H. R. 5340

To strengthen the position of the United States as the world's leading innovator by amending title 35, United States Code, to protect the property rights of the inventors that grow the country's economy.

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

March 20, 2018

Mr. Stivers (for himself, Mr. Foster, Mr. Davidson, Mr. Duffy, Mr. Cramer, Mr. King of New York, Ms. Velázquez, Mr. Huizenga, Mr. Joyce of Ohio, Mr. Bilirakis, Mr. Danny K. Davis of Illinois, Mr. Rush, Mr. Brendan F. Boyle of Pennsylvania, Mr. Peters, Mrs. Watson Coleman, and Mr. Gosar) introduced the following bill; which was referred to the Committee on the Judiciary, and in addition to the Committee on Energy and Commerce, for a period to be subsequently determined by the Speaker, in each case for consideration of such provisions as fall within the jurisdiction of the committee concerned

A BILL

To strengthen the position of the United States as the world's leading innovator by amending title 35, United States Code, to protect the property rights of the inventors that grow the country's economy.

- 1 Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representa-
- 2 tives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,
- 3 SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE; TABLE OF CONTENTS.
- 4 (a) Short Title.—This Act may be cited as the
- 5 "Support Technology and Research for Our Nation's

- 1 Growth and Economic Resilience Patents Act of 2018" or
- 2 the "STRONGER Patents Act of 2018".
- 3 (b) Table of Contents for
- 4 this Act is as follows:
 - Sec. 1. Short title; table of contents.

TITLE I—STRONGER PATENTS ACT

- Sec. 101. Findings.
- Sec. 102. Inter partes review.
- Sec. 103. Post-grant review.
- Sec. 104. Composition of post-grant review and inter partes review panels.
- Sec. 105. Reexamination of patents.
- Sec. 106. Restoration of patents as property rights.
- Sec. 107. Elimination of USPTO fee diversion.
- Sec. 108. Infringement of patent.
- Sec. 109. Institutions of higher education.
- Sec. 110. Assisting small businesses in the U.S. patent system.

TITLE II—TARGETING ROGUE AND OPAQUE LETTERS

- Sec. 201. Definitions.
- Sec. 202. Unfair or deceptive acts or practices in connection with the assertion of a United States patent.
- Sec. 203. Enforcement by Federal Trade Commission.
- Sec. 204. Preemption of State laws on patent demand letters and enforcement by State attorneys general.

5 TITLE I—STRONGER PATENTS

6 ACT

- 7 SEC. 101. FINDINGS.
- 8 Congress finds that—
- 9 (1) the patent property rights enshrined in the
- 10 Constitution of the United States provide the foun-
- dation for the exceptional innovation environment in
- the United States;
- 13 (2) strong patent rights encourage United
- 14 States inventors to invest their resources in creating
- 15 new inventions;

- 1 (3) patent protection has led to patient cures, 2 positive changes to the standard of living for all peo-3 ple in the United States, and improvements to the 4 agricultural, telecommunications, and electronics in-5 dustries, among others;
 - (4) the United States patent system is an essential part of the country's economic success;
 - (5) strong patent protection improves the chances of success for small companies and increases their chances of securing financing from investors;
 - (6) intellectual property-intensive industries in the United States generate tens of millions of jobs for individuals in the United States;
 - (7) intellectual property-intensive industries in the United States account for more than one-third of the country's gross domestic product;
 - (8) in the highly competitive global economy, the United States needs to uphold strong patent protections to maintain its position as the world's premier innovative country;
 - (9) Congress last enacted comprehensive reforms of the patent system just recently, in 2011;
 - (10) unintended consequences of the comprehensive 2011 reform of patent laws are continuing to become evident, including the strategic fil-

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- ing of post-grant review proceedings to depress stock prices and extort settlements, the filing of repetitive petitions for inter partes and post-grant reviews that have the effect of harassing patent owners, and the unnecessary duplication of work by the district courts of the United States and the Patent Trial and Appeal Board;
 - (11) the Judicial Conference of the United States has made significant revisions to rules governing pleadings and discovery in the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, which took effect in December 2015;
 - (12) the Supreme Court recently issued rulings in Octane Fitness, LLC v. Icon Health & Fitness, Inc., 134 S.Ct. 1749 (2014) and Highmark Inc. v. Allcare Health Management System, Inc., 134 S.Ct. 1744 (2014) that significantly reduced the burden on an alleged infringer to recover attorney fees from the patent owner, and increased the incidence of fees shifted to the losing party; and
 - (13) efforts by Congress to reform the patent system without careful scrutiny create a serious risk of making it more costly and difficult for legitimate innovators to protect their patents from infringe-

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1	ment, thereby weakening United States companies
2	and the United States economy.
3	SEC. 102. INTER PARTES REVIEW.
4	(a) CLAIM CONSTRUCTION.—Section 316(a) of title
5	35, United States Code, is amended—
6	(1) in paragraph (9), by inserting after "sub-
7	stitute claims," the following: "including the stand-
8	ard for how substitute claims should be construed,";
9	(2) in paragraph (12), by striking "; and and
10	inserting a semicolon;
11	(3) in paragraph (13), by striking the period at
12	the end and inserting "; and; and
13	(4) by adding at the end the following new
14	paragraph:
15	"(14) providing that for all purposes under this
16	chapter—
17	"(A) each challenged claim of a patent
18	shall be construed as the claim would be con-
19	strued under section 282(b) in an action to in-
20	validate a patent, including by construing each
21	challenged claim of the patent in accordance
22	with—
23	"(i) the ordinary and customary
24	meaning of the claim as understood by a

1	person having ordinary skill in the art to
2	which the claimed invention pertains; and
3	"(ii) the prosecution history per-
4	taining to the patent; and
5	"(B) if a court has previously construed a
6	challenged claim of a patent or a challenged
7	claim term in a civil action to which the patent
8	owner was a party, the Office shall consider
9	that claim construction.".
10	(b) Burden of Proof.—Section 316(e) of title 35,
11	United States Code, is amended to read as follows:
12	"(e) Evidentiary Standards.—
13	"(1) Presumption of Validity.—The pre-
14	sumption of validity under section 282(a) shall apply
15	to a previously issued claim that is challenged dur-
16	ing an inter partes review under this chapter.
17	"(2) Burden of proof.—In an inter partes
18	review instituted under this chapter, the petitioner
19	shall have the burden of proving a proposition of
20	unpatentability of a previously issued claim by clear
21	and convincing evidence.".
22	(c) Standing.—Section 311 of title 35, United
23	States Code, is amended by adding at the end the fol-
24	lowing new subsection:
25	"(d) Persons That May Petition.—

"(1) Definition.—In this subsection, the term 1 2 'charged with infringement' means a real and sub-3 stantial controversy regarding infringement of a pat-4 ent exists such that the petitioner would have stand-5 ing to bring a declaratory judgment action in Fed-6 eral court.

- "(2) Necessary conditions.—A person may not file with the Office a petition to institute an inter partes review of a patent unless the person, or a real party in interest or privy of the person, has been—
- 12 "(A) sued for infringement of the patent; 13
- 14 "(B) charged with infringement under the 15 patent.".
- 16 (d) Limitation on Reviews.—Section 314(a) of title 35, United States Code, is amended to read as fol-18 lows:
- 19 "(a) Threshold.—

or

7

8

9

10

11

20 "(1) Likelihood of Prevailing.—Subject to paragraph (2), the Director may not authorize an 21 22 inter partes review to be instituted unless the Direc-23 tor determines that the information presented in the 24 petition filed under section 311 and any response 25 filed under section 313 shows that there is a reason-

- able likelihood that the petitioner would prevail with respect to at least one of the claims challenged in the petition.
- "(2) Previous Institution.—The Director may not authorize an inter partes review to be instituted on a claim challenged in a petition if the Director has previously instituted an inter partes review or post-grant review with respect to that claim.".
- 10 (e) Appeals From Institution Decisions.—Sec-
- 11 tion 314 of title 35, United States Code, is amended by
- 12 striking subsection (d) and inserting the following:
- 13 "(d) No Appeal.—A determination by the Director
- 14 not to institute an inter partes review under this section
- 15 shall be final and nonappealable.
- 16 "(e) Interlocutory Appeal.—
- 17 "(1) RIGHT OF APPEAL.—A determination by 18 the Director to institute an inter partes review under 19 this section may be appealed to the United States
- 20 Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit.
- "(2) TIMING.—A party shall file a notice of interlocutory appeal under paragraph (1) not later
- than 7 days after the date on which the Director en-
- 24 ters the institution decision.

1	"(3) Limitation.—An interlocutory appeal
2	filed under paragraph (1) may challenge the institu-
3	tion decision on any basis except for the determina-
4	tion made under section 314(a)(1).
5	"(4) Effect on proceedings.—An interlocu-
6	tory appeal filed under paragraph (1) shall not stay
7	proceedings in the inter partes review unless the Di-
8	rector or the United States Court of Appeals for the
9	Federal Circuit so orders.
10	"(5) Decision.—An interlocutory appeal filed
11	under paragraph (1) may be granted by the United
12	States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit,
13	which may include consideration of whether—
14	"(A) the institution decision appears to be
15	in error and mere institution of the inter partes
16	review presents a risk of immediate, irreparable
17	injury to the patent owner;
18	"(B) the institution decision presents an
19	unsettled and fundamental issue of law, impor-
20	tant both to the specific proceeding and gen-
21	erally, that is likely to evade end-of-the-pro-
22	ceeding review; or
23	"(C) the institution decision is manifestly
24	erroneous.".

1 (f) Eliminating Repetitive Proceedings.—Sec-

2 tion 315(e) of title 35, United States Code, is amended

3 to read as follows:

"(e) Estoppel.—

"(1) Proceedings before the office.—A person petitioning for an inter parter review of a claim in a patent under this chapter, or the real party in interest or privy of the petitioner, may not petition for a subsequent inter parter review before the Office with respect to that patent on any ground that the petitioner raised or reasonably could have raised in the initial petition, unless, after the filing of the initial petition, the petitioner, or the real party in interest or privy of the petitioner, is charged with infringement of additional claims of the patent.

"(2) CIVIL ACTIONS AND OTHER PRO-CEEDINGS.—A person petitioning for an inter partes review of a claim in a patent under this chapter that results in an institution decision under section 314, or the real party in interest or privy of the petitioner, may not assert either in a civil action arising in whole or in part under section 1338 of title 28 or in a proceeding before the International Trade Commission under section 337 of the Tariff Act of

1	1930 (19 U.S.C. 1337) that the claim is invalid
2	based on section 102 or 103 of this title, unless the
3	invalidity argument is based on allegations that the
4	claimed invention was in public use, on sale, or oth-
5	erwise available to the public before the effective fil-
6	ing date of the claimed invention.".
7	(g) Real Party in Interest.—
8	(1) CLARIFICATION OF DEFINITION.—Section
9	315 of title 35, United States Code, is amended by
10	adding at the end the following new subsection:
11	"(f) Petitioner.—For purposes of this chapter, a
12	person that directly or through an affiliate, subsidiary, or
13	proxy, makes a financial contribution to the preparation
14	for, or conduct during, an inter partes review on behalf
15	of the petitioner shall be considered a real party in interest
16	of the petitioner.".
17	(2) DISCOVERY OF REAL PARTY IN INTER-
18	EST.—Section 316(a)(5) of title 35, United States
19	Code, is amended to read as follows:
20	"(5) setting forth standards and procedures for
21	discovery of relevant evidence, including that such
22	discovery shall be limited to—
23	"(A) the deposition of witnesses submitting
24	affidavits or declarations;

1	"(B) evidence identifying the petitioner's
2	real parties in interest; and
3	"(C) what is otherwise necessary in the in-
4	terest of justice;".
5	(h) PRIORITY OF FEDERAL COURT VALIDITY DE-
6	TERMINATIONS.—
7	(1) In General.—Section 315 of title 35,
8	United States Code, as amended by subsections (f)
9	and (g), is further amended—
10	(A) by redesignating subsections (c)
11	through (f) as subsections (d) through (g), re-
12	spectively; and
13	(B) by inserting after subsection (b) the
14	following new subsection:
15	"(c) Federal Court Validity Determina-
16	TIONS.—
17	"(1) Institution barred.—An inter partes
18	review of a patent claim may not be instituted if, in
19	a civil action arising in whole or in part under sec-
20	tion 1338 of title 28 or in a proceeding before the
21	International Trade Commission under section 337
22	of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1337), a court
23	has entered a final judgment—
24	"(A) that decides the validity of the patent
25	claim with respect to section 102 or 103; and

"(B) from which an appeal under section 1295 of title 28 may be taken, or from which an appeal under section 1295 of title 28 was previously available but is no longer available.

"(2) Stay of proceedings.—

"(A) IN GENERAL.—If, in a civil action arising in whole or in part under section 1338 of title 28 or in a proceeding before the International Trade Commission under section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1337), a court has entered a final judgment that decides the validity of a patent claim with respect to section 102 or 103 and from which an appeal under section 1295 of title 28 may be taken, the Patent Trial and Appeal Board shall stay any ongoing inter partes review of that patent claim pending a final decision of the court or Commission, as applicable.

"(B) TERMINATION.—If the validity of a patent claim described in subparagraph (A) is finally upheld by a court or the International Trade Commission, as applicable, the Patent Trial and Appeal Board shall terminate the inter partes review.".

1	(2) Technical and conforming amend-
2	MENTS.—Chapter 31 of title 35, United States
3	Code, is amended—
4	(A) in section 315(b), by striking "sub-
5	section (c)" and inserting "subsection (d)";
6	(B) in section 316(a)—
7	(i) in paragraph (11), by striking
8	"section 315(c)" and inserting "section
9	315(d)"; and
10	(ii) in paragraph (12), by striking
11	"section 315(c)" and inserting "section
12	315(d)"; and
13	(C) in section 317(a), by striking "section
14	315(e)" and inserting "section 315(f)".
15	(i) Amendment of Claims.—
16	(1) Inter partes review amendment prac-
17	TICE.—Chapter 31 of title 35, United States Code,
18	is amended by inserting after section 316 the fol-
19	lowing new section:
20	"§ 316A. Inter partes review amendment practice
21	"(a) Actions Permitted.—During an inter partes
22	review instituted under this chapter, the patent owner may
23	move to take one or more of the following actions with
24	respect to any challenged patent claim being reviewed:
25	"(1) Cancel the claim.

1	"(2) Propose a reasonable number of substitute
2	claims whose patentability will be adjudicated by the
3	Patent Trial and Appeal Board in accordance with
4	subsection (c).
5	"(3) Propose a reasonable number of substitute
6	claims to be examined in an expedited inter partes
7	review (in this section referred to as 'expedited
8	IPR') reexamination in accordance with subsection
9	(d).
10	"(b) Scope of Claims.—An amendment to a patent
11	under this section may not enlarge the scope of the claims
12	of the patent or introduce new matter.
13	"(c) Amendment Practice Before the Patent
14	TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD.—
15	"(1) Patent owner's initial motion.—For
16	each challenged claim for which the patent owner
17	seeks to propose a reasonable number of substitute
18	claims, the patent owner shall be required to make
19	a prima facie showing that each substitute claim—
20	"(A) responds to each ground of
21	unpatentability on which the inter partes review
22	was instituted;
23	"(B) meets the written description require-
24	ment under section 112(a), and

1	"(C) meets the requirement under sub-
2	section (b) of this section.
3	"(2) New evidence.—
4	"(A) Petitioner.—The petitioner may re-
5	spond to the patent owner's initial motion
6	under paragraph (1) by presenting new evi-
7	dence.
8	"(B) Patent owner.—The patent owner
9	shall have not less than 1 opportunity to re-
10	spond to any new evidence presented under sub-
11	paragraph (A).
12	"(3) Expedited patentability report.—
13	"(A) In general.—Upon the filing of a
14	motion under paragraph (1), the Patent Tria
15	and Appeal Board may order an expedited pat-
16	entability report from a patent examiner on a
17	substitute claim.
18	"(B) Contents of Report.—In ordering
19	an expedited patentability report, the Patent
20	Trial and Appeal Board may—
21	"(i) order examination of any ground
22	of patentability that is assessed by a pat-
23	ent examiner under chapter 12; and

1	"(ii) request a non-binding rec-
2	ommendation as to the patentability of a
3	substitute claim.
4	"(C) RIGHT OF REPLY.—Any party to the
5	inter partes review may file supplemental brief-
6	ing, including new evidence, addressing the ex-
7	pedited patentability report.
8	"(D) Timing.—The production of the ex-
9	pedited patentability report shall be expedited.
10	"(E) Good cause.—The ordering of an
11	expedited patentability report shall constitute
12	good cause, for purposes of section 316(a)(11),
13	to extend the 1-year period for the inter partes
14	review.
15	"(4) Adjudication of patentability.—
16	"(A) No rebuttal.—A patent owner
17	shall be entitled to a substitute claim if the
18	prima facie showing required under paragraph
19	(1) is not rebutted.
20	"(B) Additional evidence.—If addi-
21	tional evidence of record is presented, the pat-
22	ent owner shall be entitled to a substitute claim
23	unless a preponderance of that evidence proves
24	that the patent owner is not so entitled.

"(5) Patent trial and appeal board's dis-CRETION TO ORDER EXPEDITED IPR REEXAMINA-TION.—Upon issuing a final written decision with respect to each challenged claim, the Patent Trial and Appeal Board may order an expedited IPR reex-amination to be conducted under subsection (d)(2)to consider a substitute claim in lieu of issuing a final written decision on that claim.

"(6) AMENDMENTS TO ADVANCE SETTLEMENT.—The Patent Trial and Appeal Board may
consider additional motions to amend upon the joint
request of the petitioner and the patent owner to
materially advance the settlement of a proceeding
under section 317 if the patent owner makes the
prima facie showing required under paragraph (1).

"(d) AMENDMENT PRACTICE BEFORE A PATENT EX-

"(1) MOTION TO CONVERT TO EXPEDITED IPR EXAMINATION.—

"(A) IN GENERAL.—If the Director determines to institute an inter partes review on a challenged claim under section 314, a patent owner may, before any further substantive briefing on the patentability of an instituted claim, move to terminate the inter partes review

AMINER.—

1	in favor of an expedited IPR reexamination
2	under paragraph (2) of this subsection.
3	"(B) Contents of Motion.—A patent
4	owner shall be required to show good cause for
5	why an expedited IPR reexamination would fur-
6	ther the goals of the patent system, including
7	consideration of whether there are substantial—
8	"(i) investments in research directly
9	related to the claimed invention;
10	"(ii) secondary indicia of non-obvious-
11	ness, such as commercial success, long-felt
12	but unsolved needs, or failures of persons
13	skilled in the art to develop the claimed in-
14	vention; or
15	"(iii) changes in case law governing
16	relevant substantive patentability require-
17	ments since the patent was issued.
18	"(C) NEW EVIDENCE ALLOWED.—The
19	opening motion and opposition briefs under this
20	paragraph may include new evidence, and fur-
21	ther rebuttal evidence may be allowed by the
22	Patent Trial and Appeal Board.
23	"(2) Conduct of expedited IPR examina-
24	TION.—

	20
1	"(A) In General.—If the Patent Trial
2	and Appeal Board grants a motion filed under
3	paragraph (1), the patent owner shall cancel
4	each instituted claim and submit a reasonable
5	number of substitute claims for consideration
6	by the patent examiner in an expedited IPR ex-
7	amination under this paragraph.
8	"(B) Timing.—A patent examiner shall
9	complete an expedited IPR examination, exclud-
10	ing any time for appeals, within 18 months
11	after the date on which any substitute claim is

- after the date on which any substitute claim is submitted under subparagraph (A).
- "(C) PROCEDURES.—Consideration of the substitute claims in an expedited IPR examination shall follow the procedures established for initial examination under sections 132 and 133, subject to subsection (b) of this section and modified as necessary to ensure that the procedures are expedited.

"(D) Appeal.—

"(i) Patent examiner decision.— If the final decision of a patent examiner in an expedited IPR examination is adverse to the patentability of a substitute claim, the patent owner may appeal the de-

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

cision to the Patent Trial and Appeal
Board under section 134(c).

"(ii) PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD DECISION.—If the final decision in an appeal of an expedited IPR reexamination to the Patent Trial and Appeal Board under section 134(c) is adverse to the patentability of a substitute claim, the patent owner may appeal the decision to the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit in accordance with sections 141 through 144.

"(E) CERTIFICATE.—If the patent examiner determines in an expedited IPR examination that a substitute claim is patentable, and the time for appeal has expired or any appeal proceeding has terminated, the Director shall issue and publish a certificate incorporating in the patent the substitute claim.

"(F) Intervening rights.—Any substitute claim determined to be patentable and incorporated into a patent following an expedited IPR examination shall have the same effect as that specified in section 252 for reissued patents on the right of any person who made,

1	purchased, or used within the United States, or
2	imported into the United States, anything pat-
3	ented by the substitute claim, or who made sub-
4	stantial preparation therefor, prior to issuance
5	of a certificate under subparagraph (E).".
6	(2) Technical and conforming amend-
7	MENTS.—
8	(A) EXPEDITED IPR EXAMINATION AP-
9	PEALS.—
10	(i) APPEALS TO PATENT TRIAL AND
11	APPEAL BOARD.—Section 134 of title 35,
12	United States Code, is amended by adding
13	at the end the following new subsection:
14	"(c) Patent Owner in Expedited IPR Examina-
15	TION.—A patent owner may appeal from the final rejec-
16	tion of any substitute claim by the primary examiner in
17	an expedited IPR examination under section 316A(d) to
18	the Patent Trial and Appeal Board, having once paid the
19	fee for such appeal.".
20	(ii) Appeals to federal circuit.—
21	Section 141 of title 35, United States
22	Code, is amended—
23	(I) by redesignating subsections
24	(c) and (d) as subsections (d) and (e);
25	and

1	(II) by inserting after subsection
2	(b) the following new subsection:
3	"(c) Expedited IPR Examinations.—A patent
4	owner who is dissatisfied with the final decision in an ap-
5	peal to the Patent Trial and Appeal Board under section
6	134(c) of an expedited IPR examination may appeal the
7	Board's decision to the United States Court of Appeals
8	for the Federal Circuit.".
9	(B) CONDUCT OF INTER PARTES RE-
10	VIEW.—Section 316 of title 35, United States
11	Code, is amended—
12	(i) in subsection (a)(9), by striking
13	"subsection (d)" each place that term ap-
14	pears and inserting "section 316A";
15	(ii) by striking subsection (d); and
16	(iii) by redesignating subsection (e) as
17	subsection (d).
18	(C) Decision of the Board.—Section
19	318(a) of title 35, United States Code, is
20	amended—
21	(i) by striking "If" and inserting "Ex-
22	cept as provided in section 316A(c)(5), if";
23	and
24	(ii) by striking "section 316(d)" and
25	inserting "section 316A".

1	(D) Table of sections.—The table of
2	sections for chapter 31 of title 35, United
3	States Code, is amended by inserting after the
4	item relating to section 316 the following new
5	item:
	"316A. Inter partes review amendment practice.".
6	SEC. 103. POST-GRANT REVIEW.
7	(a) Claim Construction.—Section 326(a) of title
8	35, United States Code, is amended—
9	(1) in paragraph (9), by inserting after "sub-
10	stitute claims," the following: "including the stand-
11	ard for how substitute claims should be construed,";
12	(2) in paragraph (11), by striking "; and" and
13	inserting a semicolon;
14	(3) in paragraph (12), by striking the period at
15	the end and inserting "; and; and
16	(4) by adding at the end the following new
17	paragraph:
18	"(13) providing that for all purposes under this
19	chapter—
20	"(A) each challenged claim of a patent
21	shall be construed as the claim would be con-
22	strued under section 282(b) in an action to in-
23	validate a patent, including by construing each
24	challenged claim of the patent in accordance
25	with—

1	"(i) the ordinary and customary
2	meaning of the claim as understood by a
3	person having ordinary skill in the art to
4	which the claimed invention pertains; and
5	"(ii) the prosecution history per-
6	taining to the patent; and
7	"(B) if a court has previously construed a
8	challenged claim of a patent or a challenged
9	claim term in a civil action to which the patent
10	owner was a party, the Office shall consider
11	that claim construction.".
12	(b) Burden of Proof.—Section 326(e) of title 35,
13	United States Code, is amended to read as follows:
14	"(e) Evidentiary Standards.—
15	"(1) Presumption of Validity.—The pre-
16	sumption of validity under section 282(a) shall apply
17	to a previously issued claim that is challenged dur-
18	ing a proceeding under this chapter.
19	"(2) Burden of proof.—In a post-grant re-
20	view instituted under this chapter, the petitioner
21	shall have the burden of proving a proposition of
22	unpatentability of a previously issued claim by clear
23	and convincing evidence.".

1	(c) Standing.—Section 321 of title 35, United
2	States Code, is amended by adding at the end the fol-
3	lowing new subsection:
4	"(d) Persons That May Petition.—
5	"(1) Definition.—In this subsection, the term
6	'charged with infringement' means a real and sub-
7	stantial controversy regarding infringement of a pat-
8	ent exists such that the petitioner would have stand-
9	ing to bring a declaratory judgment action in Fed-
10	eral court.
11	"(2) Necessary conditions.—A person may
12	not file with the Office a petition to institute a post-
13	grant review of the patent unless the person, or a
14	real party in interest or privy of the person, dem-
15	onstrates—
16	"(A) a reasonable possibility of being—
17	"(i) sued for infringement of the pat-
18	ent; or
19	"(ii) charged with infringement under
20	the patent; or
21	"(B) a competitive harm related to the va-
22	lidity of the patent.".
23	(d) Limitation on Reviews.—Section 324(a) of
24	title 35, United States Code, is amended to read as fol-
25	lows

1	"(a) Threshold.—
2	"(1) Likelihood of Prevailing.—Subject to
3	paragraph (2), the Director may not authorize a
4	post-grant review to be instituted unless the Director
5	determines that the information presented in the pe-
6	tition filed under section 321, if such information is
7	not rebutted, would demonstrate that it is more like-
8	ly than not that at least one of the claims challenged
9	in the petition is unpatentable.
10	"(2) Previous institution.—The Director
11	may not authorize a post-grant review to be insti-
12	tuted on a claim challenged in a petition if the Di-
13	rector has previously instituted an inter partes or
14	post-grant review with respect to that claim.".
15	(e) Appeals From Institution Decisions.—Sec-
16	tion 324 of title 35, United States Code, is amended by
17	striking subsection (e) and inserting the following:
18	"(e) No Appeal.—A determination by the Director
19	not to institute a post-grant review under this section shall
20	be final and nonappealable.
21	"(f) Interlocutory Appeal.—
22	"(1) Right of Appeal.—A determination by
23	the Director to institute a post-grant review under
24	this section may be appealed to the United States

Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit.

1	"(2) Timing.—A party shall file a notice of in-
2	terlocutory appeal under paragraph (1) not later
3	than 7 days after the date on which the Director en-
4	ters the institution decision.
5	"(3) Limitation.—An interlocutory appeal
6	filed under paragraph (1) may challenge the institu-
7	tion decision on any basis except for the determina-
8	tion made under section 324(a)(1).
9	"(4) Effect on proceedings.—An interlocu-
10	tory appeal filed under paragraph (1) shall not stay
11	proceedings in the post-grant review unless the Di-
12	rector or the United States Court of Appeals for the
13	Federal Circuit so orders.
14	"(5) Decision.—An interlocutory appeal filed
15	under paragraph (1) may be granted by the United
16	States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit
17	which may include consideration of whether—
18	"(A) the institution decision appears to be
19	in error and mere institution presents a risk of
20	immediate, irreparable injury to the patent
21	owner;
22	"(B) the institution decision presents an
23	unsettled and fundamental issue of law, impor-

tant both to the specific proceeding and gen-

erally, that is likely to evade end-of-the-pro-1 2 ceeding review; or "(C) the institution decision is manifestly 3 4 erroneous.". 5 (f) Eliminating Repetitive Proceedings.—Sec-6 tion 325(e)(1) of title 35, United States Code, is amended 7 to read as follows: "(1) Proceedings before the office.—A 8 9 person petitioning for a post-grant review of a claim 10 in a patent under this chapter, or the real party in 11 interest or privy of the petitioner, may not petition 12 for a subsequent post-grant review before the Office 13 with respect to that patent on any ground that the 14 petitioner raised or reasonably could have raised in 15 the initial petition, unless, after the filing of the ini-16 tial petition, the petitioner, or the real party in in-17 terest or privy of the petitioner, is charged with in-18 fringement of additional claims of the patent.". 19 (g) Real Party in Interest.— 20 (1) CLARIFICATION OF DEFINITION.—Section 21 325 of title 35, United States Code, is amended by 22 adding at the end the following new subsection: "(g) Real Party in Interest.—For purposes of 23 this chapter, a person that directly or through an affiliate,

subsidiary, or proxy, makes a financial contribution to the

1	preparation for, or conduct during, a post-grant review on
2	behalf of the petitioner shall be considered a real party
3	in interest of the petitioner.".
4	(2) Discovery of real party in inter-
5	EST.—Section 326(a)(5) of title 35, United States
6	Code, is amended to read as follows:
7	"(5) setting forth standards and procedures for
8	discovery of relevant evidence, including that such
9	discovery shall be limited to—
10	"(A) the deposition of witnesses submitting
11	affidavits or declarations;
12	"(B) evidence identifying the petitioner's
13	real parties in interest; and
14	"(C) what is otherwise necessary in the in-
15	terest of justice;".
16	(h) Priority of Federal Court Validity De-
17	TERMINATIONS.—
18	(1) In general.—Section 325 of title 35,
19	United States Code, as amended by subsections (f)
20	and (g), is further amended—
21	(A) by redesignating subsections (c)
22	through (g) as subsections (d) through (h), re-
23	spectively; and
24	(B) by inserting after subsection (b) the
25	following new subsection:

1	"(c) Federal Court Validity Determina-
2	TIONS.—
3	"(1) Institution barred.—A post-grant re-
4	view of a patent claim may not be instituted if, in
5	a civil action arising in whole or in part under sec-
6	tion 1338 of title 28 or in a proceeding before the
7	International Trade Commission under section 337
8	of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1337), a court
9	has entered a final judgment—
10	"(A) that decides the validity of the patent
11	claim with respect to section 102 or 103; and
12	"(B) from which an appeal under section
13	1295 of title 28 may be taken, or from which
14	an appeal under section 1295 of title 28 was
15	previously available but is no longer available.
16	"(2) Stay of proceedings.—
17	"(A) In general.—If, in a civil action
18	arising in whole or in part under section 1338
19	of title 28 or in a proceeding before the Inter-
20	national Trade Commission under section 337
21	of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1337), a
22	court has entered a final judgment that decides
23	the validity of a patent claim with respect to
24	section 102 or 103 and from which an appeal

under section 1295 of title 28 may be taken,

1	the Patent Trial and Appeal Board shall stay
2	any ongoing post-grant review of that patent
3	claim pending a final decision of the court or
4	Commission, as applicable.
5	"(B) TERMINATION.—If the validity of a
6	patent claim described in subparagraph (A) is
7	finally upheld by a court or the International
8	Trade Commission, as applicable, the Patent
9	Trial and Appeal Board shall terminate the
10	post-grant review.".
11	(2) Technical and conforming amend-
12	MENTS.—Chapter 32 of title 35, United States
13	Code, is amended—
14	(A) in section 326(a)(11), by striking "sec-
15	tion 325(c)" and inserting "section 325(d)";
16	and
17	(B) in section 327(a), by striking "section
18	325(e)" and inserting "section 325(f)".
19	(i) AMENDMENT OF CLAIMS.—
20	(1) Post-grant review amendment prac-
21	TICE.—Chapter 32 of title 35, United States Code,
22	is amended by inserting after section 326 the fol-
23	lowing new section:

1 "§ 326A. Post-grant review amendment practice

- 2 "(a) Actions Permitted.—During a post-grant re-
- 3 view instituted under this chapter, the patent owner may
- 4 move to take one or more of the following actions with
- 5 respect to any challenged patent claim being reviewed:
- 6 "(1) Cancel the claim.
- 7 "(2) Propose a reasonable number of substitute
- 8 claims whose patentability will be adjudicated by the
- 9 Patent Trial and Appeal Board in accordance with
- subsection (c).
- 11 "(3) Propose a reasonable number of substitute
- claims to be examined in an expedited PGR (in this
- section referred to as 'expedited PGR') reexamina-
- tion in accordance with subsection (d).
- 15 "(b) Scope of Claims.—An amendment to a patent
- 16 under this section may not enlarge the scope of the claims
- 17 of the patent or introduce new matter.
- 18 "(c) Amendment Practice Before the Patent
- 19 TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD.—
- 20 "(1) Patent owner's initial motion.—For
- each challenged claim for which the patent owner
- seeks to propose a reasonable number of substitute
- claims, the patent owner shall be required to make
- a prima facie showing that each substitute claim—

1	"(A) responds to each ground or
2	unpatentability on which the post-grant review
3	was instituted;
4	"(B) meets the written description require
5	ment under section 112(a); and
6	"(C) meets the requirement under sub-
7	section (b) of this section.
8	"(2) New Evidence.—
9	"(A) Petitioner.—The petitioner may re-
10	spond to the patent owner's initial motion
11	under paragraph (1) by presenting new evi-
12	dence.
13	"(B) Patent owner.—The patent owner
14	shall have at least 1 opportunity to respond to
15	any new evidence presented under subpara-
16	graph (A).
17	"(3) Expedited patentability report.—
18	"(A) In general.—Upon the filing of a
19	motion under paragraph (1), the Patent Tria
20	and Appeal Board may order an expedited pat
21	entability report from a patent examiner on a
22	substitute claim.
23	"(B) Contents of Report.—In ordering
24	an expedited patentability report, the Patent
25	Trial and Appeal Board may—

1	"(i) order examination of any ground
2	of patentability that is assessed by a pat-
3	ent examiner under chapter 12; and
4	"(ii) request a non-binding rec-
5	ommendation as to the patentability of a
6	substitute claim.
7	"(C) RIGHT OF REPLY.—Any party to the
8	post-grant review may file supplemental brief-
9	ing, including new evidence, addressing the ex-
10	pedited patentability report.
11	"(D) Timing.—The production of the ex-
12	pedited patentability report shall be expedited.
13	"(E) Good cause.—The ordering of an
14	expedited patentability report shall constitute
15	good cause, for purposes of section 326(a)(11),
16	to extend the 1-year period for the post-grant
17	review.
18	"(4) Adjudication of patentability.—
19	"(A) No rebuttal.—A patent owner
20	shall be entitled to a substitute claim if the
21	prima facie showing required under paragraph
22	(1) is not rebutted.
23	"(B) Additional Evidence.—If addi-
24	tional evidence of record is presented, the pat-
25	ent owner shall be entitled to a substitute claim

1 unless a preponderance of that evidence proves 2 that the patent owner is not so entitled. 3 "(5) Patent trial and appeal board's dis-4 CRETION TO ORDER EXPEDITED PGR REEXAMINA-5 TION.—Upon issuing a final written decision with 6 respect to each challenged claim, the Patent Trial 7 and Appeal Board may order an expedited PGR re-8 examination to be conducted under section (d)(2) to 9 consider a substitute claim in lieu of issuing a final 10 written decision on that claim. 11 "(6) Amendments to ADVANCE SETTLE-12 MENT.—The Patent Trial and Appeal Board may 13 consider additional motions to amend upon the joint 14 request of the petitioner and the patent owner to 15 materially advance the settlement of a proceeding 16 under section 327 if the patent owner makes the 17 prima facie showing required under paragraph (1). 18 "(d) AMENDMENT PRACTICE BEFORE A PATENT EX-19 AMINER.— 20 "(1) Motion to convert to expedited pgr 21 EXAMINATION.—

"(A) IN GENERAL.—If the Director determines to institute a post-grant review on a challenged claim under section 324, a patent owner may, before any further substantive briefing on

22

23

24

1	the patentability of an instituted claim, move to
2	terminate the post-grant review in favor of an
3	expedited PGR reexamination under paragraph
4	(2) of this subsection.
5	"(B) Contents of Motion.—A patent
6	owner shall be required to show good cause for
7	why an expedited PGR reexamination would
8	further the goals of the patent system, includ-
9	ing consideration of whether there are substan-
10	tial—
11	"(i) investments in research directly
12	related to the claimed invention;
13	"(ii) secondary indicia of non-obvious-
14	ness, such as commercial success, long-felt
15	but unsolved needs, or failures of persons
16	skilled in the art to develop the claimed in-
17	vention; or
18	"(iii) changes in case law governing
19	relevant substantive patentability require-
20	ments since the patent was issued.
21	"(C) NEW EVIDENCE ALLOWED.—The
22	opening motion and opposition briefs under this
23	paragraph may include new evidence, and fur-
24	ther rebuttal evidence may be allowed by the
25	Patent Trial and Appeal Board.

1	"(2) Conduct of expedited pgr examina-
2	TION.—
3	"(A) IN GENERAL.—If the Patent Trial
4	and Appeal Board grants a motion filed under
5	paragraph (1), the patent owner shall cancel
6	each instituted claim and submit a reasonable
7	number of substitute claims for consideration
8	by the patent examiner in an expedited PGR
9	examination under this paragraph.
10	"(B) Timing.—A patent examiner shall
11	complete an expedited PGR examination, ex-
12	cluding any time for appeals, within 18 months
13	after the date on which any substitute claim is
14	submitted under subparagraph (A).
15	"(C) Procedures.—Consideration of the
16	substitute claims in an expedited PGR examina-
17	tion shall follow the procedures established for
18	initial examination under sections 132 and 133,
19	subject to subsection (b) of this section and
20	modified as necessary to ensure that the proce-
21	dures are expedited.
22	"(D) Appeal.—
23	"(i) Patent examiner decision.—
24	If the final decision of a patent examiner
25	in an expedited PGR examination is ad-

1 verse to the patentability of a substitute 2 claim, the patent owner may appeal the decision to the Patent Trial and Appeal 3 Board under section 134(c). "(ii) Patent trial and appeal 6 BOARD DECISION.—If the final decision in 7 an appeal of an expedited PGR reexamination to the Patent Trial and Appeal Board 8 9 under section 134(c) is adverse to the pat-10 entability of a substitute claim, the patent 11 owner may appeal the decision to the 12 United States Court of Appeals for the 13 Federal Circuit in accordance with sections 14 141 through 144. 15 "(E) Certificate.—If the patent exam-16 iner determines in an expedited PGR examina-17 tion that a substitute claim is patentable, and 18 the time for appeal has expired or any appeal 19 proceeding has terminated, the Director shall 20 issue and publish a certificate incorporating in

"(F) Intervening rights.—Any substitute claim determined to be patentable and incorporated into a patent following an expedited PGR examination shall have the same ef-

the patent the substitute claim.

21

22

23

24

1	fect as that specified in section 252 for reissued
2	patents on the right of any person who made,
3	purchased, or used within the United States, or
4	imported into the United States, anything pat-
5	ented by the substitute claim, or who made sub-
6	stantial preparation therefor, prior to issuance
7	of a certificate under subparagraph (E).".
8	(2) Technical and conforming amend-
9	MENTS.—
10	(A) EXPEDITED PGR EXAMINATION AP-
11	PEALS.—
12	(i) APPEALS TO PATENT TRIAL AND
13	APPEAL BOARD.—Section 134 of title 35,
14	United States Code, as amended by section
15	102(i)(2), is further amended by adding at
16	the end the following new subsection:
17	"(d) PATENT OWNER IN EXPEDITED PGR EXAMINA-
18	TION.—A patent owner may appeal from the final rejec-
19	tion of any substitute claim by the primary examiner in
20	an expedited PGR examination under section 326A(d) to
21	the Patent Trial and Appeal Board, having once paid the
22	fee for such appeal.".
23	(ii) Appeals to federal circuit.—
24	Section 141 of title 35, United States

1	Code, as amended by section 102(i)(2), is
2	further amended—
3	(I) by redesignating subsections
4	(d) and (e) as subsections (e) and (f);
5	and
6	(II) by inserting after subsection
7	(c) the following new subsection:
8	"(d) Expedited PGR Examinations.—A patent
9	owner who is dissatisfied with the final decision in an ap-
10	peal to the Patent Trial and Appeal Board under section
11	134(c) of an expedited PGR examination may appeal the
12	Board's decision to the United States Court of Appeals
13	for the Federal Circuit.".
14	(B) CONDUCT OF POST-GRANT REVIEW.—
15	Section 326 of title 35, United States Code, is
16	amended—
17	(i) in subsection (a)(9), by striking
18	"subsection (d)" each place that term ap-
19	pears and inserting "section 326A";
20	(ii) by striking subsection (d); and
21	(iii) by redesignating subsection (e) as
22	subsection (d).
23	(C) Decision of the board.—Section
24	328(a) of title 35, United States Code, is
25	amended

1	(i) by striking "If" and inserting "Ex-
2	cept as provided in section 326A(c)(5), if";
3	and
4	(ii) by striking "section 326(d)" and
5	inserting "section 326A".
6	(D) Table of sections.—The table of
7	sections for chapter 32 of title 35, United
8	States Code, is amended by inserting after the
9	item relating to section 326 the following new
10	item:
	"326A. Post-grant review amendment practice.".
11	SEC. 104. COMPOSITION OF POST-GRANT REVIEW AND
12	INTER PARTES REVIEW PANELS.
12	
13	Section 6(c) of title 35, United States Code, is
13	Section 6(c) of title 35, United States Code, is
13 14	Section 6(c) of title 35, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:
131415	Section 6(c) of title 35, United States Code, is amended to read as follows: "(c) 3-Member Panels.—
13 14 15 16	Section 6(c) of title 35, United States Code, is amended to read as follows: "(c) 3-Member Panels.— "(1) In General.—Each appeal, derivation
1314151617	Section 6(c) of title 35, United States Code, is amended to read as follows: "(c) 3-Member Panels.— "(1) In General.—Each appeal, derivation proceeding, post-grant review, and inter partes re-
13 14 15 16 17 18	Section 6(c) of title 35, United States Code, is amended to read as follows: "(c) 3-Member Panels.— "(1) In General.—Each appeal, derivation proceeding, post-grant review, and inter partes review shall be heard by at least 3 members of the
13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Section 6(c) of title 35, United States Code, is amended to read as follows: "(c) 3-Member Panels.— "(1) In General.—Each appeal, derivation proceeding, post-grant review, and inter partes review shall be heard by at least 3 members of the Patent Trial and Appeal Board, who shall be des-
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Section 6(c) of title 35, United States Code, is amended to read as follows: "(c) 3-Member Panels.— "(1) In General.—Each appeal, derivation proceeding, post-grant review, and inter partes review shall be heard by at least 3 members of the Patent Trial and Appeal Board, who shall be designated by the Director.
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Section 6(c) of title 35, United States Code, is amended to read as follows: "(e) 3-Member Panels.— "(1) In general.—Each appeal, derivation proceeding, post-grant review, and inter partes review shall be heard by at least 3 members of the Patent Trial and Appeal Board, who shall be designated by the Director. "(2) Ineligibility to hear review.—A
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Section 6(c) of title 35, United States Code, is amended to read as follows: "(c) 3-Member Panels.— "(1) In general.—Each appeal, derivation proceeding, post-grant review, and inter partes review shall be heard by at least 3 members of the Patent Trial and Appeal Board, who shall be designated by the Director. "(2) Ineligibility to hear review.—A member of the Patent Trial and Appeal Board who

- 1 "(3) Rehearings.—Only the Patent Trial and
- 2 Appeal Board may grant rehearings.".

3 SEC. 105. REEXAMINATION OF PATENTS.

- 4 (a) Request for Reexamination.—Section 302 of
- 5 title 35, United States Code, is amended to read as fol-
- 6 lows:

7 "§ 302. Request for reexamination

- 8 "Any person at any time may file a request for reex-
- 9 amination by the Office of any claim of a patent on the
- 10 basis of any prior art cited under the provisions of section
- 11 301. The request must be in writing and must be accom-
- 12 panied by payment of a reexamination fee established by
- 13 the Director pursuant to the provisions of section 41. The
- 14 request must identify all real parties in interest and certify
- 15 that reexamination is not barred under section 303(d).
- 16 The request must set forth the pertinency and manner of
- 17 applying cited prior art to every claim for which reexam-
- 18 ination is requested. Unless the requesting person is the
- 19 owner of the patent, the Director promptly will send a
- 20 copy of the request to the owner of record of the patent.".
- 21 (b) Reexamination Barred by Civil Action.—
- 22 Section 303 of title 35, United States Code, is amended
- 23 by adding at the end the following new subsection:
- 24 "(d) An ex parte reexamination may not be instituted
- 25 if the request for reexamination is filed more than 1 year

1	after the date on which the requester or a real party in
2	interest or privy of the requester is served with a com-
3	plaint alleging infringement of the patent.".
4	SEC. 106. RESTORATION OF PATENTS AS PROPERTY
5	RIGHTS.
6	Section 283 of title 35, United States Code, is
7	amended—
8	(1) by striking "The several courts" and insert-
9	ing the following:
10	"(a) In General.—The several courts"; and
11	(2) by adding at the end the following:
12	"(b) Injunction.—Upon a finding by a court of in-
13	fringement of a patent not proven invalid or unenforce-
14	able, the court shall presume that—
15	"(1) further infringement of the patent would
16	cause irreparable injury; and
17	"(2) remedies available at law are inadequate to
18	compensate for that injury.".
19	SEC. 107. ELIMINATION OF USPTO FEE DIVERSION.
20	(a) Funding.—Section 42 of title 35, United States
21	Code, is amended—
22	(1) in subsection (a), by striking "All fees" and
23	inserting "Fees for Service by PTO.—All fees";
24	(2) in subsection (b)—

1	(A) by striking "All fees" and inserting
2	"'Innovation Promotion Fund.—All fees'";
3	and
4	(B) by striking "Patent and Trademark
5	Office Appropriation Account" and inserting
6	"United States Patent and Trademark Office
7	Innovation Promotion Fund";
8	(3) in subsection (e)—
9	(A) by striking "(c)" and inserting "(c)
10	COLLECTION OF FUNDS FOR PTO ACTIVI-
11	TIES."
12	(B) in paragraph (1)—
13	(i) by striking "To the extent" and all
14	that follows through "fees" and inserting
15	"Fees"; and
16	(ii) by striking "shall be collected by
17	and shall, subject to paragraph (2), be
18	available to the Director" and inserting
19	"shall be collected by the Director and
20	shall be available to the Director until ex-
21	pended";
22	(C) by striking paragraph (2); and
23	(D) by redesignating paragraph (3) as
24	paragraph (2);

1	(4) by redesignating subsections (d) and (e) as
2	subsections (e) and (f), respectively;
3	(5) by inserting after subsection (c) the fol-
4	lowing new subsection:
5	"(d) Revolving Fund.—
6	"(1) Definitions.—In this subsection—
7	"(A) the term 'Fund' means the United
8	States Patent and Trademark Office Innovation
9	Promotion Fund established under paragraph
10	(2); and
11	"(B) the term 'Trademark Act of 1946'
12	means the Act entitled 'An Act to provide for
13	the registration and protection of trademarks
14	used in commerce, to carry out the provisions
15	of certain international conventions, and for
16	other purposes', approved July 5, 1946 (15
17	U.S.C. 1051 et seq.) (commonly referred to as
18	the 'Trademark Act of 1946' or the 'Lanham
19	Act').
20	"(2) Establishment.—There is established in
21	the Treasury a revolving fund to be known as the
22	'United States Patent and Trademark Office Inno-
23	vation Promotion Fund'.

1	"(3) Derivation of Resources.—There shall
2	be deposited into the Fund any fees collected
3	under—
4	"(A) this title; or
5	"(B) the Trademark Act of 1946.
6	"(4) Expenses.—Amounts deposited into the
7	Fund under paragraph (3) shall be available, with-
8	out fiscal year limitation, to cover—
9	"(A) all expenses to the extent consistent
10	with the limitation on the use of fees set forth
11	in subsection (c), including all administrative
12	and operating expenses, determined in the dis-
13	cretion of the Director to be ordinary and rea-
14	sonable, incurred by the Director for the contin-
15	ued operation of all services, programs, activi-
16	ties, and duties of the Office relating to patents
17	and trademarks, as such services, programs, ac-
18	tivities, and duties are described under—
19	"(i) this title; and
20	"(ii) the Trademark Act of 1946; and
21	"(B) all expenses incurred pursuant to any
22	obligation, representation, or other commitment
23	of the Office ":

1	(6) in subsection (e), as redesignated, by strik-
2	ing "The Director" and inserting "REFUNDS.—The
3	Director"; and
4	(7) in subsection (f), as redesignated, by strik-
5	ing "The Secretary" and inserting "Report.—The
6	Secretary".
7	(b) Effective Date; Transfer From and Termi-
8	NATION OF OBSOLETE FUNDS.—
9	(1) Effective date.—The amendments made
10	by subsection (a) shall take effect on the first day
11	of the first fiscal year that begins on or after the
12	date of the enactment of this Act.
13	(2) Remaining Balances.—There shall be de-
14	posited in the Fund, on the effective date described
15	in paragraph (1), any available unobligated balances
16	remaining in the Patent and Trademark Office Ap-
17	propriation Account, and in the Patent and Trade-
18	mark Fee Reserve Fund established under section
19	42(c)(2) of title 35, United States Code, as in effect
20	on the date before the effective date.
21	(3) Termination of Reserve Fund.—Upon
22	the payment of all obligated amounts in the Patent
23	and Trademark Fee Reserve Fund under paragraph
24	(2), the Patent and Trademark Fee Reserve Fund

shall be terminated.

SEC. 108. INFRINGEMENT OF PATENT.

- 2 Section 271 of title 35, United States Code, is
- 3 amended—
- 4 (1) by striking subsection (b) and inserting the
- 5 following:
- 6 "(b) Whoever actively induces infringement of a pat-
- 7 ent shall be liable as an infringer upon a showing that
- 8 the accused infringer intended to cause the acts that con-
- 9 stitute infringement, without regard to whether the ac-
- 10 cused infringer knew of the patent.";
- 11 (2) in subsection (f), by adding at the end the
- 12 following new paragraph:
- 13 "(3)(A) Whoever, without authority, supplies or
- 14 causes to be supplied in or from the United States a de-
- 15 sign for a product embodying a patented invention in such
- 16 manner as to actively induce the making of that product
- 17 outside the United States in a manner that would infringe
- 18 the patent if made in the United States, shall be liable
- 19 as an infringer.
- 20 "(B) Whoever, without authority, supplies or causes
- 21 to be supplied in or from the United States a specification
- 22 for performing a patented process or method in such man-
- 23 ner as to actively induce the performance of that process
- 24 or method outside the United States in a manner that
- 25 would infringe the patent if performed in the United
- 26 States, shall be liable as an infringer."; and

1	(3) by adding at the end the following:
2	"(j) For a finding of liability for actively inducing in-
3	fringement of a process patent under subsection (b), or
4	for contributory infringement of a process patent under
5	subsection (c), it shall not be a requirement that the steps
6	of the patented process be practiced by a single entity."
7	SEC. 109. INSTITUTIONS OF HIGHER EDUCATION.
8	Section 123(d) of title 35, United States Code, is
9	amended to read as follows:
10	"(d) Institutions of Higher Education.—For
11	purposes of this section, a micro entity shall include an
12	applicant who certifies that—
13	"(1) the applicant's employer, from which the
14	applicant obtains the majority of the applicant's in-
15	come, is an institution of higher education as de-
16	fined in section 101(a) of the Higher Education Act
17	of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 1001(a));
18	"(2) the applicant has assigned, granted, con-
19	veyed, or is under an obligation by contract or law
20	to assign, grant, or convey, a license or other owner-
21	ship interest in the particular applications to such
22	an institution of higher education;
23	"(3) the applicant is such an institution of
24	higher education; or

1	"(4) the applicant is an organization described
2	in section 501(c)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code of
3	1986 and exempt from taxation under section
4	501(a) of such Code that holds title to patents and
5	patent applications on behalf of such an institution
6	of higher education for the purpose of facilitating
7	commercialization of the technologies of the patents
8	and patent applications.".
9	SEC. 110. ASSISTING SMALL BUSINESSES IN THE U.S. PAT-
10	ENT SYSTEM.
11	(a) Definition.—In this section, the term "small
12	business concern" has the meaning given the term in sec-
13	tion 3 of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 632).
14	(b) Small Business Administration Report.—
15	Not later than 1 year after the date of enactment of this
16	Act, the Small Business Administration, using existing re-
17	sources, shall submit to the Committee on Small Business
18	and Entrepreneurship of the Senate and the Committee
19	on Small Business of the House of Representatives a re-
20	port analyzing the impact of—
21	(1) patent ownership by small business con-
22	cerns; and
23	(2) civil actions against small business concerns
24	arising under title 35, United States Code, relating
25	to patent infringement.

- 1 (c) Expansion of Patent Pilot Program in Cer-2 tain District Courts.—
- 3 (1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Di-5 rector of the Administrative Office of the United 6 States Courts shall designate not fewer than 6 of the 7 district courts of the United States that are partici-8 pating in the patent cases pilot program established 9 under section 1 of Public Law 111–349 (28 U.S.C. 10 137 note) for the purpose of expanding that pro-11 gram to address special issues raised in patent in-12 fringement suits against individuals or small busi-13 ness concerns.
 - (2) PROCEDURES FOR SMALL BUSINESSES.—
 Not later than 2 years after the date of the enactment of this Act, each district court designated under paragraph (1) shall develop procedures for expediting cases in which an individual or small business concern is accused of patent infringement.

(3) Participating judges.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—In each district court designated under paragraph (1), each district court judge participating in the patent cases pilot program established under section 1 of Public Law 111–349 may appoint 1 additional

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- law clerk or secretary in excess of any other
 limitation on the number of such employees.
- (B) 3 EDUCATION AND TRAINING.—The 4 Federal Judicial Center, using existing re-5 sources, shall prepare educational and training 6 materials to assist district court judges de-7 scribed in subparagraph (A) in developing ex-8 pertise in patent and plant variety protection 9 cases.
- 10 (4) Funds.—There are authorized to be appro-11 priated such sums as may be necessary to carry out 12 paragraph (3)(A).
- 13 (d) Free Online Availability of Public Search 14 Facility Materials.—Section 41(i) of title 35, United 15 States Code, is amended by adding at the end the fol-16 lowing new paragraph:
- 17 "(5) Free online availability of public 18 SEARCH FACILITY MATERIALS.—The Director shall 19 make available online and at no charge all patent 20 and trademark information that is available at the 21 Public Search Facility of the Office located in Alex-22 andria, Virginia, including, except to the extent that 23 licenses with third-party contractors would make 24 such provision financially unviable—
- 25 "(A) search tools and databases;

1	"(B) informational materials; and
2	"(C) training classes and materials.".
3	TITLE II—TARGETING ROGUE
4	AND OPAQUE LETTERS
5	SEC. 201. DEFINITIONS.
6	In this title:
7	(1) Bad faith.—The term "bad faith" means
8	with respect to section 202(a), that the sender—
9	(A) made knowingly false or knowingly
10	misleading statements, representations, or omis
11	sions;
12	(B) made statements, representations, or
13	omissions with reckless indifference as to the
14	false or misleading nature of such statements
15	representations, or omissions; or
16	(C) made statements, representations, or
17	omissions with awareness of the high prob
18	ability of the statements, representations, or
19	omissions to deceive and the sender inten
20	tionally avoided the truth.
21	(2) Commission.—The term "Commission"
22	means the Federal Trade Commission.
23	(3) Final determination.—The term "fina
24	determination" means, with respect to the invalidity
25	or unenforceability of a patent, that the invalidity of

1	unenforceability has been determined by a court of
2	the United States or the United States Patent and
3	Trademark Office in a final decision that is
4	unappealable or for which any opportunity for ap-
5	peal is no longer available.
6	SEC. 202. UNFAIR OR DECEPTIVE ACTS OR PRACTICES IN
7	CONNECTION WITH THE ASSERTION OF A
8	UNITED STATES PATENT.
9	(a) In General.—It shall be an unfair or deceptive
10	act or practice within the meaning of section 5(a)(1) of
11	the Federal Trade Commission Act (15 U.S.C. 45(a)(1))
12	for a person, in connection with the assertion of a United
13	States patent, to engage in a pattern or practice of send-
14	ing written communications that state or represent that
15	the recipients are or may be infringing, or have or may
16	have infringed, the patent and bear liability or owe com-
17	pensation to another, if—
18	(1) the sender of the communications, in bad
19	faith, states or represents in the communications
20	that—
21	(A) the sender is a person with the right
22	to license or enforce the patent at the time the
23	communications are sent, and the sender is not
24	a person with such a right;

1	(B) a civil action asserting a claim of in-
2	fringement of the patent has been filed against
3	the recipient;
4	(C) a civil action asserting a claim of in-
5	fringement of the patent has been filed against
6	other persons;
7	(D) legal action for infringement of the
8	patent will be taken against the recipient;
9	(E) the sender is the exclusive licensee of
10	the patent asserted in the communications;
11	(F) persons other than the recipient pur-
12	chased a license for the patent asserted in the
13	communications;
14	(G) persons other than the recipient pur-
15	chased a license, and the sender does not dis-
16	close that such license is unrelated to the al-
17	leged infringement or the patent asserted in the
18	communications;
19	(H) an investigation of the recipient's al-
20	leged infringement occurred; or
21	(I) the sender or an affiliate of the sender
22	previously filed a civil action asserting a claim
23	of infringement of the patent based on the ac-
24	tivity that is the subject of the written commu-
25	nication when the sender knew such activity

1	was held, in a final determination, not to in-
2	fringe the patent;
3	(2) the sender of the communications, in bad
4	faith, seeks compensation for—
5	(A) a patent claim that has been held to
6	be unenforceable due to inequitable conduct, in-
7	valid, or otherwise unenforceable against the re-
8	cipient, in a final determination;
9	(B) activities undertaken by the recipient
10	after expiration of the patent asserted in the
11	communications; or
12	(C) activity of the recipient that the sender
13	knew was authorized, with respect to the patent
14	claim or claims that are the subject of the com-
15	munications, by a person with the right to li-
16	cense the patent; or
17	(3) the sender of the communications, in bad
18	faith, fails to include—
19	(A) the identity of the person asserting a
20	right to license the patent to, or enforce the
21	patent against, the recipient, including the iden-
22	tity of any parent entity and the ultimate par-
23	ent entity of such person, unless such person is
24	a public company and the name of the public
25	company is identified;

- 1 (B) an identification of at least one patent 2 issued by the United States Patent and Trade-3 mark Office alleged to have been infringed;
 - (C) an identification, to the extent reasonable under the circumstances, of at least one product, service, or other activity of the recipient that is alleged to infringe the identified patent;
 - (D) a description, to the extent reasonable under the circumstances, of how the product, service, or other activity of the recipient infringes an identified patent and patent claim; or
 - (E) a name and contact information for a person the recipient may contact about the assertions or claims relating to the patent contained in the communications.
- 17 (b) Affirmative Defense.—With respect to sub-18 section (a), there shall be an affirmative defense that 19 statements, representations, or omissions were not made 20 in bad faith (as defined in subparagraphs (B) and (C) of 21 section 201(1)) if the sender can demonstrate that such 22 statements, representations, or omissions were mistakes 23 made in good faith. Evidence that the sender in the usual course of business sends written communications that do not violate the provisions of this title shall be sufficient

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

- 1 to demonstrate good faith. Good faith may also be dem-
- 2 onstrated by other evidence.
- 3 (c) Rule of Construction.—For purposes of sec-
- 4 tions 203 and 204, the commission of an act or practice
- 5 that is declared under this section to be an unfair or de-
- 6 ceptive act or practice within the meaning of section
- 7 5(a)(1) of the Federal Trade Commission Act (15 U.S.C.
- 8 45(a)(1)) shall be considered to be a violation of this sec-
- 9 tion.
- 10 SEC. 203. ENFORCEMENT BY FEDERAL TRADE COMMIS-
- 11 SION.
- 12 (a) VIOLATION OF RULE.—A violation of section 202
- 13 shall be treated as a violation of a rule defining an unfair
- 14 or deceptive act or practice prescribed under section
- 15 18(a)(1)(B) of the Federal Trade Commission Act (15
- 16 U.S.C. 57a(a)(1)(B)).
- 17 (b) Powers of Commission.—The Commission
- 18 shall enforce this title in the same manner, by the same
- 19 means, and with the same jurisdiction, powers, and duties
- 20 as though all applicable terms and provisions of the Fed-
- 21 eral Trade Commission Act (15 U.S.C. 41 et seq.) were
- 22 incorporated into and made a part of this title. Any person
- 23 who violates section 202 shall be subject to the penalties
- 24 and entitled to the privileges and immunities provided in
- 25 the Federal Trade Commission Act.

1	(c) Effect on Other Laws.—Nothing in this title
2	shall be construed in any way to limit or affect the author-
3	ity of the Commission under any other provision of law.
4	SEC. 204. PREEMPTION OF STATE LAWS ON PATENT DE-
5	MAND LETTERS AND ENFORCEMENT BY
6	STATE ATTORNEYS GENERAL.
7	(a) Preemption.—
8	(1) In general.—This title preempts any law,
9	rule, regulation, requirement, standard, or other pro-
10	vision having the force and effect of law of any
11	State, or political subdivision of a State, expressly
12	relating to the transmission or contents of commu-
13	nications relating to the assertion of patent rights.
14	(2) Effect on other state laws.—Except
15	as provided in paragraph (1), this title shall not be
16	construed to preempt or limit any provision of any
17	State law, including any State consumer protection
18	law, any State law relating to acts of fraud or decep-
19	tion, and any State trespass, contract, or tort law.
20	(b) Enforcement by State Attorneys Gen-
21	ERAL.—
22	(1) IN GENERAL.—In any case in which the at-
23	torney general of a State has reason to believe that
24	an interest of the residents of that State has been
25	adversely affected by any person who violates section

- 202, the attorney general of the State, may bring a civil action on behalf of such residents of the State in a district court of the United States of appropriate jurisdiction—
 - (A) to enjoin further such violation by the defendant; or
 - (B) to obtain civil penalties on behalf of recipients who suffered actual damages as a result of such violation.
 - (2) Maximum civil penalty.—Notwithstanding the number of actions which may be brought against a person under this subsection, a person may not be liable for a total of more than \$5,000,000 for a series of related violations of section 202.

(3) Intervention by the ftc.—

(A) Notice and intervention.—The attorney general of a State shall provide prior written notice of any action under paragraph (1) to the Commission and provide the Commission with a copy of the complaint in the action, except in any case in which such prior notice is not feasible, in which case the attorney general shall serve such notice immediately upon insti-

1	tuting such action. The Commission shall have
2	the right—
3	(i) to intervene in the action;
4	(ii) upon so intervening, to be heard
5	on all matters arising therein; and
6	(iii) to file petitions for appeal.
7	(B) Limitation on state action while
8	FEDERAL ACTION IS PENDING.—If the Commis-
9	sion has instituted a civil action for violation of
10	section 202, no State attorney general may
11	bring an action under this subsection during
12	the pendency of that action against any defend-
13	ant named in the complaint of the Commission
14	for any violation of such section alleged in the
15	complaint.
16	(4) Construction.—For purposes of bringing
17	any civil action under paragraph (1), nothing in this
18	title shall be construed to prevent the attorney gen-
19	eral of a State from exercising the powers conferred
20	on the attorney general by the laws of that State
21	to—
22	(A) conduct investigations;
23	(B) administer oaths or affirmations; or

1 (C) compel the attendance of witnesses or 2 the production of documentary and other evi-3 dence.

 \bigcirc