

Mr. Speaker, as a long-time free trader I'm proud to see Ohio leading the way in the global marketplace. It's further proof that protrade policies are benefiting Ohio companies and Ohio workers.

MEDICARE CUTS

(Mr. RUSH asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. RUSH. Mr. Speaker, I consider H.R. 2425 to be the latest and most blatant act of legislative terrorism aimed straight at our Nation's older Americans. Older Americans are being held captive by the Republican Medicare proposal.

Mr. Speaker, when I say captive, I really mean captive. That was proven beyond a shadow of a doubt Wednesday morning when the chairman of the Commerce Committee had 13 senior citizens handcuffed and taken off to jail simply for trying to voice their concern about the Republican draconian cuts.

Mr. Speaker, I will never forget the words of a 90-year-old senior citizen who, while being placed in a police paddy wagon, looked at me and said, "If I had to do it all over again, I would."

I ask my Republican colleagues, when will they cease waging generational guerrilla warfare against the elderly and the disabled in this Nation?

I yield back the balance of my time.

INCREASING MEDICARE, BUT AT A SLOWER RATE

(Mr. WELDON of Florida asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. WELDON of Florida. Mr. Speaker, President Clinton's Medicare trustees told us Medicare will be bankrupt by the year 2002. As a physician, I am one of a few Members of Congress who has treated Medicare patients. I understand how important this program is for the seniors and the future generations.

Under the Republican plan, Medicare spending increases from \$4,800 to \$6,700. This is per senior. This is an increase of \$1,900 and exceeds the projected inflation rate. For those in the other party and in the media who keep calling this a cut, I should put it another way. If you had a basket with 48 apples in it, how do you get to 67? Do you add apples to the basket or do you take apples out?

Republicans agree that you add 19 apples to the basket in order to reach 67. Mathematics agrees with us. We are increasing Medicare, but at a less than 10-percent rate increase. This is responsible and reasonable, and we will preserve and protect the Medicare plan. I urge all of my colleagues to support the Republican proposal.

MEDICAID CUTS WILL HURT RURAL AMERICA

(Mrs. CLAYTON asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend her remarks.)

Mrs. CLAYTON. Mr. Speaker, the Medicare cuts will hurt, but, for rural America, the Medicaid cuts will inflict unbearable pain. The majority proposes to cut Medicaid by \$182 billion. What do these cuts mean?

They mean that my State will lose \$6.76 billion in Medicaid funding over the next 5 years—882,000 Medicaid recipients will be affected in North Carolina and that number is growing.

Almost 8 out of 10 of the 31,600 North Carolina nursing home residents are covered by Medicaid—who will take care of them at an average cost of \$38,000 per year? Thirty-one thousand, three hundred seniors and other disabled people in North Carolina receive home care through Medicaid—who will pay for that?

Nineteen percent, close to half a million of North Carolina's children, rely on Medicaid for their health care needs—these children are the poorest of the poor—who will help them? What will happen to families and spouses when incapacitated seniors go broke?

This plan takes us back to the days when the whole family will be left with nothing when faced with unexpected, costly illness. Hurting our seniors, our indigent, and our disabled is not the way to balance the budget—in the end—it only hurts us all.

Our seniors should grow old with grace, dignity, and security. Next week, let's reject this hastily done, insensitive, unthoughtful majority plan to take from the poor and give to the rich.

THE TOP 10

(Mr. HAYWORTH asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. HAYWORTH. Mr. Speaker, from the home office in Scottsdale, AZ, here are the top 10 reasons why liberals refuse to help in the effort to save Medicare from bankruptcy.

No. 10, they are not in charge anymore.

No. 9, they are just mad because they will not be getting a pay raise this session.

No. 8, fearmongering. What a blast.

No. 7, they might throw a collective tantrum and explode.

No. 6, they are just stalling until they can get into the witness protection program.

No. 5, responsibility? Why act responsibly?

No. 4, that Trojan horse thing. What a breakthrough in modern political communications.

No. 3, forget that going from \$4,800 per year to \$6,700 per year is really an increase. Forget that. We have some really neat color pictures to show you.

No. 2, with all their scary disguises they did not know Halloween was at the end of the month.

And the No. 1 reason why liberals refuse to help us in our efforts to save Medicare from bankruptcy, well, that would actually mean caring about seniors instead of the next election.

MEN, WOMEN, AND CHILDREN SHOULD MARCH TOGETHER

(Mrs. SCHROEDER asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend her remarks and include extraneous material.)

Mrs. SCHROEDER. Mr. Speaker, we are told that a million men will be marching on Monday in this city, and we are told that the march is to strengthen and rebuild families, but where are the families? They are to be at home. This is to be a sex-related march with no women. It is to be an age-related march with no children.

I think, Mr. Speaker, men professing to celebrate family in a family free zone makes no sense. If women went off to spas saying they were rebuilding themselves to celebrate family, they would be attacked. The way we need to celebrate and build America's families is shoulder to shoulder and marching together.

I certainly hope the organizers rethink and make this an inclusive march of men, women, and children, marching together to rebuild the family structure of America that is so desperately in need of rebuilding.

THE SEVENTH ANNUAL CONGRESSIONAL BASKETBALL CLASSIC

(Mr. BONIOR asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. BONIOR. Mr. Speaker, so far this year the Democrats and the Republicans have squared off on the House floor, we have squared off in the committees, we have squared off on the baseball diamond, but next Tuesday we will meet each other on the basketball court and finally we will have the answer to whether or not STEVE LARGENT can actually dunk.

Mr. Speaker, next Tuesday is the seventh annual congressional basketball classic. Every 2 years we play this game in support of Gallaudet University, the only university in the world specifically devoted to students who are deaf and have a hearing impairment.

This year's game is being sponsored by the NBA, the Washington Bullets, Abe Pollin and Wes Unseld, the Denver Nuggets, with Walter Davis and COM-SAT and many other businesses. The game is going to be played at the Gallaudet fieldhouse which is close to the Capitol, next Tuesday, 7:30. Tickets are available, so if you want to have fun, support a good cause, see some good action, come to the fieldhouse and see

this ball game, where we take on the gentleman from Ohio [Mr. OXLEY] and his mighty group of dunkers over there on the Republican side of the aisle.

CONCERNS ABOUT MEDICARE LOBBYING

(Ms. DELAURO asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend her remarks.)

Ms. DELAURO. Mr. Speaker, two groups came to Washington this week with concerns about the GOP Medicare cuts. One group got a private meeting with Speaker GINGRICH. The other group got arrested.

When the American Medical Association sent its high priced lobbyists up to Capitol Hill, they got a closed-door meeting with Speaker GINGRICH and a billion dollar deal. But, the National Council of Senior Citizens didn't get the same reception. Its members got no meeting with the Speaker and no special deals. Instead, they got arrested.

That's right. Fifteen senior citizens were arrested, handcuffed, and led away in a paddy wagon. What was their crime? Asking questions about the Republican Medicare cuts. Here's a photo of 67-year-old Roberta Saxton being handcuffed for asking a question about her health care plan. Welcome to the Gingrich revolution.

SPECIAL ORDERS

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under the Speaker's announced policy of May 12, 1995, and under a previous order of the House, the following Members will be recognized for 5 minutes each.

THE ISTOOK PROPOSAL

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Colorado [Mr. SKAGGS] is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. SKAGGS. Mr. Speaker, I want to talk this morning about one of the many, many provisions, hidden, dirty little secrets to use the phrase of the gentleman from Indiana [Mr. MCINTOSH], the gentleman from Oklahoma [Mr. ISTOOK], and the gentleman from Maryland [Mr. EHRLICH], who are proposing this legislation, buried in their proposal designed to shut down a large part of a cherished American tradition of open and free political speech and political debate. That part of their proposal has to do with compliance and enforcement.

Mr. Speaker, one of the revered principles of American law is the presumption of innocence. One of the bizarre aspects of my colleagues' proposal is that it would create a presumption of guilt. How would it do that? I will tell my colleagues how. In order to be able to be in compliance with these draconian provisions restricting the ability of Americans and American organizations to engage in the political life of

this country, everyone covered by this proposal would be put to the burden of proving compliance, that is, proving their innocence.

Most times when we might be accused or challenged for an alleged violation of law, civil or criminal, it is the burden on those making that allegation, bringing the charges, to prove a violation, but not here. Here the tables are turned and anyone that is challenged on their compliance with the Istook proposal would have to prove compliance, prove their innocence.

Mr. Speaker, that is bad enough, but I want to tell Members something more, another dirty little secret hidden in this proposal. That is not only would each of us have to prove our innocence, our compliance, that we are not speaking too much in this country, that we are not too fully engaged in the political life of America, but we would have to sustain a burden of proving that by what the lawyers call clear and convincing evidence.

Most times in civil cases, if you have the burden of proof, all that you have to do is show that your side is right by what is called a preponderance of evidence. You might think of that as 51 percent. But not here. Here you would have to demonstrate your compliance by clear and convincing evidence and, again to give it a kind of quantitative feel, most lawyers would say that is 70, 75, 80 percent.

So that is the kind of really bizarre provision buried in this proposal. Again, that would be bad enough if we were dealing with some normal kinds of enforcement issue, have we violated an environmental law or done something else that has to do with the normal course of business in this country. But this is a regulation designed, intended, constructed to curtail political expression.

I know, Mr. Speaker, you are saying this cannot be true. How can anyone in a freedom loving country like ours write a law intended to constrain, to regulate political expression? But that is what this does.

It would limit what we can do to a percentage of our income, almost all Americans are likely to be covered because of the way this thing is written, and, again, we would be put to the task of proving that we have not overdone it, that we have not been hyperactive politically, and if we cannot prove our compliance, not just by 51 percent but by this clear and convincing evidence standard, what happens? Well, we could be subject to treble damages, to have to pay three times the value of what we might have gotten in value from the Federal Government in any number of different ways of having exceeded our political expression limits for the year.

Mr. Speaker, can my colleagues imagine anything more unfair, more un-American that this kind of intrusion on the hallowed, hallowed principles of freedom of expression, freedom of association guaranteed to each

of us by the Constitution of the United States?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Indiana [Mr. BURTON] is recognized for 5 minutes.

[Mr. BURTON of Indiana addressed the House. His remarks will appear hereafter in the Extensions of Remarks.]

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from West Virginia [Mr. WISE] is recognized for 5 minutes.

[Mr. WISE addressed the House. His remarks will appear hereafter in the Extensions of Remarks.]

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Michigan [Mr. SMITH] is recognized for 5 minutes.

[Mr. SMITH of Michigan addressed the House. His remarks will appear hereafter in the Extensions of Remarks.]

GET ON WITH AMERICA'S PRIORITIES

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Colorado [Mrs. SCHROEDER] is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mrs. SCHROEDER. Mr. Speaker, I must say that it has been a very rough week for those of us who believe that this is the people's House, and, indeed, the people should be able to come here and ask questions. We found we have not even been allowed to ask questions or even see the Medicare reform. We are told trust us, you are in the hands of your mother. Oh, really? Well, mother is turning into a terror, it seems, as we see what some of these changes are.

This was a very hard week for me, Mr. Speaker, as I watched these people being handcuffed just for coming to ask questions. I have never seen that happen before. This person does not look like a physical threat to anyone, to me, people in wheelchairs, everyone else, and we are supposed to be grateful because they were not put in jail, they were just taken down and booked and then they let them all go.

Today I see in the paper even more of a shock, and I am sure these people will be even more angry, because today's headlines say "Gingrich places low priority on Medicare crooks." Well, now, that makes us feel real good, does it not? It goes on to say that in the area of self-referrals and kickbacks, they have taken all of that out because the doctors did not want it, and that the Congressional Budget Office, remember the Director of the Congressional Budget Office is appointed by the Speaker in his leadership, so part of their team, the Congressional Budget Office estimates that this is going to cost you \$1.1 billion.