

§ 292.22 How does the Secretary request the Governor's concurrence?

If the Secretary makes a favorable Secretarial Determination, the Secretary will send to the Governor of the State:

- (a) A written notification of the Secretarial Determination and Findings of Fact supporting the determination;
- (b) A copy of the entire application record; and
- (c) A request for the Governor's concurrence in the Secretarial Determination.

§ 292.23 What happens if the Governor does not affirmatively concur with the Secretarial Determination?

(a) If the Governor provides a written non-concurrence with the Secretarial Determination:

(1) The applicant tribe may use the newly acquired lands only for non-gaming purposes; and

(2) If a notice of intent to take the land into trust has been issued, then the Secretary will withdraw that notice pending a revised application for a non-gaming purpose.

(b) If the Governor does not affirmatively concur in the Secretarial Determination within one year of the date of the request, the Secretary may, at the request of the applicant tribe or the Governor, grant an extension of up to 180 days.

(c) If no extension is granted or if the Governor does not respond during the extension period, the Secretarial Determination will no longer be valid.

§ 292.24 Can the public review the Secretarial Determination?

Subject to restrictions on disclosure required by the Freedom of Information Act (5 U.S.C. 552), the Privacy Act (5 U.S.C. 552a), and the Trade Secrets Act (18 U.S.C. 1905), the Secretarial Determination and the supporting documents will be available for review at the local BIA agency or Regional Office having administrative jurisdiction over the land.

INFORMATION COLLECTION

§ 292.25 Do information collections in this part have Office of Management and Budget approval?

The information collection requirements in §§ 292.16, 292.17, and 292.18 have been approved by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB). The information collection control number is 1076-0158. A Federal agency may not collect or sponsor and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control.

Subpart D—Effect of Regulations**§ 292.26 What effect do these regulations have on pending applications, final agency decisions, and opinions already issued?**

These regulations apply to all requests pursuant to 25 U.S.C. 2719, except:

(a) These regulations do not alter final agency decisions made pursuant to 25 U.S.C. 2719 before the date of enactment of these regulations.

(b) These regulations apply to final agency action taken after the effective date of these regulations except that these regulations shall not apply to applicable agency actions when, before the effective date of these regulations, the Department or the National Indian Gaming Commission (NIGC) issued a written opinion regarding the applicability of 25 U.S.C. 2719 for land to be used for a particular gaming establishment, provided that the Department or the NIGC retains full discretion to qualify, withdraw or modify such opinions.

PART 293—CLASS III TRIBAL-STATE GAMING COMPACTS**Subpart A—General Provisions and Scope**

Sec.

293.1 What is the purpose of this part?

293.2 How are key terms defined in this part?

293.3 What authority does the Secretary have to approve or disapprove compacts and amendments?

293.4 Are compacts and amendments subject to review and approval?

293.5 Are extensions to compacts or amendments subject to review and approval?

§ 293.1

25 CFR Ch. I (4–1–25 Edition)

Subpart B—Submission of Tribal-State Gaming Compacts

- 293.6 Who can submit a compact or amendment?
- 293.7 When should the Tribe or State submit a compact or amendment for review and approval?
- 293.8 What documents must be submitted with a compact or amendment?
- 293.9 Where should a compact or amendment or other requests under this part be submitted for review and approval?

Subpart C—Secretarial Review of Tribal-State Gaming Compacts

- 293.10 How long will the Secretary take to review a compact or amendment?
- 293.11 When will the 45-day timeline begin?
- 293.12 What happens if the Secretary does not act on the compact or amendment within the 45-day review period?
- 293.13 Who can withdraw a compact or amendment after it has been received by the Secretary?
- 293.14 When does a compact or amendment take effect?
- 293.15 When may the Secretary disapprove a compact or amendment?

Subpart D—Scope of Tribal-State Gaming Compacts

- 293.16 May a compact or amendment include provisions addressing the application of the Tribe's or the State's criminal and civil laws and regulations?
- 293.17 May a compact or amendment include provisions addressing the allocation of criminal and civil jurisdiction between the Tribe and the State?
- 293.18 May a compact or amendment include provisions addressing the State's costs for regulating gaming activities?
- 293.19 May a compact or amendment include provisions addressing the Tribe's taxation of gaming?
- 293.20 May a compact or amendment include provisions addressing the resolution of disputes for breach of the compact?
- 293.21 May a compact or amendment include provisions addressing standards for the operation of gaming activity and maintenance of the gaming facility?
- 293.22 May a compact or amendment include provisions that are directly related to the operation of gaming activities?
- 293.23 What factors will be used to determine whether provisions in a compact or amendment are directly related to the operation of gaming activities?
- 293.24 May a compact or amendment include provisions addressing rights of employees?

- 293.25 May a compact or amendment include provisions addressing employee background investigations and licensing?
- 293.26 May a compact or amendment include provisions addressing statewide remote wagering or internet gaming?
- 293.27 What factors will the Secretary analyze to determine if revenue sharing is lawful?
- 293.28 May a compact or extension include provisions that limit the duration of the compact?
- 293.29 May any other contract outside of a compact regulate Indian gaming?
- 293.30 What effect does this part have on pending requests, final agency decisions already issued, and future requests?
- 293.31 How does the Paperwork Reduction Act affect this part?

AUTHORITY: 5 U.S.C. 301; 25 U.S.C. 2, 9, 2710.

SOURCE: 89 FR 13256, Feb. 21, 2024, unless otherwise noted.

Subpart A—General Provisions and Scope

§ 293.1 What is the purpose of this part?

This part contains:

- (a) Procedures that Indian Tribes and States must use when submitting Tribal-State gaming compacts and compact amendments to the Department of the Interior (Department); and
- (b) Procedures and criteria that the Secretary of the Interior (Secretary) will use for reviewing such Tribal-State gaming compacts or compact amendments.

§ 293.2 How are key terms defined in this part?

This part relies on but does not restate all defined terms set forth in the definitional section of IGRA.

(a) *Amendment* means:

- (1) A change to a class III Tribal-State gaming compact other than an extension, or
- (2) A change to secretarial procedures prescribed under 25 U.S.C. 2710(d)(7)(B)(vii) when such change is agreed upon by the Tribe and State.

(b) *Compact* or *Tribal-State Gaming Compact* means an intergovernmental agreement executed between Tribal and State governments under IGRA that establishes between the parties the terms and conditions for the operation and regulation of the Tribe's class III gaming activities.

(c) *Extension* means an intergovernmental agreement executed between Tribal and State governments under IGRA to change the duration of a compact or amendment.

(d) *Gaming activity* or *gaming activities* means the conduct of class III gaming involving the three required elements of chance, consideration, and prize or reward.

(e) *Gaming facility* means the physical building or structure situated on Indian lands where the gaming activity occurs.

(f) *Gaming spaces* means the areas within a gaming facility (as defined in paragraph (e) of this section) that are directly related to and necessary for the conduct of class III gaming such as: the casino floor; vault; count room; surveillance, management, and information technology areas; class III gaming device and supplies storage areas; and other secured areas where the operation or management of class III gaming takes place.

(g) *IGRA* means the Indian Gaming Regulatory Act of 1988 (Pub. L. 100-497) 102 Stat. 2467 dated October 17, 1988, (Codified at 25 U.S.C. 2701-2721 (1988)) and any amendments.

(h) *Meaningful concession* means:

- (1) Something of value to the Tribe;
- (2) Directly related to gaming activity;
- (3) Something that carries out the purposes of IGRA; and
- (4) Not a subject over which a State is otherwise obligated to negotiate under IGRA.

(i) *Substantial economic benefit* means:

- (1) A beneficial impact to the Tribe;
- (2) Resulting from a meaningful concession;
- (3) Made with a Tribe's economic circumstances in mind;
- (4) Spans the life of the compact; and
- (5) Demonstrated by an economic/market analysis or similar documentation submitted by the Tribe or the State.

(j) *Tribe* means Indian Tribe as defined in 25 U.S.C. 2703(5).

§ 293.3 What authority does the Secretary have to approve or disapprove compacts and amendments?

The Secretary has the authority to approve a compact or amendment "en-

tered into" by a Tribe and a State under IGRA. See § 293.15 for the Secretary's authority to disapprove compacts or amendments.

§ 293.4 Are compacts and amendments subject to review and approval?

(a) Yes. All compacts and amendments, regardless of whether they are substantive or technical, must be submitted for review and approval by the Secretary.

(b) If an ancillary agreement or document:

(1) Modifies a term in a compact or an amendment, then it must be submitted for review and approval by the Secretary.

(2) Implements or clarifies a provision within a compact or an amendment and is not inconsistent with an approved compact or amendment, it does not constitute a compact or an amendment and need not be submitted for review and approval by the Secretary.

(3) Is expressly contemplated within an approved compact or amendment, such as internal controls or a memorandum of agreement between the Tribal and State regulators, then such agreement or document is not subject to review and approval so long as it is not inconsistent with the approved compact or amendment.

(4) Interprets language in a compact or an amendment concerning a Tribe's revenue sharing to the State, its agencies, or political subdivisions under § 293.27 or includes any of the topics identified in § 293.23, then it may constitute an amendment subject to review and approval by the Secretary.

(c) If a Tribe or a State (including its political subdivisions) is concerned that its agreement or other document may be considered a "compact" or "amendment," either party may request in writing a determination from the Department if their agreement or other document is a compact or amendment and therefore must be approved and a notice published in the FEDERAL REGISTER prior to the agreement or other document becoming effective. The Department will issue a letter within 30 days of receipt of the written

§ 293.5

request, providing notice of the Secretary's determination. If the agreement or other document is determined to be a compact or amendment, it must be resubmitted for Secretarial review and approval consistent with the requirements of subpart B of this part.

§ 293.5 Are extensions to compacts or amendments subject to review and approval?

No. Approval of an extension to a compact or amendment is not required if the extension does not include any changes to any of the other terms of the compact or amendment. However, the parties must submit the documents required by § 293.8(a) through (c). The extension becomes effective only upon publication in the *FEDERAL REGISTER*.

Subpart B—Submission of Tribal-State Gaming Compacts

§ 293.6 Who can submit a compact or amendment?

Either party (Tribe or State) to a compact or amendment can submit the compact or amendment to the Secretary for review and approval.

§ 293.7 When should the Tribe or State submit a compact or amendment for review and approval?

The Tribe or State should submit the compact or amendment after it has been duly executed by the Tribe and the State in accordance with applicable Tribal and State law or is otherwise binding on the parties.

§ 293.8 What documents must be submitted with a compact or amendment?

Documentation submitted with a compact or amendment must include:

- (a) At least one original compact or amendment executed by both the Tribe and the State;
- (b) A Tribal resolution or other document, including the date and place of adoption and the result of any vote taken, that certifies that the Tribe has approved the compact or amendment in accordance with applicable Tribal law and IGRA;
- (c) Certification from the Governor or other representative of the State that they are authorized to enter into

25 CFR Ch. I (4–1–25 Edition)

the compact or amendment in accordance with applicable State law;

(d) Any agreement between a Tribe and a State, its agencies, or its political subdivisions required by a compact or amendment if the agreement:

(1) Requires the Tribe to make payments to the State, its agencies, or its political subdivisions; or

(2) Restricts or regulates a Tribe's use and enjoyment of its Indian lands, and any other ancillary agreements, documents, ordinances, or laws required by the compact or amendment that the Tribe determines are relevant to the Secretary's review; and

(e) Any other documentation requested by the Secretary that is necessary to determine whether to approve or disapprove the compact or amendment. If a compact includes revenue sharing, a market analysis or similar documentation as required by § 293.27.

§ 293.9 Where should a compact or amendment or other requests under this part be submitted for review and approval?

Submit compacts, amendments, and all other requests under this part to the Director, Office of Indian Gaming, U.S. Department of the Interior, 1849 C Street NW, Mail Stop 3543, Washington, DC 20240. If this address changes, a document with the new address will be sent for publication in the *FEDERAL REGISTER* within five business days. Compacts and amendments may also be submitted electronically to *IndianGaming@bia.gov* as long as the original copy is submitted to the address listed in this section.

Subpart C—Secretarial Review of Tribal-State Gaming Compacts

§ 293.10 How long will the Secretary take to review a compact or amendment?

(a) The Secretary must approve or disapprove a compact or amendment within 45 calendar days after receiving the compact or amendment.

(b) The Secretary will notify the Tribe and the State in writing of the decision to approve or disapprove a compact or amendment.

Bureau of Indian Affairs, Interior

§ 293.17

§ 293.11 When will the 45-day timeline begin?

The 45-day timeline will begin when a compact or amendment is received either electronically or hard copy submission and date stamped by the Office of Indian Gaming. The Department will provide an email acknowledgement to the Tribe and the State of receipt and provide the date on which the Secretary's 45-day review period will expire for electronically submitted compacts or amendments.

§ 293.12 What happens if the Secretary does not act on the compact or amendment within the 45-day review period?

If the Secretary does not take action to approve or disapprove a compact or amendment within the 45-day review period, the compact or amendment is approved by operation of law, but only to the extent the compact or amendment is consistent with the provisions of IGRA. The Secretary will issue a letter informing the parties that the compact or amendment has been approved by operation of law after the 45th day and before the 90th day. The Secretary's letter may include guidance to the parties reflecting the Department's interpretation of IGRA. The compact or amendment that is approved by operation of law becomes effective only upon publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

§ 293.13 Who can withdraw a compact or amendment after it has been received by the Secretary?

To withdraw a compact or amendment after it has been received by the Secretary, the Tribe and the State must both submit a written request to the Director, Office of Indian Gaming at the address listed in § 293.9.

§ 293.14 When does a compact or amendment take effect?

(a) A compact or amendment, that is affirmatively approved or approved by operation of law, takes effect on the date that notice of its approval is published in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

(b) The notice of affirmative approval or approval by operation of law must be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER within 90 days from the date the com-

compact or amendment is received by the Office of Indian Gaming.

§ 293.15 When may the Secretary disapprove a compact or amendment?

The Secretary may disapprove a compact or amendment only if:

(a) It violates:

(1) Any provision of IGRA;

(2) Any other provision of Federal law that does not relate to jurisdiction over gaming on Indian lands; or

(3) The trust obligations of the United States to Indians; or

(b) The documents required in § 293.8 are not submitted and the parties have been informed in writing of the missing documents and are provided with an opportunity to supply those documents.

Subpart D—Scope of Tribal-State Gaming Compacts

§ 293.16 May a compact or amendment include provisions addressing the application of the Tribe's or the State's criminal and civil laws and regulations?

Yes. A compact or amendment may include provisions addressing the application of the criminal and civil laws and regulations of the Tribe or the State that are directly related to and necessary for the licensing and regulation of the gaming activity. At the request of the Secretary pursuant to § 293.8(e), the parties must show that these laws and regulations are both directly related to and necessary for the licensing and regulation of the gaming activity.

§ 293.17 May a compact or amendment include provisions addressing the allocation of criminal and civil jurisdiction between the Tribe and the State?

Yes. A compact or amendment may include provisions allocating criminal and civil jurisdiction between the Tribe and the State necessary for the enforcement of the laws and regulations described in § 293.16.

§ 293.18 May a compact or amendment include provisions addressing the State's costs for regulating gaming activities?

Yes. If the compact or amendment includes a negotiated allocation of jurisdiction to the State for the regulation of the gaming activity, the compact or amendment may include provisions to defray the State's actual and reasonable costs for regulating the specific Tribe's gaming activity. If the compact does not include requirements for the State to show actual and reasonable annual expenses for regulating the specific Tribe's gaming activity over the life of the compact, the lack of such a requirement may be considered evidence of a violation of IGRA.

§ 293.19 May a compact or amendment include provisions addressing the Tribe's taxation of gaming?

Yes. A compact or amendment may include provisions addressing the Tribe's taxation of tribally licensed gaming activity in amounts comparable to the State's taxation of State licensed gaming activities. A compact may not include provisions addressing the Tribe's taxation of other activities that may occur within or near the Tribe's gaming facility. The inclusion of provisions addressing the Tribe's taxation of other activities may be considered evidence of a violation of IGRA.

§ 293.20 May a compact or amendment include provisions addressing the resolution of disputes for breach of the compact?

Yes. A compact or amendment may include provisions addressing how the parties will resolve a breach of the compact or other disputes arising from the compact including mutual limited waivers of sovereign immunity. If a Tribe is concerned that an agreement or other document including, but not limited to, any dispute resolution, settlement agreement, or arbitration decision, constitutes a compact or amendment, or if the Tribe is concerned that the agreement or other document interprets the Tribe's compact or amendment to govern matters that are not directly related to the operation of gaming activities, the Tribe

may submit the document to the Department as set forth in § 293.4. The inclusion of provisions addressing dispute resolution outside of Federal court in a manner that seeks to avoid the Secretary's review may be considered evidence of a violation of IGRA.

§ 293.21 May a compact or amendment include provisions addressing standards for the operation of gaming activity and maintenance of the gaming facility?

Yes. A compact or amendment may include provisions addressing the Tribe's standards for the operation of the gaming activity within gaming spaces, as well as the Tribe's standards for the maintenance of the gaming facility, including licensing. If a compact or amendment mandates that the Tribe adopt standards equivalent or comparable to the standards set forth in a State law or regulation, the parties must show that these mandated Tribal standards are both directly related to and necessary for the licensing and regulation of the gaming activity.

§ 293.22 May a compact or amendment include provisions that are directly related to the operation of gaming activities?

Yes. A compact or amendment may include provisions that are directly related to the operation of gaming activities; such provisions may address activities occurring off of Indian lands.

§ 293.23 What factors will be used to determine whether provisions in a compact or amendment are directly related to the operation of gaming activities?

(a) The parties must show that these provisions described in § 293.22 are directly connected to the Tribe's conduct of class III gaming activities. Examples include, but are not limited to:

- (1) Minimum age for patrons to participate in gaming;
- (2) Transportation of gaming devices and equipment; or
- (3) Exclusion of patrons.

(b) Mutually beneficial proximity, or even co-management alone is insufficient to establish a "direct connection" between the Tribe's class III

Bureau of Indian Affairs, Interior

§ 293.26

gaming and adjacent business or amenities. Additionally, Tribal infrastructure projects or economic development activities that are funded by gaming revenue and may service or otherwise provide a benefit to the gaming activity are not directly related to the conduct of gaming without other evidence of a direct connection.

(c) Provisions which are not directly related to the operation of gaming activities include, but are not limited to:

(1) Expressly limiting third party Tribes' rights to conduct gaming activities under IGRA;

(2) Relating to treaty rights;

(3) Relating to tobacco sales;

(4) Requiring compliance with or adoption of State environmental regulation of projects or activities that are not directly related to the Tribe's operation of gaming activities and maintenance of the gaming facility;

(5) Requiring memorandum of understanding, intergovernmental agreements, or similar agreements with local governments;

(6) Requiring enforcement of State court orders garnishing employee wages or patron winnings;

(7) Granting State court jurisdiction over tort claims arising from the Tribe's conduct of class III gaming activities;

(8) Regulating non-gaming conduct not within gaming spaces or non-gaming Tribal economic activities, including activities in or adjacent to the gaming facility, including, but not limited to, restaurants, nightclubs, hotels, event centers, water parks, gas stations, and convenience stores; or

(9) Relating to the conduct of Tribal class I or class II gaming activities.

(d) The inclusion of provisions for which the parties cannot show a direct connection to the Tribe's conduct of class III gaming activities may be considered evidence of a violation of IGRA.

§ 293.24 May a compact or amendment include provisions addressing rights of employees?

Yes. Notwithstanding § 293.23(c)(8), a compact or amendment may include provisions or procedures addressing the organizational and representational rights of employees, including service

or hospitality workers, where such provisions or procedures are "directly related" to the operation of gaming activities as articulated by the Ninth Circuit in *Chicken Ranch Rancheria of Me-Wuk Indians v. California*, 42 F.4th 1024, 1035-1040 & n.2 (citing *Coyote Valley Band of Pomo Indians v. California (In re Indian Gaming Related Cases Chemehuevi Indian Tribe)*, 331 F.3d 1094, 1116 (9th Cir. 2003)).

§ 293.25 May a compact or amendment include provisions addressing employee background investigations and licensing?

Yes. Consistent with 25 CFR 558.1, a compact or amendment may include provisions addressing the Tribe's standards and requirements for employee background investigations and licensing. If the compact or amendment includes a negotiated allocation to the State for concurring in or processing employee background investigations or licenses, the parties must show that the licensing process is as stringent and timely as the background investigation and licensing requirements of 25 CFR parts 556 and 558. The compact may also include provisions for the reasonable reimbursement of background investigation and licensing fees.

§ 293.26 May a compact or amendment include provisions addressing statewide remote wagering or internet gaming?

Yes. A compact or amendment consistent with §§ 293.16 and 293.22 may include provisions addressing statewide remote wagering or internet gaming that is directly related to the operation of gaming activity on Indian lands. A compact or compact amendment may not, however, alter otherwise applicable Federal law. A compact may specifically include, for regulatory purposes, provisions allocating State and Tribal jurisdiction within the State over remote wagering or internet gaming originating outside Indian lands where:

(a) State law and the compact or amendment deem the gaming to take place, for the purposes of State and Tribal law, on the Tribe's Indian lands where the server accepting the wagers is located;

§ 293.27

(b) The Tribe regulates the gaming; and

(c) The player initiating the wager is not located on another Tribe's Indian lands within the State, unless that Tribe has lawfully consented.

§ 293.27 What factors will the Secretary analyze to determine if revenue sharing is lawful?

(a) A compact or amendment may include provisions that address revenue sharing in exchange for a State's meaningful concessions resulting in a substantial economic benefit for the Tribe.

(b) The Department reviews revenue sharing provisions with great scrutiny beginning with the presumption that a Tribe's payment to a State or local government for anything beyond § 293.18 regulatory fee is a prohibited "tax, fee, charge, or other assessment." In order for the Department to approve revenue sharing the parties must show through documentation, such as a market study or other similar evidence, that:

(1) The Tribe has requested and the State has offered specific meaningful concessions the State was otherwise not required to negotiate;

(2) The value of the specific meaningful concessions offered by the State provides substantial economic benefits to the Tribe in a manner justifying the revenue sharing required by the compact; and

(3) The Tribe is the primary beneficiary of the gaming measured by projected revenue to the Tribe against projected revenue shared with the State.

(c) The inclusion of revenue sharing provisions to the State that is not justified by meaningful concessions of substantial economic benefit to the Tribe may be considered evidence of a violation of IGRA.

§ 293.28 May a compact or extension include provisions that limit the duration of the compact?

Yes. However, IGRA anticipates compacts are long-term agreements between a Tribe and a State. These agreements reflect carefully negotiated compromises between sovereigns. A refusal to negotiate a long-term compact, or a short-term extension of at

25 CFR Ch. I (4–1–25 Edition)

least one year to allow for negotiations to continue, may be considered evidence of a violation of IGRA.

§ 293.29 May any other contract outside of a compact regulate Indian gaming?

No. Subject to §§ 293.4(b) and 293.8(d), any contract or other agreement between a Tribe and a State, its agencies, or its political subdivisions that seeks to regulate a Tribe's right to conduct gaming—as limited by IGRA—is a gaming compact that must comply with IGRA and be submitted for review and approval by the Secretary consistent with § 293.8. A Tribe may submit any other agreement between the Tribe and the State, its agencies, or its political subdivisions for a determination if the agreement is a compact or amendment under § 293.4(c). This includes agreements mandated or required by a compact or amendment, which contain provisions for the payment from a Tribe's gaming revenue or restricts or regulates a Tribe's use and enjoyment of its Indian lands, including a Tribe's conduct of gaming.

§ 293.30 What effect does this part have on pending requests, final agency decisions already issued, and future requests?

(a) Compacts and amendments pending on March 22, 2024, will continue to be processed under this part, promulgated on December 5, 2008, and revised June 4, 2020, unless the Tribe or the State requests in writing to proceed under this part. Upon receipt of such a request, the Secretary shall process the pending compact or amendment under this part.

(b) This part does not alter final agency decisions made pursuant to this part before March 22, 2024.

(c) All compacts and amendments submitted after March 22, 2024 will be processed under this part.

§ 293.31 How does the Paperwork Reduction Act affect this part?

The information collection requirements contained in this part have been approved by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, 44 U.S.C. 3507(d), and assigned control number 1076–0172. A Federal agency may not

conduct or sponsor, and you are not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number.

PARTS 294–299 [RESERVED]

SUBCHAPTER O—MISCELLANEOUS [RESERVED]

APPENDIX TO CHAPTER I—EXTENSION OF THE TRUST OR RESTRICTED STATUS OF CERTAIN INDIAN LANDS

This appendix contains citations of Executive orders and acts of Congress continuing the trust or restricted period of Indian land, which would have expired otherwise, within the several Indian reservations in the States named. The asterisk to the left of the name of a reservation indicates that the reservation is subject to the benefits of the Indian Reorganization Act of June 18, 1934 (48 Stat. 984; 25 U.S.C. 461-479), as amended, and as therein provided the trust or restricted period of the land is extended indefinitely.

Where the name of a reservation is not preceded by an asterisk, such reservation is not subject to the Reorganization Act and is not subject to the benefits of such indefinite trust or restricted period extension, but such reservation is dependent upon acts of Congress or Executive orders for extension of the trust or restricted period of the land.

For the purpose of insuring the continuation of the trust or restricted status of Indian allotments within Indian reservations not subject to the Reorganization Act, Congress by the act of June 15, 1935 (49 Stat. 378) reimposed such restrictions as may have been expired between the dates of June 18, 1934, and December 31, 1936.

State	Reservation	E. O. No.	Date	Period of extension
Arizona	*Papago	2066	Oct. 27, 1914	10 years.
Dodo	4464	June 28, 1926	Do.
California	Agua Caliente	3446	Apr. 30, 1921	Do.
Dodo	5580	Mar. 16, 1931	Do.
Do	Cabazon and Twenty-nine Palms	3302	July 7, 1920	5 years.
Dodo	4159	Feb. 19, 1925	10 years.
Do	*Capitan Grande	3048	Feb. 27, 1919	5 years.
Dodo		Act of Feb. 8, 1927 (44 Stat. 1061)	10 years.
Do	Hoopa Valley (Klamath River)	2943	Aug. 23, 1918	1 year.
Dodo		Sept. 23, 1919	Do.
Dodo	3304	July 10, 1920	10 years.
Dodo	3980	Mar. 26, 1924	15 years.
Dodo	5416	Aug. 4, 1930	10 years.
	Mission Bands:			
Do	Augustine	2795	Jan. 26, 1918	Do.
Do	Campo	2795do	Do.
Do	*Cuyapipe	2795do	Do.
Do	Inaja	2795do	Do.
Do	*Laguna	2795do	Do.
Do	*La Posta	2795do	Do.
Do	*Manzanita	2795do	Do.
Do	Mesa Grande	2795do	Do.
Do	Pala	2795do	Do.
Do	Ramona	2795do	Do.
Do	Santa Ysabel	2795do	Do.
Do	Sycuan	2795do	Do.
Dodo	3383	Jan. 7, 1921	25 years.
Do	San Manuel	2795	Jan. 26, 1918	10 years.
Do	Temecula	2795do	Do.
Do	All of above Mission Bands	4765	Nov. 23, 1927	Do.
Do	Morongo	6341	Oct. 17, 1933	Do.
Do	Pala	3383	Jan. 7, 1921	25 years.
Dodo		Act of Feb. 11, 1936 (49 Stat. 1106)	10 years.
Do	Potrero and Rincon	2684	Aug. 16, 1917	Do.
Dodo	4687	July 11, 1927	Do.
Do	*Round Valley	3223	Feb. 5, 1920	3 years.
Dodo	3805	Mar. 5, 1923	10 years.
Dodo	3995	Apr. 19, 1924	Do.
Dodo	5953	Nov. 23, 1932	Do.