unavailability of physicians in the

[39 FR 35777, Oct. 3, 1974. Redesignated and amended at 42 FR 52826, Sept. 30, 1977. Further redesignated and amended at 53 FR 23100, June 17, 1988, and further amended at 56 FR 48879, Sept. 26, 1991; 57 FR 43925, Sept. 23, 1992; 81 FR 68871, Oct. 4, 2016; 82 FR 32260, July 13, 20171

§ 488.60 Special procedures for approving end stage renal disease facilities.

- (a) Consideration for approval. An ESRD facility that wishes to be approved or that wishes an expansion of dialysis services to be approved for coverage, in accordance with part 494 of this chapter, must secure a determination by the Secretary. To secure a determination, the facility must submit the following documents and data for consideration by the Secretary:
- (1) Certification by the State agency referred to in §488.12 of this part.
- (2) Data furnished by ESRD network organizations and recommendations of the Public Health Service concerning the facility's contribution to the ESRD services of the network.
- (3) Data concerning the facility's compliance with professional norms and standards.
- (4) Data pertaining to the facility's qualifications for approval or for any expansion of services.
- (b) Determining compliance with minimal utilization rates: Time limitations—(1) Unconditional status. A facility which meets minimal utilization requirements will be assigned this status as long as it continues to meet these requirements.
- (2) Conditional status. A conditional status may be granted to a facility for not more than four consecutive calendar years and will not be renewable (see §405.2122(b) of this chapter). Its status may be examined each calendar year to ascertain its compliance with Subpart U.
- (3) Exception status. Under unusual circumstances (see § 405.2122 (b) of this chapter) the Secretary may grant a time-limited exception to a facility which is not in compliance with the minimal utilization rate(s) for either unconditional status or conditional status. This exception status may be granted, and may be renewed on an an-

- nual basis, under circumstances where rigid application of minimal utilization rate requirements would adversely affect the achievement of ESRD program objectives.
- (c) New applicant. A facility which has not previously participated in the ESRD program must submit a plan detailing how it expects to meet the conditional minimal utilization rate status by the end of the second calendar year of its operation under the program and meet the unconditional minimal utilization rate status by the end of the fourth calendar year of its operation under the program.
- (d) Notification. The Secretary will notify each facility and its network coordinating council of its initial and its subsequent minimal utilization rate classification.
- (e) Failure to meet minimal utilization rate. A facility failing to meet standards for unconditional status or conditional status, or if applicable, for exception status, will be so notified at the time of such classification.
- (f) Interim regulations participant. A facility previously participating under the interim regulations will not be approved under the program established by subpart U until it has demonstrated that it meets all the applicable requirements of this subpart, including the appropriate minimal utilization rate. It may continue under the interim program only for a period not to exceed 1 year from the effective date of these amendments (see §405.2100(c) of this chapter). During this period it may demonstrate its ability to meet the appropriate minimal utilization rate. Failure to qualify under this subpart will automatically terminate coverage of such facility's services under the ESRD program at the end of such

[41 FR 22510, June 3, 1976. Redesignated at 42 FR 52826, Sept. 30, 1977, and further amended at 45 FR 58124, Sept. 2, 1980. Redesignated and amended at 53 FR 23100, June 17, 1988; 73 FR 20474, Apr. 15, 2008]

§ 488.61 Special procedures for approval and re-approval of organ transplant programs.

For the purposes of this subpart, the survey, certification, and enforcement procedures described at 42 CFR part

§488.61

- 488, subpart A apply to transplant programs, including the periodic review of compliance and approval described at \$488.20.
- (a) Initial approval procedures for transplant programs that are not Medicare-approved as of June 28, 2007. A transplant program, including a kidney transplant program, may submit a request to CMS for Medicare approval at any time.
- (1) The request, signed by a person authorized to represent the center (for example, a chief executive officer), must include:
- (i) The hospital's Medicare provider I.D. number:
- (ii) Name(s) of the designated primary transplant surgeon and primary transplant physician; and.
- (iii) A statement from the OPTN that the center has complied with all data submission requirements.
- (2) To determine compliance with the clinical experience and outcome requirements at §§ 482.80(b) and 482.80(c), CMS will review the data contained in the most recent OPTN Data Report and 1-year patient and graft survival data contained in the most recent Scientific Registry of Transplant Recipient (SRTR) program-specific report.
- (3) If CMS determines that a transplant center has not met the data submission, clinical experience, or outcome requirements, CMS may deny the request for approval or may review the center's compliance with the conditions of participation at §§ 482.72 through 482.76 and §§ 482.90 through 482.104 of this chapter, using the procedures described at 42 CFR part 488, subpart A, to determine whether the center's request will be approved. CMS will notify the transplant center in writing whether it is approved and, if approved, of the effective date of its approval.
- (4) CMS will consider mitigating factors in accordance with paragraphs (f), (g), and (h) of this section.
- (5) If CMS determines that a transplant program has met the data submission, clinical experience, and outcome requirements, CMS will review the program's compliance with the conditions of participation contained at §§ 482.72 through 482.76 and §§ 482.90 through 482.104 of this chapter using

- the procedures described in subpart A of this part. If the transplant program is found to be in compliance with all the conditions of participation at §§ 482.72 through 482.104 of this chapter, CMS will notify the transplant program in writing of the effective date of its Medicare-approval. CMS will notify the transplant program in writing if it is not Medicare-approved.
- (6) A kidney transplant center may submit a request for initial approval after performing at least 3 transplants over a 12-month period.
- (b) Initial approval procedures for transplant centers, including kidney transplant centers, that are Medicare approved as of June 28, 2007. (1) A transplant center that wants to continue to be Medicare approved must be in compliance with the conditions of participation at §§ 482.72 through 482.104 as of June 28, 2007 and submit a request to CMS for Medicare approval under the conditions of participation no later than December 26, 2007, using the process described in paragraph (a)(1) of the section.
- (2) CMS will determine whether to approve the transplant center, using the procedures described in paragraphs (a)(2) through (a)(5) of this section. Until CMS makes a determination whether to approve the transplant center under the conditions of participation at §§ 482.72 through 482.104, the transplant center will continue to be Medicare approved under the end stage renal disease (ESRD) conditions for coverage (CfCs) in part 405, subpart U of this chapter for kidney transplant centers or the pertinent national coverage decisions (NCDs) for extra-renal organ transplant centers, as applicable, and the transplant center will continue to be reimbursed for services provided to Medicare beneficiaries.
- (3) Once CMS approves a kidney transplant center under the conditions of participation, the ESRD CfCs no longer apply to the center as of the date of its approval. Once CMS approves an extra-renal organ transplant center under the conditions of participation, the NCDs no longer apply to the center as of the date of its approval.
- (4) If a transplant center that is Medicare approved as of June 28, 2007

submits a request for approval under the CoPs at §§ 482.72 through 482.104 of this chapter but CMS does not approve the transplant center, or if the transplant center does not submit its request to CMS for Medicare approval under the CoPs by December 26, 2007, CMS will revoke the transplant center's approval under the conditions for coverage for kidney transplant centers or the national coverage decisions for extra-renal transplant centers, as applicable, and the transplant center will no longer be reimbursed for services provided to Medicare beneficiaries. CMS will notify the transplant center in writing of the effective date of its loss of Medicare approval.

- (c) Loss of Medicare approval. Programs that have lost their Medicare approval may seek re-entry into the Medicare program at any time. A program that has lost its Medicare approval must:
- (1) Request initial approval using the procedures described in paragraph (a) of this section:
- (2) Be in compliance with §§ 482.72 through 482.104 of this chapter at the time of the request for Medicare approval; and
- (3) Submit a report to CMS documenting any changes or corrective actions taken by the program as a result of the loss of its Medicare approval status.
- (d) Transplant program inactivity. A transplant program may remain inactive and retain its Medicare approval for a period not to exceed 12 months. A transplant program must notify CMS upon its voluntary inactivation as required by §482.74(a)(3) of this chapter.
- (e) Consideration of mitigating factors in initial approval survey, certification, and enforcement actions for transplant programs—(1) Factors. Except for situations of immediate jeopardy or deficiencies other than failure to meet requirements at §482.80 of this chapter, CMS will consider such mitigating factors as may be appropriate in light of the nature of the deficiency and circumstances, including (but not limited to) the following, in making a decision of initial approval of a transplant program that does not meet the data submission, clinical experience, or outcome requirements:

- (i) The extent to which outcome measures are not met or exceeded;
- (ii) Availability of Medicare-approved transplant centers in the area;
- (iii) Extenuating circumstances (for example, natural disaster) that have a temporary effect on meeting the conditions of participation;
- (iv) Program improvements that substantially address root causes of graft failures or patient deaths, that have been implemented and institutionalized on a sustainable basis, and that are supported by outcomes more recent than the latest available SRTR report, for which there is a sufficient post-transplant patient and graft survival period and a sufficient number of transplants such that CMS finds that the program demonstrates present-day compliance with the requirements at §482.80(c)(2)(ii)(C) of this chapter;
- (v) Whether the program has made extensive use of innovative transplantation practices relative to other transplant programs, such as a high rate of transplantation of individuals who are highly sensitized or children who have undergone a Fontan procedure compared to most other transplant programs, where CMS finds that the innovative practices are supported by evidence-based published research literature or nationally recognized standards or Institution Review Board (IRB) approvals, and the SRTR risk-adjustment methodology does not take the relevant key factors into consideration; and
- (vi) Whether the program's performance, based on the OPTN method of calculating patient and graft survival, is within the OPTN's thresholds for acceptable performance and does not flag OPTN performance review under the applicable OPTN policy.
- (2) Content. A request for consideration of mitigating factors must include sufficient information to permit an adequate review and understanding of the transplant program, the factors that have contributed to outcomes, program improvements or innovations that have been implemented or planned, and in the case of natural disasters, the recovery actions planned. Examples of information to be submitted with each request include (but are not limited to) the following:

§ 488.61

- (i) The name and contact information for the transplant hospital and the names and roles of key personnel of the transplant program;
- (ii) The type of organ transplant program(s) for which approval is requested;
- (iii) The conditions of participation that the program does not meet for which the transplant center is requesting CMS' review for mitigating factors;
- (iv) The program's organizational chart with full-time equivalent levels, roles, and structure for reporting to hospital leadership;
- (v) For applications involving substandard patient or graft survival, the rationale and supporting evidence for CMS' review includes, but is not limited to—
- (A) Root Cause Analysis for patient deaths and graft failures, including factors the program has identified as likely causal or contributing factors for patient deaths and graft failures;
- (B) Program improvements that have been implemented and improvements that are planned;
- (C) Patient and donor/organ selection criteria and evaluation protocols, including methods for pre-transplant patient evaluation by cardiologists, hematologists, nephrologists, and psychiatrists or psychologists to the extent applicable;
- (D) Waitlist management protocols and practices relevant to outcomes;
- (E) Pre-operative management protocols and practices;
- (F) Immunosuppression/infection prophylaxis protocols;
- (G) Post-transplant monitoring and management protocols and practices;
- (H) Quality Assessment and Performance Improvement (QAPI) Program meeting minutes from the most recent four meetings and attendance rosters from the most recent 12 months;
- (I) Quality dashboard and other performance indicators; and
- (J) The most recent data regarding transplants that have been made and for outcomes in terms of both patient survival and graft survival;
- (vi) For mitigating factors requests based on innovative practice:
- (A) A description of the innovations that have been implemented and identification of the specific cases for

- which the innovative practices are relevant so as to enable the patient and graft survival data for such cases to be compared with all other transplants for at least the period covered by the latest available SRTR report.
- (B) The literature, research, or other evidentiary basis that supports consideration of the practice(s) as innovative.
- (vii) For requests based on natural disasters or public health emergency:
- (A) A description of the disaster or emergency, the specific impact on the program, the time periods of the event(s) and of its immediate recovery aftermath;
- (B) Identification of the transplants that occurred during the period for which the request is being made; and
- (C) The approximate date when the program believes it substantially recovered from the event(s), or believes it will recover if substantial recovery has not been accomplished at the time of the request.
- (3) Timing. Within 14 calendar days after CMS has issued formal written notice of a condition-level deficiency to the program. CMS must receive notification of the program's intent to seek mitigating factors approval, and receive all information for consideration of mitigating factors within 120 calendar days of the CMS written notification for a deficiency due to data submission, clinical experience or outcomes at §482.80 of this chapter. Failure to meet these timeframes may be the basis for denial of mitigating factors. CMS may permit an extension of the timeline for good cause, such as a declared public health emergency.
- (f) Results of mitigating factors review—
 (1) Actions. Upon review of the request to consider mitigating factors, CMS may take the following actions:
- (i) Approve initial approval of a program's Medicare participation based upon approval of mitigating factors.
- (ii) Deny the program's request for Medicare approval based on mitigating factors.
- (iii) Offer a time-limited Systems Improvement Agreement, in accordance with paragraph (g) of this section, when a transplant program has waived its appeal rights, has implemented substantial program improvements that

address root causes and are institutionally supported by the hospital's governing body on a sustainable basis, and has requested more time to design or implement additional improvements or demonstrate compliance with CMS outcome requirements. Upon completion of the Systems Improvement Agreement or a CMS finding that the hospital has failed to meet the terms of the Agreement, CMS makes a final determination of whether to approve or deny a program's request for Medicare approval based on mitigating factors. A Systems Improvement Agreement follows the process specified in paragraph (g) of this section.

- (2) Limitation. CMS will not approve any program with a condition-level deficiency. However, CMS may approve a program with a standard-level deficiency upon receipt of an acceptable plan of correction.
- (g) Transplant Systems Improvement Agreement. A Systems Improvement Agreement is a binding agreement, entered into voluntarily by the hospital and CMS, through which CMS extends a prospective Medicare termination date and offers the program additional time to achieve compliance with the conditions of participation, contingent on the hospital's agreement to participate in a structured regimen of quality improvement activities, demonstrate improved outcomes, and waive the right to appeal termination based on the identified deficiency or deficiencies (that led to the Agreement) in consideration for more time to demonstrate compliance. In some cases, transplant programs may enter a period of inactivity—voluntarily, or imposed as a condition of the Systems Improvement Agreement.
- (1) Content. In exchange for the additional time to initiate or continue activities to achieve compliance with the conditions of participation, the hospital must agree to a regimen of specified activities, including (but not limited to) all of the following:
- (i) Patient notification about the degree and type of noncompliance by the program, an explanation of what the program improvement efforts mean for patients, and financial assistance to defray the out-of-pocket costs of copayments and testing expenses for any

- wait-listed individual who wishes to be listed with another program;
- (ii) An external independent peer review team that conducts an onsite assessment of the program. The peer review must include—
- (A) Review of policies, staffing, operations, relationship to hospital services, and factors that contribute to program outcomes;
- (B) Suggestions for quality improvements the hospital should consider;
- (C) Both verbal and written feedback provided directly to the hospital;
- (D) Verbal debriefing provided directly to CMS; neither the hospital nor the peer review team is required to provide a written report to CMS; and
- (E) Onsite review by a multidisciplinary team that includes a transplant surgeon with expertise in the relevant organ type(s), a transplant administrator, an individual with expertise in transplant QAPI systems, a social worker or psychologist or psychiatrist, and a specialty physician with expertise in conditions particularly relevant to the applicable organ types(s) such as a cardiologist, nephrologist, or hepatologist. Except for the transplant surgeon, CMS may permit substitution of one type of expertise for another individual who has expertise particularly needed for the type of challenges experienced by the program, such as substitution of an infection control specialist in lieu of, or in addition to, a social worker:
- (iii) An action plan that addresses systemic quality improvements and is updated after the onsite peer review;
- (iv) An onsite consultant whose qualifications are approved by CMS, and who provides services for 8 days per month on average for the duration of the agreement, except that CMS may permit a portion of the time to be spent offsite and may agree to fewer consultant days each month after the first 3 months of the Systems Improvement Agreement;
- (v) A comparative effectiveness analysis that compares policies, procedures, and protocols of the transplant program with those of other programs in areas of endeavor that are relevant to the center's current quality improvement needs;

§ 488.64

- (vi) Development of increased proficiency, or demonstration of current proficiency, with patient-level data from the Scientific Registry of Transplant Recipients and the use of registry data to analyze outcomes and inform quality improvement efforts;
- (vii) A staffing analysis that examines the level, type, training, and skill of staff in order to inform transplant center efforts to ensure the engagement and appropriate training and credentialing of staff;
- (viii) Activities to strengthen performance of the Quality Assessment and Performance Improvement Program to ensure full compliance with the requirements of §482.96 and §482.21 of this chapter;
- (ix) Monthly (unless otherwise specified) reporting and conference calls with CMS regarding the status of programmatic improvements, results of the deliverables in the Systems Improvement Agreement, and the number of transplants, deaths, and graft failures that occur within 1 year post-transplant; and
- (x) Additional or alternative requirements specified by CMS, tailored to the transplant program type and circumstances. CMS may waive the content elements at paragraph (g)(1)(v), (vi), (vii) or (viii) of this section if it finds that the program has already adequately conducted the activity, the program is already proficient in the function, or the activity is clearly inapplicable to the deficiencies that led to the Agreement.
- (2) Timeframe. A Systems Improvement Agreement will be established for up to a 12-month period, subject to CMS' discretion to determine if a shorter timeframe may suffice. At the hospital's request, CMS may extend the agreement for up to an additional 6-month period. A signed Systems Improvement Agreement remains in force even if a subsequent SRTR report indicates that the program has restored compliance with the CMS conditions of participation, except that CMS in its sole discretion may shorten the timeframe or allow modification to any

portion of the elements of the Agreement in such a case.

[72 FR 15278, Mar. 30, 2007, as amended at 79 FR 27156, May 12, 2014; 79 FR 50359, Aug. 22, 2014; 81 FR 79881, Nov. 14, 2016; 84 FR 51831, Sept. 30, 2019]

§ 488.64 Remote facility variances for utilization review requirements.

- (a) As used in this section:
- (1) An "available" individual is one who:
- (i) Possesses the necessary professional qualifications;
- (ii) Is not precluded from participating by reason of financial interest in any such facility or direct responsibility for the care of the patients being reviewed or, in the case of a skilled nursing facility, employment by the facility; and
- (iii) Is not precluded from effective participation by the distance between the facility and his residence, office, or other place of work. An individual whose residence, office, or other place of work is more than approximately one hour's travel time from the facility shall be considered precluded from effective participation.
- (2) "Adjacent facility" means a health care facility located within a 50-mile radius of the facility which requests a variance.
- (b) The Secretary may grant a requesting facility a variance from the time frames set forth in §§ 405.1137(d) of this chapter and 482.30 as applicable, within which reviews all of cases must be commenced and completed, upon a showing satisfactory to the Secretary that the requesting facility has been unable to meet one or more of the requirements of §405.1137 of this chapter or §482.30 of this chapter, as applicable, by reason of insufficient medical and other professional personnel available to conduct the utilization review required by §405.1137 of this chapter or § 482.30 of this chapter, as applicable.
- (c) The request for variance shall document the requesting facility's inability to meet the requirements for which a variance is requested and the facility's good faith efforts to comply with the requirements contained in §405.1137 of this chapter or §482.30 of this chapter, as applicable.