

116TH CONGRESS
1ST SESSION

H. R. 1587

To direct the Secretary of Health and Human Services to enter into a 10-year arrangement with the National Academy of Sciences to conduct and update biennially a study on the effects of State legalized marijuana programs, and for other purposes.

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

MARCH 7, 2019

Ms. GABBARD (for herself, Mr. YOUNG, Mr. BLUMENAUER, Mr. SOTO, Ms. NORTON, Ms. TITUS, Ms. SCHAKOWSKY, Mr. COHEN, Mr. CARBAJAL, Mr. CRIST, Mr. GAETZ, Ms. LEE of California, Ms. DELBENE, Ms. MCCOLLUM, Mr. DEFAZIO, Mr. MOULTON, Mr. RASKIN, Mr. POCAN, Ms. OCASIO-CORTEZ, Mr. SEAN PATRICK MALONEY of New York, Mr. KHANNA, Mr. CORREA, Mr. PERLMUTTER, and Ms. DEGETTE) introduced the following bill; which was referred to the Committee on Energy and Commerce, and in addition to the Committees on the Judiciary, and Education and Labor, for a period to be subsequently determined by the Speaker, in each case for consideration of such provisions as fall within the jurisdiction of the committee concerned

A BILL

To direct the Secretary of Health and Human Services to enter into a 10-year arrangement with the National Academy of Sciences to conduct and update biennially a study on the effects of State legalized marijuana programs, and for other purposes.

1 *Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representa-*
2 *tives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,*

1 **SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.**

2 This Act may be cited as the “Marijuana Data Col-
3 lection Act”.

4 **SEC. 2. FINDINGS.**

5 Congress finds the following:

6 (1) Nearly two-thirds of Americans—about 68
7 percent—favor marijuana legalization.

8 (2) A total of 33 States, the District of Colum-
9 bia, Puerto Rico, and Guam have legalized mari-
10 juana for medicinal use, and of those, 10 States and
11 the District of Columbia have legalized marijuana
12 for adult non-medicinal use.

13 (3) Despite State legalization, marijuana re-
14 mains illegal under Federal law, listed in schedule I
15 under the Controlled Substances Act.

16 (4) Every day, more Americans die from over-
17 dosing on opioids. In 2016, the Centers for Disease
18 Control and Prevention estimated that more than
19 42,000 Americans died from opioid-related drug
20 overdoses. President Trump has, on two separate oc-
21 casions, declared the opioid crisis as a public health
22 emergency.

23 (5) Studies suggest that increased access to
24 marijuana is associated with reductions in opioid
25 abuse and opioid-related deaths, among other eco-
26 nomic and social benefits:

(A) A study published in the Journal of the American Medical Association (JAMA) in 2014 that compared mortality rates between States that legalized medical marijuana versus States that have not legalized medical marijuana found that States that had legalized medical marijuana had, on average, 20 percent fewer opioid-related overdose deaths in the first year of legalization compared to States that had not legalized marijuana. This difference widened in subsequent years after legalization.

(B) A study published in the American Journal of Public Health in 2017 found that opioid-related deaths tended to decline after the legalization of non-medicinal marijuana for adults in the State of Colorado. This study estimated a 6.5-percent reduction in opioid-related deaths compared to pre-legalization.

19 (6) Due to marijuana legalization, States have
20 generated millions in taxes and revenue and have al-
21 located these funds into public health, education,
22 economic development, restorative justice, and job
23 creation, such as—

(A) substance use disorder treatment and drug use prevention programs;

16 SEC. 3. REPORT CONCERNING THE EFFECTS OF STATE LE-
17 GALIZED MARIJUANA PROGRAMS.

18 (a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary of Health and
19 Human Services, in coordination with the Department of
20 Justice, the Department of Labor, and (to the greatest
21 extent possible) with relevant State agencies responsible
22 for health programs and activities in States that have le-
23 galized marijuana for medicinal or non-medicinal use,
24 shall enter into a 10-year arrangement with the National
25 Academy of Sciences—

17 (b) STUDY CONSIDERATIONS.—The study pursuant
18 to subsection (a)(1) shall consider the effects of State le-
19 galized marijuana programs, including yearly rates and
20 trends over the course of the study under such subsection,
21 with respect to the following:

22 (1) REVENUES AND STATE ALLOCATIONS.—

(B) The purposes and relative amounts for which these funds were used.

(C) The total impact on the State and its budget.

(2) MEDICINAL USE OF MARIJUANA.—

(A) The rates of medicinal use among different population groups, including children, the elderly, veterans, and individuals with disabilities.

(B) The purpose of such use.

(C) Which medical conditions medical marijuana is most frequently purchased and used for

(3) SUBSTANCE USE.—

(A) The rates of overdoses with opioids and other painkillers.

(B) The rates of admission in health care facilities, emergency rooms, and volunteer treatment facilities related to overdoses with opioids and other painkillers.

(C) The rates of opioid-related and other painkiller-related crimes to one's self and to the community.

(D) The rates of opioid prescriptions and other pain killers.

1 (4) IMPACTS ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE.—

2 (A) The rates of marijuana-related arrests
3 for possession, cultivation, and distribution, and
4 of these arrests, the percentages that involved a
5 secondary charge unrelated to marijuana pos-
6 session, cultivation, or distribution, including—

7 (i) the rates of such arrests on the
8 Federal level, including the number of
9 Federal prisoners so arrested, disaggre-
10 gated by sex, age, race, and ethnicity of
11 the prisoners; and

12 (ii) the rates of such arrests on the
13 State level, including the number of State
14 prisoners so arrested, disaggregated by
15 sex, age, race, and ethnicity.

16 (B) The rates of arrests and citations on
17 the Federal and State levels related to teenage
18 use of marijuana.

19 (C) The rates of arrests on the Federal
20 and State levels for unlawful driving under the
21 influence of a substance, and the rates of such
22 arrests involving marijuana.

23 (D) The rates of marijuana-related pros-
24 ecutions, court filings, and imprisonments.

8 (F) The total number and rate of defend-
9 ants in Federal criminal prosecutions asserting
10 as a defense that their conduct was in compli-
11 ance with applicable State law legalizing mari-
12 juana usage, and the effects of such assertions.

13 (5) EMPLOYMENT.—

14 (A) The amount of jobs created in each
15 State, differentiating between direct and indi-
16 rect employment.

(c) STUDY TIMEFRAME.—The study pursuant to subsection (a)(1) shall consider the data collected and analyzed in connection with the items listed in subsection (b) in the respective States to the extent possible across the period—

1 (1) beginning 5 years before the effective date
2 of legalization of marijuana in the State; and

3 (2) ending on a date determined by the Na-
4 tional Academy of Sciences to allow collection and
5 analysis of the most recent data available.

6 (d) REPORT CONTENTS.—Reports pursuant to sub-
7 section (a)(2) shall—

8 (1) address both State programs that have le-
9 galized marijuana for medicinal use and those that
10 have legalized marijuana for adult non-medicinal use
11 and to the extent practicable distinguish between
12 such programs and their effects;

13 (2) include a national assessment of average
14 trends across States with such programs in relation
15 to the effects on economy, public health, criminal
16 justice, and employment in the respective States, in-
17 cluding with respect to the items listed in subsection
18 (b); and

19 (3) describe—

20 (A) any barriers that impeded the ability
21 to complete or update aspects of the study re-
22 quired by subsection (a)(1) and how such bar-
23 riers can be overcome for purposes of future
24 studies; and

(B) any gaps in the data sought for the study required by subsection (a)(1) and how these gaps can be eliminated or otherwise addressed for purposes of future studies.

- 11 (1) data collection;
- 12 (2) analytical capacity;
- 13 (3) research integrity; and
- 14 (4) the comparability of data across States.

