

115TH CONGRESS  
2D SESSION

# S. 3123

To create a more representative and accountable Congress by prohibiting partisan gerrymandering and ensuring that any redistricting of congressional district boundaries results in fair, effective, and accountable representation for all people.

---

## IN THE SENATE OF THE UNITED STATES

JUNE 25, 2018

Mr. BENNET introduced the following bill; which was read twice and referred to the Committee on the Judiciary

---

# A BILL

To create a more representative and accountable Congress by prohibiting partisan gerrymandering and ensuring that any redistricting of congressional district boundaries results in fair, effective, and accountable representation for all people.

1       *Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representa-  
2 tives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,*

3 **SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.**

4       This Act may be cited as the “Fair Maps Act of  
5 2018”.

6 **SEC. 2. FINDINGS.**

7       Congress finds the following:

1                     (1) Democracy in the United States is rooted in  
2                     the notion of actual representation and a rejection of  
3                     the earlier British concept of virtual representation.  
4                     In 1776, in *Thoughts on Government*, John Adams  
5                     wrote that a legislative assembly “should be in mini-  
6                     ature, an exact portrait of the people at large.”.  
7                     Thomas Paine argued in *Common Sense* that a leg-  
8                     islature should act “in the same manner as the  
9                     whole body [of the people] would [act] were they  
10                     present.”. At the Constitutional Convention, both  
11                     Federalists and Anti-Federalists agreed. Federalist  
12                     James Wilson declared, for example, that the new  
13                     House of Representatives “ought to be the most  
14                     exact transcript of the whole Society”, while his  
15                     counterpart George Mason argued that the “req-  
16                     uisites in actual representation are that the Reps.  
17                     should sympathize with their constituents; shd. think  
18                     as they think, & feel as they feel.”.

19                     (2) The Supreme Court made clear in *Reynolds*  
20                     v. *Sims*, 377 U.S. 533 (1964), that the objective of  
21                     redistricting is to achieve “fair and effective rep-  
22                     resentation for all”, that legislatures “should be bod-  
23                     ies which are collectively responsive to the popular  
24                     will”, and that the Constitution “guarantees the op-  
25                     portunity for equal participation by all voters”.

1                             (3) Partisan gerrymandering is incompatible  
2                             with democratic principles at the foundation of the  
3                             Republic. The drawing of electoral districts to ben-  
4                             efit or disadvantage certain political parties denies  
5                             people fair, effective, and accountable representation  
6                             by allowing representatives to choose their voters  
7                             rather than voters to choose their representatives.

8                             (4) In *Davis v. Bandemer*, 478 U.S. 109  
9                             (1986), the Supreme Court explained that it has  
10                             “repeatedly stated that districting that would ‘oper-  
11                             ate to minimize or cancel out the voting strength of  
12                             racial or political elements of the voting population’  
13                             would raise a constitutional question”.

14                             (5) The Constitution of the United States em-  
15                             powers Congress to ensure that congressional dis-  
16                             tricting promotes fair, effective, and accountable  
17                             representation for all people, as demonstrated in—

18                             (A) article I, section 2, clause 1, of the  
19                             Constitution of the United States;

20                             (B) article I, section 4, clause 1, of the  
21                             Constitution of the United States;

22                             (C) article I, section 5, clause 1, of the  
23                             Constitution of the United States;

6                         (6) In Vieth v. Jubelirer, 541 U.S. 267 (2004),  
7                         the Supreme Court recognized that “the Framers  
8                         provided a remedy” for partisan gerrymandering “in  
9                         the Constitution” through the “power bestowed on  
10                         Congress to regulate elections, and . . . to restrain  
11                         the practice of political gerrymandering.”.

(7) This power “has not lain dormant,” as Congress has repeatedly exercised its authority under article I, section 4 to regulate congressional districting criteria when Congress passed the Apportionment Act of 1842 (5 Stat. 491), the Apportionment Act of 1862 (12 Stat. 572), the Apportionment Act of 1872 (17 Stat. 28), the Apportionment Act of 1901 (31 Stat. 733), the Apportionment Act of 1911 (37 Stat. 13), the Apportionment Act of 1941 (55 Stat. 761), and the 1967 amendment to the Apportionment Act of 1929 (Public Law 90–196).

### **23 SEC. 3. DISTRICTING CRITERIA.**

(a) REQUIRED CRITERIA.—Following each Federal decennial census of population, each State with more than

1 one congressional district shall establish or alter the  
2 boundaries of each congressional district of the State in  
3 accordance with each of the following criteria:

4                 (1) Compliance with the Constitution of the  
5                 United States, including the requirement of equal  
6                 population.

7                 (2) Compliance with the Voting Rights Act of  
8                 1965 (52 U.S.C. 10301 et seq.).

9                 (b) PROHIBITED CRITERIA.—Except to the extent  
10                 necessary to comply with subsection (a) and section 4, in  
11                 establishing or altering the boundaries of any congress-  
12                 sional district of a State, the State may not consider the  
13                 following criteria:

14                 (1) The political party registration or affiliation  
15                 of the residents of the State.

16                 (2) The voting history of the residents of the  
17                 State.

18                 (3) The election results of the precincts of the  
19                 State.

20                 (4) The place of residence of any incumbent,  
21                 political candidate, or potential political candidate.

22                 (c) PERMISSIBLE CRITERIA.—A State may consider  
23                 other criteria, in addition to the required criteria under  
24                 subsection (a), in establishing or altering the boundaries  
25                 of its congressional districts, to the extent such other cri-

1 teria do not conflict with the requirements of this section  
2 or violate section 4. The permissible criteria under this  
3 subsection may include any of the following:

- 4                 (1) Geographic contiguity and compactness.
- 5                 (2) Respect for communities of interest. Such  
6                 communities of interest—  
7                         (A) may be based on factors including  
8                         shared cultural or historical characteristics or  
9                         economic interests; and  
10                 (B) may not be based on associations with  
11                 any political party, incumbent, or political can-  
12                 didate, or potential political candidate.  
13                 (3) Respect for counties, cities, and other polit-  
14                 ical subdivisions.

15 **SEC. 4. PROHIBITION ON PARTISAN GERRYMANDERING.**

16         (a) PROHIBITION.—Except as necessary to comply  
17         with section 3(a), a State shall not establish a congres-  
18         sional districting plan that has the purpose or will have  
19         the effect of unduly favoring or disfavoring any political  
20         party.

21         (b) ENFORCEMENT.—

22                 (1) IN GENERAL.—Any eligible voter of a State  
23         may bring a civil action before a 3-judge court con-  
24         vened in accordance with section 2284 of title 28,  
25         United States Code, for a violation of subsection (a).

1                             (2) COURT ORDER.—A court in a civil action  
2 under this subsection—

3                             (A) may issue an order invalidating the  
4 congressional districting plan of such State on  
5 the grounds that the plan violates subsection  
6 (a);

7                             (B) shall consider any violation of section  
8 3 to be probative evidence that the districting  
9 plan has the purpose of unduly favoring or  
10 disfavoring a political party; and

11                            (C) may consider, among other things, sta-  
12 tistical evidence of the extent and durability of  
13 partisan bias, electoral responsiveness, and the  
14 ability of each party to translate votes into seat  
15 share.

16                           (c) REMEDIES.—In remedying a violation of sub-  
17 section (a), a court shall apply the following:

18                            (1) If the court finds that the State has estab-  
19 lished a congressional districting plan with the pur-  
20 pose of unduly favoring or disfavoring a political  
21 party, the court shall appoint a special master or  
22 panel of special masters to propose a remedy to the  
23 court for the violation.

24                            (2) If the court finds that the State has estab-  
25 lished a congressional districting plan that will have

1       the effect, but does not have the purpose, of unduly  
2       favoring or disfavoring a political party, the court  
3       may, in its discretion, appoint a special master or  
4       panel of special masters to propose a remedy to the  
5       court for the violation.

6       (d) LEGISLATIVE PRIVILEGE.—No person, legisla-  
7       ture, or State may claim legislative privilege under either  
8       State or Federal law in a civil action brought under this  
9       section or in any other legal challenge, under either State  
10      or Federal law, to a congressional districting plan.

11 **SEC. 5. SAFE HARBOR.**

12       With respect to any claim under section 4, a State's  
13      enacted congressional districting plan shall have a rebutta-  
14      ble presumption of validity if that plan was created by a  
15      nonpartisan or bipartisan redistricting commission, where  
16      support from members of more than one political party  
17      and, if applicable, nonaffiliated members, is required to  
18      approve a congressional districting plan.

19 **SEC. 6. OTHER LAWS.**

20       (a) NO PREEMPTION.—Nothing in this Act shall be  
21      construed to preempt any cause of action under State law,  
22      or limit or abrogate any cause of action under Federal  
23      law.

24       (b) OTHER DISTRICTING CRITERIA.—Nothing in this  
25      Act shall be construed to prevent a State from adopting

1 congressional districting criteria or procedures that do not  
2 conflict with this Act and that serve to limit potential ex-  
3 posure to liability under section 4(a).

4 (c) VOTING RIGHTS ACT.—Nothing in this Act shall  
5 be construed to preempt or alter any provision of the Vot-  
6 ing Rights Act of 1965 (52 U.S.C. 10301 et seq.).

7 **SEC. 7. SEVERABILITY.**

8 If any provision of this Act or the application of such  
9 provision to any person or circumstance is held to be un-  
10 constitutional, the remainder of this Act and the applica-  
11 tion of the provision to any other person or circumstance  
12 shall not be affected.

