the fiscal year 1995 Interior and Related Agencies Appropriations Act until (1) a concurrent resolution containing reconciliation instructions for fiscal year 1996 is enacted into law that contains provisions relating to the patenting of, and payment of royalties to, such claims, or (2) an agreement is approved by both the House and Senate in an identical form on other legislation containing provisions relating to the patenting of, payment of royalties on, and reclamation of such claims. In the latter case, reclamation will be defined in any such legislation.

The agreement further requires the Secretary of the Interior within three months of the enactment of this Act to file with the House and Senate Appropriations Committees and the authorizing committees a plan which details how the Department will take final action on at least 90 percent of such applications within three years of enactment of this Act, and take such actions as necessary to carry out such plan. In order to process more expeditiously the class of exempted patent applications that are allowed to proceed under the moratorium, the Secretary shall require an applicant to fund the retention by the Bureau of Land Management of a qualified third-party contractor to conduct a mineral examination of the mining claims or mill sites contained in the patent application. BLM will have sole responsibility to choose and pay the third-party contractor.

Amendment No. 159: Includes the Senate provision which prohibits funding for the Office of Forestry and Economic Development after December 31, 1995. The House had no similar provision.

Amendment No. 160: Retains language inserted by the Senate prohibiting redefinition of the marbled murrelet nesting area or modification to the protocol for surveying marbled murrelets. The House had no similar provision.

Amendment No. 161: Retains language inserted by the Senate authorizing the Secretary of the Interior to exchange land in Washington State with the Boise Cascade Corporation. The House had no similar language.

Amendment No. 162: Includes Senate provision which creates a new Timber Sales Pipeline Restoration Fund at the Departments of the Interior and Agriculture to partially finance the preparation of timber sales from the revenues generated from the section 318 timber sales that are released under section 2001(k) of Public law 104-19. The House included no similar provision.

Amendment No. 163: Deletes language proposed by the Senate which would prohibit use of funds for travel and training expenses for the Bureau of Indian Affairs or the Office of Indian Education for education conferences or training activities.

The managers expect the Bureau of Indian Affairs and the Office of Indian Education to monitor carefully the funds used for travel and training activities. The managers are concerned about the cost of travel and training associated with national conferences attended by school board members or staff of schools funded by the Bureau of Indian Affairs. Because of the funding constraints faced by the Bureau, the managers expect that priority will be given to funding those activities which directly support accreditation of Bureau funded schools and covering costs associated with increased enrollment.

Amendment No. 164: Retains language inserted by the Senate prohibiting the award of grants to individuals by the National Endowment for the Arts except for literature fellowships, National Heritage fellowships and American Jazz Masters fellowships. The House had no similar provisions.

Amendment No. 165: Includes Senate provision which delays implementation or enforcement of the Administration's rangeland reform program until November 21, 1995. The House included no similar provision.

Amendment No. 166: Strikes Senate section 331 pertaining to submission of land acquisition projects by priority ranking. Priorities should continue to be identified in the budget request and justifications.

Amendment No. 167: Includes Senate provision that makes three changes to existing law relating to tree spiking. Costs incurred by Federal agencies, businesses and individuals to detect, prevent and avoid damage and injury from tree spiking, real or threatened, may be included as "avoidance costs" in meeting the threshold of \$10,000 required for prosecution. The language doubles the discretionary maximum penalties for prison terms to 40 years for incidents resulting in the most severe personal injury. Those injured would have recourse to file civil suits to recover damages under this law. The House had no similar provision.

Amendment No. 168: Modifies Senate language restricting grants that denigrate adherents to a particular religion. The modification specifies that this restriction applies to NEA and incorporates Senate language from Amendment No. 169 restricting NEA Grants for sexually explicit material. The House had no similar provision.

Amendment No. 169: Deletes Senate language restricting NEA grants for sexually explicit material. This issue is addressed in Amendment No. 168.

Amendment No. 170: Deletes language inserted by the Senate extending the scope of the Arts and Artifacts Indemnity Act. The House had no similar provision. The amend-

ment also inserts language providing that former Bureau of Mines activities, which are being transferred to other accounts, are paid for from those accounts for all of fiscal year 1996 and changes a section number.

Amendment No. 171: Deletes language inserted by the Senate mandating energy savings at Federal facilities. The House had no similar provision.

Amendment No. 172: Deletes Senate amendment requiring the Indian Health Service to prepare a report on the distribution of Indian Health Service professionals. The House had no similar provision.

Amendment No. 173: Deletes Senate amendment requiring the Indian Health Service to prepare a report on HIV-AIDS prevention needs among Indian tribes. The House had no similar provision.

APPLICATION OF GENERAL REDUCTIONS

The level at which reductions shall be taken pursuant to the Deficit Reduction Act of 1985, if such reductions are required in fiscal year 1996, is defined by the managers as follows:

As provided for by section 2576(1)(2) of Public Law 99-177, as amended, and for the purposes of a Presidential Order issued pursuant to section 254 of said Act, the term "program, project, and activity" for items under the jurisdiction of the Appropriations Subcommittees on the Department of the Interior and Related Agencies of the House of Representatives and the Senate is defined as (1) any item specifically identified in tables or written material set forth in the Interior and Related Agencies Appropriations Act, or accompanying committee reports or the conference report and accompanying joint explanatory statement of the managers of the committee of conference; (2) any Government-owned or Government-operated facility; and (3) management units, such as national parks, national forests, fish hatcheries, wildlife refuges, research units, regional, State and other administrative units and the like, for which funds are provided in fiscal year 1996.

The managers emphasize that any item for which a specific dollar amount is mentioned in an accompanying report, including all changes to the budget estimate approved by the Committees, shall be subject to a percentage reduction no greater or less than the percentage reduction applied to all domestic discretionary accounts.

CONFERENCE TOTAL—WITH COMPARISONS

The total new budget (obligational) authority for the fiscal year 1996 recommended by the Committee of Conference, with comparisons to the fiscal year 1995 amount, the 1996 budget estimates, and the House and Senate bills for 1996 follow:

New budget (obligational) authority, fiscal year 1995 .....	\$13,519,230,000
Budget estimates of new (obligational) authority, fiscal year 1996 .....	13,817,404,000
House bill, fiscal year 1996 .	11,984,603,000
Senate bill, fiscal year 1996	12,053,099,000
Conference agreement, fiscal year 1996 .....	12,114,636,000
Conference agreement compared with:	
New budget (obligational) authority, fiscal year 1995 ...	-1,404,594,000
Budget estimates of new (obligational) authority, fiscal year 1996 .....	-1,702,768,000
House bill, fiscal year 1996 .....	+130,033,000
Senate bill, fiscal year 1996 .....	+61,537,000

RALPH REGULA,

JOSEPH M. MCDADE,  
JIM KOLBE,  
JOE SKEEN,  
BARBARA F. VUCANOVICH,  
CHARLES H. TAYLOR,  
GEORGE R. NETHERCUTT,  
JR.,  
JIM BUNN,  
BOB LIVINGSTON,

*Managers on the Part of the House.*

SLADE GORTON,  
TED STEVENS,  
THAD COCHRAN,  
MARK O. HATFIELD,  
CONRAD BURNS,  
ROBERT F. BENNETT,  
CONNIE MACK,  
ROBERT C. BYRD,  
J. BENNETT JOHNSTON,  
PATRICK J. LEAHY,  
FRITZ HOLLINGS,  
HARRY REID,

*Managers on the Part of the Senate.*

SPECIAL ORDERS

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. DICKEY). Under the Speaker's announced policy of May 12, 1995, and under a previous order of the House, the following Members will be recognized for 5 minutes each.

□ 1830

MESSAGE TO SPEAKER GINGRICH:  
AGREE TO RAISE DEBT CEILING

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Ms. PRYCE). Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Florida [Mr. GIBBONS] is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. GIBBONS. Mr. Speaker, my remarks are addressed to Speaker GINGRICH, and I hope he is listening, or some of his staff is listening, because this is a very serious subject.

Tomorrow, Mr. Speaker, you are going down and visit with the President of the United States in the Oval Office and talk about the debt ceiling. I know, Mr. Speaker, you made some off-the-cuff remarks a couple of months ago saying that you did not care if the Government went into default for a couple of months. At least that is the way I remember it being reported.

I know that those were casual remarks and some that you gave without thinking through the situation, but there is a very serious problem.

Now, it is not a political problem, Mr. Speaker, because let me make it very clear. Every Republican Member of the House and the Senate has voted to increase the debt ceiling on perhaps as many as three times this year and they have agreed to increase the ceiling to \$5.500 trillion, so the amount is not in question. The only thing in question is when you are going to take the final step and take the effective date.

Now, I do not know what motivates you, Mr. Speaker, but this could be a very expensive matter, and I hope you will not take it offensively if I say that