§ 1501.8

with jurisdiction by law or special expertise, to the maximum extent practicable.

- (3) Meet with a cooperating agency at the latter's request.
- (4) Determine the purpose and need, and alternatives in consultation with any cooperating agency.
- (i) The lead agency shall develop a schedule, setting milestones for all environmental reviews and authorizations required for implementation of the action, in consultation with any applicant and all joint lead, cooperating, and participating agencies, as soon as practicable.
- (j) If the lead agency anticipates that a milestone will be missed, it shall notify appropriate officials at the responsible agencies. As soon as practicable, the responsible agencies shall elevate the issue to the appropriate officials of the responsible agencies for timely resolution

§1501.8 Cooperating agencies.

- (a) The purpose of this section is to emphasize agency cooperation early in the NEPA process. Upon request of the lead agency, any Federal agency with jurisdiction by law shall be a cooperating agency. In addition, upon request of the lead agency, any other Federal agency with special expertise with respect to any environmental issue may be a cooperating agency. A State, Tribal, or local agency of similar qualifications may become a cooperating agency by agreement with the lead agency. An agency may request that the lead agency designate it a cooperating agency, and a Federal agency may appeal a denial of its request to the Council, in accordance with §1501.7(e).
 - (b) Each cooperating agency shall:
- (1) Participate in the NEPA process at the earliest practicable time.
- (2) Participate in the scoping process (described in §1501.9).
- (3) On request of the lead agency, assume responsibility for developing information and preparing environmental analyses, including portions of the environmental impact statement or environmental assessment concerning which the cooperating agency has special expertise.
- (4) On request of the lead agency, make available staff support to en-

hance the lead agency's interdisciplinary capability.

- (5) Normally use its own funds. To the extent available funds permit, the lead agency shall fund those major activities or analyses it requests from cooperating agencies. Potential lead agencies shall include such funding requirements in their budget requests.
- (6) Consult with the lead agency in developing the schedule (§1501.7(i)), meet the schedule, and elevate, as soon as practicable, to the senior agency official of the lead agency any issues relating to purpose and need, alternatives, or other issues that may affect any agencies' ability to meet the schedule.
- (7) Meet the lead agency's schedule for providing comments and limit its comments to those matters for which it has jurisdiction by law or special expertise with respect to any environmental issue consistent with §1503.2 of this chapter.
- (8) To the maximum extent practicable, jointly issue environmental documents with the lead agency.
- (c) In response to a lead agency's request for assistance in preparing the environmental documents (described in paragraph (b)(3), (4), or (5) of this section), a cooperating agency may reply that other program commitments preclude any involvement or the degree of involvement requested in the action that is the subject of the environmental impact statement or environmental assessment. The cooperating agency shall submit a copy of this reply to the Council and the senior agency official of the lead agency.

§ 1501.9 Scoping.

(a) Generally. Agencies shall use an early and open process to determine the scope of issues for analysis in an environmental impact statement, including identifying the significant issues and eliminating from further study non-significant issues. Scoping may begin as soon as practicable after the proposal for action is sufficiently developed for agency consideration. Scoping may include appropriate preapplication procedures or work conducted prior to publication of the notice of intent.

- (b) Invite cooperating and participating agencies. As part of the scoping process, the lead agency shall invite the participation of likely affected Federal, State, Tribal, and local agencies and governments, the proponent of the action, and other likely affected or interested persons (including those who might not be in accord with the action), unless there is a limited exception under §1507.3(f)(1) of this chapter.
- (c) Scoping outreach. As part of the scoping process the lead agency may hold a scoping meeting or meetings, publish scoping information, or use other means to communicate with those persons or agencies who may be interested or affected, which the agency may integrate with any other early planning meeting. Such a scoping meeting will often be appropriate when the impacts of a particular action are confined to specific sites.
- (d) Notice of intent. As soon as practicable after determining that a proposal is sufficiently developed to allow for meaningful public comment and requires an environmental impact statement, the lead agency shall publish a notice of intent to prepare an environmental impact statement in the FEDERAL REGISTER, except as provided in §1507.3(f)(3) of this chapter. An agency also may publish notice in accordance with §1506.6 of this chapter. The notice shall include, as appropriate:
- (1) The purpose and need for the proposed action;
- (2) A preliminary description of the proposed action and alternatives the environmental impact statement will consider;
- (3) A brief summary of expected impacts;
- (4) Anticipated permits and other authorizations;
- (5) A schedule for the decision-making process;
- (6) A description of the public scoping process, including any scoping meeting(s);
- (7) A request for identification of potential alternatives, information, and analyses relevant to the proposed action (*see* §1502.17 of this chapter); and
- (8) Contact information for a person within the agency who can answer questions about the proposed action

- and the environmental impact statement.
- (e) Determination of scope. As part of the scoping process, the lead agency shall determine the scope and the significant issues to be analyzed in depth in the environmental impact statement. To determine the scope of environmental impact statements, agencies shall consider:
- (1) Actions (other than unconnected single actions) that may be connected actions, which means that they are closely related and therefore should be discussed in the same impact statement. Actions are connected if they:
- (i) Automatically trigger other actions that may require environmental impact statements;
- (ii) Cannot or will not proceed unless other actions are taken previously or simultaneously; or
- (iii) Are interdependent parts of a larger action and depend on the larger action for their justification.
- (2) Alternatives, which include the no action alternative; other reasonable courses of action; and mitigation measures (not in the proposed action).
 - (3) Impacts.
- (f) Additional scoping responsibilities. As part of the scoping process, the lead agency shall:
- (1) Identify and eliminate from detailed study the issues that are not significant or have been covered by prior environmental review(s) (§1506.3 of this chapter), narrowing the discussion of these issues in the statement to a brief presentation of why they will not have a significant effect on the human environment or providing a reference to their coverage elsewhere.
- (2) Allocate assignments for preparation of the environmental impact statement among the lead and cooperating agencies, with the lead agency retaining responsibility for the statement.
- (3) Indicate any public environmental assessments and other environmental impact statements that are being or will be prepared and are related to but are not part of the scope of the impact statement under consideration.
- (4) Identify other environmental review, authorization, and consultation

§ 1501.10

requirements so the lead and cooperating agencies may prepare other required analyses and studies concurrently and integrated with the environmental impact statement, as provided in § 1502.24 of this chapter.

- (5) Indicate the relationship between the timing of the preparation of environmental analyses and the agencies' tentative planning and decision-making schedule.
- (g) Revisions. An agency shall revise the determinations made under paragraphs (b), (c), (e), and (f) of this section if substantial changes are made later in the proposed action, or if significant new circumstances or information arise which bear on the proposal or its impacts.

§1501.10 Time limits.

- (a) To ensure that agencies conduct NEPA reviews as efficiently and expeditiously as practicable, Federal agencies should set time limits appropriate to individual actions or types of actions (consistent with the time intervals required by §1506.11 of this chapter).
- (b) To ensure timely decision making, agencies shall complete:
- (1) Environmental assessments within 1 year unless a senior agency official of the lead agency approves a longer period in writing and establishes a new time limit. One year is measured from the date of agency decision to prepare an environmental assessment to the publication of an environmental assessment or a finding of no significant impact.
- (2) Environmental impact statements within 2 years unless a senior agency official of the lead agency approves a longer period in writing and establishes a new time limit. Two years is measured from the date of the issuance of the notice of intent to the date a record of decision is signed.
- (c) The senior agency official may consider the following factors in determining time limits:
- (1) Potential for environmental harm.
 - (2) Size of the proposed action.
- (3) State of the art of analytic techniques.

- (4) Degree of public need for the proposed action, including the consequences of delay.
- (5) Number of persons and agencies affected.
- (6) Availability of relevant information.
- (7) Other time limits imposed on the agency by law, regulations, or Executive order.
- (d) The senior agency official may set overall time limits or limits for each constituent part of the NEPA process, which may include:
- (1) Decision on whether to prepare an environmental impact statement (if not already decided).
- (2) Determination of the scope of the environmental impact statement.
- (3) Preparation of the draft environmental impact statement.
- (4) Review of any comments on the draft environmental impact statement from the public and agencies.
- (5) Preparation of the final environmental impact statement.
- (6) Review of any comments on the final environmental impact statement.
- (7) Decision on the action based in part on the environmental impact statement.
- (e) The agency may designate a person (such as the project manager or a person in the agency's office with NEPA responsibilities) to expedite the NEPA process.
- (f) State, Tribal, or local agencies or members of the public may request a Federal agency to set time limits.

§ 1501.11 Tiering.

- (a) Agencies should tier their environmental impact statements and environmental assessments when it would eliminate repetitive discussions of the same issues, focus on the actual issues ripe for decision, and exclude from consideration issues already decided or not yet ripe at each level of environmental review. Tiering may also be appropriate for different stages of actions.
- (b) When an agency has prepared an environmental impact statement or environmental assessment for a program or policy and then prepares a subsequent statement or assessment on an action included within the entire program or policy (such as a project- or