## S. 1824

To reform the Appalachian Regional Commission, and for other purposes.

## IN THE SENATE OF THE UNITED STATES

September 18, 2017

Mr. McConnell introduced the following bill; which was read twice and referred to the Committee on Environment and Public Works

## A BILL

To reform the Appalachian Regional Commission, and for other purposes.

- 1 Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representa-
- 2 tives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,
- 3 SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.
- 4 This Act may be cited as the "Appalachian Regional
- 5 Commission Reform Act".
- 6 SEC. 2. FINDINGS.
- 7 (a) Headquarters.—Congress finds that—
- 8 (1) regional commissions, such as the Delta Re-
- 9 gional Authority, the Denali Commission, and the
- 10 Northern Border Regional Commission, are each
- 11 headquartered in their respective region;

- 1 (2) headquartering regional commissions within 2 the region affected is a sensible approach to ensure 3 that the commissions are housed in more affordable 4 locations than the District of Columbia, thereby re-5 ducing administrative overhead and making the com-6 missions closer and more accountable to the people 7 the commissions were designed to serve;
  - (3) the Appalachian Regional Commission (referred to in this Act as the "Commission") is not headquartered in Appalachia but in Washington, DC; and
  - (4) the headquarters of the Commission should be relocated from the District of Columbia to a more affordable location in the Appalachian region so that it is closer and more accountable to the people the Commission was designed to serve.

## (b) Performance.—Congress finds that—

- (1) the Commission was created to help foster economic opportunity and close health and educational disparities in a geographic region of the United States beleaguered by persistent poverty and high unemployment;
- (2) the Commission remains the sole Federal agency focused singularly on economic revitalization in the Appalachian region;

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1	(3) in 1998, Congress charged the Commission
2	with "address[ing] the needs of severely and persist-
3	ently distressed areas of the Appalachian region and
4	focus[ing] special attention on the areas of greatest
5	need'';
6	(4) the Commission has long been criticized for
7	its shortcomings in fulfilling this mission, including
8	in—
9	(A) a 1999 study titled "Mountain Money:
10	Federal Tax Dollars Miss the Mark in Core Ap-
11	palachia'' by Mark Ferenchik and Jill
12	Ripenhoff for the Columbus Dispatch; and
13	(B) a 2008 book titled "Uneven Ground:
14	Appalachia Since 1945" by Ronald D. Eller;
15	(5) in 2004, the Office of Management and
16	Budget noted the importance of the Commission
17	"[f]ocusing efforts on targeting assistance to
18	areas of distress'';
19	(6) in 2017, Citizens Against Government
20	Waste characterized the programming of the Com-
21	mission as duplicative and called for drastic reduc-
22	tions in the budget of the Commission;
23	(7) in 2017, the Office of Management and
24	Budget, citing a Government Accountability Office
25	study, concluded that the Commission should be

1	abolished, and that conclusion was reflected in the
2	fiscal year 2018 budget request submitted by the
3	President;
4	(8) these recent actions reflect a growing cho-
5	rus that the Commission should be reformed; and
6	(9) therefore, given the long-recognized short-
7	comings of the Commission, the long-standing criti-
8	cism of the Commission, and the need to ensure its
9	optimal performance, the time has arrived for the
10	Commission to be reformed.
11	(c) Persistent Poverty.—Congress finds that—
12	(1) using 1960 data, the Commission (which
13	was created in 1965) concluded that there were 214
14	distressed counties in the Appalachian region;
15	(2) in 2017, according to the Commission, there
16	are 84 distressed counties in the Appalachian region,
17	reflecting the areas of most persistent poverty in the
18	region; and
19	(3) therefore, the Commission should be re-
20	formed to focus its attention on the areas of most
21	persistent poverty in the region.
22	(d) Area Development Funding for Dis-
23	TRESSED COUNTIES.—Congress finds that—
24	(1) according to the study by the Columbus
25	Dispatch referred to in subsection (b)(4)(A), of the

- 22,169 grants issued by the Commission from fiscal year 1966 through fiscal year 1998, none of the 5 counties that received the most Commission funding was considered distressed, and more than ½ of all
- 5 Commission spending during that period went to
- 6 States with few, if any, distressed counties;

- (2) according to author Ronald D. Eller in 2014, "[the Commission] policies have concentrated resources in a select few 'growth centers' in the [Appalachian] region, expanding services to the poor and growing the mountain middle class, but doing little to alter conditions in the most rural distressed counties or to address systemic political or economic inequalities throughout Appalachia";
  - (3) until 1995, the Commission allocated up to 20 percent of its area development grants for use in distressed counties;
  - (4) following instructions given to the Commission by the Committees on Appropriations of the Senate and the House of Representatives in 1995, this allocation was increased by the Commission to 30 percent;
- (5) section 7.5(c) of the Code of the Commission (as in effect on the date of enactment of this Act) reflects this 1995 policy change and states that

- the Commission "will allocate up to 30 percent of Commission area development funds for use in distressed counties", even though, according to the Commission's public representations, economic conditions in distressed areas of the Appalachian region have not greatly improved since the 1960s;
  - (6) given the persistent levels of poverty in the distressed counties in the Appalachian region, more area development funding and emphasis should be devoted to those counties; and
  - (7) therefore, the allocation described in paragraph (3) should be increased to 60 percent.
  - (e) Grant Expenditures.—Congress finds that—
  - (1) section 14524(d) of title 40, United States Code, provides that "not less than 50 percent of the amount of grant expenditures the Commission approves shall support activities or projects that benefit severely and persistently distressed counties and areas";
  - (2) given the persistent levels of poverty in the distressed counties in the Appalachian region, more grant expenditures and emphasis should be devoted to those counties; and

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1

(3) therefore, the 50 percent threshold in sec-

2	tion 14524(d) of title 40, United States Code
3	should be increased to 60 percent.
4	SEC. 3. MISSION OF THE APPALACHIAN REGIONAL COM-
5	MISSION.
6	Section 14301 of title 40, United States Code, is
7	amended by striking subsection (a) and inserting the fol-
8	lowing:
9	"(a) Establishment and Mission.—
10	"(1) Establishment.—There is an Appa-
11	lachian Regional Commission (referred to in this
12	chapter as the 'Commission').
13	"(2) Mission.—The mission of the Commission
14	shall be to focus primarily on poverty reduction and
15	economic development in areas in the Appalachian
16	region with the most persistent poverty.".
17	SEC. 4. HEADQUARTERS OF THE APPALACHIAN REGIONAL
18	COMMISSION.
19	(a) In General.—Section 14301 of title 40, United
20	States Code, is amended by adding at the end the fol-
21	lowing:
22	"(g) Headquarters.—The headquarters of the
23	Commission shall be located in the Appalachian region."
24	(b) Implementation.—The Federal Cochairman of
25	the Commission shall take such actions as may be nec-

1	essary to carry out the amendment made by subsection
2	(a).
3	SEC. 5. GRANT EXPENDITURES.
4	Section 14524(d) of title 40, United States Code, is
5	amended by striking "50 percent" and inserting "60 per-
6	cent".
7	SEC. 6. AREA DEVELOPMENT FUNDS FOR DISTRESSED
8	COUNTIES.
9	Section 14526(b) of title 40, United States Code, is
10	amended—
11	(1) by striking "In program and" and inserting
12	the following:
13	"(1) In general.—In program and"; and
14	(2) by adding at the end the following:
15	"(2) Area development funds.—
16	"(A) In general.—Of the funds made
17	available for each fiscal year for the Area De-
18	velopment Program of the Commission, the
19	Commission shall allocate not less than 60 per-
20	cent for projects in counties for which a dis-
21	tressed county designation is in effect under
22	this section.
23	"(B) Methodology.—The methodology
24	for determining whether a county is designated
25	as a distressed county under subsection

1	(a)(1)(A) shall be the methodology in effect or
2	the day before the date of enactment of the Ap-
3	palachian Regional Commission Reform Act.
4	"(3) Report.—The Commission shall submit
5	an annual report that describes the allocation of
6	funds, in dollar amounts and percentage of total ap-
7	propriations, for the Area Development Program to
8	counties described in paragraph (2) to—
9	"(A) the Speaker of the House of Rep-
10	resentatives;
11	"(B) the minority leader of the House of
12	Representatives;
13	"(C) the majority leader of the Senate;
14	"(D) the minority leader of the Senate;
15	"(E) the Committee on Appropriations of
16	the House of Representatives;
17	"(F) the Committee on Appropriations of
18	the Senate;
19	"(G) the Committee on Transportation
20	and Infrastructure of the House of Representa-
21	tives; and
22	"(H) the Committee on Environment and
23	Public Works of the Senate.".