College in Greensboro, NC. And in 1944 Mrs. Cave received her graduate degree in social work from Atlanta University.

For 50 years Natalie practiced social work in a variety of capacities, including a stint as a case worker at the Veterans Administration Hospital in Tuskegee, AL. She met her husband, Dr. Vernal Cave while working in Alabama. They subsequently transferred to Brooklyn, NY where they still reside.

Mrs. Cave holds numerous memberships in various professional organizations, including the Auxiliary of the National Medical Association, of which she is a former national president. Her other memberships include the Advisory Board of the Public Affairs Committee, the Brooklyn Chapter of Links, Inc., the YWCA, the NAACP, and the Kings County Medical Society Auxiliary. Additionally, she is an active archousa of the Sigma Phi Pi Fraternity, and a trustee of the Brooklyn Botanic Garden.

Mrs. Cave has traveled extensively, including six countries in Africa, and a trip around the world

In adminstering to the needs of our Nation's veterans and those of the society at large, this gracious and empathetic lady has contributed greatly to making this a better world. I am immensely proud of one of Brooklyn's best and dedicated citizens.

# MESSENGER AND MESSAGE DO NOT MEET STANDARDS

## HON. MIKE WARD

OF KENTUCKY

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Thursday, November 2, 1995

Mr. WARD. Mr. Speaker, every day when the House meets for morning hour, we begin with an invocation that is designed to acknowledge this country's belief in God and our dedication to our moral beliefs and to our duties that we are about to execute. I am afraid, however, that this morning's invocation did not adhere to this tradition. Instead of inspiration, we were greeted with a message and a messenger who does not meet the standards of this respected institution. The Reverend Lou Sheldon of the Traditional Values Coalition has consistently expressed a message that is exclusive rather than inclusive. With the challenges that face this body every day, I believe that the invocation should be a positive and uplifting message which cannot come from someone who has dedicated his life to a message of hate and divisiveness. I call on you, Mr. Speaker, to review the policies regarding guest chaplains and ensure that they adhere to the high standard that the U.S. House of Representatives deserves.

#### PERSONAL EXPLANATION

#### HON. XAVIER BECERRA

OF CALIFORNIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Thursday, November 2, 1995

Mr. BECERRA. Mr. Speaker, on November 1, 1995, I was unavoidably detained during rollcall vote No. 756, the vote on final passage on H.R. 1833, the so-called Partial-Birth Abortion Ban Act of 1995.

As a member of the House Judiciary Committee, I voted against H.R. 1833 when it was heard in our committee earlier this year. Had I been present for yesterday's floor vote, I would have voted "no."

# SEAL BEACH SAYS NO THANKS TO 1993 CRIME BILL

## HON. DANA ROHRABACHER

OF CALIFORNIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Thursday, November 2, 1995

Mr. ROHRABACHER. Mr. Speaker, during 1993 and 1994 Congress debated H.R. 3355 of the 103d Congress. Many of us believed that the amount of assistance that this bill was to provide to fight crime was being greatly oversold. None of the provisions were more oversold than the number of additional local police that would be paid for by the so-called "free" Federal money provided in the bill.

This was because there was a catch to the "free" money for additional police. The catch is that after 4 years the local community has to continue to pay the full cost of these "free" policemen or the citizens and towns would have to return the grant funds.

The Seal Beach, CA City Council in my district has taken a close look at what the real cost of this program will be to them in the out-years. After consideration they voted unanimously not to apply for this "free" assistance.

I am inserting at this point in the RECORD a copy of the minutes of the Seal Beach City Council meeting where they unanimously said, "No thanks.".

GRANT APPLICATION—COPS AHEAD GRANT

The Interim City Manager reported that the City has been informed of a second round of the COPS Program, the City having previously received authorization for one Police Officer under the COPS FAST Program, this item simply authorization to submit the grant application for the second program.

The Manager expressed concern with the future ability to fund the officer if the application were approved, noting that the first three years would be of benefit to the City, the costs would be minimal in terms of cost benefit, however the City would assume all costs upon the fourth year, and if the grant is accepted the City must agree to pay its share of the total cost for the grant period as well as make a good faith effort to keep that position in the budget thereafter with an assurance to the Department of Justice that keeping that position will not eliminate another.

He pointed out that the officer obtained through the COPS FAST Program will cover the downtown/pier/beach area and it is understood that the City committed to retaining that officer at the end of the grant period. The Manager asked for direction from the Council as to the desire to file the application, if granted a determination can then be made as to whether or not to accept, or the application could be filed with a notation that the City may not accept for a period of time however that would likely jeopardize any approval.

Councilman Brown inquired if the officer acquired through the grant program could be retained as a replacement should another officer resign for one reason or another, or does the personnel contingent need to be maintained. The Manager responded that the requirement is not to keep the individual rather to keep the position, as an example, if

there are twenty patrol officers and a twenty-first is obtained through the grant, at the end of the three years the agency must make a good faith effort to keep the twenty-first position. Councilman Laszlo posed questions with regard to the City's costs relative to the grant officer(s).

The Manager advised that costs borne by the City under the first grant will be \$180,000 for the period of three years which includes salary, benefits, hard costs, there are other costs that are not included in the grant however they are relatively minor, in turn the grant pays \$75,000 of that, thus the cost over three years will be \$105,000, pointing out that \$35,000 was included in this years budget for that officer with the assumption that the officer would be employed by the first of July, however, in actuality will not be employed until about September 22nd or 23rd.

As to a second officer should this application be approved the Manager once again expressed concern as to the source of funding after the three year grant period, and with regard to the first officer, the position will be part of the budget process next spring and should there be inadequate revenues the Council will need to make some priority choices. Councilman Laszlo expressed concern as a result of the County losses as well.

He offered that the City has good police officers however said they are the second lowest paid in the County, and expressed his opinion that this action could take money away from raises that they are deserving of. The Mayor said it is likely that if the City could not fund the position in the future the officer would probably be cut and the City would need to refund the grant.

Hastings moved, second by Forsythe, to not authorize the grant application for a second police officer under the COPS AHEAD Program.

#### PARTIAL-BIRTH ABORTION BAN ACT OF 1995

#### HON. RON PACKARD

OF CALIFORNIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Thursday, November 2, 1995

Mr. PACKARD. Mr. Speaker, I applaud all my colleagues who voted yesterday to protect the lives of the most vulnerable of Americans—the unborn. The House stood up and said no to the radical left and their militant agenda in promoting this brutal and inhumane procedure.

Even though the American Medical Association took no official position on the bill, it was backed by the AMA's council on legislation who voted unanimously to recommend that the AMA board of trustees endorse the bill outlawing this grotesque procedure. Sadly, the bill was not supported by the radical pro-abortion movement who showed their true colors by calling the attempt to outlaw the procedure "extreme." Opposition to the bill is extremism. Physicians are trained to save lives, not take them in this abhorrent procedure.

Mr. Speaker, in passing the Partial-Birth Abortion Ban Act by a vote of 288 to 139, this House has declared to the whole world that this form of elective infanticide has no place in our society and it will not be tolerated.

#### TRIBUTE TO MICHAEL OLMEDA

## HON. EDOLPHUS TOWNS

OF NEW YORK

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Thursday, November 2, 1995

Mr. TOWNS. Mr. Speaker, I would like to introduce my colleagues to Michael Olmeda. Michael's story is a testament to overcoming personal adversity. At one point in his life he succumbed to substance abuse, but through personal resolve, he continues to escape the lure of chemical reliance and self-indulgence.

Mike presently works for Assemblyman Darryl Towns, and cut his political teeth working for Senator Ada Smith. In his current capacity, he works with senior citizens and a substance abuse rehabilitation program.

Mr. Olmeda is married to his wife of 14 years, Cecilia, and they have three children, Steven, Raquel, and Travis. He lives by a philosophy that is his source of renewal, "Each One, Teach One." Truly, the experience of Michael Olmeda is a profile in courage and success.

## CHILD ABDUCTION AND EXPLOITATION

SPEECH OF

## HON. PATRICIA SCHROEDER

OF COLORADO

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES Wednesday, November 1, 1995

Mrs. SCHROEDER. Mr. Speaker, there are five categories of missing children, they are:

First, abducted by family members. Second, abducted by nonfamily members.

Third, runaways.

Fourth, thrownaways.

Fifth, lost, injured or other reasons. All numbers are for 1988 cases.

Family abductions: Involves taking the child in violation of the custody agreement, referred to as "child snatching."

Fifty-three percent were living with a single parent; 41 percent occurred in the midst of an ongoing relationship; 2 percent involved snatching the child from day care centers, rather they involved violations of custody agreements.

Nonfamily abductions: There were 114,600 attempted abductions. There were 3,200–4,600 children abducted. Children ages 4–11 experienced the most attempts. Most attempts involved a car.

More than half the victims were age 12 and older; 62 percent were to strangers; 19 percent were to acquaintances; force was used against 84% of the victims.

Runaways: Children who left home overnight without permission. There were 450,7000, although the majority went to familiar places. There were 133,500 children who left without a secure and familiar place to stay; 67 percent were 16 to 17 years old.

Thrownaways: Children who are thrown out of their homes. There were 59,200 cases reported; 84 percent were for children between the ages of 16 and 17.

Lost, injured or otherwise missing: 139,000 reported cases; 47 percent ages 4 and under.

WHAT YOU CAN DO TO PREVENT CHILD ABDUCTION AND EXPLOITATION

Know where your children are at all times. Be familiar with their friends and daily activities.

Be sensitive to changes in your children's behavior; they are a signal that you should sit down and talk to your children about what caused the changes.

Be alert to a teenager or adult who is paying an unusual amount of attention to your children or giving them inappropriate or expensive gifts.

Teach your children to trust their own feelings, and assure them that they have the right to say "NO" to what they sense is wrong.

Listen carefully to your children's fears, and be supportive in all your discussions with them.

Teach your children that no one should approach them or touch them in a way that makes them feel uncomfortable. If someone does, they should tell the parents immediately.

Be careful about babysitters and any other individual who have custody of your children.

#### PERSONAL EXPLANATION

## HON. JANE HARMAN

OF CALIFORNIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES Thursday, November 2, 1995

Ms. HARMAN. Mr. Speaker, due to a family obligation, I was not present to vote yesterday

afternoon.

If I had been present, I would have voted "no" on rollcall 757, the rules resolution for the District of Columbia Appropriations Act; "no" on rollcall 758, the Bonilla amendment revoking the D.C. property tax exemption for the National Education Association; and "no" on rollcall 759, the Hostettler amendment repealing the District of Columbia's Domestic Part-

### VETERANS DAY 1995

nership Act.

## HON. STENY H. HOYER

OF MARYLAND

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Thursday, November 2, 1995

Mr. HOYER. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to pay tribute to our veterans who have served their country with honor and valor. This November 11, as we celebrate Veterans Day, we must recognize the commitment made by these men and women and reaffirm our Nation's commitment to honor their great sacrifices.

Whether on the beaches of Normandy, the jungle of Vietnam, the desert of Iraq, or in Korea, American men and women were there, protecting America and her allies from foreign aggressors. We, as a nation, owe a debt of gratitude to our veterans, whose accomplishments shaped America and the world.

Several events have made 1995 quite a testimony to the successes of our veterans. This year marks the 50th anniversary of the United Nations, which rose above the disaster of World War II to provide assistance, hope, and peace to millions of people around the world. A new prospect for peace has arisen in the Middle East due to the historic signing of a peace accord between the P.L.O. and Israel. A Korean War Memorial was dedicated this year in our Nation's Capital finally giving due recognition to the veterans of a war that was largely forgotten. And finally, and most impor-

tantly, this year marks the 50th anniversary of the end of World War II. Fifty years ago, our troops courageously halted the Nazi and Japanese advance. Today, as a testimony to their efforts, these two nations are among our closest allies

It is imperative that we remember the patriotism of these great men and women. If our forces had not succeeded, the course of history would have been altered. The peace and prosperity that we have come to expect in America is directly attributable to the sacrifices made by the millions of American soldiers who risked their lives for the ideals of freedom and democracy. Let us continue to recognize their commitment to us, and let us reaffirm our commitment to our veterans on this Veterans Day, 1995.

# MEDICARE PRESERVATION ACT OF 1995

SPEECH OF

#### HON. ANNA G. ESHOO

OF CALIFORNIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Thursday, October 19, 1995

The House in Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union had under consideration the bill (H.R. 2425) to amend title XVIII of the Social Security Act to preserve and reform the Medicare Program.

Ms. ESHOO. Mr. Chairman, I rise in support of Representative ORTON's substitute budget, offered on behalf of the Democrats. It is a positive alternative.

It is critical that we balance our budget—particularly for future generations. This plan does that without gutting Medicare, without eliminating Medicaid, without cutting student loans and without adding provisions that threaten our environment. This budget creates solid footing for this Nation's economy. It doesn't ask our children and elderly to go without medical care so that wealthy individuals can receive a \$245 billion tax cut.

Unlike the Republican budget plan, this substitute protects health insurance for the poor and the elderly. First, it increases preventive benefits for the elderly. At the same time, it ensures that the monthly Medicare premium paid by beneficiaries does not increase. The Republicans, under their budget, ask seniors to pay more in monthly premiums. The Orton substitute continues paying premiums and deductibles for low-income Medicare recipients. The Republican plan does not. This substitute budget maintains Medicaid as an entitlement program so that children and pregnant women are guaranteed access to health care coverage. The Republicans abolish Medicaid as an entitlement, tearing away guaranteed health insurance for two out of every five of our Nation's children. Restricting Medicaid benefits will add to the already high number of uninsured individuals.

The Republican budget cuts student loans. Education programs, particularly, student loans would be preserved under this budget. Education is the essential foundation on which we continue to build the future of our Nation.

Finally, this substitute plan protects and tightens the earned income tax credit [EITC]. Under the Republican budget, childless couples and senior citizens who work would no longer receive this credit. It seems ironic that