

while Arab leaders based their political appeal on fighting colonialism or Zionism, or on ethnic and religious bonds, or on sheer brute force. No Arab leader ever said: "Judge me on my G.D.P." So Arab economies existed to support the state, instead of the state existing to support the economy. Or, as the Egyptian intellectual Tahseen Bashir says: "Egypt was first a state, then the people were created."

A year ago 500 Egyptian businessmen gathered for the country's biggest-ever economic conference. They adjusted the date precisely so President Mubarak could attend. The day of the conference Mr. Mubarak canceled because of another pressing engagement—he had to receive the President of Mauritania.

No wonder Mr. Mubarak has failed to institute the structural reforms that could make Egypt attractive to foreign investors and competitive on the world stage—that is downsizing the bloated bureaucracy, privatizing state industries and reforming investment regulations. President Mubarak is terrified that downsizing will lead to unemployment and riots.

That is a legitimate fear. But even if Mr. Mubarak doesn't want to touch his bureaucracy, he could at least reform Egypt's antiquated commercial codes, arbitrary tax regulations and red-tape foreign investment rules (a foreign investor needs the signatures of 26 different officials to set up shop here) so that the private sector can provide the jobs the Government cannot.

Fact: Mr. Mubarak has more mummies in his cabinet than King Tut. His team of ministers is the oldest in the Arab world. It has not risen to the economic challenge, and so investors go elsewhere.

It is time for the U.S. to stop looking at Egypt as a pillar in the peace process, and start looking at it as an economic laggard badly in need of shock therapy. Egypt doesn't need a shuttle by the Secretary of State. It needs a shuttle by the Secretary of the Treasury. The World Bank estimates that the Arab states and Iran will have to create 47 million new jobs by the year 2010 just to accommodate the population boom that will enter the labor force by then.

If governments here do not reform themselves to meet that challenge, this region won't just miss the tram. The whole station will explode.

TRIBUTE TO GEORGE WALLACE

HON. PETER T. KING

OF NEW YORK

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Monday, October 30, 1995

Mr. KING. Mr. Speaker, it gives me great pleasure to take this opportunity to salute one of my constituents for his role in the global struggle against tyranny during World War II. Mr. George Wallace, from Merrick, NY, is a member of the very best generation this Nation ever produced. Like millions of other men from all across the United States, when war came to America in 1941, he answered the call to duty and did his part.

His service record during the Second World War is both remarkable and typical. I would like to enter into the RECORD a brief outline of his military service.

George Wallace joined the U.S. Army in October 1942. After graduating Officer Candidate School he has commissioned as a 2d lieutenant of the field artillery. Shipped to England in 1944, he graduated Airborne School and was assigned to the 17th Airborne Division, 680th Glider Field Artillery Battalion.

Wallace served with this unit in the Battle of the Bulge and Operation Varsity, the largest airborne operation of the war. Fighting throughout, the Rhineland Campaign, Wallace took part in the capture of Wesel, Munster, and the Ruhr Valley complex.

After V-E Day, he transferred to the 101st Airborne for occupation duties and training for possible action during the then-likely invasion of Japan. Following the deactivation of the 101st, he transferred to the 82nd Airborne and marched with that unit under Gen. James M. Gavin during a victory parade in New York City on January 6, 1946. During the war years, he had had the honor of serving in three different airborne divisions.

Not yet through serving his country, George Wallace stayed on with the Army Reserve until April, 1953. Following his distinguished military service, Wallace joined the New York City Fire Department, where he served for 29 years before retiring as assistant chief of the department.

I was very proud on October 11, 1995, to be joined in Washington by Mr. George Wallace for the special joint meeting of Congress in honor of World War II veterans. We must never forget the courage and sacrifice of those millions of American young men, who like George Wallace answered their country's call during World War II.

HONORING FAROOQ KATHWARI

HON. GARY L. ACKERMAN

OF NEW YORK

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Monday, October 30, 1995

Mr. ACKERMAN. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to join with my constituents and with the members of the American Jewish Committee as they honor Farooq Kathwari, who will receive the Institute of Human Relations Award on November 2 in Manhattan.

This prestigious award is presented annually by the American Jewish Committee to an individual who represents the bold and humanitarian beliefs and ideals that foster civil and human rights and promote democracy. The Human Relations Award embodies the practices of a pluralistic society and the belief that the goals of justice, freedom, and democracy for one group will be achieved only by guaranteeing them for all peoples.

Mr. Speaker, Farooq Kathwari is a most fitting recipient of this high honor. His story illustrates what our great country stands for, and the bountiful opportunities the United States offers its citizens. Farooq Kathwari came to America 30 years ago as a political refugee from Kashmir, and he now leads one of the Nation's largest home-furnishing companies. He arrived in New York City at age 20 armed with a BA in English and political science, and with a determination to live and grow in a free society. Two years later he earned an MBA, went to work for Newcourt Securities, where he rapidly rose to the position of vice president, and simultaneously launched his own importing business. Soon thereafter, Ethan Allen purchased an interest in his company. In 1980, the firms merged, and Farooq Kathwari became vice president of Ethan Allen. By 1989, he had risen to the post of chairman, president, and CEO.

While becoming a leader in the American and international business communities, Mr.

Kathwari did not forget his origins. He enthusiastically undertook a variety of projects supportive of freedom and human rights. Of special note is his work as founder of the Council for Human Rights in Kashmir. Through this organization, Farooq Kathwari has dedicated himself to help foster a dialog for peace in this much-troubled region, and to promote human rights around the world.

Mr. Speaker, I ask all my colleagues in the House of Representatives to join me now in honoring Farooq Kathwari, a most compassionate and selfless citizen. May his good works serve as a model for countless others to follow.

HONORING STEPHEN WARD
TUTTLE II ON ATTAINING THE
RANK OF EAGLE SCOUT

HON. CARRIE P. MEEK

OF FLORIDA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Monday, October 30, 1995

Mrs. MEEK of Florida. Mr. Speaker, I rise to pay special tribute to an outstanding young man in my district, Stephen Ward Tuttle II of Miami, a member of Boy Scout Troop 840 of St. Louis Church. Stephen has earned the highest honor a Scout can achieve, the rank of Eagle Scout.

Stephen Tuttle began his long, arduous climb toward the rank of Eagle Scout as a Cub Scout over 5 years ago. During that time, he has constantly striven for excellence in school, church, and scouting. He has consistently demonstrated discipline, leadership, commitment, and integrity and provided an outstanding example to the Scouts around him and to the community as a whole. He has earned the respect and admiration of his fellow Scouts, schoolmates, family, and those in our community.

Mr. Speaker, I want to congratulate Stephen Tuttle on a job well done. I join with his mother, Laura Tuttle, his scoutmaster, Capt. Roy Hamlin, his troop, and our entire community in recognizing his achievement and wishing him continued success in the future.

SECRETARY WEINBERGER SEES
B-2'S STRENGTHS

HON. RANDY "DUKE" CUNNINGHAM

OF CALIFORNIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Monday, October 30, 1995

Mr. CUNNINGHAM. Mr. Speaker, among the many budget issues that Congress continues to work on is our effort to revitalize our national security. In these tight budgetary times, every spending decision we make must be cost and benefit justified.

The following commentary, written by former Secretary of Defense Caspar W. Weinberger in the November 6, 1995, issue of Forbes magazine, provides some of the many outstanding arguments for our Nation to continue to fund the B-2 Stealth Bomber Program. As Secretary Weinberger notes, "few people understand just how good, how revolutionary, this stealth bomber is." That said, I recommend that all of my colleagues in the

House and Senate read this piece, and consider the value and benefit that the B-2 offers our national defense.

[From Forbes magazine, Nov. 6, 1995]

KEEP THE B-2 PROGRAM ALIVE

(By Caspar Weinberger)

Whether to continue production of the B-2 stealth bomber, the world's most advanced aircraft, is an issue that creates strange alliances. Many proponents of a strong defense favor terminating the B-2 program, leaving us with only the 20 aircraft already paid for. A second group favor making the required expenditures now (roughly \$500 million) that would enable us to resume production of the B-2 should international conditions require it. This would be far less expensive than closing the production line and having to start it up later. A third group, small in number, believe we need more B-2s and should continue production on a low-level scale.

The second group's approach is the most reasonable, practical and necessary. The B-2—the world's only stealth bomber—is, as even one of its strongest opponents admits, "a technological marvel . . . widely praised by our highest-ranking military leaders." But few people seem to understand just how good, how revolutionary, this stealth bomber is and what it adds to our ability to keep peace and freedom.

Of course, the word "stealth" does not mean the plane is invisible. But when the B-2 is at high altitudes, where it flies when delivering nuclear or conventional bombs, it is virtually impossible for any existing or projected defense system to detect it on radar, and it therefore is invulnerable. Two B-2s with a total crew of four can deliver a bombload which, if dropped from conventional planes, would put the lives of 132 crewmen at risk. In the Gulf war's first hours, 45 sorties by stealth fighter F-117s struck almost as many Iraqi targets as did 850 sorties by conventional aircraft. In the first 24 hours of Desert Storm, we sent 1,263 conventional aircraft to strike 144 major targets. Thirty-two B-2s could have attacked the same number of targets—and more effectively because of precision weaponry—in less time.

The debate turns on whether we need this astonishing capability now that the Cold War is over. Senator John McCain (R-Ariz.), a skilled and effective advocate of a strong defense, believes that we no longer need a "large fleet of stealthy, long-range, nuclear delivery aircraft." He also views aircraft carriers, submarines and other weapons as better serving our national security needs because they are "visible, forward-deployed forces," enabling us to "put our capability on peaceful display"—a good deterrent in and of itself. McCain makes the point that, although the B-2 can carry conventional weapons, having it do so is not cost-effective at a fixed price of \$556 million per aircraft. Each additional B-2 will use scarce defense budget funds, precluding necessary modernization and replacements for the trucks, tanks, other aircraft, artillery and ships that will be needed shortly. These are understandable, reasonable arguments.

It seems to me, however, that events over the last several years have demonstrated conclusively that we are going to need the B-2's capabilities. The Gulf war exemplifies why we need to be able to project our military power over vast distances quickly and effectively. It would be nice—but scarcely safe—to believe that there will be no more Gulf wars or events that will require such capabilities. But, for example, we are committed to the defense of two other potential hotspots: Taiwan and South Korea. Should the U.S. be called into action, the B-2 would be extremely useful. It serves us well to re-

member that the more strength we have, the less likely it is that we will have to use it.

In any event, the ultimate question of whether we need to buy more than the already-ordered B-2s need not be decided now. What we do need now is the option to acquire more later and the ability to exercise that option without the staggering costs of re-starting production. If we terminate the B-2 production line now, we virtually preclude securing any more of those remarkable aircraft in the future.

We should instead agree to use the funds in the Defense appropriations bill to procure some of the parts necessary from the B-2's nearly 3,400 suppliers, thereby keeping the line open for later low-level production. General John Loh, former commander of our Air Combat Command, sums it up well: "I see the B-2 as the centerpiece of . . . [a] strategy that places increasing importance on projecting immediate, responsive power from the U.S. to a regional crisis anywhere in the world."

INTRODUCTION OF THE STATE WATER SOVEREIGNTY PROTECTION ACT

HON. MICHAEL D. CRAPO

OF IDAHO

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Monday, October 30, 1995

Mr. CRAPO. Mr. Speaker, I rise to introduce a bill to preserve the authority of the States over waters within their boundaries, to delegate the authority of the Congress to the States to regulate water, and for other purposes.

Since 1866, Congress has recognized and deferred to the authority of the States to allocate and administer water within their borders. The Supreme Court has confirmed that this is an appropriate role for the States. Additionally, in 1952 the Congress passed the McCarran amendment which provides for the adjudication of State and Federal water claims in State water courts.

However, despite both judicial and legislative edicts, I am deeply concerned that the administration, Federal agencies and some in Congress are setting the stage for ignoring long established statutory provisions concerning State water rights and State water contracts. The Endangered Species Act, the Clean Water Act, the Federal Land Management Policy Act, Endangered Species Act Recovery Plans, rangeland reform, and proposed wilderness legislation have been vehicles used to erode State sovereignty over its water.

It is imperative that States maintain sovereignty over management and control of their water and river systems. All rights to water or reservations of rights for any purpose in States should be subject to the substantive and procedural laws of that State, not the Federal Government. To protect State water rights I am introducing the State Water Sovereignty Protection Act.

RECOGNITION OF JUDE HARRINGTON

HON. BUD SHUSTER

OF PENNSYLVANIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Monday, October 30, 1995

Mr. SHUSTER. Mr. Speaker, far too often the work of the men and women who are charged with preserving our Nation's natural resources goes unnoticed. These individuals, many of whom dedicate their lives toward maintaining America's treasures are rarely recognized for the importance of the service which they provide.

Mr. Speaker, I rise today to pay tribute to such an individual from my own congressional district, Mr. Jude Harrington of Huntingdon, PA. A native of Pennsylvania, Mr. Harrington began his career with the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers in 1980 as a student intern with the Pittsburgh district. He received a bachelors degree in parks and recreation from Slippery Rock University in 1982. After graduating from Slippery Rock, Jude has served the corps at several different locations and in the process has earned a solid reputation as a man of integrity and conviction.

Since 1992, Jude has served as the supervisory ranger for Raystown Lake. His work at the lake has enabled it to become one of the largest tourist and recreation attractions in my district. In fact, last year 1.3 million people traveled to rural Pennsylvania to visit Raystown Lake. Mr. Harrington's primary responsibilities at Raystown are centered upon the management of the lake's natural resources and recreation programs.

Recently, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers selected Jude Harrington as the national resources management employee of the year. The corps handpicked Jude out of a field of a possible 1,200 candidates from across the Nation. They recognized what we in the Ninth District have known for years, that Jude Harrington is the best of the best when it comes to natural resources management. His work on behalf of Raystown Lake is a testament to both his love for the area and his commitment to the preservation of natural resources. I will close by thanking Jude Harrington for his service to Raystown Lake and congratulating him on being recognized for this honor which he richly deserves.

THE 7-YEAR BALANCED BUDGET RECONCILIATION ACT OF 1995

SPEECH OF

HON. MICHAEL G. OXLEY

OF OHIO

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Thursday, October 26, 1995

The House in Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union had under consideration the bill (H.R. 2491) to provide for reconciliation pursuant to section 105 of the concurrent resolution on the budget for fiscal year 1996:

Mr. OXLEY. Mr. Chairman, I rise today in strong support of the Seven-Year Balanced Budget Reconciliation Act of 1995. As the name implies, this package of reforms outlines a clear path to a balanced budget in the year 2002. We promised the American people that